1	COM	MITTEE ON HEALTH
2	CITY COUNCIL	
3	CITY OF NEW YORK	
4		X
5	TRANSCRIPT OF THE	E MINUTES
6	Of the	
7	COMMITTEE ON HEAI	TH
8		X
		June 18, 2019
9		Start: 10:07 a.m. Recess: 4:05 p.m.
10		
11	HELD AT:	Council Chambers - City Hall
12	BEFORE:	Mark Levine - Committee on Health Chairperson
13		Chariperson
14		
15		
16	COUNCIL MEMBERS:	ALicka Ampry-Samuel
17		Inez D. Barron Andrew Cohen
18		Mathieu Eugene
19		Robert F. Holden Keith Powers
		Referr Towers
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 2
2	APPEARANCES
3	Christine Kim
4	Senior Community Liaison at the Mayor's Community Affairs Unit
5	
6	Corinne Schiff Deputy Commissioner of the Department of Health
7	and Mental Hygiene's Division of Environmental Health
8	Risa Weinstock
9	Chief Executive Officer of Animal Care Centers of
10	New York City
11	Melissa Treuman Director of Brand Communications at Bideawee
12	bilector of brand communications at bideawee
13	Jenny Coffey (Speaking on behalf of Animal Haven's Executive
14	Director Tiffany Lacey)
15	Dr. Felicia Magnaterra
16	Shelter veterinarian and manager with the ASPCA Adoption Center
17	Jennie Lintz
18	Director of the Puppy Mill Initiatives at the
19	ASPCA
20	Michelle Villagomez New York Legislative Senior Director for the
21	American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals
22	AIIIIIdIS
23	Carrie Strauss (on behalf of the ASPCA and Daisy Feund, the Director of our Farm Animal Welfare
24	Department

1	COMMITTEE ON HEATEN
1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 3
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Allie Feldman Taylor
4	Voters for Animal Rights
5	Dr. Mary Morimoto
6	Veterinary Medical Association of New York City
7	Heather Greenhouse Voters for Animal Rights
8	VOCCID IOI IMILMOI NIGHTOO
9	Ester Koslow Shelter Reform Action Committee
10	Nora Constance Marino
11	Animal Cruelty Exposure Fund of Queens County
12	Matthew Dominguez
13	Voters for Animal Rights
14	Cynthia King
15	Voters for Animal Rights
16	Eileen Mullaney-Newman Borough President Eric Adams office
17	D ' ' 111'
18	Benjamin Williamson World Animal Protection
19	Cynthia VonSchlichton
20	Compassion and World Farming
21	Amber Canavan
22	PETA
23	Kathy Nizzari
24	Voters for Animal Rights and Laura Leopardo
25	Stephen Malone

Horse Carriages

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 4
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Christina Hansen
4	Carriage Horses and Drivers
5	Josh Sausville
6	Carriages
7	Ariel Fintzi Self
8	
9	Alison Clark Southeast Vice President of the New York State
10	Horse Council
11	Lily Hodge from the Equine Cultural Heritage
12	Museum
13	Sean Brooks Prestige Towing
14	Miguel Montiel
15	Corona Self-Help Center
16	Andy Wertheim
17	DiArtagnan
18	Nelson Seravia
19	La Belle Farms
20	Jocelyn Hounnou Rougie
21	Nougie
22	Daniela Mercado La Belle
23	Toggian Hollander
24	Jessica Hollander Brooklyn resident and business owner
25	Michael Dolling[SP?]

Michael Dolling[SP?]
Tamerlain Sanctuary

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 5
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Kirstin Kuhi
4	V for Veganism
5	Isabel Angel
6	Horses
7	Linda Mann Self
8	Christina Liew
9	Representing Susan Whittred, DVM
10	Marissa Hight
11	Animal Law Committee
12	Elinor Molbegott
13	Humane Society of New York
14	Eileen Jefferson
15	Ethical Veterinary Association
16	Edita Birnkrent Executive Director of NYCLASS
17	
18	Kim Clouse Owner and operator of North Jersey Equestrian in
19	Northwest New Jersey
20	Nathan Semmel
21	Attorney, lifelong New Yorker, lives in District 7
22	Kirk Miller
23	Resident of Manhattan
24	Deborah Thomas
25	Resident, animal advocate and humane voter

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH	6
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)	
3	Jesus Ponce	
4	Hudson Valley Foie Gras	
5	Jenny Chamberlain	
6	Hudson Valley Foie Gras	
7	Marcus Henley	
8	Hudson Valley Foie Gras	
O	Marcus Lingerman	
9	Christ Health Care Ministry	
10	Dr. Lawrence Bartholf	
11	Veterinarian	
12	Erwin Grome	
13	Hudson Valley	
14	Izzy Yanay	
15	Hudson Valley	
	Robert Ambrose	
16	Bella Bella Gourmet Foods	
17	Sergio Saravia	
18	LaBelle Farms	
19	Ashley Byrne	
20	Associate Director with PETA	
21	Herve Breuil	
22	Shelter Director at Woodstock Farm Sanctuary	
23	Holly Cheever NYS Humane Association	
24	1V10 11dilidi1C 11550C1dC1011	

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 7
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Chris DeRose
4	President and founder of Last Chance for Animals
5	Gene Baur
6	Farm Sanctuary
7	Blair Marshall Resides in Flushing New York
8	Daulia Benaroya
9	Resides in District 19
10	Viola Agostini
11	Reside in District 36
12	Nicole Fernandez
13	Reside in District 36
14	Flora Mattis
15	Self
16	Joyce Friedman Voters for Animal Rights
17	
18	Natasha Reznik Self
19	Judith Lustgarten
20	Humanity and Ethics for Shelter Animals
21	Marilyn Galfin
22	Voices for Shelter Animals
23	Craig Seeman Voters for Shelter Animals
24	voters for Sherter Animidats
25	Brian Shapiro

Humane Society of the U.S.

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH	8
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)	
3	Chris Allieri	
4	Wild Bird Fund	
5	Rita McMahon Director of the Wild Bird Fund	
6	Director of the wild bird rund	
7	Genie Grassi Carriage driver	
8	Amitz Velessy[SP?]	
9	Horse carriage driver	
10	Amitar Krasiev[SP?]	
11	Ian McKeever	
12	Self	
13	Alison Clarke	
14	Harry Werner Vet	
15	Patricia Saffran Colm McKeever	
16		
17	Johnny Smith Self	
18		
19	Ann Fox Self	
20		
21	Tony Dunofrio Self	
22	Jessica Zafonte	
23	The animals	
24	Stewart Mitchell The animals	
25	Maria Depaulis	

Maria Depaulis Animal Shelter

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH	9
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)	
3	Roxanne Delgado	
4	On behalf of Bronx Animal Rights Electors	
5	Shimon Shuchat In Defense for animals	
6	Caetana Laprebendere	
7	Self	
8	Carole Hughes	
9	Self	
10	Joan Sample	
11	NYCLASS Animal Rights	
12	Shina Van[SP?] Animals	
13	AIIIIIdIS	
14	Christopher Wallach New York City Bar Association Animal Law	
15	Committee	
16	Venessa Sol	
17	Animals	
18	Alexandrea Lafata Animals	
19		
20	David Karopkin Wildlife	
21	Rebecca Milvich[SP?]	
22	Animals	
23	Elizabeth Argibay	
24	Animals	

John Dileonarldo

PETA

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH	10
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)	
3	Sharon Discorfaro	
4	New York City Bar Associations Animal Law Committee	
5		
6	Desiree[SP?] Mattos Animals	
7	Jenna Shipiro	
8	Animal Legal Defense Fund	
9	Jacelyn Stone	
10	Self	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: [GAVEL] Good morning everybody. I am Mark Levine, Chair of the City Council's Health Committee. Welcome to our hearing on animal welfare. We are going to be hearing today a monumental package of sixteen pieces of legislation on this important topic. Bills which aim to strengthen current protections for animals and introduce important new protections.

I am thrilled that we are joined today by fellow health Committee Member Council Member Bob Holden as well as three of the many sponsors of our bills today, Council Member Justin Brannan, Council Member Fernando Cabrera and Council Member Helen Rosenthal. And I do want to thank our Chief Sergeant at Arms, Rafael Perez for that important introduction.

I want to emphasize that we are going to make sure all voices are heard today. All voices on all sides of every issue. This is about dialogue, this is about input, not only from the Administration but even more importantly from all of you. From members of the public and I see some of you are experienced attendees at Council hearings and you already know, but for those of you who are new, we have a special way of showing approval instead of applause. It's

2.2

just raising your hands like this. So, can everyone
do a practice round and raise your hands like this?

Okay, we're off to a great start. I appreciate that

5 very, very much.

I am incredibly proud of the pace at which New York City has been advancing animal welfare legislation in recent years. From protections for dogs, cats and horses, to promoting a plant-based diet. To improvements in our shelter system. Our city has continued to push smart humane policy.

The gains in our shelter system are particularly striking thanks to progress at Animal Care Centers of New York City or ACC. The non-for-profit organization under contract with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene DOHMH, to run our animal shelter system.

Two decades ago, the city's shelter system was severely underfunded and in dire straits. In 1994, 75 percent of shelter animals in the city were euthanized. 75 percent of animals in our shelter system in 1994 were euthanized. Today, in a shelter system that takes in nearly 29,000 animals per year, the live release rate, not the euthanizer rate, the live release rate is 92.5 percent.

2.2

There are shelter systems in many cities in

America which pick and choose which animals to

accept. Rejecting those that are more challenging.

That of course makes it much easier to achieve very

high live release rates, but New York City has

achieved its remarkable results with a policy of

accepting all animals and it is outperforming most

other big cities in America with similar policies.

We anticipate further improvements in our shelter system, thanks to Enactment of Local Law 123 of 2018. Which requires DOHMH to ensure full-service shelters in all five boroughs by 2024. And there is great news on that front, DOHMH is now moving to open a new shelter in Ridgewood Queens.

We of course want to continue to push the live release rate even higher and we will be considering a number of bills today which seek to achieve this goal.

I am pleased to be the lead sponsor of one of those bills, Introduction 1570 which will require that owners of dogs being accepted at a boarding kennel business or similar establishment show prove of active immunization against Bordetella bacterium, also known as kennel cough. In the hopes that such

instances of shelter youth euthanasia of dogs.

new protections for our four legged and winged

vaccination will bring about further reduction in the

Today's package of bills introduces a variety of

of Animal Welfare, a bill sponsored by Council Member

Brannan. A ban on the sale of Foie Gras that is made

Increased penalties for trafficking of wild birds

from force fed birds. A bill sponsored by Council

also sponsored by Council Member Rivera. Increased

penalties for animal abuse, a bill sponsored by

Council Member Gjonaj. A consideration of heat

indexes for horse carriages, a bill sponsored by

Including the creation of a City Department

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

declawing; a bill sponsored by Council Member

Brannan. And regulations for animals left behind

Council Member Powers. A ban on non-therapeutic cat

after an eviction, also a bill sponsored by Council

Member Brannan.

Member Rivera.

We will also hear bills today requiring further reporting from city agencies and affiliated entities including a bill requiring DOHMH posting of more information connected to shelter youth in Asia, sponsored by Council Member Holden.

A bill requiring New York City shelters posting of photographs of adoptable animals, also sponsored by Council Member Brannan. A bill requiring the New York City Police Department to report on complaints of animal cruelty, sponsored by Council Member Cabrera. And a bill requiring DOHMH to conduct an educational campaign regarding the proper disposal of deceased animals, sponsored by Council Member Holden.

Finally, we are hearing four resolutions including a resolution to recognize meatless Monday's in New York City, sponsored by Council Member Rosenthal. A resolution calling on the State to prevent pet stores from offering dogs, cats, or rabbits for sale, sponsored by Council Member Brannan. A resolution calling on the State to provide a tax credit for the adoption of household pets, sponsored by Council Member Cumbo and a resolution calling on the Federal Government to pass the so-called the pact act making animal abuse illegally federally, sponsored by Council Member Holden.

I look forward to a robust discussion on these bills and especially look forward to hearing from members of the public and to what I expect will be a passionate and respectful dialogue. We expect a very

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

large number of people speaking today and that's a 2 great thing. We however are under a time constraint. 3 4 We have an unexpected meeting of the entire City Council called for later today, so-called Stated Meeting to pass time sensitive bills related to 6 7 sending budget messages to Albany before the session concludes later today.

We are going to do everything in our power to make sure everyone is heard. You have my commitment on that, but I am also going to try and keep the discussion moving. We will be adhering strict time limits. The goal again today, is for all voices on all sides of all these debates to be heard.

I want to acknowledge we have now been joined by Council Member Rivera and I am going to turn it over to the Administration to kick us off. I will ask Committee Counsel Sara Liss to please administer the affirmation.

COUNCIL CLERK: Thank you and this is for anyone who will be testifying or answering questions. you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

2.2

COUNCIL CLERK: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Please Commissioner.

CHRISTINE KIM: Good afternoon Chairman Levine,
the Committee on Health, and Council Members. My
name is Christine Kim and I am a Senior Community
Liaison at the Mayor's Community Affairs Unit, and I
work primarily on animal welfare issues. I am joined
on the panel today by two colleagues: Corinne
Schiff, Deputy Commissioner of the Department of
Health and Mental Hygiene's Division of Environmental
Health and Risa Weinstock, Chief Executive Officer of
Animal Care Centers of New York City. Deputy
Commissioner Schiff and I are pleased to represent
Mayor Bill de Blasio's administration, and to discuss
the animal welfare package which is being considered
today.

The Mayor has long supported progressive animal welfare legislation, signed many bills into law to protect animals, and implemented bold and positive policies for animals ranging from companion animals to wildlife.

For example, in March of this year the Mayor announced that all New York City public schools will

2 p

participate in Meatless Mondays, affecting 1.1 million students. Not only will our schools, as well as all 11 of our public hospitals, be serving vegetarian meals on Mondays, but all city agencies will be required to phase out processed meat and reduce their beef purchases by 50 percent, as directed by the Mayor's Green New Deal to combat climate change.

The Mayor is also demonstrating the feasibility and success of large-scale, nonlethal wildlife management with the implementation of the City's deer impact management plan, which has already led to a deer population reduction of approximately 15 percent and an estimated decrease of 77 percent in new births. This is dramatic example of a humane and scientifically cutting-edge alternative to hunting ad conventional, lethal methods of wildlife management. Through the city's WildlifeNYC campaign, we are promoting the safe co-existence of wildlife and people and managing other impacts of deer in our urban environment.

Other accomplishments for wildlife include the Mayor's support for the 2017 bill to ban wild and exotic animals from circuses in the city, and the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

O 1

21

22

24

25

addition of a wild bird rehabilitation center to our Bronx animal shelter, projected to open in 2024.

The Bronx shelter is just one of five major capital projects currently underway for Animal Care Centers of New York City, our open-admission municipal animal shelter system.

In collaboration with City Council, the Mayor has invested an unprecedented amount of capital funding into Animal Care Centers which will bring fullservice animal shelters to each of our five boroughs for the first time, as well as a stand alone adoption center next to our Manhattan shelter, and this will further increase our ability to adopt out animals, enable New Yorkers to keep their pets rather than surrender them because of hardship, and provide critical animal services to our communities. With the support of the City Council, we are now well underway, and we have identified and are moving forward with sites for care centers in the Bronx and Queens. We also have capital projects in Staten Island and Brooklyn to fully renovate those shelters from the ground up. Each of these projects is designed by animal shelter experts with the health, safety, and well-being of animals in mind.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

Animal Care Centers is also changing people's understanding of what an open admission shelter can and should be. They are at a historic 94 percent placement rate of the approximately 30,000 animals they take in each year, making Animal Care Centers a national leader in the placement of dogs and cats.

Animal Care Centers services are not contained to the physical structure of a shelter, they push their programs out into communities where low or no-cost services can mean the difference between animal surrender or keeping pets in their homes. surrender rates are linked to zip codes and income, and the more we can promote access to care, the more likely we are to see human-animal families staying together. Animal Care Centers is providing exactly this kind of progressive programming, offering low and no-cost vaccine clinics, a food bank, and free training seminars in the Bronx, as well as spay and neuter services in Manhattan, Brooklyn, and Staten They are a model for municipal sheltering in Island. a large, urban environment. Consequently, some of the country's most reputable animal welfare foundations like Maddie's Fund and PetSmart Charities seek out partnerships with Animal Care Centers to

pilot innovative programs to keep families and their pets together and out of shelters altogether.

This commitment to shelter animals has been cemented in the past year when we signed a 34-year contract to ensure the stability Animal Care Centers needs in order to continue delivering positive outcomes for our shelter animals for decades to come. Once these capital projects are complete, New York City will have a totally revamped, world-class shelter system that will be able to provide care for animals for generations of New Yorkers.

In regards to Intro. 1478, the establishment of a Department of Animal Welfare the Administration recognizes the growth and success Animal Care Centers has achieved with the support and oversight of the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. For these reasons, it would be in the best interest of the city's shelter animals to keep Animal Care Centers housed in its existing department. To create an entirely new Department of Animal Welfare with a sole function of overseeing the city's animal shelter provider and regulating the few private shelters would replicate a system that already exists and can lead to additional costs and inefficiencies. Much of

the progress the city has made for shelter animals over the years would be disrupted.

There is tremendous value in the Animal Welfare
Liaison role at the Mayor's Office. It creates a
direct link between the animal welfare community and
City Hall and it also allows me to coordinate
interagency collaboration for animal welfare
initiatives and projects for my seat at the Mayor's
Office. I have the advantage of helping projects
navigate between agencies and I am able to encourage
collaboration with the directive of the Mayor.

Next, is Intro. 1496, ensuring the retrieval of companion animals by an animal shelter after an eviction or legal possession. We thank the Council for raising the issue of animals who are abandoned or for other reasons left in homes during the eviction process. The administration agrees that it is an issue that needs to be addressed. These cases are complicated and deeply personal, and we want to make sure we take a very deliberate, constructive, and effective approach that does not place an undue burden on Animal Care Centers. Companion animals are a part of human-animal family units; thus, human services and animal services must work

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

collaboratively and share the responsibility of ensuring people and animals stay together. We look forward to continuing this discussion with Council to ensure all appropriate parties have a protocol for the timely retrieval of animals after an eviction.

Regarding Intro. 1498, requiring the NYPD to report data regarding animal cruelty complaints, the Administration and New York City Police Department support increasing transparency and the intent of this bill. The NYPD is looking forward to working with the Council on a reporting bill that provides meaningful data and that accurately reflects the realities of animal cruelty investigations. instance, it is common for animal cruelty investigations to take more than 30 days since the cases depend on the outcome of a forensic examination and report completed by a forensic veterinarian. animal that may appear emaciated will be removed for examination an observation, at which point a determination can be made about whether it was neglected by its owner or whether the condition is a result of an underlying illness, such as cancer. time of an investigation is therefore subject to the time of the examination and observation period.

S

2.2

The Administration also supports the intent of Intro. 1378, banning the sales of certain poultry products that are the result of force-feeding birds. The Mayor believes in the humane treatment of animals and birds suffer tremendously in the production of foie gras. This cruelty and the resulting luxury product consumed by few New Yorkers is completely unnecessary. However, we have heard the concerns of the producers outside the five boroughs regarding economic impact and job loss, and we encourage these producers to continue conversations with City Council.

The Mayor has also always been clear about his position on horse drawn carriages. The Administration supports additional measures to protect carriage horses. We look forward to working with the Council on Intro. 1425, which would add a heat index threshold to suspend horse carriage activity.

Now, I turn to my colleague, Corinne Schiff, who will further discus the Administration's feedback on the proposed bills. Thank you.

CORINNE SCHIFF: Good morning Chairperson Levine and Council Members. My name is Corinne Schiff, and

I am the Deputy Commissioner for the Division of
Environmental Health at the Department of Health and
Mental Hygiene. On behalf of Commissioner Barbot,
thank you for the opportunity to testify on
legislation relating to animals.

The Health Department is charged with overseeing a range of animal-related activities. We permit and inspect animal shelters, grooming facilities, pet shops, boarding establishments, training facilities, animal exhibitions, and horse stables. We conduct training on small animal handling; issue statemandated dog licenses; investigate animal nuisance complaints, animal bites and dangerous dog incidents; and monitor wildlife and domestic animals for disease, such as rabies, that can impact human health and undertake prevention activities. We host rabies vaccination clinics around the city and provide low-cost spay and neuter services.

The Department is also responsible for managing and caring for the City's population of owner-surrendered, abandoned, homeless and lost animals.

In 1995, the City created a nonprofit entity, now known as Animal Care Centers or ACC to operate the animal shelter system. The services the Department

2.2

carries out through a contract with ACC include receiving and sheltering animals, providing medical services, and animal placement. ACC also performs a vital public safety function by handling potentially dangerous animals and accepting suspected rabid animals for observation or preparation for testing. ACC operates full-service animal shelters in Manhattan, Brooklyn, and Staten Island; admission centers in the Bronx and Queens; field operations throughout the city; and mobile adoption vans. ACC is required to accept all animals, without regard to their condition, age, temperament, or adoptability, and is the only open admission shelter in the city.

The Administration has been a strong supporter of ACC, committing more than \$98 million for capital projects, with investment in every borough. This includes a substantial renovation of the Brooklyn Care Center, a new adoption center in Manhattan, which I am pleased to report just own the Public Design Commission's Excellence in Design award, and a significantly renovated Staten Island Care Center that is slated to open this fall. Perhaps the most exciting, with the support of the Council, state of the art, full-service animal shelters are in

I will turn now to the legislation under

2

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

development in the Bronx and Queens, fulfilling the promise made by the Mayor and City Council to provide a full-service shelter in every borough.

consideration today. Introduction 1502 would expand the information that the Department reports to the Council regarding city shelter operations and establish a task force to review and advise on shelter best practices. The Department already provides a very detailed report to the Council each year, which includes information on field rescue intakes, transfers, animal outcomes and shelter staffing. We look forward to discussing with the Council what additional information would be meaningful. As for a task force report, we are skeptical that such an undertaking would yield useful results. As Ms. Weinstock will describe, there have been dramatic improvements in the animal shelter system in the last several years. In 2015, ACC's placement was 80 percent. Today, ACC is a national leader among municipalities, with a 94 percent placement rate in the current year. Ms. Weinstock and the animal welfare experts who make up her leadership group are at the top of their fields.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

They are invited to speak around the country,
regularly consult with colleague in New York City and

4 other jurisdictions and are immersed in the

5 literature and current thinking about best practices.

6 ACC has strong partnerships with animal welfare

7 organizations, such as the ASPCA, Best Friends, and

8 hundreds of New Hope organizations, and ACC's

9 independent, engaged board of directors has helped

10 | increase private fundraising and deepen marketing and

11 promotion strategies.

The Department is concerned that the time and effort required to convene and run a task force, instruct the members about these best practices, and then to produce a report would be an unnecessary distraction from the important work that ACC carries out every day.

Introduction 1496 would require an animal shelter to retrieve an animal when directed by a sheriff or city marshal executing an eviction or legal possession warrant. The Department would be happy to work with the sheriff and marshal offices to establish a protocol implementing such a mandate at ACC. However, the Department does not know whether the other animal shelters in New York City have the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

capacity to comply. Introduction 870 would require animal shelters to post photographs and other information about adoptable animals on their website within three days of receiving the animal. Department agrees that techniques such as posting photographs of animals to a website can promote adoption, and Ms. Weinstock can describe ACC's awardwinning projects that have done just that. Department doesn't know, however, whether the other animal shelters in the City have websites or sufficient staffing to be able to comply with this requirement and it may be that in some circumstances a three-day time limit would be inappropriate. would like to work with the Council to be sure this bill promotes adoption.

Introduction 1570 would update the Bordetella or kennel cough vaccination requirement at boarding kennels. The Department supports these changes, which would align the Administrative Code with the New York City Health Code. Introduction 1477 prohibits veterinarians from declawing cates unless the procedure is medically necessary. The Department appreciates the Council's interest in protecting cates, but the city is not the regulator of

2.2

veterinary medicine practice. This oversight is a state function and we note that a similar bill recently passed the State legislature and is awaiting action by the Governor. Introduction 1598 would require the Department to conduct a public awareness campaign in English and Spanish regarding proper disposal of deceased animals. The Department has a robust outreach and education program and produces a variety of materials in multiple languages regarding animals and other issues.

We look forward to working with the Council to better understand what information New Yorkers need about disposal of deceased animals and we are discussing the bill with the Department of Sanitation, which is responsible for this activity.

Introduction 1567 would establish civil penalties for animal abuse crimes that are also subject to criminal prosecution, mandate a warning for a first offense for certain crimes, and authorize the Department, agents of ASPCA, veterinarians ad others to enforce its provisions. The Department manages the city's animal abuse registry and has established relationships with the Police Department and the five district attorney offices to implement the program.

We would like to discuss with the Council the intent of this bill to be sure it neither conflicts with State law nor undermines efforts to prosecute people who commit animal abuse.

Introduction 1425 would prohibit carriage horses from working when the National Weather Service heat index is 90 or above. The Department currently suspends carriage horse activity when the temperature reaches 90 degrees, and we monitor the weather closely. We would like to work with the Council so that codifying this practice would rely on the Equine Heat Index rather than the National Weather Service index, to be sure we tie protections for carriage horses to a species-specific heat and humidity standard.

Introduction 1202 would prohibit taking wild or otherwise undomesticated birds. The Department supports efforts to instill respect for wildlife and prohibit interaction with non-companion animals. We would like to work with the Council to be sure this bill does not have unintended consequences such as prohibiting people from rescuing injured birds and bringing them to care and that it is consistent with State law requirements governing wildlife matters.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify.

Ms. Weinstock will testify next, and then we will be happy to take questions.

RISA WEINSTOCK: Good morning Chairperson Levine and members of the Health Committee. My name is Risa Weinstock and I am the President and CEO Animal Care Centers of New York City. Thank you for the opportunity to testify at today's hearing on the proposed bills concerning animal welfare. I would also like to thank everyone for your commitment to the health and welfare of New York City shelter animals. Over the last five years ACC has steadily improved thanks to the substantial support of Council, the Mayor's office and the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. With your support and the growing community of support from the private sector, ACC has grown to be a leader in the nation for open admission shelters. The future success of ACC is further assured with the city's financial commitment to the construction of state-ofthe-art shelters in the Bronx and Queens as well as much needed renovation of our existing facilities.

ACC is unique among all animal shelters in New York City because we are open admissions, meaning we

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

accept any animal brought to our five location, wither the animal has been abandoned, surrendered, found as a stray; brought in by the public, NYPD, or our animal rescue team; and regardless of age, health, breed, species, temperament or physical condition. We not only accept and seek placement for companion animals, but also wildlife, birds, reptiles, and farm animals.

We operate three full-service Animal Care Centers located in Manhattan, Brooklyn, and Staten Island and two Resource Centers, located in the Bronx and Queens respectively. We are open seven days a week to the public and provide 24-hour care to our animals. field rescue team is on call 24 hours a day for animal emergencies throughout the city. We also work to root out causes in animal homelessness or abandonment. For families that may be struggling with issues affecting their ability to keep their pet, we offer an array of resources including ownersurrender prevention counseling, free and local vaccine clinics, vouchers for low cost or free spay/neuter and medical services, and free basic obedience training.

2.2

I want t thank City Council for the additional \$3 million provided ACC this past fiscal year. This allowed us to add three new vehicles to further grow our outreach efforts including a mobile adoption vehicle to serve Queens; a vehicle to support our community pets program and vaccine clinics; and a third vehicle to support deeper community outreach. The funding was also used to staff these programs as well as hire additional medical staff, purchase medical supplies and equipment and to add safety and security feature to our facilities.

ACC is always open, which means we are always busy, always working and always problem solving. In calendar year 2018, ACC took in over 28,000 animals or roughly 75 animals each day. And while that is a daunting number of animals, ACC has one of the best placement rates in the nation for a shelter of our size, 94 percent in the current year. The team that has elevated ACC and New York City to this historic position is made up of over 280 compassionate, talented, and caring professionals. For an overview of our work and impact year tot day, I ask you to refer to the 2019 community report on the back page of my testimony.

2.2

ACC is very proud to have recently been awarded a 34-year contract to continue to provide animal services to New York City. Over the next 34-years, ACC and animal sheltering in New York City will continue to dramatically change and evolve as we incorporate state of the art shelters in the Bronx and Queens, undergo significant renovation of our existing facilities and continue to implement innovative solutions designed to yield the best outcomes for the most animals in our care.

The remainder of my testimony focuses on Intro 1502, specifically the requirement for additional reporting and the creation of a task force to develop best practices for animal shelters. ACC provides detailed data to DOHMH which then annually reports to the City Council. We welcome the opportunity to work with Council to identify any additional information that would be meaningful.

Concerning the proposal for a task force to develop best practices in animal sheltering, there already exists a wide field of animal sheltering and welfare expertise comprised of industry professionals. ACC follows industry best practices including Guidelines for Standards of Care in Animal

2.2

Shelters, published by the Association of Shelter

Veterinarians. ACC's decisions are informed by these
industry standards and the advice of our mentors in
the field. Our own team also includes professionals
who are experts in animal sheltering: are certified
or licensed in their fields of expertise; have
master's degrees in animal welfare and decades of
experience in animal behavior, sheltering and shelter
medicine.

The nation's animal welfare leaders, including the ASPCA, Maddie's Fund, HSUS, Best Friends, the University of Wisconsin, UC Davis Koret School of Veterinary Medicine, the Petco Foundation, PetSmart Charities, and the Association for Animal Welfare and Advancement have recognized ACC for our progressive work. These leaders have worked with ACC on multiple pilot projects to analyze complex animal sheltering issues and develop standards for other shelters.

ACC could not have progressed this far if we were not acutely aware of industry best practices, or we did not commit to attaining the industry gold standards. In many cases, we are setting best practices for other organizations to follow. For example, we were one of the first municipal

sheltering organizations of our size to successfully
implement the dog playgroup model as a life saving
measure. We were also one of the pioneers in
offering a robust surrender prevention program to our

6 clients, many of whom lack access to affordable

7 veterinary resources. We have been honored multiple

8 times by national standard setting organizations,

9 have been invited to speak at their conferences

10 across the United States, and received grant funding

11 specifically earmarked for the implementation of gold

12 standard programs.

There is no shortage of opinion and emotion in animal welfare, but it should not supplant fact-based dialogue or be used to second guess the professionals wo set national standards, nor the qualified inhouse team that works directly with our animals inside the care centers. Opportunities for input from the public currently exist. Our board meetings are open to the public; we regularly testify before the City Council and the Health Committee at oversight hearing; and we respond to public inquiry on a regular basis. ACC's board is made up of private individuals, several of who are appointed by the

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

Mayor and City officials, all of whom question,
review, and direct our operations.

2.2

I encourage the Health Committee to come for a tour, meet our staff, attend one of our community pet vaccine and wellness clinics, stop by a mobile adoption event, or simply adopt or foster one of our animals. You will quickly understand the depth of our compassion, the meaning of our work and the positive impact that ACC is making as we work to end animal homelessness in New York City.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify and I am happy to take your questions.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you. Thank you to all three of our Administration representatives today. I want to acknowledge we've been joined by fellow Health Committee Member Council Member Alicka Ampry-Samuel as well as fellow Health Committee Member and one of our bill sponsors today, Keith Powers.

President Weinstock, could you update us on the shelter development in Queens in the Bronx?

RISA WEINSTOCK: Currently in Queens and the Bronx, sites have been selected, ACC is managing the construction and design of the Queens project, so I can speak more specifically about that. We've

COMMITTEE	ON	HEALTH	3	3 9	9

identified property, we haven't yet closed. We've
worked with the community board. We are going to
engage with the public and the community in that
district. We expect to start work, it is an
environmental site, we expect to start work soon
after the closing which is scheduled for sometime
this week, early next week.
CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: With an opening date of?

RISA WEINSTOCK: The anticipated opening date is 2024.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And for the Bronx? RISA WEINSTOCK: I defer to my colleague Christine Kim on that.

CHRISTINE KIM: The Bronx is also scheduled to open in 2024.

RISA WEINSTOCK: Let me just correct that. Queens is scheduled for 2022 to be open, so that will proceed the Bronx.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And the Bronx is scheduled for 2024?

RISA WEINSTOCK: That is correct.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay. President Weinstock, what is the average time that an animal spends in an

3

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2.1

2.2

2 ACC shelter before you have release or in the worst-3 case euthanasia?

RISA WEINSTOCK: On average, animals are placed and adopted within seven days, and these are averages. Animals that have been euthanized on average have spent 12 days at ACC.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And you have touched on this many times before, but I do think it's important to confirm again. What are the circumstances under which you would euthanize an animal?

RISA WEINSTOCK: Euthanasia is not a decision that anybody takes likely. We are a national leader at 94 percent placement. So, we are looking at the 6 percent of the animals in our care that are either at risk or have been euthanized. Those animals right now, what we are seeing, those animals have behavior issues. Euthanasia decisions are really based on health and behavior. And it can be a combination of both but the majority of animals that are at risk for euthanasia have serious behavior issues.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Meaning you have deemed them to be unsafe to the public or to other animals?

RISA WEINSTOCK: Well, they have behavioral challenges. During that 12-day period, we spend the

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 time trying to find the appropriate placement for 3 that animal. Most of the animals with behavior

4 issues, if they are severe, could not be placed with

5 the public. So, they would not be candidates for

6 adoption. Then we move to our over 300 partners in

7 what we call New Hope partnership. These are rescue

8 groups that have resources in a network of fosters to

9 try and find homes that are more suitable for animals

10 | with behavioral issues.

When we have animals with behavioral issues that do not have placement, they are at risk of euthanasia and if we cannot find placement for them or if they are a danger to the community or to individuals or even to our staff, they are euthanized.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: So, there are some animals with behavioral challenges which you will post for adoption. Of course, you want the potential adoptee to understand the challenges and there are some animals where the behavioral issues are more serious, and you don't post them for adoption. You transfer them to one of the New Hope shelters, is that correct?

RISA WEINSTOCK: We will post every animal, even if they have a behavior issue with the exception that

O

those that have been deemed dangerous dogs, which are animals that are not safe or suitable for the public. We want the best outcome for the most animals. So, there is no set time limit, it's really based on our observation of behavior and what's happening in our care. And any information that we've gotten, either from the prior owner or just direct observation by the people.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And what portion of the animals are ultimately determined to be a danger to the public? One or two percent?

RISA WEINSTOCK: The ones that don't have any placement, yes, yes, about one or two percent are deemed dangerous.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: So, of the 6 percent that are euthanized, close to one-third were determined to be a danger to the public?

RISA WEINSTOCK: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Is that accurate?

RISA WEINSTOCK: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: How much time are animals posted for adoption publicly?

RISA WEINSTOCK: As soon as an animal comes into the shelter, we post their photo within hours. And

if they are a candidate for adoption, that
information will continue to be updated. On our
website, we have a mobile app for adoptions, and we
continue to promote as much information as we have
for that animal. If the animal is not available for
adoption, you will not see them posted on our
website. And they may not be available for adoption
because of what we just discussed; they are
considered dangerous dogs. They maybe going directly
to one of our rescue partners. They maybe too sick
to be considered for public adoption, but every
animal that comes in gets a photo and their
information gets posted within hours of intake.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, I will come back to you and the rest of the panel for questions in a moment but first, I am going to be very un-Chair like quickly turn it over to my colleagues for questions.

And we will be hearing from Council Members Cabrera, Rivera, Holden and Powers among others and colleagues, we are going to have to use a clock only because we have, I think at this point over 100 people who want to speak. And I am going to ask Council Member Holden to kick us off.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you so much. Ms.

Weinstock, I appreciate you know, I love ACC by the way. I visited your shelter and love what you are doing. I like the increase the adoptions, in fact, I adopted Rocky, my office cat and he has got more followers on twitter then I do but that's alright. He gets more likes. We are looking at the public and we talked about the social media. The perception of ACC and getting more information out and that seems to be the problem where information goes out, but we have advocates who are saying, you are not doing a great job. We are not doing the best we can do. So, that means there needs to be better communications.

So, that's why we created, we're thinking about an oversight committee or somebody else, an independent body to come in and just check on things. Just so, it's not just you saying, you're doing a great job, or you know, these national organizations saying you are doing a wonderful job. It's also people of New York City and experts saying, ACC is great. We are an independent body, we're not associated with ACC, we love what you're doing with the animals. We love how you are taking care them; we love how you are adopting them.

And again, we can discuss this what that looks like, but that maybe a way where people then would believe you because there is another organization. We talked about the social media and we know how social media can blow up things and maybe twist things. We know that's possible because of misinformation.

So, I know you don't really support it, you spoke to me about it, about this task force but could there be something else then? Could there be a middle ground?

RISA WEINSTOCK: We welcome the opportunity for transparency. I think the issues with the bill is the setting of best practices by individuals who are compassionate about animal welfare but don't have the knowledge or the experience that's necessary to set those best practices and standards. With respect to offering information or understanding, I would suggest the best way to understand or offer that type of information is to engage with us the way that you have engaged with us. I would suggest that people come in and take a tour and sit down and talk to us and get to understand the types of decisions that we're making.

Z 4

It's a very hands on; I think the most hands-on information and opportunity that we give to individuals who are concerned about our practices, the better. I don't think that a task force without rolling up their sleeves and really coming to see everything that ACC is doing will be productive. In particular setting best practices for an animal shelter that's taking in 28,000 animals. And it's not only about the adoption of dogs and cats and rabbits. At any moment and time, we're also changing gears to deal with a cow or 70 chickens or a hoarding case.

So, there is a constant flow of activity that changes our direction. And really, I think that it is important for the Animal Welfare professionals to set the best practice standards for municipal open admission shelters.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: I don't want to discuss it right now this second, but I think we can, I think, come up with a solution where the better information is getting out and there is an oversight. At least people coming in and just describing what they see and evaluating ACC. Just you know, here and there and I think that could be positive. I know

J

that some people feel threatened, but I think it might be a solution to great a body oversight, body just to look at it.

You said you had board meetings with the public kind attend, how many board meetings a year do you have?

RISA WEINSTOCK: We have a board meeting twice a year, in January and in June.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: January and June, okay. And where are they located?

RISA WEINSTOCK: They are generally located in a building that the Department of Health occupies.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay, I'd like to ask the Commissioner Schiff to talk about — do you think that the public knows how to dispose of a deceased animal?

CORINNE SCHIFF: I actually don't know. We wanted to discuss with the Council, I understand that's you bell and so, I wanted to understand better the knowledge gap that New Yorkers have and what kind of educational campaign would be helpful. It maybe that my colleagues at the Department of Sanitation might know more. This activity is their responsibility but happy to talk more about what

other information can be provided to New Yorkers.

My time

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Council Member
Holden. Next, we will hear from Council Member

Cabrera.

is up, so I will yield. Thank you Chair.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you so much to the Chair, I know I only have five minutes, so let me get to the point. I noticed that you didn't mention Intro. 1498, is there a particular reason why it was not mentioned? Simply is a bill that will require NYPD to publish some annual reports and complaints and investigation of animal cruelty allegations?

CHRISTINE KIM: There was a mention of it in my testimony and the Administration and the NYPD does support.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Fantastic, I am happy to hear that. Can you let me know why briefly it's taking so long for — you know, the Bronx, we always feel we are the last ones to get anything and why do we have to wait all the way into 2024 to get what everybody else already has?

CHRISTINE KIM: We are working as expeditiously as possible and looking for every opportunity to save

time. We agree that we would like these shelters to open up as soon as possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: But I see Queens is going to get it in 2022, what is it that is stopping you, the administration, from being able to have it at the same time? I mean, it shouldn't take five years.

CHRISTINE KIM: Thank you for that question. So, the Queens Animal Shelter is actually being managed by Animal Care Centers and the Bronx Animal Shelter is a city project. And because it's a city project, we have to go through all the checks and balances which takes a lot of time.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Well, I would hope that there will be a way to expedite because this being literally you know, and I know you are for it, but it's literally long overdue.

My last question is because I didn't get at least from my end and I don't have your testimony here, so I couldn't go back. When it comes to the foie gras bill of Council Member Rivera, are you for it? Not sure, or against it?

CHRISTINE KIM: The Mayor supports the humane treatment of animals and the administration has a

2.2

very strong track record of supporting animal welfare

3 legislation. We support the intent of this ban

4 because the Mayor does support the humane treatment

5 of animals.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: So, the intent or do you support the bill as it is?

CHRISTINE KIM: We support the humane treatment of animals and the intent of this ban.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Okay, so, if and when we pass this bill and it comes to the Mayor's desk, is the Mayor prepared to sign it?

CHRISTINE KIM: We would like to continue conversations with Council on some of the language in the bill, but we do support the intend of this ban.

answer. From my end, I think it's a disgusting inhumane practice that we have that needs to stop like asap. I just can't believe; I didn't even know this practice existed. In the city, other cities across the United States and other countries has already been getting rid of this practice. I think the time is now and I fully support my colleague and thank you for championing this bill. Thank you so much.

3

4

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

CHRISITINE KIM: It is our understanding that the production of foie gras does involve the force

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Council Member Cabrera and next I'd like to queue Council Member Rivera.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you Chair Levine for giving me the opportunity to ask a couple of questions. I have two bills today and they both have been introduced, drafted and consulted with the numerous stakeholders in response to constituent concerns and experiences. So, we mentioned the foie gras bill and again, this is all about the ethical humane treatmeth of animals and this bill specifically is addressing the inhumane method of gavages just, if you've seen any of the videos, it is horrifying, and it is certainly emblazoned in my brain in terms of what the animals are going through.

So, I know Ms. Kim, you said that you support the intent or the spirit of the bill and the humane treatment of animals. Do you have any other information regarding foie gras that the administration has put forward in terms of, do you know of alternative ways to get these poultry products without force feeding the animals?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

feeding of birds in all methods of production. we support the intent of this bill.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: It is the force feeding of birds, in fact, veterinarians have determined this over feeding process poses numerous health risks to birds including liver disease, bacterial and fungal infection, potential damage to the esophagus as well as a number of other disease and stress related issues.

So, what I am trying to do is put forward a bill that would end this practice and create a more humane New York City to live in. So, I would love to continue talking with you about this. You will hear from a number of people today. We want to hear from every single person effected. This is something that is truly a luxury product, it is served in roughly 1 percent of New York City restaurants and there are alternatives. We can be a better city and still have amazing experiences.

You mentioned about Intro. 1202, while I still have time. So, in your testimony, you mentioned specifically that to make sure that this bill does not have unintended consequences such as prohibiting people from rescuing injured birds and bringing them

to care. Have you ever seen a netting of birds?

Have you ever seen one of these instances, these examples that we've described to you today?

CHRISTINE KIM: I have not seen, but I have heard, and I understand that that's what the bill is targeting, and we think that it will provide some additional tools for NYPD. So, we'd like to just talk with you to make sure that the definitions in the bill don't have unintended consequences. That's what the testimony was designed to indicate.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Because we have a number of people that support us and we certainly want to encourage people to bring animals into the organizations that care for them and this is particularly happening in my district in Tompkins Square Park, in Washington Square Park and they are being taken to other states for use in sport shooting.

We realize it is already illegal to grab animals off the street, but this is to increase the penalties for something that is illegal and something that should not be happening in New York City. And I always tell people regardless of how you feel about pigeons, they are animals. This is about humane

treatment, being a cruelty free NYC and they are central to the core of New York City, I just want to add that.

So, I am interested in working with you to really take about how we can make sure that we are doing this the right way. Again, we have a number of advocacy organizations who are supporting us, and I want to make sure that we work together. So, I really have a lot of questions for the advocates and for the other stakeholders in the room, so I want to thank you for your testimony, and I look forward to working with you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much Council
Member Rivera. Next up we will be hearing from
Council Member Powers.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Great, thank you. Thank you for the testimony. I wanted to ask on the bill related to, Introduction 1425 related to the horse carriages which you had mentioned that you had thought that the testimony from the DOH mentioned working with the Council so the practice would rely on a different heat index, the National Weather Service Index. Can you speak more specifically about what you are asking for there? And what the

_

difference is between the one that's being proposed and what you are asking for?

and we'd like to come back to you with some more details once we've had a little more time to work through this is that the goal of the legislation as we understand it is to introduce a humidity consideration into the trigger for when horse carriage activity his suspended. Right now, the add code addresses temperature only and this bill would have that trigger include humidity determination.

The bill would use the National Weather Service tool and we are suggesting that instead, there is a tool that is specifically about horses, and so, we would suggest that that tool be what's used. And what we'd like to do is — we've started working on this now to see how the Equine Heat Index is used in other context where horses work. So, we're taking a look at that and then we'd want to come back to you with a recommendation for what that trigger would be under this heat index. So, it's really just swapping out a tool, one tool design really for humans and the other tool that is designed for horses and then we

2.1

2.2

can work together to figure out what that trigger
would be.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And is there a specific number that the agency administration suggests as where that cut off should happen under the measurement that you are requesting?

CORINNE SCHIFF: So, we don't have a number now. We've started to work on this. If the Council is open to considering the Equine Heat Index as an alternative species-specific tool, then we will continue work.

We will take a look at some of the other context and bring to you what we would suggest and the rational for that and then we can have that conversation.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And under the current proposal, do you have an estimate for how many days a year they would exceed the threshold when you include the humidity factor?

CORINNE SCHIFF: We did not model that. If I understand your question, we don't know how many additional days say for summer 2018, how many additional suspensions, we didn't do that modeling.

2.2

2 Perhaps Council has done that, we would be interested 3 to see that.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And do you have under the model you are proposing, any idea of how many less days people would be available to work under that and the horses would be taken off the streets?

number. What we'd like to do is take a look at some of these other areas where horses work. Think about that in terms of this work context. Bring that back to you and then I think that that point is an important one and I would imagine that the Council has some idea of having chosen 90, how many additional suspensions you would be interested in seeing and so we can have that conversation and land on the right number.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Great, than you and can you just tell where the Equine Heat Index, can you talk about where that's being used today in other places?

CORINNE SCHIFF: Sure, so for example, in an agricultural setting or a horse competitive setting, these are the context and we're continuing to look, and we will pull as many different context as we can.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: So, the point being that the National Weather Index would measure what a human feels that measures in other setting what a horses temperature or animals temperature would be?

CORINNE SCHIFF: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, and can you just talk about the process under the current proposal. As I understand it today, I think it's the NYPD, I think takes the temperature. When it exceeds 90 degrees, they go out and they tell the horse carriages they can't operate for that period of time, where it exceeds 90 degrees temperature. Can you talk about a little bit the process that would occur if we changed it, in terms of how notification would happen and how measurement would happen?

activity has to be suspended when the temperature reaches 90 degrees. We work very closely with NYPD. We have a weather station in Central Park, when that temperature threshold is met, both we and NYPD have handheld weather meters. We are out where the horse activity is to take that temperature reading and when that 90 degrees is reached, then the activity is suspended. We place calls, we have contacts at all

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

of the stables, so we place calls to alert them. We have a texting service and nearly all the drivers have signed up for that. So, a text alert goes back and when that happens, the horse carriages have to return to the stable.

If they are in the middle of a ride, the tourists don't have to exit in the middle of the park, they have 30 minutes to finish that ride and then the horses have an opportunity for rest and water and then have to return to the stable.

Should we include a humidity factor into that threshold for suspension, we would follow the very same protocol. Our hand-held weather meters that we and NYPD have are able to monitor humidity. So, I don't foresee and as we get deeper into this and think through it you know, we'll come back to you if there are changes but I foresee generally the same, the same protocol would be in place.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, my times up. you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Council Member Powers and now, we will hear from Council Member Rosenthal.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much for your testimony today. As you know, I support all of the bills. I am very excited to see them shepherd through the Council. I do want to note that you did not mention anything about my Resolution for Meatless Mondays and I just want to confirm that there are no concerns on behalf of the administration.

CORINNE SCHIFF: There are absolutely no concerns. The Mayor has enacted many Meatless Mondays Initiatives already and he also is part of the Green New Deal the administration is phasing out, beef processed meat purchases and also reducing beef purchases by 50 percent. These are all measures that are taken for the health and wellness of New Yorkers and also for environmental sustainability and for animal welfare.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, thank you very much. I defer the remainder of my time to Council Member Powers.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you. Thank you,

Council Members, for your brevity and for your

yielding of time and we have over 100 members of the

public who want to testify, so we are going to move

on to our first panel. I thank the Administration

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

for your testimony. And for those who are new to Council hearings, we try to organize panels by perspective and interest area. We are going to make sure everybody with every perspective on all these issues is heard and we are going to try and keep this moving very quickly.

I am going to call the first panel, which includes Jenny Coffey of Animal Haven, Michelle Villagomez of the ASPCA, Cammie Strauss also ASPCA, Jennifer Lintz, sorry if I mispronouncing that, ASPCA, Felicia Magnaterra ASPCA and Melissa Truman, I am not sure the organization. And we will ask you to come up. We are going to have a two-minute clock for public testimony. Again, to accommodate the extraordinary number of people who want to testify.

Okay, you may begin.

MELISSA TREUMAN: Is this on? Thanks. Hi, I am Melissa Treuman, Director of Brand Communications at Bideawee, speaking on behalf of Leslie Granger, our President and CEO.

Bideawee is a 116-year-old animal welfare organization with three locations: on in Manhattan and two on Long Island. Bideawee is a selective intake shelter that does approximately 1700 adoptions annually from our New York and West Hampton centers.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

arrival.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We are a New Hope Partner and pull dogs and cats, many in need of urgent medical care from ACC, including from their Staten Island location. Because many of our animals are rescued from abuse, neglect, or abandonment and arrive at the shelter in need of medical care before they are available for adoption,

they are often not feeling or looking their best upon

Many of them come to us after losing the only family they have ever known and are initially terrified. Some spend days hiding before they feel comfortable enough to allow handling and photos.

Our staff works incredibly hard to showcase our animals in the best possible light so that they have the greatest potential to attract adopters. people look for their next family member online, from adoption sites like Petfinder, as well as from our own website, and seeing images of animals looking in dire need of care or cowering in the back of the cage does little to entice people into the shelter to adopt. We work extremely hard to change public perceptions about what adopting a shelter pet means, and to have to post images within an arbitrary

timeframe, means many of these images will suffer, as will our animals.

This will potentially mean longer stays for animals, less foot traffic into our shelter, and an increased likelihood that potential adopters will go elsewhere to adopt or worse, purchase a pet. Putting arbitrary time constraints before the medical care, behavior care, and wellbeing of our animals is counter-intuitive to our mission as an animal welfare group. We believe that every animal deserves to be highlighted at their very best in order to increase their changes of finding a forever home. I will wrap up.

Bad photos have been proven to suppress adoption numbers, and our mission is to increase adoption numbers and save more lives. This bill would restrict our ability to do that and do a disservice to the 1700 animals we rescue every year.

Thank you for the opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you, we appreciate your perspective and thank you for adhering to the time limit. Please.

JENNY COFFEY: Hi there. My name is Jenny Coffey, I am speaking on behalf of Animal Haven's

2.2

Executive Director Tiffany Lacey. Animal Haven is a small shelter with a mission to find homes for abandoned doges and cats. We serve approximately 1,000 animals each year. While we regularly assist

6 the Animal Care Centers as a New Hope Partner, Animal

7 Haven receives no City funding and we are not

contracted with the city to provide animal services.

We are here today to oppose the proposed 870. This bill would be negative to our organization, it would not help animals get adopted and it would serve as a tax because we would actually have to hire somebody to comply with it.

Simply put, requiring Animal Haven to photograph and document all animals for adoption within a City-determined timeframe would mean that we would focus primarily on intake with little consideration for the outcomes for which we strive. The photographs would be little more than mug shots and the descriptions would fail to tell the accurate story.

Today, Animal Haven is seen as a leading shelter, it elevates animal welfare to a new standard. Our website does showcase a selection of animals and we conduct additional marketing to draw people to come to visit. We promote these animals as quickly as

2.1

2.2

possible, but we are not set on any specific

timeframe.

Our communications with adopters is sophisticated and our marketing is strategic and intentional. We have incorporated new philosophies that suggest adopters don't want to be overwhelmed with poor quality snap shots of sad animals, but they are searching for a connection with a pet. We no longer post kittens and puppies because they get adopted quickly and we've moved away from using intake picture for exactly the same reason as my colleague does. They don't offer adoption as an opportunity; it actually deters people. I will close up.

I would also like to remind everybody that Animal Haven is a small shelter like many other animal programs in the city. Our Staff is twelve, so that means, we wear so many different hats. Our marketing director is our photographer, our website manager and many other roles. This work would detract from our mission. It would limit our success and service of financial tax for us. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much.

FELICIA MAGNATERRA: Hello, can you hear me?

Perfect. Hi, I am Dr. Felicia Magnaterra, I am a

shelter veterinarian and manager with the ASPCA
Adoption Center. The ASPCA, does not support Intro.
870. This bill would lead to welfare, operational
and resource challenges that will increase the of
stay for our animals in our care, which is in turn
going to prolong their time in the shelter and
directly work against the mission of the
organization.

We do not operate as a lost and found, or an open admission shelter. Our population is uniquely different than any shelter population in the country because of the community outreach in New York City and the NYPD relationship that is established.

Every week, animals are examined at intake and placed immediately on the floor for adoption where the public can see them the very same day. It is not uncommon for these fast track animals, those not in need of significant medical or behavioral intervention, to get adopted the same day they arrive in the shelter. We also regularly have animals in our care for less than 3 days; so, the pet will have an intake examination with the veterinarian on day one, go and get spayed or neutered on day two, and then get adopted on day three.

A mandate to photograph and post photos of these animals is a drain on all organizational resources and time that can be spent helping more animals in the New York City community.

We currently manage a variety of sensitive patient cases, ranging from abuse, neglect, hoarding, and often with NYPD legal origins. These particular pets have been traumatized by their previous situations and experiences. They require a special approach to acclimate them slowly to a new environment where they are comfortable enough to come out just to form basic functions in the shelter setting. Photographing an animal in this condition is not only difficult requiring additional resources to achieve successfully, but it can also be a significant setback to the progress made during the pet's acclimation into the shelter from both the behavioral and a welfare standpoint.

I just want to close up by saying that we recommend before further action is taken into Intro.

870 that the Council work with the Animal Shelters in the community to find a better way to address the aims of this bill. We look forward to sharing our

2.2

2 expertise and continue to work to improve conditions

3 for New York City's animals.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much.

FELICIA MAGNATERRA: My pleasure.

JENNIE LINTZ: Hello, my name is Jennie Lintz and I am the director of the Puppy Mill Initiatives at the ASPCA. On behalf of our organization and New York members, I would like to thank Councilman Brannan for introducing Resolution 798 in support of A. 6298/S.4234, which would prohibit the sale of dogs, cats, and rabbits in pet stores across the state.

In 2015, New York City took a stand to keep puppies from some of the worst breeders in the country out of our pet shops, by prohibiting the use of dog brokers, or middlemen. Unfortunately, it appears that pet stores continue to buy from such brokers. This bold defiance is not unexpected. This is an industry that makes money off buying and reselling puppies, relying on aggressive and deceptive sales tactics, exploiting the emotional connection that people feel towards animals.

We are living in an era now where New York's pet stores are almost completely geographically

2.2

disconnected from these suppliers. Import records
demonstrate that overwhelmingly, pet stores are
selling puppies from licensed wholesale breeders or
dog brokers, from states like Missouri, Iowa, and
Ohio. These operations consistently prioritize
profit over responsible, humane care and are
permitted by our federal government to remain in

business even after documented violations.

But even those that are in full compliance with animal welfare act can legally keep dogs in wire-bottomed cases just six inches longer than the dog in each direction, stacked on top of each other.

In a further blow to transparency in 2017, the USDA abruptly removed thousands of animal welfare records including inspection reports, enforcement actions and other information from its website and that information remains unavailable today. By obstructing access to this data, the USDA removed critical protections from animals who need them most. This means without inspection reports, there is no way to independently determine which licensees have violations, rendering our sourcing efforts ineffective.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

There are hundreds of pet store in New York both large and small selling food, supplies and services to millions of pet owning families throughout the state. Those are the businesses we want in New York.

It's time to limit the ability of puppy mills to profit from cruelty and improve the lives of thousands of dogs. Please pass Resolution 798.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you.

MICHELLE VILLAGOMEZ: Good morning, I am Michelle Villagomez New York City Legislative Senior Director for the ASPCA. I'd like to thank the Health Committee and Chairman Levine for hosting this hearing on such varied animal related legislation and all your efforts to make New York City a more humane community. We appreciate the opportunity to share our expertise to help inform your work and offer our position on the following bills: Resolution 921, we support Resolution 921 in support of providing a tax credit to taxpayers who adopt their pets from a shelter. The Council could commit to the goal of incentivizing adoptions of homeless pets. The ASPCA has invested millions of dollars into both the city and the state's sheltering infrastructure to help increase adoption rates, and we believe this measure

Э

2.2

will inspire New Yorkers to adopt their next pet. We thank Council Member Cumbo for her leadership in urging state lawmakers to enact A.286 which would make New York State the first in the nation to provide a tax credit for shelter adoptions.

We support Intro. 1570, Council Member Levine's bill to require that the owners of dogs being accepted at boarding kennel, businesses, or establishment show proof of active immunization against Bordetella. This bill would clarify the Bordetella vaccine requirement to reflect manufacturer recommendations and current veterinary best practices. Current law requires the vaccine to be administered every six months which conflicts with manufacturer recommendations.

We support Intro. 1425, Council Member Powers bills to make it unlawful for carriage horses to work with the heat index reaches or exceeds 90 degrees.

By law, carriage horse maybe work 9 hours a day in temperatures ranging from a low of 18 to a high of 90 degrees, not taking into account windchill factor or humidity. We believe that using horses to pull carriages through very busy and loud city streets is unsafe and an undeniable strain on the horses'

quality of life and we support efforts to address the working and living conditions of the carriage horses and support this step.

We support Council Member Holden's Resolution in support of the Preventing Animal Cruelty and Torture Act, and I am just going to add in additionally, in Intro. 1567, there is language that still keeps the ASPCA as an enforcer and I would recommend that.

ASPCA has turned over animal cruelty enforcement to the NYPD.

Thank you so much for the opportunity to talk to you today and considering all of these really important measures.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Michelle and an important point of changeover of enforcement jurisdiction and we appreciate all your positions.

Offering testimony as well, correct?

MICHELLE VILLAGOMEZ: I am.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, please.

CARRIE STRAUSS: Good morning, my name is Carrie Strauss and I am sharing testimony on behalf of the ASPCA and Daisy Feund, the Director of our Farm Animal Welfare Department and who couldn't be here. We appreciate the opportunity to testify today in

fed birds.

support of Resolution 379 which would recognize

Meatless Monday in New York City and share a position
on banning the sale of poultry products from force-

While the ASPCA is widely known for a long history of work with companion animals, we are also dedicated to reducing farm animal suffering by promoting higher welfare farming practices, increasing public awareness about how to make welfare conscious purchasing decisions. We work nationwide to build a more humane and transparent farming system through consumer education, corporate collaboration, support for more humane farmers and legislative initiatives that support higher welfare practices on farms.

We know that a more compassionate farming system is possible, and we are working hard to make it the way of the future. A big piece of this work is educating the public in addition to encouraging consumers to select products with meaningful animal welfare certifications, we recognize the importance of plant-based eating and encourage consumers to try to get more plant-based foods on their plates.

2.2

Replacing meat with plant-based options, even

just one day a week, as Meatless Monday calls for, has huge benefits for animals, people, and the plant.

We are grateful to Council Member Rosenthal and excited to see that the Council is considering this resolution. We will be thrilled for New York City to join its public-school system and cities across the country in adopting Meatless Monday.

Doing so, would further cement the city's commitment to building a healthier and more humane system.

The ASPCA's farm animal work is rooting in improving conditions for farm animals and getting rid of the cruelest practices used on farms. To that end, we oppose the forceable feeding of farm animals, including force feeding for the production of foie gras. This practice which generally involves feeding birds by inserting long pipes into their throats causes distress, acute pain, injury and chronic health complications. Consuming unnaturally large quantities of corn and fat, causes the birds livers to become diseased with hepatic lipidosis and swell to ten or more times in normal size. These diseased

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

livers are then harvested during slaughter and marketed as foie gras.

A recent poll found the 81 percent of New Yorkers support a ban on the sale of foie gras from force fed birds prohibiting this inherently practice used to produce food items should be common sense for the Council and we encourage you to move forward with the initiative to prohibit the sale of products from force fed birds in New York City. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much. wonder whether either of our independent shelters Bideawee or Animal Haven, has a position on our bill to require a vaccination for Bordetella, kennel cough?

MELISSA TREUMAN: I am speaking on behalf of our President and CEO, so I personally wouldn't want to speak to that. I just wouldn't want to misspeak.

JENNY COFFEY: And Animal Haven would likely defer to the ASPCA for recommendations in that way.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, I do want to acknowledge that we've been joined by fellow Health Committee Member, Council Member Inez Barron as fellow Health Committee Member Dr. Matthieu Eugene

2.2

Council Member. Thank you. Okay, Council MemberRivera has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you for testifying on behalf of Intro. 1378 and your support of it. Do you know of alternative ways to get the poultry products without force feeding the animals? Do you have that info?

CARRIE STRAUSS: I do not, I am actually not a subject matter expert, I was presenting this testimony on behalf of our Director of Farm Animal Welfare.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, your director of Animal Welfare is part of a larger coalition in supporting this bill? We're doing a little swap.

MICHELLE VILLAGOMEZ: Our Director of Farm Animal Welfare is currently in Italy. So, unfortunately, she wasn't able to be here, but we can get you that information, this is part of a larger network of work. As Carrie mentioned, we do work looking at like farm certification, animal welfare standards in terms of you know, the criteria to look at when consumers are making choices at the supermarket. We have an arm that works with corporations to try to improve their animal welfare practices.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

So, I'd be glad to get you some additional information on the foie gras piece when she returns.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Yes, that would be great. I have received a ton of information over the past few months, but I am willing to take in anything in terms of what you've seen abroad, what we can do better here in New York and I thank you for your testimony.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Council Member Rivera and thank you to this panel. We are now going to move on to our next panel. I will ask them to start making their way up, Allie Feldman Taylor of Voters for Animal Rights; Dr. Mary Morimoto from The Veterinary Medical Association of New York City; Heather Greenhouse of Voters for Animal Rights; Ester Koslow from Shelter Reform Action Committee; Nora Constance Marino from Animal Cruelty Exposure Fund of Queens county.

Would you like to kick us off?

MARY MORIMOTO: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you.

MARY MORIMOTO: Good morning, my name is Dr. Mary Morimoto and I am representing the Veterinary Medical Association of New York City which I will now call

2.2

VMANYC. I am a veterinarian licensed in the state of

New York and I am a member at large of the Executive

Board of the VMANYC. We are the regional

professional association of veterinarians residing

and/or practicing in the five boroughs, and we've

been serving the profession, the New York City

8 community, and all animals, both owned and otherwise,

9 since 1879. We just celebrated our 140^{th}

10 anniversary.

I want to thank Chair Levine and the Health

Committee for allowing us to provide testimony today.

There should be written testimony being passed out

because I realized I have a time limit. I plan to

speak on two pieces.

The first is Intro. 1570-2019 which will unify and make consistent New York City's requirement regarding Bordetella immunization for dogs pertaining to any dog entering Boarding training, grooming, or other animal service facility in the city.

There are two current regulations, they contradict one another, and we are hoping that this will unify them. The Boarding Kennel Regulation Act requires proof of vaccination against Bordetella among other vaccinations but for Bordetella during

the previous six months whereas, Article 161 of the Health Code currently actively vaccinated is the language used and that is defined elsewhere in the Health Code as administered according to manufacturer's instructions.

So, manufacturers do conduct vaccine trials that must be validated and approved by the USDA. And all currently licensed Bordetella vaccines in the United States have vaccination instructions for a yearly basis and there has been no scientific evidence that suggests more frequent vaccinations beyond manufacturer recommendations, provides any additional protection from infection of Bordetella.

In addition, the term often used kennel cough, refers to upper respiratory conditions. Only one of the cause of agents being Bordetella, there are many other pathogens which are not covered by most Bordetella vaccines.

Therefore, we feel that this is the best interest that allow the veterinarian to provide care that is consistent with manufacturer recommendation.

The other was Intro. 1478 but I will answer questions about that separately since I am out of time.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you doctor, we appreciate that.

ALLIE FELDMAN TAYLOR: My name is Allie Feldman Taylor and I am the President of Voters for Animal Rights. We proudly support Intro. 1378 to ban the sell of foie gras and for which I am very grateful that my Council Member Alicka Ampry-Samuel has cosponsored. I am going to defer anymore discussion from Intro. 1378 to my colleagues who are going to be talking about this later on in the hearing.

We also support Intro. 1202 to increase penalties for stealing wild birds. Intro. 1425 to protect carriage horses from excessive summer heat and Reso. 379 to endorse Meatless Mondays in New York City.

Also encouraging the Council to adopt Reso. 798, this is vital legislation to reduce pet overpopulation and irresponsible breeding in New York City.

And last, we urge the Council to pass Intro. 1477 which puts and end to the unnecessary mutilation of cats purely for human convenience. I am a mom myself of six rescued cats, a professional cat sitter, manager of a Feral cat colony and a volunteer for

2.2

2 neighborhood track neuter release programs in Bedford
3 Stuyvesant.

Another words, I spend quite a lot of time with cats and I can attest to the fact that declawed cats suffer from physical and emotional trauma resulting in even worse behavior then scratching up your couch. In my experience, declawed cats will resort to increased biting and aggression or unwanted marking outside of the liter box as a result. The solution is simple, a ten-dollar cardboard scratching post that you can by online or in any pet store.

Declawing is a brutal practice that actually requires the cats first toe to be amputated along with the removal of tendons and muscles leading to a lifetime of pain and discomfort. Cats need their claws, they assist in climbing and maintaining balance, they help to relieve stress through the act of kneading, and they serve to protect a cat from danger.

Without their claws and first toes, a cats gait shifts creating a strain on the spine and leg joints which often leads to early arthritis and prolonged back and joint pain. Arthritis has been linked to

2.2

bone loss and fractures. All to protect a couch or apair of curtains. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much.

ESTHER KOSLOW: Good morning, my name is Esther
Koslow and I am wearing a few hats today. The first
is for Shelter Reform Action Committee that's been on
the job for 25 years to reform the city's animal
shelter system and we wholeheartedly support
Councilman Brannan's Intro. 1478 to create a
department of animal welfare. It's something we
tried 21 years ago, but we got rebuffed by a court
saying that only the Mayor could create a government
department. But now, it's up again and it's
important. Why should the DOH not have any power
over animal welfare? Because it has a conflict of
interest.

It's mission statement is to protect people's health and animals factor in only if animals pose a risk to the public health. So, there you are. So, who is going to protect animals? That's why we need a department of animal welfare.

I would note that the Animal Care and Control,

Animal Care Centers are now subsumed and have always
been under the Department of Health's Pest Control

Division. Animal's aren't pests, they need protection. And this bill should be amended to include all animals that's not only dogs, cats, bunnies, but all pets, wildlife and carriage horses.

I am now wearing the hat of a volunteer with the Wild Bird Fund and I am also a member of the Pigeon Defenders in New York City. And we wholeheartedly support Council Member Rivera's Intro. 1201, to stop pigeon netting. Pigeons are wild animals; I have taken many emergency calls by people who have witnessed these pigeon nettings. The animals, the birds, many are injured during the netting and then they suffer a terrible fate at the end of this network.

So, thank you very much. I appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you, Ms. Koslow.

HEALTH GREENHOUSE: Hi, good morning, my name is Heather Greenhouse and I am a board member of Voters for Animal Rights. I am here today in support of several bills and Resolutions but would like to talk specifically about Intro. 1425, the carriage horse heat bill and Reso. 379 Meatless Mondays. The carriage horse heat bill is common sense. Many studies and scientific journals have reported the

effects of horses forced to work in extreme temperatures and all agree that a temperature of 90 degrees Fahrenheit is too hot.

The horses suffer daily in this cruel industry and even more in severe heat. Changing the regulation to include the real feel temperature or heat index would not only make logical sense but it's simply the right thing to do ethically. In this brutal and antiquated industry where horses suffer day in and day out, forced to work and live in conditions that are the complete opposite of anything natural or enjoyable for their species, I ask you to please support this bill, which would allow them one small relief and let them rest when it's too hot outside.

Surely, we can empathize with these horses and realize that none of would want to be forced to work outdoors in sweltering heat either. I'd like to also point out that the carriage drivers oppose this bill for one reason only and that's money. They are only interested in profits. Their business depends on using and abusing animals for profit. If they cared about these animals, they would not force them to work in extreme weather conditions.

We have nothing to gain from the horses getting a bit of relieve. We are here fighting for this because it's the right thing to do and we actually care about the horses wellbeing. We are on the right side of history, we're on the side of justice.

Animal exploitation and those who engage in it prefer profit will be relegated to the destine of history and looked back on shame and horror.

About Meatless Mondays, Resolution 379, we are excited to support this initiative pioneered by Helen Rosenthal, thank you Helen. Animal agriculture is the leading cause of climate change which is the biggest threat facing our very survival on the planet right now. It's also well known that illuminating meat, dairy and egg consumption can greatly improve health by reducing the risk of chronic preventable conditions. Furthermore, reducing the suffering of animals by leaving them off of our plates is imperative for a progressive and ethical society.

As Helen says, peace begins on your plate. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Alright, thank you.

NORA CONSTANCE MARINO: Hi, my name is Nora Constance Marino. I am an attorney in private

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

practice for 20 years. I am a former JAG officer in the United States Army Reserves, and I am currently a Commissioner on New York City Taxi and Limousine Commission, although I am not testifying in that official capacity here today.

My law practice focuses mostly on - or a great deal of it is on Civil Rights and Constitutional Rights. And I mention that because the bills that are presented today are also about rights and they are about justice. And I am not going to get into each bill, you are going to hear plenty of very talented speakers here today talk about each particular bill, but particularly the carriage horse heat bill, the ban foie gras which is heinously cruel, there is just no other words for it. To stick a metal pipe down somebodies throat and force food down it for long periods of time. The trafficking of birds, so they can be shot and used for target practice or games or entertainment. The Declawing bill and the establishment of Department for Animal Welfare.

These bills are all about what is moral and what is just and this morning on New York One News, Pat Kiernan made a comment. They were talking about this

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

hearing today and Pat Kiernan made a comment; why is the Council looking at a foie gras bill when we have streets that need to be repaired.

First of all, the Council can look at everything. You can look at bills regarding of the streets, you can look at bills regarding people and you can look at bills regarding animals and you can consider all of them. And frankly, I disagree with Mr. Kiernan because these are the bills that define a city, not the pothole repairs. Bills like this are the bills that define a city and a society and our morality and this is what makes us progressive and this is what makes us evolve. Speaking up for the voiceless, speaking up for the vulnerable. This is what defines New York City and I support all of these bills and I am grateful to be a citizen of a city with such a fabulous Council that is such a moral compass to initiate these bills and I am in full support of them. And if you do establish this Department of Animal Welfare, I would like to submit a resume. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you for your testimony. Is it Lieutenant, did I get your rank right?

NORA CONSTANCE MARINO: It was First Lieutenant, but I am no longer in the Army.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Well, we appreciate your service to the country, and we appreciate your compliment of the City Council and for speaking out today.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, thank you to this great panel and now we will be moving onto our next panel which includes Matthew Dominguez Voters for Animal Rights; Cynthia King Voters for Animal Rights; Eileen Mullaney-Newman from Borough President Eric Adams office; Benjamin Williamson from World Animal Protection; Cynthia VonSchlichton Compassion and World Farming; Amber Canavan from PETA; Kathy Nazzari Voters for Animal Rights and Laura Leopardo.

Okay, you can start us off please, so we don't lose time.

MATTHEW DOMINGUEZ: Thank you very much Council
Member and Members of the Committee. Good morning
and this is an incredibly exciting day to be able to
testify in support of Intro. 1378. My name is
Matthew Dominguez, I am a proud Brooklyn resident

voter and the political advisor for Voters for Animal Rights. I will be testifying exclusively on 1378 and I want to thank the Council Member Rivera for introducing this bill and being a champion for ducks.

The photo speaks for itself. I provided a packet for all the members of the committee. For those of you who have seen photos of force feeding, for those of you who have seen the videos, this is what the definition of animal cruelty is about. Foie gras is the disease that an enlarged liver of a duck or goose produce through force feeding. The force feeding is done by shoving a foot-long metal tube down the throat of a bird that is unwilling to have it, who does not want it to happen and having food injected that is far more food than it would ever consume on its own.

In front of you is this informational packet.

This packet details the extraordinary support that

Intro. 1378 has which also includes 24 co-sponsors,

23 Council Members and the Public Advocate.

On the first page, you are going to find the Table of Content which will help guide you to all the information that we have provided for this committee. And then, on the first page, you will have your fact

2.2

sheet. The fact sheet will of course detail all the
information and all the support that this bill has.
Page four, a scientific study that was done by Mason
Dickson here in New York City that found that 81
percent of your constituents support this bill, 81
percent.

Page seven, a statement of support by over 50 not-for-profit charities. These are organizations who have a mission to protect our environment, our health, and animals. These are not organizations that are focused on profit and exploiting animals, 50 organizations.

Page nine, a letter of support of over 50 New York City and New York State base veterinary professionals who have endorsed this bill.

And page eleven, is a statement of support of over 100 New York City based restaurants that support this ban on foie gras.

Additionally, on page 24, you will find a comprehensive white paper that was done by the Humane Society of the United States that details all the scientific backing for this bill. And on page three, I would call your attention specifically for that document that covers the common myths refuted because

_

the foie gras industry has refused to be candid and transparent when it comes to the lies that they are telling.

I will just wrap it up and just say that I am very proud to be a New Yorker because at the end of the day, we fight to be a beacon of light for animals. We do not shy away when it comes to abuses, we rather run to aid those who need our help. Our moral character of this city is defined by the way we defend and stand up for the rights of the most vulnerable in our society and I can't think of a group of living creatures that are more vulnerable in needing of protection then ducks being violently force fed by the foie gras industry. Thank you so much and I'm happy to take any questions that you may have.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you.

AMBER CANAVAN: Thank you. My name is Amber

Canavan. I visited Hudson Valley Foie Gras in 2011.

I did so without permission because I did not believe the mislike leading advertisements that the company puts out and I thought the public had a right to know the truth. I was right to be suspicious. I found that Hudson Valley Foie Gras is an industrial factory

/

2.2

Z 1

farm that force feeds animals until they become sick and slaughters them just before they would die from the process itself.

I discovered that birds were being kept in wire bottom pens suspended over a river of their own waste. The shed was so long, and the air was so hazy inside, that I could barely see the end of it. Just rows after rows of pens filled with distressed birds.

When not being used, the force-feeding tubes which were about the length of my forearm were left dangling menacingly over their heads. Many of the ducks at the facility had difficulty walking and breathing. Experts say that this is because of their engorged livers and being forced to stand 24/7 on the wire.

Several of them had dried discharge around their eyes and nostrils. The skin and feathers of many of the ducks were caked with feces and some of the birds suffered from opened untreated wounds. I found the copses of several dead ducks lying beside living birds in these pens.

I had hopes that the legal system would do something to help these suffering animals. Instead, after I exposed the truth, Hudson Valley Foie Gras

_

used its political influence to have me prosecuted and thrown in jail. While being incarcerated is awful, it made me think deeply about the animals used for the foie gras industry, all of them who are subjected to far, far worse for their entire lives only to be killed horribly.

I am extremely grateful to the City Council for hearing me out today and considering this issue. Please do not allow Hudson Valley Foie Gras or any other greedy company from the foie gras industries to sell its products in this progressive city. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you.

CYNTHIA VONSCHLICHTON: Good morning everyone.

My name is Cynthia VonSchlichton and I am speaking to you today on behalf of Compassion in World Farming and our US Executive Director Rachel Dreskin who is from Brooklyn and I am from New York as well.

In this capacity, I request the passage of Intro.

1378 the proposed ban on the sale of foie gras. It
is not surprising that an organization called

Compassion in World Farming would support such a ban.

As the production of foie gras flies in the face of
the very principals that our organization was built

2.1

2.2

swimming in a pond.

upon. Founded by a dairy farmer who became disheartened by the increase in intensive farming more than 50 years ago, we continue his mission on a global scale to end factory farming in its most horrific practices. Foie gras production is certainly one of those practices. It involves the force feeding of ducks or geese via a feeding tube, pipe or funnel several times a day until they develop fatty liver, which is a painful liver disease. Not only is this process excruciating, it results in numerous complications including bruising, perforation of the esophagus and asphyxiation. But its production is forcing these birds to live lives that are exclusive to pain, misery, fear and

Compassion works closely with major food
businesses to address supply chain policy changes
that reduce animal suffering. A recurring theme in
our discussion with food leaders is the significant
economic risk an entity faces if they chose to do
nothing with regard to animal welfare.

completely absent of what is natural to them, such as

So, if you need another argument that goes beyond the blatant animal cruelty of foie gras production,

_

,

take a look at the numbers. More than 70 New York
City restaurants already support a ban on force fed
foie gras. And 81 percent of New Yorkers support
legislation to prohibit the sale of foie gras. 81
percent, when was the last time that many people
agreed on something?

Passing Intro. 1378 is not only banning a practice that is inherently inhumane but is in the best economic interests of New York City. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you. I am just stepping in momentarily for Chair Levine.

BEN WILLIAMSON: Hello, my name is Ben
Williamson, I am the US Programs Director with Wild
Animal protection. We have offices in over 14
countries. We have an office in Midtown Manhattan,
and we operate in over 50 countries. I live on the
upper eastside in Council Member Ben Kallos's
district and myself and my organization support bill
1378.

I have submitted my written testimony and it repeats a lot of what my colleagues here have said, so I won't repeat that. I do want to answer your question, Council Member Rivera from earlier about whether there is anyway to produce foie gras humanely

2	and the answer is simply no. I have been an animal			
3	protection professional for eight years now. I have			
4	reviewed countless exposes of foie gras farms			
5	including Hudson Valley Foie Gras, several there and			
6	So-called High Welfare Farms in France and			
7	Townsit[SP?] is the highest welfare farms in the			
8	world. I saw footage of birds with broken legs whose			
9	legs breaks under the weights of their own distended			
10	livers. I've seen birds who are still not spared the			
11	horror of the force-feeding machine with those			
12	maladies. The ones who can escape, who can run to			
13	the side of their open top pens, do so whenever they			
14	see the farmers force-feeding machine come around.			
15	That tells you what I think about it. They have			
16	labored breathing; their livers are pushed against			
17	the side of their lungs. They are panting			
18	breathlessly constantly and so, there is no such way			
19	to produce foie gras humanely. There are some farms			
20	in Spain that claim to be humane, but as you know,			
21	the birds gorge themselves but traditional foie gras			
22	can only be produced through the gavage method of			
23	force feeding. Humans would never reap the kind of			
24	quantities of food that birds are forced to ingest			
25	from force-feeding.			

So, I would just like to say that you and the food and agricultural organization, view and all agree that there is no way to produce foie gras humanely. Virtually all veterinarians and avian experts agree there is no way to produce foie gras humanely. And I thank you for your time and consideration, I hope that answered your question.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you.

CYNTHIA KING: Good morning, my name is Cynthia

King, I live in 39 and own a business in district 40.

I am a mother, a teacher, an employer and a voter. I

am here because I was taught, if you see something,

say something. I have seen photos, video and have

read the facts about foie gras. You have already

heard the gruesome descriptions, so I will skip it

here and talk just about what we can do.

Council Member Rivera has introduced a commonsense bill that would end the unnecessary suffering by prohibiting the sale of products from force-fed birds in New York City. I strongly support Intro. 1378. New York City should join dozens of countries. The state of California and many prominent retailers in prohibiting the sale of foie gras from force fed birds.

What can possibly be a rational argument in favor of this cool practice and for the record, I also strongly support Intro. 1425, 1202, 1477, 1496, 1567, Resolution 379, 798 and 921. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much. I wonder if one of you could clarify, is there such a thing as foie gras that's produced from non-force-fed birds.

BEN WILLIAMSON: No, the traditional French method of gavage is the only sanction method of producing foie gras. There are farms that claim to produce foie gras that doesn't come from force feeding, but that's not, foie gras is recognized, that's just pate.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Understood, okay, thank you. Council Member Rivera.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thanks again for being here. Question, we've covered a lot on the process, the implications, the negative impact. I want to talk a little bit, I want to ask you rather, about how do you think restaurants could adapt to this proposed law?

MATTHEW DOMINGUEZ: Well, as you mentioned in your opening remarks on this bill, only about one and

a half percent of New York City restaurants even provide foie gras on their menu. Most of them, it's just one to two items are on the menu, appetizer or something like that.

And so, for many of the businesses that have this, removing it from it is going to cause no problems for them at all. It is a luxury item; it is not one that many people in New York City eat. I do not believe that this will have any real impact and I can certainly say there will be absolutely no job loss in New York City.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: What alternatives to foie gras could the restaurants serve?

MATTHEW DOMINGUEZ: Of course, there are a few chefs, there are actually quite a few chefs like Wolfgang Puck and others who have said that they are not going to contribute to the suffering of animals and stopped using foie gras in their recipes.

There are a few out there. There is actually a phenomenal French restaurant in the lower eastside called Delice and Sarrisin where the owner of that restaurant has produced an actually very tasty alternative that's a plant based foie gras and for many people that like the taste of foie gras, have

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gone in and said that it's relatively passable and so, we would recommend that if they want to keep having something on the menu that resembles it, then to use a plant base.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: And how much foie gras comes from US production versus other countries?

MATTHEW DOMINGUEZ: That's a really great question and that's one I don't have the answer for unfortunately. The foie gras industry in industrial farmers, they are not exactly what you would call transparent. We have tried to get information in regards to the number of the ducks at the facilities. We've tried to get numbers in regards to the number of restaurants that serve it. How much of it is coming from say, Hudson Valley into New York City. How many is coming from the foreign countries. simply don't know. However, the one thing I will say is that we do know that part of the foie gras that is coming in, a portion of it that is coming into the city is coming from the few European countries that still allow the production of it, even though their aren't many. And we do know that investigations have been done in those facilities and they are equally, if not even more cruel then the videos that have been

2.2

2 taken and the production methods that have been

3 exposed at Hudson Valley.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you. Thank you all for your advocacy and for your answers and for your time. Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And I believe Council Member Holden, you got a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you. I have more of a statement just to thank this panel for great testimony and Matt, is that your name Matt? Thank you for this because it's amazing and I just can't believe we could be so cruel for some luxury item like foie gras, it's just ridiculous and if you look at these photos, it just makes you so sad that this has to be stopped and it has to be stopped now. I want to thank the panel for your advocacy. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Council Member Holden. Thank you to this panel, we appreciate it. Thank you.

Our next panel will include Stephen Malone,
Christina Hanson of Carriage Horses and Drivers.
Forgive me, we have a few people on the previous
panel that did not get to speak. So, those of you

2.2

2 who did not get to speak, please join us. That was

3 my mistake.

EILEEN MULLANEY-NEWMAN: Hi, my name is Eileen Mullaney-Newman. I am here representing Brooklyn Borough President Eric L. Adams. Good morning Chair Levine and the City Council Committee on Health. Thank you for the opportunity to testify at today's hearing about prohibiting the sale or offer for sale of foie gras made from force-fed birds.

Brooklyn is home to 2.6 million residents. A population that represents the largest county in both New York City and state. The public health of our constituents is therefore one of the most important responsibilities of the Brooklyn Borough President. Including not only the physical health of New Yorkers but also, their emotional and wellbeing mental health.

That's why we ask Council Member Cabrera to introduce Reso. 238 to bad processed meats from school food. That is why we work to expand Meatless Monday to all hospitals and schools aided by Council Member Helen Rosenthal's Meatless Monday Resolution.

Intro. 1378 would prohibit the sale or for sale foie gras made from force-fed birds as well as

2.2

further provision of such foie gras and any matter	in
food service establishments addressing both public	
health concerns. Foie gras is unhealthy for human	s.
It drives 85 percent of its calories from fat and	can
trigger the production of a certain compound that	
raises ones risk of developing Alzheimer's,	
Huntington's Disease, Type 2 diabetes, heart disea	se,
which is New York's number one killer. And as we	all
have heard, to produce foie gras workers force pip	es
down the throats of confined male ducks and geese,	
two to three times each day.	

This routine force feeding causes the birds
livers to swell up to ten times their normal size
which causes difficulty standing and the birds to
tear out their own feathers and attack each other out
of stress. For humans to inflict this pain upon
these animals, is emotionally and psychologically
damaging. Foie gras has been banned in Austria, the
Check Republic, Denmark, Finland, Germany, India,
Israel, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Norway,
Poland, South Africa, Sweden, Switzerland and the UK.
Ultimately, there is no justification from a
nutritional or a humanitarian standpoint for foie
gras to be available for sale or consumption in New

2	ı	
_	I	

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

23

2.2

24

York City. The Borough Presidents beliefs is our obligation as policy makers to ensure that New York City agencies and institutions do not continue to force feed our health care crisis or perpetuate the suffering of animals.

The Borough President stands with the 24 Council Members who have cosponsored this historic and important bill that would promote and protect the health of all New Yorkers. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you and we want to acknowledge what a leader Borough President Eric Adams has been on so many issues but his record on Animal Welfare is really extraordinary.

EILEEN MULLANEY-NEWMAN: And I am very pleased to be his animal advocate.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay.

EILEEN MULLANEY-NEWMAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you. Please.

KATHY NIZZARI: Thank you, Council, for the opportunity to speak today asking you all to support Intro. 1378 and thank you for this unprecedented number of animal protection bills. Evidence you are making New York a compassionate city.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am Kathy Nizzari, board member of Voters for Animal Rights and Solid Waste advisory board. County Committee woman in Speaker Johnson's district and a pet care and behavior specialist. If you live with cats or dogs, you know they have the same emotions we do including fear.

I would with fearful and avoidant pets who have been traumatized by people physically abusing them. PTSD is scientifically documented in animals most notably military dogs and some farm animals. Foie gras farms meet all the markers for inducing PTSD which can often result from a single event and I've provided research for all of you. Please close your eyes and picture yourself in a confined stall surrounded by endless rows of others. A man approaches, grabs your face and quickly shoves a long metal pipe down your throat. No anesthesia, it hurts like hell. You try to get away, he pumps ten pounds into you and pulls the pipe out. You are in incredible pain and lethargic from all of that food. You feel absolutely sick, vomit, there is even some blood. You look down and see a puncture wound on your stomach from where he shoved the pipe too hard. He does the same to your neighbors and leaves.

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

He comes back, your heart is racing, he repeats what he did earlier. You are in excruciating pain and so sick. When he leaves, a rat climbs on your stomach to pick at your wound. You are absolutely terrified. A couple of hours later, the sadist is back. It's clear there is only one reason he is there. Your anxiety level is incomprehensible making your throat very dry, so that pipe hurts even more, and every day is the same as the first, every single day, day after day, but there is no end until he finally kills you.

I don't know a single human being who could withstand this type of prolonged torture. Would you do this to your dog or your cat?

Thank you for your time, I urge you all to please support or co-sponsor if you haven't already Intro. 1378 and 1202 to protect birds. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you.

LAURA LEOPARDO: Good morning, my name is Laura Leopardo and I live in Prospect Heights Brooklyn in Council Member Laurie Cumbo's district. I urge her to support Intro. 1378, the force-fed foie gras sales ban. I am here also today to ask your committee to pass that Intro.

3 4

5

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

insertion.

three times per day.

I will repeat several points previously made, but I will read what I have prepared. A few more moments of discomfort or monotony of hearing several facts repeated is nothing compared to the suffering of the birds involved in this cruel and inhumane practice. Birds raised for foie gras spend the first four weeks of their lives eating and growing sometimes in semidarkness. For the next four weeks, they are confined to cages and fed a high protein, high starch diet that is designated to promote rapid growth. Force feeding begins when the birds are between eight and ten weeks old, then for 12 to 21 days, they are subjected to having a pipe unwillingly shoved down their throat every day. So, between 2 and 4 pounds of grain and fat are forced down their throats two to

The Washington Post reported the tube is "is pushed down their throats and more food that they want is gunned into their stomachs. If the mushy food sticks, then a stick is sometimes used to force it down."

I have even read reports that at times motor oil is used to lubricate the tube for a more easily The birds livers which become engorged

can grow to be more then ten times the normal size, which is actually a disease called fatty liver disease. So, this practice of literally force feeding a bird for the sole purpose of making it sick and diseased just to create some delicacy is gruesome, inhumane, and certainly animal cruelty.

The force-feeding of ducks and geese cause a host of other afflictions documented by the scientific committee on Animal Health and Welfare, which advises the European Commission as well as the American and Canadian Veterinarian Medical Associations. These scientists found that birds biology doesn't protect them from the stress, pain, and injury that occurs from capture and restraint of the birds before the tubes insertion.

I will move on.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: I will ask you to wrap up quickly if you can please.

LAURA LEOPARDO: It's so cruel and inhumane that it has been banned in 17 countries. So, when you are eating foie gras, you are eating the intentionally diseased liver of a bird that has been inhumanely raised and handled. There is nothing ethical about that and there is no way to make it okay.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Just to confirm one point you had made. It is in fact not true that ducks have no feeling in their throats. I want to confirm the facts here because there is some misinformation out there.

LAURA LEOPARDO: Yes, they have thicker throats then we do, but they still feel pain, because they are such beings that feel stress, pain, and love and actually avoid the workers that come to them to insert those pipes. Because they know what is coming next.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, that's a critical point. I just wanted to get that out there. I have a question for you which maybe some members of the first half of the panel might be able to help with, but is it true that there is experimentation now to create in the laboratory, lab grown foie gras? Do we know about this?

UNIDENTIFIED: I cannot answer that. Not that I am aware of.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: You could come back sure.

MATTHEW DOMINGUEZ: There is a growing movement whether it's meat producers or from animal advocacy groups in other corporations to make sure that

_

/

animals are not killed, and animals are not tortured for meat, dairy, and eggs. And in vitro meat and other cell based clean meat is being produced.

However, the companies that are working on this, from my understanding are not working on foie gras. It is such a product in order to put the amount of money that would require to create that into something that very few people consume is just not business sense.

Most of those companies are focusing on making burgers and hotdogs and chicken.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you for that clarification and thank you to this panel. We appreciate you speaking. Now, we can move on to Stephen Malone from the Horse Carriages; Christina Hansen also Carriage Horses and Drivers; Josh Sausville; Ariel Fintzi; Alison Clark and we have Lily Hodge from the Equine Cultural Heritage Museum.

And you can start us out. Thank you.

STEPHEN MALONE: Good afternoon, my name is

Stephen Malone, I am a Second-Generation Carriage

Operator and my family has been in this business

since 1967. I come to you today to vehemently oppose

Intro. 1425. During the past summer of 2018, the

hottest summer the carriage industry has ever had to

2.1

2.2

2 endure. We did so with zero, I repeat, zero incidents.

The current regulation has been on the books for 30 plus years and is a strong and fair regulation, the Regulation quite simply works. With this regulations, our horses have been through 30 summers without incident and I am confident it would continue another 30 if the regulation was left as is.

There is no basis for this change other than the city with the help of New York Class to try to overregulate us out of business. I have personally met with most of you over the last few months in regard to this bill and have offered many very good solutions. Solutions that are reasonable, solutions that are rational, and make perfect sense to better protect our horses.

Unlike other industries, we come to you without an open hand or a handout. We don't come to you with gripes and complaints. We come to you with solutions. Solutions to created public problems that do not exist. We are a self-sufficient industry that is completely dependent on the weather. We need to protect our strong and fair regulations on the books now rather than arbitrarily changing laws that work

and do not need to be changed. Passing Intro. 1425 will create a major hardship for all the men and women that operate within this industry.

Arbitrarily changing the industry to an index will not protect the horses any better then it does now, but actually make it worse on them. Under the new law, they will not get the proper exercise for most parts of the summer and the horses will not even be allowed out most days when the humidity is very high in the morning.

At least today, the horses can get their exercise until the temperature reaches the legal limit of 90 degrees before returning to the stable.

I will wrap up. This bill as written today is not only careless but irresponsible on behalf of the City Council. There have been no studies done to warrant such a major change to the current legislation to show better protection for our horses. Intro. 1425 on the surface, looks like a good reasonable bill to most but if the bill is enacted, it will do the exact opposite of what it is enacted to do. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you, Mr. Malone.

2.2

those tables.

CHRISTINA HANSEN: I am loosing my voice, but
members of the Committee on Health, Council Members
and Chairman Levine, who is my Council Member. My
name is Christina Hansen, I have been a carriage
driver for 13 years, 7 in New York City and I come
here with numbers. For the past 30 years, our heat
regulations have worked perfectly to protect the
carriage horses. There are very few laws you say that

Extremely hot summers like last years, were suspended 34 days, meant that our horses actually worked less last summer then they usually do. This bill if enacted would have added 16 to 20 more days of suspensions that neither our horses nor we can afford to miss.

of. Our horses have never worked above 90 degrees,

the temperature measure where they work will return

We are proud to already have the lowest temperature in the country. I used to drive in Philadelphia where our horses were suspended in 92 without incident. Carriage horses in Charleston and Savannah that use heat index values, stop work at 95 absolute temperature 110-degree heat index quite safely. Chicago City Council in 2017, reviewed their

there.

0.4

stop work temperature, also 90 Fahrenheit and veterinaries from the Illinois State Veterinary

Medical Association determined that 90 Fahrenheit was a perfectly adequate temperature cut off since carriage horses are doing extremely light work usually and I have included those documents from Illinois. They rejected lowering the temperature

Carriage horses are no different physiologically from police horses, racehorses, and riding horses, yet none of these other Equine populations in New York City would be subject to these ridiculous restriction. The ASPCA even sponsors in Long Island in August, the Hampton Classic, where horses routinely compete in much warmer weather.

Intro. 1425 is the product of New Yorkers for safe streets. This organization has since 2008 existed solely for the purpose of putting us out of business. Whether by replacing our horses with electric, cutting the number of licensed horses in half. Moving carriages off of their hack stands on Central Park south and hiding them in the park or this new scheme, to limit our ability to pay our

2.2

bills during the summer months and take care of our
horses.

Since we last testified before the City Council on Carriage legislation in January of 2016, the high class has spent more than one half a million dollars lobbying everyone in the City Council for changing our already effective and humane regulations. There is zero evidence this bill would help horses in any way, since they are already being perfectly protected by the 90 Fahrenheit cutoff. Please support science, horses, and carriages and vote no on 1425.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Ms. Hansen. I just want to pause and say we've had a remarkably respectful hearing so far; I want to thank everybody for that and want to continue in the vein for the rest of the hearing. Please proceed.

ALISON CLARK: Hello, my name is Alison Clark, I am the Southeast Vice President of the New York State Horse Council. Which is New York State's umbrella organization for horses. Amongst other committees, we have a humane committee and a safety committee.

Because I've been told I can only read one testimony and I have several expert ones, I would like to read please the testimony of Dr. Harry

2	Werner, veterinary doctor. And he is in opposition
3	to Intro. 1425, as of the New York State Horse
4	Council. His testimony reads, my name is Harry
5	Werner. I have practiced at Equine Medicine in
6	surgery in Connecticut and New York State for 45
7	years and I am past president of the American
8	Association of Equine practitioners. I have
9	personally visited each of the Central Park carriage
10	horse stables and inspected the horses. In my
11	opinion, these horses get exceptionally good care,
12	and this extends fully to their working conditions.
13	There is absolutely no evidence-based data to support
14	any lowering from 90 degrees Fahrenheit, the
15	temperature which their work must be suspended.
16	There is simply no Equine benefit to be achieved
17	by doing so. The current protocol is working well
18	and is in the best interest of the horses health and
19	welfare. I strongly advise against this proposed
20	change. Respectfully.
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you. And I will
22	inform you that your free to submit the testimony

from the other experts to the Sergeant and for any

folks here in public who are not able to speak, they

2.1

2.2

also may submit their testimony to the Sergeant, and it is entered into the record.

ALISON CLARK: Thank you.

LILY HODGE: My name is Lily Hodge and I am not a horse expert, but I was asked to read this statement from Gloria Austin who is an educator, an internationally renown carriage driver who took a carriage ride in Central Park on Sunday. She is located in Florida, so she is not here.

As a carriage driving expert, I believe there is no further need for regulating the work of horses in New York City. Horses have been breed for 6,000 years to serve mankind for transportation, agriculture, warfare and commerce. We have only used self-propelled vehicles for 100 years. These people who make their living with horses do not want to abuse their horses, nor work them beyond their limits or they lose their livelihood. Our history must be preserved through the presence of horses on the streets of New York. Please, no further regulation and I praise you for keeping these important symbols of our history on the streets of New York.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

JOSHUA SAUSVILLE: My name is Joshua Sausville, I am a carriage driver and I mean, I think anyone who is involved knows that the current regulation is It's actually very strict, as the temperature gets taken by the NYPD using an official thermometer on the sidewalk at the horse carriage line, it's very organized and we get suspended a lot already, because it is quite strict as it is.

To arbitrarily say that it has to be 90 heat index and keep it at that number just because 90 is kind of a nice round number, well, it's just plain silly, but it's also uninformed.

But I think there is kind of an unspoken assumption that is the only reason that NY Class and the anti-horse carriage lobby gets taken seriously and it has a lot to do with the fact that our industry is mostly made up of an immigrant workforce and the assumption is that only Americans know how to treat animals. Only rich upper eastside New Yorkers know how to treat animals. Only White people know how to treat animals. And that assumption is coloring this whole debate and it's very unfair to my industry that we have to put up with this. Frankly,

2.2

2 unspoken racism that we have suffered with for years

3 coming from the animal rights lobby. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: You know your are free to site statistics but don't impugn the motives of people on the other side and don't ascribe that form of prejudice. It's really inappropriate and inaccurate. Do we have one more person who is scheduled for this panel, is that right?

ARIEL FINTZI: You called my name before. You called my name, Ariel Fintzi.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Oh, yes, your name again sir.

ARIEL FINTZI: Ariel Fintzi.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, can maybe one of your colleagues swap out a seat?

ARIEL FINTZI: My name is Ariel Fintzi, I am a carriage driver since 2181. I've been around horses since 1958. I grew up with horses, I love horses. I love people and I am here to speak on behalf of everybody. But especially from the horses mouth, the horse feeling and the horses needs.

The horses needs in order to be in good shape, they must have enough exercise daily. It makes them all their gut system — it makes them be adjusted and

2.2

2	function very well. To keep the horses and for any
3	body to decide that they cannot work with the new
4	current laws that you are suggesting, it would hurt
5	our horses. That's not something you want to do. We
6	need to take our time to do a thoroughly
7	investigation and with thoroughly study and make sure
8	that what your intention is to protect our horses
9	would not hurt our horses. It's not your attention,
10	you must use a horse sense and a horse needs to be or
11	the first priority. It's not about the money. I
12	hurt about here, it's all the money, money. No, it's
13	not about the money. We care and love our horses.
14	We have a full commitment to our horses.

Please, take your time, don't rush to make something that would hurt everybody. I pledge you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you. I believe Powers has -

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Yeah, I have a few question, thank you. I just want to start though just to reject the premise here that was stated that this is about anti-immigrant or anti-worker. If you believe in the New York City Council is against immigrants or workers, you just simply haven't been paying attention to the work that Council has been

2.2

anyway.

doing and predominantly, I am one of the five members of the Irish caucus, an industry that's been historically Irish and immigrant, I just want to reject that out of hand. And I have been never vilified the industry. I have been careful to hear the concerns and to be careful in hearing how the impact of the industry would be, and I don't feel like that respect is being given back to the Council today, to be fair. But I am going to ask questions

So, my first question is, the Administration was here, and they made a recommendation to us about using a different index. I understand the position of the panel is that 90 degrees as stated today is a fine metric, but I'm interested in hearing thoughts on the Administration's recommendations today about using the Equine Heat Index rather then the National Weather Heat Index.

STEPHEN MALONE: Well, you know, again, as long as it's good for the horses, we're definitely open to discussion. I think there needs to be studies done and I think there needs to be a panel of non-bias veterinarians brought in to weigh in on this subject, more then there has been so far. I do not believe we

2.2

would be completely against it, but we are definitely open for the discussion. And I think, you know, we

4 have the Rental Horse Advisory Board that was in

5 place for many years, that maybe that's something

6 that they could weigh in on as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Got it.

CHRISTINA HANSEN: I actually have testimony from the past Chairman of the Rental Horse Advisory Board, but I have to submit it because I cannot read it to you, I think.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, you can submit it to us, and we will take a look at it. So, I mean, presumably you do believe though there is a number here that where an animal or a horse particularly shouldn't be out and working and so, I am curious to hear what that number is.

CHRISTINA HANSEN: Well, the thing is that our number that we have right now is already sufficient. It is very low, it's basically, it has protected our horses perfectly. So, whatever that number is, is actually higher then what we are at now. And that number would be the same number that it would be for the police horses, the racehorses an aqueduct the fighting horses, the therapeutic horses and all of

2	the horses in New York City who are subject to this
3	climate and this particular geography. Obviously,
4	horses in other parts of the country work quite
5	safely to much higher temperatures because they are
	acclimated to the subtropical temperatures of Florid

7 or whatever. So, the point is that this particular

8 | number of 90, it works perfectly and so, whatever

9 that number is, it's much higher then what we're at

10 now.

11

12

13

14

That our horses is part of the whole trade carriages around the country. So, think why our horses should

ARIEL FINTZI: I want to add something else.

be singles first. Second, our horses, if they are

not comfortable, then let us know. Even if it's 80

16 degrees, I wouldn't take my horse out if it's not

17 comfortable but now, in general speaking, we are

18 falling, we are going behind the horse and we can see

19 what the horse needs and we follow the horses. The

20 | horses are the leaders for us.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Were there any horse deaths last year?

CHRISTINA HANSEN: Not from heat, there has not been any heat deaths since ever.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: How about just in total?

25

21

2.2

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 124
2	STEPHEN MALONE: In terms of what?
3	COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Last year.
4	CHRISTINA HANSEN: In terms of?
5	COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: The horse deaths. I mean
6	we're talking about working conditions.
7	STEPHEN MALONE: Well, yeah, obviously horses
8	die, but there is nothing to say that they died
9	working in this industry.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: How many was it for 2018?
11	STEPHEN MALONE: How many died, I have no idea.
12	The Department of Health -
13	CHRISTINA HANSEN: Certainly not at work.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Not at work.
15	CHRISTINA HANSEN: None at work.
16	STEPHEN HANSEN: Zero on the job.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, so moving on. So,
18	how many days do you estimate if we change under the
19	current metric would take carriages off the streets?
20	STEPHEN HANSEN: Well, judging from what we had
21	last year, and I think with this new regulation if
22	it's brought in as it's brought in written today, it
23	would make last year the norm and well, we were
24	suspended for 34 days and I believe it was another 16

days on top of that, that would have been -

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: How many days were for the 90-degree temperature?

STEPHEN MALONE: 34.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: 34 last year? period of time, not the entire day?

CHRISTINA HANSEN: Not the entire day, but this particular heat index which we're using the National Weather Service's readings for Central Park, which may not be exactly what they are at the hack lines. It probably would be warmer at the hack lines then it is at the Belvedere Castle. That's what we generally find is that before we've changed our hacks - we haven't changed our hack stand location since last summer and so far, we've been sent in at lower temperatures this year relative to the Central Park National Weather Service then before.

So, we are already going to be losing more work because of that and because our carriage stand at 6th Avenue no longer has any shade because of that move. But what we looked at based on the National Weather Service Data and the data that we had from the Hack stands, we missed 34 partial days last year. 90 Heat Index sent us into another 16 to 20 days as you mentioned and for a lot of those 34 days that we

missed, we were suspended around 12:15, 1:00 we would not have been able to leave the stable at 9:30 in the morning on the weekdays when we were allowed to leave to go to work because it is more humid in the morning then it is throughout the day.

So, it might have been 84 degrees at night at 9:30 but the humidity was such that we wouldn't be able to leave. But at 4 o'clock, it might have been 86 and a lower humidity and we might have been able to go back out again, depending on what was going on in the hack stands and things like that.

So, you know, 50 days of full or partial suspension is a lot and it's not healthy for the horses, especially on those days where you might have a heat wave. Now, bearing in mind that a heat wave, the horses aren't out once it hits 90, they go in.

So, it doesn't matter whether it's going to be 93 or 98 or how humid. The minute it turns 90 they stop working, the temperature that they work at has never changed in the past 30 years. So, you know, there might be a really hot time where we can at least take the horses out and take care of them and get them the exercise that they need for their digestive systems to function for their wellbeing and everything like

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

We could at least get them out for an hour or two in the morning and this bill would eliminate that during one of these hot and humid heat waves like we had last year. So, we understand the intention, you know, behind the City Council of doing this to take into account humidity but you know, it's not actually helping the horses when they are already protected perfectly from heat stress.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I will just wrap my questions up, but to the point the administration made, and I don't know much about that index, so just taking their information here. They are matching to what our current standards that are used in like the Polo Industry and other industries excited, which in your testimony I know that you said that other industries - their not talking about other uses and other industries. So, I heard Mr. Malone's point. Does that mean you are also supportive of the administrations point to match it to a measurement to all that's used in other areas.

CHRISTINA HANSEN: Certainly, you know, the reality is that we already have more restrictive temperature requirements then pretty much any other Equine discipline or industry.

24

25

2 So, the answer is like they did a big study for 3 the 1996 summer Olympics in Atlanta because it's very hot and humid there and there were all kinds of 4 recommendations that were made, and all of those 5 things were nowhere near 90 heat index. They were 6 7 significantly warmer. Horses are outdoor animals, so I think you know, that it's important to take a look 8 at you know, like what the Mounty Unit is doing what 9 Act duck's doing, what the riding horses in 10 11 therapeutic are doing and the reality is that they 12 don't have the regulations that we do. But we do 13 know that horses are able to be outside because they 14 are outdoor animals walking at a very low level of 15 excursion which is what carriage horses do without 16 ill effect. They sweat to keep cool. They are well 17 hydrated, thanks to our water troughs. So, we are 18 certainly open to the Health Department brining in Equine Veterinarians to look at the issue and to make 19 some recommendations as to humidity as well. 20 think we're nowhere near it with the current 2.1 2.2 proposal.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, I am going to wrap my questions up there because I know we have a long hearing ahead. I just want to say again, I am happy

to hear more information from folks but lunging into
attacks on peoples intentions here who are supportive
of animal rights or Council Members is a bad way to
start a conversation or to have a conversation at a
point where we are trying to have a reasonable
conversation about what we think is right for animals
and how to protect workers and protect the industry.
I am saying everybody is guilty of that but
certainly, when you come here, there is a reasonable
expectation that we can act like adults and we can
have a conversation back and forth and not attack
each other and I will not be attacking anybody for
any position they take on this and I would ask the
same from everybody in this room today. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Council Member
Powers. I would like to associate myself with those
remarks and I thank this panel.
We are going to move on now to Sean Brooks of

We are going to move on now to Sean Brooks of

Prestige Towing; Miguel Montiel from Corona Self-Help

Center; Andy Wertheim from DiArtagnan; Nelson Seravia

from La Belle Farms; Jocelyn Hounnou from Rougie; and

Daniela Mercado from La Belle.

And you can kick us off sir while everyone's getting settled.

_

,

SEAN BROOKS: Good morning, my name is Sean Brooks, I own Prestige Towing and Truck Repair in Harris New York and Hudson Valley Foie Gras as well as La Belle Farms have been my customer and friends of mine for many, many years.

I am here in opposition of 1378. Together these two forms contribute well over a quarter of a million dollars a year in business to my company. We currently employ over 60 people in Sullivan County services these farms and other customers in upstate New York. We frequent New York City ourselves. We are here on a regular basis. We eat in your restaurants; we shop in your stores.

We serve hundreds of people that work on these farms. They are our customers also. They frequent our area and spend money as well. These two farms are a huge economic staple in the Sullivan County area and a huge amount of business is generated by these farms. I am proud to do business with these farms. I have never seen anything that would offend me. I think that most everybody that I do business with at these farms are animal friendly people. I would be shocked if somebody showed me something different. The proposed legislation would be

2.1

2.2

detrimental to these farms, our employees and many,
many more.

I have been in business for about 26 years myself. I have always been taught you know, supply demand, supply demand. If these people have a demand for their product, they must be doing a good job producing it. I think we live in a time — can I finish.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: If you can briefly wrap up please.

SEAN BROOKS: If I may. Okay, long story short, simply put. We all rely on these folks. I disagree with the current bill that you are proposing, and it would be extremely detrimental to many, many people that I think you should consider.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you, Mr. Brooks.

NELSON SERAVIA: Hello members of the Health

Committee. My name is Nelson Seravia Jr. I am a

second-generation farmer employed by La Belle Farms

and the son of Nelson Seravia Senior, cofounder and

one of the owners of La Belle Farms.

I am here in opposition of instruction 1378. I have been involved with the farm from the young age of 12. Being a farmer is more than just a job but a

2.2

lifestyle that we take very seriously which can be
hard for people who are not farmers to understand.
We work long days of hard intensive labor and it's a
profession that requires a lot of sacrifice, patience
and discipline that is only obtained with years of
experience. Though on the hard and long days we may
ask yourselves why we chose this work. There are a
few things in life that can give us the same feeling
of honor and pride that comes with being a farmer.
We are proud of the work that we do and the animals
that we care for day in and day out. Ducks are not
only our livelihoods, but our lives and we take care
of them.

Along with this, being part of this operation has given me and so many others a support system that can only be explained by telling my story.

In 2011, I was injured playing football at the college I was attending. I had to have surgery on my right knee, and I found myself addicted to the painkillers and started on a path to selfdestruction. For anyone that's ever loved or cared for anyone that's an addict, they know the amount of damage their addiction can cause. For years I would carry on like this, but never did the family and

2.2

friends that I worked with over at the La Belle farms

pull their support for me.

In 2014, I finally hit rock bottom and nearly homeless and deep in dept, my family and friends pulled me aside for an intervention. I realized I needed help, but I was unable to afford any kind of rehab. La Belle Farms paid for me to go to rehab for three months and today I stand in front of you five years sober.

I was able to rebuild my life. They paid for me to get my commercial drivers license, so I can get a pay raise, with that pay raise, it allowed me to pay for my wedding, buy my first home and support my new family that I just welcome a newborn baby on January $4^{\rm th}$ of this year.

The point is this company helps me and so many others and while I understand some people have concerns with the treatment of the ducks, I can assure you that if the mistreatment of ducks was part of our jobs, none of us would be here and our farm would be out of business. I urge you to look at the facts while you consider this legislation.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Mr. Seravia and for sharing your compelling personal story. I think

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

we can all agree whatever we feel about the welfare of the ducks that to hear about your success in achieving sobriety and other breakthroughs over the challenges that you have confronted is certainly a wonderful story. We appreciate you sharing it.

NELSON SERAVIA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you.

ANDY WERTHEIM: Good morning, my name is Andy Wertheim and I am President of DiArtagnan foods. are a 35-year-old company and Hudson Valley's biggest distributer of foie gras in New York. We employ 280 people in our company and this ban would have repercussions to our company that we might not be able to recover from.

First, I would like you to know that I am not a foie gras fan, I've devoted my career to doing better for your foods in the areas of diabetes, dysphasia, a bunch of areas and I joined DiArtagnan specially 13 years ago because of their values. DiArtagnan is not just a purveyor of meats but also a company whose reputation pointed difference in very reason for being is predicated upon superior animal husbandry and a commitment to always seeking the highest possible standards regardless of cost and difficulty.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

We are the anti-factory farm company for those of you who would like to look us up.

If you were to visit our facility, we raise chickens, ducks and geese as pets. Our owner, who could not be here today, grew up on a farm and I would say personally, she loves animals more than any person that I have ever met. We get just as sickened about animal cruelty and inhuman farming practices as this committee. Our rigid specifications and principles are our calling card. We only hire people who share these core values. Hudson's commitment to good animal husbandry is beyond reproach. If it wasn't, I guarantee you, our company would not be doing business with them. We applaud this Council's push for more humanity and decency and the work of the Humane Society while the distortions today or the misinformation, I would welcome a civilized discussion with everybody because the distortions of information today are grand.

But we share our core values with them, and we don't view them as anathema. Surely there is room for incrementality in the world of farming and processing and I am proud to represent a company that does that. We want to fight along side you, not as

-

advisories. We periodically ask our customers to come up to the farm, we are transparent. We want to demonstrate the reality versus hyperbole.

So, too many lives depend upon you not rushing to judgment today and if you act without visiting the farm, you will not only deprive New York City of the scene of great product, but you will effectively cripple hundreds of disciples of the very mission that you seek to energize. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you.

DANIELA MERCADO: To most when I say that I am the youngest of four, they may think wow, that's a lot of kids.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Could you maybe pull the microphone a little bit closer, so we can hear you.

DANIELA MERCADO: Would you like me to restart.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Sure.

DANIELA MERCADO: To most when I say that I am the youngest of four, they may think wow, that's a lot of kids but nothing out of the normal. When I say that I am a 19-year-old girl currently enrolled in a private college, they still may thing it is normal. However, when I say that I am Mexican and that I am going to be following the footsteps of all

2.2

three of my siblings by graduating college, it is not normal but rather it is a dream come true. A dream that both of my parents imagined when they came to

5 | the United States of America.

My name is Danila Mercado and I am the proud daughter of immigrants. From 1996 through 2005, my father worked for Hudson Valley Foie Gras. From 2005 to now, my father works for La Belle Farm. In those 23 years of labor, my father has been able to give my siblings and I everything.

Our whole lives have been filled with opportunities that I know my parents did not have themselves. I can remember from a very young age; they taught my siblings and I the importance and value of education. With my parents help, I was able to participate in cross-country, indoor and outdoor track, debate club and national honor society during my high school years. In the end, I graduated in the top ten of my class as number five with an advanced diploma. I also made it to the cross-country states championship meet in 2017. All because of the many times my dad drove me to and picked me up from practice.

_

My parents just simply want the very best for us.

And now, my oldest brother and sister graduated

college in 2016, while my other brother graduated

from the same college in 2017. Since then, my oldest

brother has been in South Korea for the last two

years teaching English.

My sister has become a lead microbiologist in a research lab with my other brother following suit.

While I have just recently finished my freshman year of college.

When I was applying for college, I specifically remember my father telling me not to worry about the cost, but to worry about finding a school that best suits me in the career that I plan to follow.

Because I knew that my parents would work endlessly no matter what to make sure that I was able to study to make a better future for myself.

And to conclude, it is because of my fathers

labor and my mothers support that my siblings and I

have the lives to one day be able to provide our own

children with better futures and I ask that before

you make your decision, please take into

consideration the jobs and livelihoods outside of New

2 York City that will be effected by your choice.

3 Thank you.

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Daniela.

MIGUEL MONTIEL: Good morning, my name is Miguel Montiel and I am representing the Corona Self-Help Center. And I thank you for the opportunity to speak in this hearing. I am here because I think it is very important to speak about the human part.

It should be known that the foie gras producers are humane with their work, extremely. They are unique in their engagement in the communities.

Particularly when it comes down to their work force, not just to enhance productivity or distribution but also because they care. So, they make sure that the workers have access to good housing, their workers connecting their families and also when in need, to have access to service related to mental health at city's where the substance abuse recovery in the area of Hudson Valley. In that they are unique.

A few years ago, while working in the field of substance abuse, I encountered Hudson Valley Foie Gras and La Belle Farms. The farms were eager to bring our services to serve those in need. At that time, they got involved because they knew that

2.1

2.2

addiction afflicts many in New York and because they felt that culturally competent and free services should be supported. Today, I can tell you that our organization without the support of this farmers wouldn't be the same. They support our members wiht their contributions with a place for them to work and be independent; they support us with housing for our members so they can transition from using drugs to productive life. They help us understanding that the approach to recovery should be holistic, therefore they have donated sports equipment and they are helping us to create a unique place in Sullivan County that can benefit anyone desiring a different lift.

With all due respect, I urge you to let the idea go that those benefited by the production of foie gras are few and to understand that there are a lot of communities in the Hudson Valley and even in New York City, that depend on these farms. Those that believe foie gras should be banned lack the understanding that the communities affected by this ban will never recover.

Finally, I thank you again for the opportunity to speak today and I hope that you might reconsider on

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 this proposal and allow the farm to continue to work
3 with us. Thank you very much.

4 CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Please sir.

JOCELYN HOUNNOU: I am Jocelyn Hounnou from Rougie. I am representing Rougie, one of the largest producers of foie gras in the world. And just to tell you, I am not going to go through the testimony over here. I am just going to tell you my personal story about foie gras.

So, I have been in the industry for 20 years and I work in a restaurant doing anything that you can imagine. After that I moved to the distribution and after that, I started to consider to come to the foie gras industry, but I had my doubts. Me, as an animal lover, have an animal at home, I have my sense about I went to Rougie based in Canada and I started this. my internship with them to see how it is, and I was very amazed about the way that they treat all the ducks. The conception that you have over here today, that I have seen over here, is not the right conception of foie gras. To be able to have foie gras, you have to have care about the ducks. have to be able to say that the foie gras, in them usually is a liver, so the liver, to be able to have

2.2

a liver, to have that as a dish, as something that you could eat, you have to treat the animal very well. You have to make sure that when it is in the summer, when there is a lot of heat outside, they have an AC inside. In the winter, when it's cold, they have heat. You treat them as a human being always to be able to have the right response to it. So, my decision to come to work for a foie gras company is not based on the — like the industry or not, it's based on my personal idea about the foie gras and I was like, wow, I was very amazed about that industry. And if any companies that treat animals the right way and they could do it, they could be on the foie gras business.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, is there someone else who is on this panel who we need to swap in? We got everybody already. I wonder if someone who knows the business structure at either La Belle or Hudson Valley can explain whether there are other products that you produce beyond foie gras and what portion of your business that constitutes?

ANDY WERTHEIM: So, I can tell you from

DiArtagnan standpoint, about 18 percent of our

business is foie gras, from the foie gras duck but

25

2	more importantly, we represent because we do a couple
3	of other artisan farm products such as a vegetable
4	fed chicken at Hudson. So, the implications to us
5	being hit by this foie gras initiative go well beyond
6	the loss which would be catastrophic in of it itself,
7	because the very nature of what we do, what my
8	company does is based upon small farms. It's based
9	upon antibiotic free. All the methods that are anti-
10	commercial. So, when we operate, we are not going to
11	be able to go to other farms. You get rid of a Le
12	Belle or a Hudson Farms, what you have then is the
13	destruction of the small farm structure in this
14	county and then we are left eating factory farmed
15	items and that's just meant to make it as cheap as
16	possible. So, we will let Hudson speak to that but
17	we're probably 35 to 40 percent of their business.
18	So, it affects beyond just a foie gras ban.
19	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And what percent of the foie
20	gras sales are to New York City?
21	ANDY WERTHEIM: Of our foie gras sales to New
22	York City, probably, 35-40 percent.
23	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, so if I am doing my

math right, this is in the single digit as a percent

of your total business.

ANDY WERTHEIM: No, we do about - I would say we do about \$15 million worth of foie gras duck business in New York City in the five boroughs.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Right, you said 18 percent overall foie gras and then 35-40 percent of that is in New York City. I understand that's not a trivial amount and we certainly respect all the workers who have come here to speak out and we very much care about your prospective. This is a society whose values are evolving. Where we are becoming ever more conscious about ethical treatment of animals and we want businesses to adapt to that. And that in this case could mean growing your business in some of the other product lines.

ANDY WERTHEIM: Sir, we have been doing that for a long time. All we ask is for a civilized discussion, not these numbers that are being thrown around here that are just — they are ridiculous in terms of their context. So, what we ask is for a civilized discussion, so the facts truly can come out. We share your values, okay, but we want a chance to exchange a free flow of ideas on those values.

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 145				
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Well, you have heard nothing				
3	but civility from me and my colleague today. I think				
4	it's fair to say and that will continue to be the				
5	case.				
6	ANDY WETHEIM: Thank you.				
7	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And we certainly always				
8	value dialogue at all times.				
9	ANDY WETHEIM: Thank you.				
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Council Member Rivera.				
11	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Quick questions for the				
12	farmers and thank you all for being here and for				
13	giving your time and for sharing your personal				
14	stories. I am excited for you to go to college.				
15	So, how many ducks do you have on your farm at a				
16	single time?				
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Turn the mic on.				
18	ANDY WERTHEIM: We have speakers that will come				
19	up that will give you all the right answers for that.				
20	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay, so there is no				
21	estimate, no numbers, or someone else is going to				
22	speak?				
23	ANDY WERTHEIM: Someone else is going to speak.				
24	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay. I really wanted to				

ask questions about kind of applying ethical

25

standards given the production. So, I will wait to ask that question. So, I guess, thank you all again for being here.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Council Member Rosenthal, did you have a question. Okay, folks, one moment, we do have additional question.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, it's not really so much for the workers but I do have just a few questions for the farm. For the representative of — thank you — sorry, someone gave it away. For Andy Wertheim, thank you, you know, I've actually thought about this for a very long time because a friend of mine is a chef and has visited the farm. And he reported that he was comfortable with the procedure that went on.

But of course, he's in a conflicted position, because he sells the product. My concern is that while it is — and I just want to get very specific and I want to hear your very specific response.

While it is normal for a duck to swallow a fish whole, right, and that's the response I am always getting. We are not shoving something down a throat because it is normal for a duck to swallow a fish whole. Help me understand the difference between a

2.2

2 fish which is squishable and can move and a steel rod.

ANDY WERTHEIM: For the most part I'd like to defer to a veterinarian who is going to be speaking on that account from Hudson Valley, but what I would say to you is without a gag reflex, the people respectfully, who start talking to how would you like to be a human with somebody shoving a pipe down your throat, it's a done starter. So, we're not ducks, okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I asked you respectfully if you could just look in my eyes and answer my question.

ANDY WERTHEIM: What I would say is I can speak to the business angle and what I would like and to tell you that for my company, we are dedicated to non-factory farming. That is our whole mission is to be non-factory farming.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Again, I am really asking — I don't mean to interrupt but I'm really just asking a very simple question. An animal regulates its intake of food, right. When it's hungry and I am going to guess it can find a fish and swallow the fish whole. So, I understand the idea

_

that something going down the throat whole is reasonable. I'm trying to understand the difference between a fish and a pipe and an amount of food that is in nature normal, a whole fish and not in nature an over extension of the belly or of the liver.

ANDY WERTHEIM: Yeah, the mythology of the duck will have to be spoken to by a veterinarian, but I will say they do that on their own naturally.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Do what on their own?

ANDY WERTHEIM: The gavage, they go through the gavage process and swallow on their own naturally heavy amounts to last them.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, you know what, I think, let's stick with the vet.

ANDY WERTHEIM: Okay, thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, thank you Council

Member Rosenthal and thank you to this panel. Next

up we will be hearing from Jessica Hollander; Michael

Dolling[SP?] from the Tamerlain Sanctuary; Kirstin

Kuhi for V for Veganism; Isabel Angel; Linda Mann and

Christina Liew.

Okay, please.

./

JESSICA HOLLANDER: Hi name is Jessica Hollander and I am a Brooklyn resident and business owner and I strongly the support the bill to ban foie gras Intro.

1425 and any laws that improves the lives of nonhuman animal at the hands of human animals.

But I am going to use my time today to read a letter from Holly Cheever who is a Doctor of Veterinary Medicine and the Vice President of the New York State Humane Association.

Dear New York City Council Health Committee, I am an Equine Veterinarian educated at Harvard University and at the college of Veterinary Medicine at Cornell with a lifetime of experience in horse management including driving carriage horses. I have practiced veterinary medicine in the state of New York for the past 40 years and I have severed as a consultant to many animal advocacy groups to eliminate New York's anachronistic and inhumane carriage horse tourist trade.

As I have stated consistently since my first involvement with this industry in 1988, I do not believe that New York City can provide a safe and humane environment for its carriage horses for a

2.2

2 myriad reasons and I live to celebrate some day the 3 news that the industry has folded.

With that said, until the horses are removed from this inappropriate environment, I support Intro.

1425. As long as the industry exists in New York

City and horses are forced to pull carriages during extreme heat and humidity, Intro. 1425 would be better for them then leaving the inadequate temperature laws as they currently are.

Changing the law so that horses must stop working when the heat index reaches 90 or above would provide some relief for the horses who currently only stop working when the air temperature hits 90 degrees and more. And they often work when the heat index far surpasses 90 degrees.

Our high humidity levels add to the misery the horses endure. The problem of inadequate and lukewarm enforcement remains a large obstacle to the horses actually benefiting from this new law. But I hope that at least a small crumb of additional comfort may be given to them to lessen their physical discomfort and health hazards.

I continue to hope that New York tires of its well-deserved criticism for this form of abuse and

2.1

2.2

2 eliminates the entire carriage horse misery all 3 together.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much.

MICHAEL DOLLING: I am on the Board of Directors of Tamerlaine Sanctuary and Preserve. I am a park slope resident and I fully support Intro. 1425 as well as the other bills and resolutions being discussed today.

At our sanctuary we home animals who are rescued from horrible situations of abuse and neglect. We have first-hand experience and knowledge of the abuses animals suffer because of human mistreatment.

One of our horses is named Apache. She was used in a side show and suffered day in and day out because of it. She would collapse during her performances, much like the horses who have collapsed on the streets of New York City due to exhaustion and being overworked.

Her owners would pass it off as nothing serious, have a fraudulent vet look her over, dope her up and put her right back to work within a couple days.

Much like the horse carriage industry does with their horses who collapse from being overworked in brutal temperatures.

J

2.2

We were lucky enough to be able to rescue her. She now lives at our sanctuary and has taught us so much about the sensitive nature of horses. We witnessed firsthand how horses are not comfortable in high heat and humidity and how in the upper 80's let alone 90-degree days; our horses seek out shelter from the intense heat. Walk the hack lines on a hot summer day and see for yourself. Horses who are panting and suffering is all to common. Protecting these horses from extreme temperature who workday in and day out don't get to roam on pasture and who get locked into horrible stables after their long day of forced work is the least we can do.

We are a progressive city that prides itself on helping those in need and if we can't give these horses this little bit of relief, then we have failed. Open your hearts and put yourself in their shoes. Please pass Intro. 1425.

Everyone at Tamerlaine including our rescued ducks would also like to voice support for Intro.

1378 and ban the horrific industry of foie gras.

From one sanctuary to another, please support the bills and resolutions today that give us small amount

2.1

2.2

of piece to the suffering animals that dwell on our city.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you.

KRISTIN KUHI: Thank you to the Health Committee for holding this hearing concerning an unprecedented amount of animal rights issues including a ban on the horrifically cruel product of foie gras. My name is Kirstin Kuhi, I reside in Midtown East Manhattan and my Council Member is Ben Kallos.

I would like to add that I am also the daughter and granddaughter of immigrants and the daughter of a refuge for no reason at all.

I am here today to speak in support of Intro.

1425, the carriage horse heat relief bill. I've

lived in New York City since 2000 when I moved to the

upper east side for college. In those first several

years, I moved around the city a lot, but it wasn't

until I settled in my current residence in 2008 that

I really began to notice carriage horses and the

incredible wrongs that have befallen these beautiful

creatures.

Residing in midtown east gave me access to the city in a way I had not yet experienced and I spend more time walking rather then taking the subway.

These walks inevitable took me past the infamous hack
line on 59 th street where I encountered the carriage
horses on a consistent basis for the first time in
the eight years, I had been living in New York City.
It only took a couple passes past these creatures for
me to recognize something was wrong. I walked away
thinking, this is horrible, someone needs to do
something about it. Quite literally, the next day I
saw an add on the side of a telephone booth sponsored
by NYCLASS with Leah Michelle's face on it and I
thought, oh, thank God, someone is doing something.
I was not an animal rights activist at the time and
little did I know that day when I signed up to
volunteer to help the horses that I would be sitting
in front of you more than ten years later asking for
a simple request to curb to some of the suffering of
these innocent beings by recognizing that 90 degrees
Fahrenheit on the thermometer does not take into
consideration humidity and heat index and also the US
Weather Bureau sited temperature reading are
significantly lower then the temperature within the
carriage horses microenvironment.

I ask today that the committee members consider that as we run into air-conditioned buildings to

_

escape the torture of a New York City summer, the carriage horses are still standing outside for hours in overwhelming distress because their bodies do not react to the heat and humidity in the same way ours do.

I am not here to kill businesses or destroy
lives. I am simply here for horses as I have been
for the past ten years who unfairly have no say in
the matter. I ask that you please pass Intro. 1425
to allow for the horses to get some relief just
during the hottest parts of the day and only about 15
days out of the entire summer. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you.

CHRISTINA LIEW: My name is Christina Liew and I live in Brooklyn New York district 43. I will be reading a testimony on behalf of Susan Whittred,

Doctor Veterinary Medicine. I have been a licensed practicing veterinarian in the state of New York for 16 years and I am a graduate of Cornell University.

I am in strong support of Intro. 1425 which makes it unlawful for carriage horses to work when the heat index reaches or exceeds 90 degrees Fahrenheit.

Horses find difficulty dissipating body heat in warm environments particularly in the temperature range of

2 89 to 96 degrees. Especially if the humidity is

3 high. Horses can loose eight to ten gallons of fluid

4 with exercise in a hot environment and if that is

5 coupled with high humidity, the horse cannot cool

6 itself by evaporation because air is too saturated to

7 absorb more fluid. This result in an elevation of

8 | the horses core temperature. Furthermore, if the

9 horse becomes dehydrated and cannot produce sweat,

10 the lack of sweat production can be life threatening.

11 New York City horses also have to contend with

12 the temperature that the asphalt reaches on New York

13 City streets on those hot summer days. According to

14 the New York Times article in July 1989, the

15 | temperature on the asphalt surfaces have reached

16 temperatures of 200 degrees. This additional heat

17 source contributes to the heat of the horses

18 microenvironment and should be taken into account

19 when deciding it is safe for horses to work or not.

In light of these facts, I support Intro. 1425.

21 | I would also like to mention that I am also in

22 support of 1425 and 1378 as well as the many bills

23 that's proposed at today's hearing.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you.

24

2.1

2.2

Mann and I am here to urge you to support Intro.

1425, the Carriage Horse Heat Relief Bill. The title of this bill should really be enough. I live in Manhattan and I don't need the thermometer to reach 90 degrees to know that it is too hot or to humid to be outside, let alone to be working pulling hundreds of pounds. That is no low level of excursion. Some things just should not be judged in economical political terms. We must ask ourselves why anyone who professes to love or care for another being would want to risk putting that living innocent being at risk for heat stress or total collapse.

We as a city need to commit in all ways to being the best possible example. When it comes to animals, all animals, there really are no two sides. They did not chose these lives. They have no choice. They are subject to our whims and they are subject to our laws.

The least we can do, the least we should do is have our laws protect and help them whenever possible. Sometimes we thing of change as a difficult task but sometimes all that is required is a small turn. Let's take that small turn. Let's

2.2

make the changes in the laws, whether it is banning
foie gras, protecting wild birds, or helping our
horses. How we treat animals is a powerful measure

of who we are. Let's take those small turns and pass

6 Intros 1378, 1202, and before the summer heat hits,

7 Intro. 1425. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much. Are there any other people who need to rotate into this panel. Was the name called? Okay, but that might be on the next panel which will consist of Marissa Hight from the Animal Law Committee; Elinor Molbegott from Humane Society of New York; Eileen Jefferson Ethical Veterinary Association; Edita Birnkrent; Kim Clouse; Nathan Semmel; Kirk Miller and Deborah Thomas. Did that clear up the — apparently it did.

Okay, please kick us off, thank you.

MARISSA HIGHT: Hello, my name is Marissa Hight and I am a New York Attorney testifying on behalf of the New York City Bar Associations Animal Law Committee. We urge the Committee on Health to vote in favor of Intro. 1425 which would prohibit operating carriage horses once the National Weather Service's Heat Index reaches 90 degrees.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

The Animal Law Committee supports Intro. 1425 because it better protects carriage horses from New York's hot and humid weather and by doing so, furthers animal welfare in our community. The bill requires use of the National Weather Service Heat Index to determine when it is too hot for carriage horses to be worked. The Heat Index measures how hot it really hot it really feels when relative humidity is combined with air temperature. For example, if the air temperature is 88 degrees and relative humidity is 80 percent then the heat index or real feel temperature is 106 degrees, this makes intuitive sense. As any New Yorker knows, humidity makes a hot day feel hotter. By tying heat restriction's to the real feel temperature, the bill extends the city's history of protecting carriage horses from the elements. The city's first significant legislation regulating the carriage horse industry enacted 30 years ago this year, was introduced in response to several instances where carriage horses collapsed or died during heat waves.

We know the Department of Health and Mental

Hygiene heat regulations account for humidity to some

extent. Carriage horses may not be driven once the

2.1

2.2

degrees.

wet bulb temperature has reached 85 degrees. Yet the bulb metric can differ significantly from the real feel temperature measured by the heat index. For example, in 86-degree air temperature and 90 percent relative humidity needs a wet bulb temperature of just over 83 degrees. Conditions in which carriage horses could still work. Using the heat index however, these same conditions really feel like 105

In summary, Intro. 1425 would better protect New York's carriage horses and further the city's animal welfare goals. The New York City Bar Associations

Animal Law Committee therefore urges the Committee on Health to vote in favor of the bill. Our written comment provides additional reasons for our position and includes citations to relevant laws and supporting evidence. Thanks.

ELINOR MOLBEGOTT: My name is Elinor Molbegott.

I am an attorney for the Humane Society of New York.

I have been practicing animal law for 40 years. In fact, when I was at the ASPCA more than 40 years ago, horse protection was the first thing on my plate.

We strongly support 1425 to provide for the protection of carriage horses. What's interesting is

2.2

that decades ago, the New York City Health Department
recognized that humidity needed to be taken into
consideration and there is a law that was just
mentioned, there is a rule on the books already
dealing with wet bulb readings. So, that it was
already understood that humidity should be a factor
and this legislation will help to codify that yet in
a better way. So, when the industry speaks about
just counting temperature, as compared to humidity as
if humidity is a new factor that's being brought up
suddenly.

No, this is not a sudden thing. This has been on the books for decades. It's just not been enforced. So, we do need a law that will be better enforced, and this also brings to my mind, how important it is to have a separate department of animal welfare because perhaps, had there been a Department of Animal Welfare, the wet bulb reading rule would have already been enforced. Even if it needed to be stronger there would have been some enforcement of laws that took into consideration humidity and that hasn't been done.

We strongly support legislation to ban the capturing of wild birds. We've received at the

2.2

Humane Society so many complaints and personally have
seen so much horror imposed upon our city's birds
that whether they end up on a dinner plate or at a
pigeon shoot, it needs to be stopped. We of course
support a ban on force feeding birds and support
strongly Intro. 1378.

Worth noting is that California has a similar law and it's been upheld in the courts and the Supreme Court recently just this year denied [Inaudible 4:18:00]. Our other comments are here, so you have them there. I just want to finally thank the City Council. In my 40 years of coming to the City Council to testify, I have to say this is the most humane City Council that I have ever seen, and it is really a pleasure, so thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Well, we appreciate that feedback, thank you very much. Usually the ratio of positive to negative feedback is not very good, but today I am liking the numbers. Thank you.

Honorable New York City Council Members, thank
you for this opportunity to testify. My name is Dr.
Eileen Jefferson as a New York State Licensed and
Practicing Veterinarian, I fully support Intro. 1425,
the carriage horse heat relief bill. In addition to

2.2

my veterinary training, I have over 15 years of personal hands-on Equine care experience which has included horse ownership and competitive show jumping as well as work at the Cornell University Hospital for Animals Equine ward and the John T. Oxley Equestrian Center at Cornell University.

I am here to testify about the science and the facts. So, humidity is absolutely positively one of the most crucial factors in determining and exercising horses susceptibility to heat stress, heat stroke, collapse and death. Under New York City's current law however, humidity is not considered at all. Intro. 1425's proposed cut off is a National Weather Service Heat Index of 90. This translates to 84 degrees Fahrenheit and a relative humidity of 70 percent or 82 degrees Fahrenheit and 85 percent relative humidity.

The sums of these if you add them, these temperatures and humidity's are 154 and 167 respectively. An American Association of Equine Practitioners resource plainly states that when the temperature and humidity exceed 150 together, it is hard for a horse to keep cool. Even at 130, there are some muscular horses whose cooling system simply

2 will not function. This is why most Equine

associations urge caution in exercising horses 3

anywhere above 120. It is an indisputable medical 4

fact that if the humidity becomes high enough, an 5

exercising horse can incur serious physical 6

7 compromise and distress at a temperature below 90

degrees Fahrenheit. Of course, in horse country, 8

it's rare to hear these numeric's being so analyzed 9

because basic horsemanship and horse sense would 10

normally preclude working horses continually in the 11

12 weather extremes in question.

> It's important to ensure that New York City, one of the most prominent and progressive city's in the world is currently abiding by the fundamentals on every issue. This issue of safety in animal welfare being no exception and I would also like to say that on behalf of the Humane Society Veterinary Medical Association, we support and our 9,000 nationwide and 300 in New York, we absolutely support Intro. 1378 to ban foie gras.

2.2 CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much.

23 EDITA BIRKRENT: Thank you Council Member, my

name is Edita Birnkrent and I am the Executive 24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.1

2.2

Director of NYCLASS. Here today to express our strongest support for passage of Intro. 1425.

As a lifelong New York City resident who has dedicated over a decade of my life to documenting, reporting, and exposing the dismal conditions of the horses, I will tell you that it is absolutely necessary to improve the heat laws for the horses, which have never been updated since they have been enacted. As has been said, the current law only stops horses from working when the air temperature is 90 or above and this inadequate because horses natural cooling ability through sweating is compromised when the air is saturated with humidity. As a result, New York City summers are a torment for horses who are forced to work during high humidity heat waves when air temperatures don't yet reach 90.

This past summer, I documented horses on the streets, strapped and chained to carriages weighing several hundred pounds, doing heavy labor during citywide heat advisories. When the real feel temperature and the heat index was soaring to 100. In just one horrifying instance, from last August, I filmed a carriage horse in a state of serious heat stress and oppressively humid sweltering conditions,

2.1

2.2

conditions.

gasping for breath in agony. On a day that both the
Department of Health and the National Weather Service
issued severe citywide heat advisories to all
residents and their pets. Warning them to limit time
outdoors, yet carriage drivers were legally still
allowed to be working the horses in these dangerous

How can we continue to allow this abuse to go on in our progressive compassionate city. Intro. 1425 would merely stop horses from being worked during the hours the National Weather Service Heat Index reaches or exceeds 90. I beg of each of you on this Council to finally show some mercy for these carriage horses by passing Intro. 1425. The horses will get no mercy from carriage drivers who will continue to work them in hazardous heat waves this summer and every summer until the City Council passes this bill. You have the power to end this abuse.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Edita.

EDITA BIRNKRENT: Thank you.

KIM CLOUSE: Hello, my name is Kim Clouse and I am the owner and operator of North Jersey Equestrian in Northwest New Jersey for the past 26 years. I have been working with horses and caring for horses,

riding and training norses for the past 26 years. I
have 30 horses that I provide daily care for with the
help of 3 employees on two separate farms. I teach
the disciplines of dressage and eventing. I am here
today to express my support for Intro. 1425, the
carriage horse heat relief bill and I urge the Health
Committee to vote yes. Every day of my life is
dedicated to caring for 30 horses and I feel strongly
that the New York City law needs to be changed so
that the carriage horses no longer have to work when
the heat index is over 90 degrees. Most of the
carriage horses are draft horses that are big, heavy
and very thick bodied and cannot easily cool their
bodies when the temperature plus humidity is over 90
degrees compared with a smaller, lighter bodied
horse.

Working in conditions with the heat index reaching 90 degrees and over, is very dangerous to the health and wellbeing of the horses especially when they are pulling heavy carriages and have no chance of being watered down or put in the shade. I reviewed a video of a horse from last summer that is clearly suffering from heat stress and is a very good

2.1

2.2

2 example of why these horses shouldn't be working in those humid heat waves.

I have also become aware that the spokesperson for the carriage horse industry apparently has bragged about using the drug Ventipulmin on horses suffering heat stress or respiratory issues in the summer and I am shocked and find this very troubling and something that should be investigated.

Ventipulmin is indicated for the management of horses with COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, not heat stress. In all my years working with horses, I have never and would never do this. A horse that requires the use of Ventipulmin has severe respiratory disease and by no means should be working let alone pulling a carriage in a humid heat wave.

Ventipulmin has been banned by many racing and equine sport associations.

I can't stress enough my support for passing

Intro. 1425 to protect the heath and wellbeing of the

carriage horses during extreme heat and humidity.

EDITA BIRNKRENT: I just would like to add one thing to add to Kim's. We have the tweets from Christina Hansen bragging about using this drug Ventipulmin and I really think there should be an

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	\cap	TIDAT	TT TT
COMMITTEE	OIN	${\sf HEAL}$	ΤП

2	investigation into that being used. That is an
3	outrage. The veterinarian here on the panel can tell
4	you that. Something is seriously wrong if they are
5	drugging these horses with this drug that's been
6	banned and I also, speaking of — we mentioned the
7	video that I took last summer that was supposed to be
8	played but unfortunately due to technical
9	difficulties, it cannot play. But I am happy to send
10	that to each of you on the Committee to show you
11	exactly, just one example of what happens when these
12	horses are being forced to work, doing heavy labor in
13	high humidity heat waves.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And we are able to enter that video onto the record.

EDITA BIRNKRENT: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And we certainly will review it. We have three more speakers for this panel. So, if I could ask a little seat swap here, because we want to hear from everybody. That's great, thank you. Only three of you or more that's fine. Okay, please.

NATHAN SEMMEL: My name is Nathan Semmel. an attorney; a lifelong New Yorker and I proudly live in District 7. You don't have to be an expert on

2.1

2.2

child abuse to spot a kid who is hurting. You don't have to an expert on domestic violence to recognize a tormented spouse. You don't have to be a canine expert to identify the anguished stare of an abandoned dog and you don't have to be an expert on equines to know what a distressed horse looks like.

8 Suffering is universal, and so are its signs.

The current heat regulation is insufficient because it does not account for the single most impactful metric, humidity.

I am a runner, I run in Central Park. I don't need to see the NYCLASS videos of suffering horses, I've seen them for myself for years. Horses heavily panting, heads bobbing, struggling, sluggish, just like I feel when I go out for a run on a hot and humid day.

But you know what, I get to slow down if I want.

I get to have as much water as I want, when I want

it. I get to rest when I want, I get to stop when I

want. And I get to decide if I don't want to even

run at all.

I have observed the horse carriage industry for years. They will tell you they care for the horses like family. Now, a dose of reality; they will never

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 resist a fare on a brutally hot humid day. They will 3 never rest a panting, head bobbing and sluggish horse

4 out of the goodness of their hearts. And they will

5 never not work their horses on a high heat index day

6 unless it's the law.

When is the last time a safety or comfort measure was offered to this industry that they accepted?

Never, they will tell you they love their horses.

No, they love what their horses can do for them.

This bill is not about money or politics. This bill is progressive, it's selfless, it's about compassion and it is right. The horse carriage industry should be counting their lucky starts that we are not debating abolishment like we've seen in so many other cities worldwide. Intro. 1425 is a nobrainer. Please vote yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much and thanking for living in District 7.

NATHAN SEMMEL: Thank you.

KIRK MILLER: Hi, my name is Kirk Miller. I am a resident of Manhattan and a supporter of Intro. 1425, the carriage horse heat relief bill.

I am also not an expert on horses, nor do I pretend to be one. The real experts have already

2.2

testified. I have spend some time around horses. I grew up in the country around both farm animals and domesticated animals, some wild animals. Today, I rescue and help cats and other animals in Harlem.

I've seen animals in pain, animals dying. I have intervened and had to put a few down. I do think I know when an animal is in distress. It actually

doesn't take an expert, just an empathetic person.

I've seen New York City carriage horses in distress. I've seen panting carriage horses, limping carriage horses, carriage horses with fly leg wraps covering open sores on their legs and carriage horses collapsing in the heat and it is hot out there. The hottest four years on record are the past four years.

In 2018, I measured the surface temperature of the asphalt on 59th street at nearly 120 degrees and that was not even a significantly hot day and now one of the leading horse experts in the world, who was an expert in horse carriages their selves says it can go up to 200 degrees on the asphalt. The current guidelines don't take any of this into consideration. Dr. Cheever was reluctant to even testify here because she wants a ban on the industry, which a lot of people do. A lot of people are rethinking the

2.2

2 relationships with the creatures with whom we share

3 the city and the planet. I think that's why we have

4 so many of these bills, animal related bills being

5 presented today.

All the more reason to support Intro. 1478
establishing a department of animal welfare in New
York City. Animals need our help, so I
enthusiastically support Intro. 1425, the carriage
horse heat relief bill. The laws need to be updated
and this is not a big ask. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much and to another resident of District7, Deborah Thomas.

DEBORAH THOMAS: Thank you, a proud resident of District 7. My name is Deborah Thomas, and I am a New York City resident, animal advocate and humane voter. I support the passage of Intro. 1425, The Carriage Horse Heat Relief Bill, because I feel that having horses pulling hundreds of pounds on city streets in New York City during very humid heat waves is extremely cruel to the horses and dangerous to everyone, because under those circumstances the horses are a risk of heat stress and collapsing. Since the current law does not take real feel and high humidity of the horses into consideration, I

2.1

2.2

2 strongly favor changing the current law to take into

3 consideration the heat index when it reaches 90

4 degrees and considering humidity levels. I

5 respectfully urge you to pass Intro. 1425 because it

6 | will keep the poor horses from suffering through any

future brutal, humid New York City heat waves.

I would also like to add that I would support

Intro. 1478, the Bill that would created an Animal

Welfare Department, if the language were to be

amended to number one, cover all animal issues,

including carriage horses, wildlife, etc., and

overseeing animal shelters and number two, if it were

to assure that continuity at the Animal Care Centers

would continue. I am aware that there are some plans

to make changes in language of that bill and I

applaud that.

Also, as a longtime volunteer at the Animal Care Centers at the Manhattan Shelter, I could only support Intro. 1478 if it plans to work within the existing framework of the ACC, and to improve upon the numerous positive changes and improvements that have already been made there over the past few years. That would include retaining current members of the administration and staff, who have come up through

_

the ranks, who have been volunteers at the shelters and know firsthand what needs to be done about animal welfare and so on.

What I would not support would be replacing those people with political appointees who might only be there for photo ops and a paycheck. And I want to thank Councilman Levine and this whole wonderful City Council for doing all you are doing for animals. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Deborah and I believe that Council Member Rosenthal has a question.

I also want to acknowledge we've been joined by Council Member Andy Cohen.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I have a quick question for the woman who introduced herself as a veterinarian.

EILEEN JEFFERSON: Yes, that's me.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, thank you. You mentioned that you were in support of the ban on foie gras.

EILEEN JEFFERSON: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Do you have any experience or any insight about the cruelty of the mechanism of feeding the duck?

2 EILEEN JEFFERSON: I have a statement about that 3 which I wrote, which is, according to the American Veterinarian Medical Association, there are 2,014 4 literature review of foie gras. It takes a very 5 6 unfavorable stance on foie gras in terms of the 7 information that it presents and one of the things that it mentions is that the livers of foie gras 8 ducks, when they are enlarged, they are enlarged to 9 ten times their normal size and people who support 10 foie gras will often say that it is natural because 11 12 they are seasonally fattened. That the ducks do this 13 naturally, but when the ducks do it naturally, it's 14 only 1.3 to 1.5 times the normal size of the liver. 15

So, there is a huge difference there. It's a completely different thing. 1.3 and 1.5 is a totally different thing then ten times the size. When the liver is that large, it is as people mentioned earlier, it impinges on the air sacks of the bird, it makes them unable to breath properly, unable to stand properly and it also makes them more likely to have injuries during transport and susceptibility to heat stress.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you very much.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, thank you to this panel. We are going to move on to the next panel, which is Jesus Ponce from Hudson Valley Foie Gras; Jenny Chamberlain also Hudson Valley; Marcus Henley; Marcus Lingerman from Christ Health Care Ministry; Dr. Lawrence Bartholf; Erwin Grome for Hudson Valley; Izzy Yanay Hudson Valley; Robert Ambrose from Bella Bella Gourmet Foods; Chris DeRose - I have here it said here Avia, I am not sure if Mr. Saravia has already spoken, but if it's someone different, okay, then we'll call up Sergio Saravia and we thank you for your patience as we swap people out on this very large panel and sir on the end, would you like to start us off? If you could pull the mic over to you, please and make sure it's on.

ISSY YANAY: Can you hear me now? Alright, good.

My name is Izzy Yanay. I am the founder and one of
the owners of Les Fermes Hudson Valley Foie Gras in

Quebec, and Hudson Valley Foie Gras in Sullivan

County, New York. I am here in opposition to

Introduction 1378.

First, I want to applaud the noble and difficult work that the animal rights people, here in front of me, which I am proud to be one of by the way. And

2.1

2.2

what they are doing and trying to do in improving the cares of animals.

Only, that in the case of the ducks, the geese and the foie gras farming, they are unfortunately, misguided and missing the mark. Even though it does look that the birds are going through an ordeal, our observations, combined with the observation of many veterinarians, scientists and visitors, and my own experience of more than 45 years, show, that the ducks are not bothered by the hand feeding that is done by dropping the feed into their esophagus using a tube, like they said.

It is very difficult for me to make my point here, at City Hall, trying to make you understand something that at the farm, you could see in an instant, as many many visitors, may chefs, journalists, students from all walks of life, have come and realized over many many years

People said here that you are not transparent.

This is not true, we are transparent, but I will talk about it in a second.

Judging by the ducks behavior which I have observed, as I said for some 45 years or experience, I can assure you that it doesn't hurt them the way it

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

will, most definitely, hurt us or the dogs or the cats. But the doctor who will talk after me, will explain the physiology of the ducks.

They do not exhibit any behavior that show that they are afraid of their feeders and are very calm and content. Now, I had a lot of comments before, some of them are absolutely true, but some of them not. Especially, there was woman, a very sincere woman that spoke before and talked about a version of behavior of the ducks towards their feeders. exactly the point that I am trying to make but inviting you that before you make a decision, any decision, which I will completely abide by, but you need to come to see what happens. If you don't want to come yourself send somebody. Thousands of people are coming every day of the week, seven days for years and years and all of them besides some lady here -

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you, we do appreciate that, and we are just over time. So, I need to ask you to wrap up.

IZZY YANAY: And I will be the first to support a ban on any food that is obtained by harming and torturing animals, any animals. But here it is not

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 the case. And as every farmer knows, the treatment and the condition of our animals -

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you.

IZZY YANAY: I didn't finish.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Well, we have a two-minute time limit sir. Okay, you are way over time.

IZZY YANAY: Okay, torturing animals -

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: All these people waiting to speak, a big pile.

IZZY YANAY: Aye, aye, aye, stop me, okay.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, thank you. Your full comments will be entered into the record if you would like to submit the written remarks to the Sergeant, then they will be part of the record of this hearing. Please sir.

JESUS PONCE: Hello, I am Jesus Ponce. I work at Hudson Valley Foie Gras. I am here in opposition to Introduction 1378.

I came to the United States in 1981. I was one of the first people hired by the farm in 1983. I became a citizen of the United States through my work at the farm and with the help of the owner, Izzy Yanay. Many of us at the farm become citizens in this way.

2.2

I have don't well. My daughter is working at the farm this summer before she goes back to college at the University of Rochester. The first one in my family to study political science. The farm has given my family and all at the farm a chance to be part of this country, to have a better life and make

an even better life for our children.

The farm takes good care of the animals. If you don't take good care of the animals, it doesn't make no sense. You have to take good care of the animals to be able to make a profit. The way we feed the ducks might seem strange but if you know about animals, you can see feeding the ducks does not hurt them. I have talked to veterinarians and they explain why this is true. It is the same as judging me as a bad person when you don't know me.

There have been people saying bad things about the farm for a long time that are not true. I don't really understand this, and I wish it would not happen. WE spend a lot of time defending ourselves that would be better spent taking care of the animals in the farm.

than any other farms I know.

UNIDENTIFIED: Okay, we want to make sure that

We expect people to visit us and that makes us better

We have visitors who tour the farm all the time.

everyone gets an opportunity to speak. So, if you could just wrap up because we are given everybody a time allocation, okay.

JESUS PONCE: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED: Just like finish your last thought, so we can move on.

JESUS PONCE: Okay, okay. Through the animals we have a good place to work and we could not work at the farm maybe go find another job. If I couldn't work at the farm, maybe I could find another job, but I worked for 35 years and made a living, so I can send my daughter to college. Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you. I am just stepping in for Chair Levine for a few moments.

JENNY CHAMBERLAIN: My name is Jenny Chamberlain;

I am the Chef and General Manager of Further

Processing for Hudson Valley Foie Gras. I have been
with the farm family for over seven years. I am here
in total opposition of Intro. 1378.

2.2

I am a former resident of Brooklyn; I now live on the farm with my longtime partner Michele. two dogs, a cat and a tortoise. So, I put those cards out there, happy pride. And I am appalled by the proposed legislation, to each their own but without having a true education of the practices at Hudson Valley Foie Gras and Le Belle Farms, our truth is the reality of American produced foie gras. truth is 90 miles away and a short trip would answer your questions and concerns.

Most importantly, the ducks will tell their story in both their appearance and behavior. The behavior of not being afraid and the appearance of not being wounded or lying around dead.

The first thing I did before accepting my position was tour the farm to learn. I ultimately made my decision based on being 100 percent behind the practices that I say. This opportunity has proven to be well beyond personal economic gain. I have found a greater purpose in my life and profession helping the farm move towards total utilization of the animal. Meaning yes, we're first and foremost foie gras. But from the breast we make duck bacon, duck ham, we make barbacoa, the legs,

2.2

taken for granted.

duck leg comfest, the trip, sausages reet and the bones, we sell for pet food. Nothing is wasted or

And those efforts have personally created 40 jobs for out of the over 300 total employees. So, this is not just a job, it is my life and I am here to fight for the livelihood of all the employees who depend on us and the families who depend on them and why would any one of us hurt the ducks that we all depend on?

If this ban is passed, it will be in vein and devastating to many. I ask you to please come and see for yourself and to take the opportunity to be true hero's and work with us to write good practices for foie gras production in New York. Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you as a turtle mom. You said you had a tortoise.

JENNIFER CHAMBERLAIN: He's going to out live us all, my tortoise.

MARCUS HENLEY: I am Marcus, the Manager of
Hudson Valley Foie Gras and I am against 1378. I
sleep with my dog, okay. The New York Times
Editorial Board, Village Voice, Esquire, Chicago
Tribune, have all published articles saying that they
found nothing after journalists visited the farm.

Improper about our inhumane about our farming		
practices. This is not the first time the New York		
City Council has considered banning foie gras and		
several years ago Councilman Allan Gerson had the		
integrity to send an investigator to inspect our		
farm. That was Paul Nagle who is the Executive		
Director of the Stonewall Community Development		
Corporation. After his recommendation, Councilman		
Gerson dropped his attempt to ban foie gras. Former		
Bronx Assemblyman Michael Benjamin after introducing		
legislation at the State level to ban foie gras,		
during the visit, stopped and told a group of people		
that was with him, I think Dr. Bartholf was there		
that day, that I have been lied to. And he withdrew		
his support for his own bill. The truth, we're these		
wonderful people on both sides and we listen to each		
of them, the importance of our jobs and the economics		
that's very different in a rural economy. But you		
are not going to find the truth here today. But the		
truth is two and a half hours away and for God sake,		
send somebody to see what we do, because it is very		
hard to describe, and you can look at all the		
pictures you want but seeing is a true thing.		

2 The other thing is, Supreme Court didn't take the 3 California lawsuit, they sent it back to federal district court. We are in our eighth year of 4 litigation in federal court and I just want to share 5 that this type of legislation is unconstitutional. 6 We overturned the California ban and we will again, 7 and we would immediately contest this kind of 8 legislation. Not only for ourselves but the farmers 9 everywhere because it's unconstitutional. Thank you. 10 MARK LINGERMAN: My name is Mark Lingerman, I am 11 12 the Executive Director for Christ Health Care 13 Ministry. We are concerned that the banning of the sale of foie gras products will result in reduced or 14 15 eliminated access to healthcare for poor, migrant 16 farm workers in Sullivan and Orange County, New York. 17 That's why I am here in opposition to 18 Introduction 1378. Our Ferndale Free Medical Clinic, which was built and is heavily supported by Hudson 19 20 Valley Foie Gras, is the only free medical clinic in Sullivan County. If that funding is eliminated, it 21 2.2 would eliminate the only heath care option for our 23 patients, many of who are poor, migrant farmers. Our clinic manages more than 260 patient visits per year; 24

more then 1000 in the five years of our existence.

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 Christ Health Care Ministry provides healthcare at no cost, to those without access to insurance. 3 The ministry is a federal 501C3. The medical 4 providers, doctors, nurses, nurse practitioners, and 5 physicians assistants all volunteer their time. 6 7 we lose the financial support of the Hudson Valley Foie Gras, it would impair our ability to open and 8 maintain our free Warwick, New York Clinic as well, 9 which is slated to open on July 1st. The Warwick free 10 clinic is surrounded by a large migrant population of 11 12 more than 300 families who lack medical insurance and 13 the financial resources to obtain reliable, caring, 14 comprehensive, medical aid.

Our patients receive: Primary care; lab and radiologic support; phlebotomy; and the subspecialties of cardiology; general surgery; hematology/oncology; nephrology; orthopedics; rheumatology; urology; wound care; endocrinology; dermatology; neurology; OBGYN; etc.

Prior to the installation of the CHCM clinic in Ferndale, our patients did not have access to healthcare or necessary medications. Now, our patients have a medical home. They are seen by a stable set of medical providers. There are repeat

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

- patients who are comfortable coming into the clinic. 2 3 We collaborate with the Catskills Regional Medical Center and we are seeing evidence of reduced Alc 4 levels and reduced blood pressure in our patients.
- 6 Thank you.
- Thank you. UNIDENTIFIED:
 - LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: I am Dr. Lawrence Bartholf, Doctor of Veterinary Medicine. I am here in opposition to Introduction 1378.
 - For the last 50 years, I have been a Doctor of Veterinary Medicine, specializing in the care of farm animals. I am past President of the New York State Veterinary Medical Society. And I have long been active in animal welfare causes. I was the first recipient of the American Veterinary Medical Associations Animal Welfare Award in 1990.

In the course of my career, I have had many opportunities to observe firsthand the practices of Hudson Valley Foie Gras. America's largest foie gras farm and the only one of three remaining in the United States. I first visited Hudson Valley Foie Gras about 30 years ago to investigate an animal welfare complaint and I was an active member of the Sullivan County Society for the Prevention of Cruelty

to Animals at that time. In the years since, I have visited the farm with many animal welfare and veterinary groups. In 2006, I accompanied a group of veterinarians from the American Veterinary Medical Association and we all inspected the farm at that time.

Here I would like to divert a little bit, digress from my written part and I would like to cover some misconceptions that I've heard earlier today. One, is that the liver has been described as diseased in these ducks and they say they have hepatosis. Well, yes, they do. Hepatosis is the medical term for fatty liver. Foie Gras is fatty liver. While this would be abnormal in humans and usually the cause the death, it's not abnormal in birds and it's a normal ability that birds have because they migrate and lay eggs.

Two other things, these ducks pant because — UNIDENTIFIED: Just wrap up your testimony.

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: They wrap down and they pant just like your dog does to control their body temperature and the esophagus, which I would love to cover and that would take me three minutes all by itself, but it's flexible, it's durable and that's

- 2 what allows a wild a water fowl to have a fish, a
- 3 live fish and fins that you wouldn't be able to hold
- 4 | in your hand in its throat and not be damaged.
- 5 That's why a feeding tube is a nonevent for them.
- 6 Thank you very much.
- 7 UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you. Were we waiting for 8 anyone else to come and sit down and also give
- 9 testimony, because we do have a few question, I am
- 10 sure we have questions.
- 11 Yeah, if you could just remain for a second.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: We are going to allow
- 13 | Council Member Rosenthal to jump in with a question
- 14 or two now.
- 15 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And then the remainder
- 16 of people will testify for sure. I appreciate that
- 17 Chair.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Of course, please.
- 19 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I really want to ask
- 20 the doctor a couple of questions. Have you seen
- 21 | farms where the ducks are not treated humanely?
- 22 | LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: No, but I have not seen any
- 23 | farm other than Hudson Valley Foie Gras and after
- 24 | all, there are only three in the United States.

years ago, you visited a bunch of farms.

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: This farm.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

able to eat.

23

24

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: Well, I grew up on a farm.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: You had said that many

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Was it had force

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Only this farm?

Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, you don't have

feeding of the ducks.

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: No.

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF:

anything to compare it to?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, and then secondly, I want to know what your response is to this notion of a liver growing by one in a half times versus ten times.

potential to grow ten times in birds, all birds and it's the reason because they lay eggs which takes a lot of fat in a very, very short period of time. Also, to cover periods of starvation and also to cover migration when the birds are flying and not

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: Yes, the liver has the

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And so, when you -

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: So, birds have the ability to store fat around their body like we do, but also in their liver, which is unique to birds.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Ten times. I guess my question was the three instances that you just mentioned are all you know, how often do they lay eggs? How often do they migrate? Is it on a daily basis that it's common for a bird to be at ten times the liver size every single day of it's life?

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: No, of course not.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I don't know, I am not a physician but that is what happens in these situations.

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: For a matter of a few weeks, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: For a matter of a few weeks? Could you explain that to me?

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: Well, for actually about three weeks, is when they are in the final stages of the feeding. Okay, up until — and Marcus can tell you better —

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Before they are killed for the use of the liver.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

size?

2 LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: Before they are slaughtered, 3 yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, I just wanted to 4 be clear about that. So, it's not that they could 5 function after their liver is ten times the normal 6 7

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: As a matter of fact, what has happened, a researcher in France brought these birds up to where the liver was at its maximum extend and then the birds were not hungry, so they were allowed at their own will not to eat. The liver shrank down and then they could refill it again with fat. It is a normal procedure in the wild, it doesn't go to ten times in the wild. It does expand when they are eating in the fall or when there is lots of grain and as they are preparing to migrate.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Ten times the size every single day of its life. I just think there is a difference.

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: Not every single day of its life. Only at the end when its being tube fed.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: For the purpose of slaughter.

That's right. LAWRENCE BARTHOLF:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, and then, if you

could just explain to me what is in the mixture that is fed to the duck?

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: It is a mixture of protein and starch.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Protein and starch, what does that mean?

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: Well, the protein fraction is primarily soybeans and the starch fraction is primarily corn. This is a combination of grains that are fed to all of our domestic animals. It's nothing unusual.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Sir, are you employed by the farm in any way as a consultant?

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: As a consultant, and asked to come to some testimony like this?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Are you paid as a consultant?

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: On occasion.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, I just was curious to know. And how am I to respond to the testimony of the Humane Society Veterinary Medical Association which says on behalf of 9,000 members

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 195
2	nationwide and 300 in New York who endorse the
3	passage of this bill?
4	LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: That's the Humane Society of
5	the United States.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: No, the Humane
7	Veterinary Medical Association.
8	LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: Right, that is another
9	veterinary medical association and an association of
10	veterinarians. It is not the American Veterinary
11	Medical Association which is -
12	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Do you represent the
13	American Veterinary Association?
14	LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: I am a member of the American
15	Veterinary Medical Association, which is a
16	membership, which is the original membership of
17	approximately 90,000 -
18	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And do you have a
19	statement from them?
20	LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: Do I have a statement from

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah, I am just trying to understand how to respond to this, one person compared to 300 in New York, 9,000 nationwide. Okay, thank you very much. I appreciate it.

25

21

22

23

24

them?

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you and I just want to remind our colleagues and everybody that we have over

4 50 people waiting to testify. We lose the room in an

5 hour, so we're going to try and really keep this

6 moving. But please, Council Member Rivera.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I just want to follow up on Council Member Rosenthal's question. How long would the duck live for if it was fed at the same rate but not slaughtered? Do you understand the question?

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: Yeah, as long as its normal life, it would probably be.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: If it's fed at the same rate and it's liver was as enlarged; it would live to the same duration of a normal duck.

LAWRENCE BARTHOLF: Right, what happens in these birds is once the liver reaches it maximum, okay, it's like if you want to think of an assembly line, like in a Ford assembly line plant. If they don't need any more cars down at the end of the line, they don't start anymore at the beginning.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I appreciate the analogy, but I don't want to compare a living thing to a car. So, give me one second. I want to just say, because

2.2

I don't have a lot of time and we do have a lot of people that I want to hear from.

So, you mentioned about the migratory factors of the birds in their natural habits, but from what I've read at most, that enlargement is at three times, not ten. And then the second thing I will add is that many other vets, including the former Wildlife Pathologist for the New York City Department of Environmental Conservation, they have a completely different view of the health and safety of foie gras. So, of course, professionals will disagree. So, we appreciate your testimony and trying to answer some of our questions, but I feel that again, a lot of my questions will really be for the farmers, so I ask Chair Levine if you want to move on. I am happy to do so, and I thank you all for your testimony and for giving us time. I really do.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Council Member Rivera and I think we have additional witnesses on this panel. Is that right? Yes, sir.

ROBERT AMBROSE: Hello, my name is Robert

Ambrose, and I am the managing partner of Belle Bella

Gourmet and I am here in strong opposition of 1378.

2.2

How many or you here today can say you visited
our farms in Sullivan County, or have an
understanding of the physiology of a duck? The
reality is just a short drive away, and you can see
how the ducks are treated and how much economic
impact we have on the area. Bella Bella Gourmet is a
wholesaler and transforming kitchen of foie gras,
duck and poultry products. We distribute our
products in New York City across the country and
around the globe.

Prior to partnering with LaBelle Farms, I wanted to see the farm. I went up and worked every aspect of the farm, toured every building, total transparency. I wanted to see what they were doing. What I saw was how the farmers treated the birds, how they treated their employees. It was amazing. Whenever I take a group of Chefs up there, I see the same thing and so does the Chefs that we have tour there.

The birds have clean, dry bedding, fresh water and food. It is evident that they show respect for the birds as well as the employees. The entire birds are utilized, nothing goes to waste. The employees receive monetary incentives based on how they handle

2.2

15 years, I have
, chefs,

restaurant tours and inquisitive individuals.

When a visitor views the interaction between the farmer, staff and birds firsthand is astounding.

Demanding chefs can recognize quality product by taste, smell, looks, and how it reacts in cooking.

When these chefs experience how the birds are raised and treated and are proud to serve our foie gras in their establishment, it makes us so happy.

I ask that before moving forward with 1378, you take time to visit our farms. See the birds, see the people, see the area.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you sir for your testimony. Please.

ERWIN GROME: Hello, I am Erwin Grome, General Manager of Hudson Valley Chicken LLC. I am here in opposition to the Introduction 1378.

Hudson Valley Chicken provides high-quality

Certified Humane and organic chickens to the markets,
restaurants, and the restaurants in this region. Our
facility shares resources such as transportation and
wastewater treatment with Hudson Valley Foie Gras.

_

We fear the loss of Hudson Valley Foie Gras would raise our costs and put or business at risk.

Our chickens are gown on family farms in

Pennsylvania. Large chicken companies demand farmers

have expensive upgrades to their building, or new

buildings to work with them. We work with farmers

with older, but well-maintained facilities. Without

us, dozens of these farms would be very difficult to

find work for them to continue.

Hudson Valley Chicken is also one of the very few facilities in the Northeast that allows very small farmers to brig their chickens or other poultry to us for processing. They can bring a few birds, or they can bring several more and we give them back the fully processed under USDA inspection product. This facility has created opportunities for many small farms to bring their products to farmers markets in the region. We processed for almost 200 small farms last year. Loss of this service would be crushing and force man of these farmers to discontinue producing poultry. Those that continue would be forced to distant processors, raising their cost of their products in their markets significantly.

Ū

I also want to say that working with Hudson

Valley Foie Gras and comparing our Certified Humane

chicken operation with their duck operation, the

ducks are very well cared for. I have seen the

farming statistics reflecting proper care of animals

that exceeds the Certified Humane standards.

The other issue that is not understood that many products from poultry that would be almost impossible to separate from the New York City market without great disruption to companies across the country.

Most stores selling pet treats, food, have products made from duck. Many ducks are sold whole and there are no left-over materials. Foie Gras ducks are deboned, and the bones and trimmings are used in pet products. It is almost certain every store selling pet products in New York City has duck from foie gras farms. Further, all the feathers from the duck processing are saved, cleaned and dried for use in down clothing, bedding and pillows.

The bill is very threatening to the 60 people working at Hudson Valley Chicken and the many many farmers we support. We reject Introduction 1378.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you sir and I believe this concludes our panel. Oh, one more, forgive me please.

SERGIO SARAVIA: Hi, my name is Sergio Saravia.

I am in opposition to 1378. I was born in El

Salvador and it was during the Civil War and the
earliest childhood memory that I have is of my mother
being severely beaten because soldiers came to our
house assuming that we had money and they wanted to
rob us. We watched our mother get beaten, we had to
move from our town to another town and then we walked
to the United States. Our country never gave us any
protection from the guerrillas or the soldiers.

When we got here as refugees, we weren't given asylum. Imputed political opinion wasn't recognized as a claim for political asylum. The only people that accepted to help us was Izzy from Hudson Valley. He gave my father a job, we lived in a one-bedroom apartment, eleven of us. He signed so that they sponsored my father and we got legal that way. I went to school. We went to a school where I was the only Spanish guy and I wasn't meant to be in school.

I wasn't meant to do anything good because of where I came from because of the language that I

2.2

spoke. I went to college, the same thing. I actually had a teacher tell me, you are not going to graduate, you are not going to do well, you are not going to be an attorney. I made it as an attorney. I'm Spanish, so automatically, I am supposed to be something that was like everybody else in my country. Those people know about torture, we work seven days a week from when I was 11 to this day. We work with every person on that farm. There is over 400 people

Whether you go visit or not, I need you to at least do something before you pass it and make generalizations like other people do. Like our country did to us because we couldn't have neutrality like when the immigration system denied us something because we didn't have the right claim. Here we stand with some generalizations of people who are against what we do. We are workers, these are our families, this is our community. One percent to you is 100 percent to us. I can walk away from that now that I am an attorney. I will never do that because that's my family. Every person in here, that's my family. I cannot walk away from it.

[APPLAUDING]

between the two farms.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, folks please, please.

Mr. Saravia, I want to thank you for speaking out
today. I want you know that independent of the
debate we are having here around legislation that I
solute you and celebrate you and your family. I
think you embody the best of the American dream and
you have our full support as people who undoubtedly
have helped make New York a better place. Have
helped make this country a better place and I thank
you for that.

And thank you for your bravery in speaking out today. Your opinion very much matters, thank you.

[APPLAUSE]

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Folks, we have done very well with the respectful atmosphere in here and I don't want to break from that as we enter the homestretch of the hearing.

And Council Member Rivera has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I had a question for the managers of the farm. I don't know if you want to come back to the microphone and I appreciate you swapping with your colleagues.

So, just quickly, because I know there are a lot of people here to testify. How many ducks do you have on your farm at a single time.

ERWIN GROME: About 100,000.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: 100,000, how do you ensure that your standards are applied to every duck given your production volume?

ERWIN GROME: I provided your office with the statistics that are comparing as an indicator of animal welfare the comparative mortality rates for various poultry rearing facilities. And if you reviewed that, you saw that while our ducks are raised for 105 days, our farm mortality rate is four and a half percent. Chickens, our Certified humane antibiotic free chickens at 42 days have a mortality rate of about 4.7 percent. Certified organic chickens at 42 days. So, we keep statistics at about 7 percent. Regular ducks that are not grown for foie gras at 42 days are at about 5 percent.

So, those welfare indicators are very carefully tracked at every point in the process. We have caretakers I think that we have twelve in the pregavage period in the nurseries and the growing.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, I wanted to ask, I did get the data and I thank you because we have had a conversation and I thought it was very reasonable and it was quite pleasant. And the data that you sent to me from what I remember, wasn't very comprehensive. It didn't account for entries. It was only for one week. So, if you have longer more comprehensive data, I am happy to take that and review it, absolutely send anything my way. I just have another question.

So, there is 100,000 ducks on the farm and there is how many workers, I think you said 285.

ERWIN GROME: About 280, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: 280-285, what policies are in place? This is the question I was trying to get at earlier. What policies are in place to ensure that 285 workers could feed 100,000 ducks and still reach those quality standards that you are discussing today?

ERWIN GROME: We have a farmer codes of conduct for each of the different sections of the farm and the gavage section is I think the one that we're most concerned about and so, we have a bonus system or a profit sharing system where the different measures of

animal welfare, the quality of the output, the
mortality rate, is rewarded so that each person is
assigned a certain number of ducks and each duck one
by one, is evaluated during the processing stage and
then the workers by the quality of their output which
reflects the quality of the animal care are given -
so the bonus numbers for the last week, it's a three
week cycle for feeding the ducks. I think the
average was close \$400 dollars for each worker last
week. So, we're doing a really great job right now.
COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, you have workers
responsible each for hundreds of ducks. So, I just

responsible each for hundreds of ducks. So, I just want to you know, be very just honest about again, the data that you sent, I did not find it comprehensive and it did not account for injuries from what I recall. So, I understand you've had a number of people here with you and there will always be a difference in opinion. I think what we are trying to get at today is how we ethically define the humane treatment of animals.

So, I want to thank you for your testimony and for everyone else's and with that Chair, I thank you for the time.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much Council Member Rivera and thank you to this panel. We are going to move onto the next panel which will be Herve Breuil from the Woodstock Farm Sanctuary; Holly Cheever from New York State Humane Association; Gene Baur from the Farm Sanctuary; Ashley Byrne from PETA; Blair Marshall; Viola Agostini; Nicole Fernandez and we are going to try and keep it moving because we are under very severe time constraints so I will allow you to please kick us off. If you can pull the microphone, are you speaking as well. Okay, thank you. If I could have it quite, please.

ASHELY BYRNE: Good afternoon, my name is Ashley Byrne and I am an Associate Director with PETA and a longtime resident of New York City and I am speaking on behalf of our several thousand members and supporters here who live in the New York City area.

PETA absolutely supports the bill to ban foie gras sales from the city. Investigations at every foie gras farm in the United States and throughout Europe have all documented sick, dead, and dying animals. Some with holes in their necks from pipe injuries.

You know, there has been a lot of talk from people here in support of foie gras, saying to come visit the farms. Well, we have had people visit the farms and I would like to talk about what they saw.

A PETA investigation at Hudson Valley Foie Gras, which is a factory farm, previously called

Commonwealth Enterprises found that a single worker was expected to force feed 500 birds three times each day. The pace meant that they often treated the birds roughly and left them injured and suffering.

So many ducks died from ruptured organs resulting from over feeding. That workers who killed fewer then 50 birds per month were given a bonus. By Hudson Valley's own calculations, approximately 15,000 ducks on the farm die every year before they can be slaughtered. Ducks who don't die prematurely at Hudson Valley are killed on site and PETA's investigator documented one bird during their visit who was still moving after his throat had been cut. The birds suffered from other ailments as well, including one duck who had a maggot ridden neck wound, so severe that water spilled out of it when he drank.

2.1

2.2

At a farm near Montreal that is owned by Palmex Inc. which is a brand of Rougie who was represented here today as well. PETA documented ducks lined up in rows of iron coffin like cages that encase their bodies like vices. The birds heads and necks protruded through small openings to make the force feeding easier for the human workers. And the birds couldn't turn around or spread a single wing. And I will just say that similar conditions have been documented on the largest French Foie Gras Factory Farms.

So, cruelty is just standard in this industry. There is no such thing as humane foie gras. Thank you.

CHAIRPESON LEVINE: Thank you, thank you. Please sir.

HERVE BREUIL: Good afternoon Council Members.

Good afternoon Chair Levine. My name Herve Breuil, I am the Shelter Director at Woodstock Farm Sanctuary where we care for 370 rescued animals amongst them,

40 ducks and I am here today to testify in strong support of Intro. 1378.

I am originally from France and I worked as a Campaign Manager for the Animal Rights Association

2.2

L214 for three years and I conducted investigations in over a dozen foie gras farms. So, I've seen firsthand whats happening in there and we were invited and what we saw when we were invited was quite different then when we were not invited. And we've talked about the cruelty that's happening with the force feeding, the 2 pounds of corn mash that they are throwing down their throat in five seconds with the help of a pump. We've talked about the holes in their throats and about them gasping for air because of the size of the liver pushing on their lungs.

But there are things we have not talked about. For instance, their beaks and those we don't talk about. Those are the way they fight with each other or so you do not say that the females are killed because their livers have to many veins and do not produce foie gras. So, maybe that's something that should be talked about.

The first thing you notice when you go into those farms is the silence. The mallards are still old, but they are also mute, so you only here the fans and you also smell — there is a rancid smell in those farms coming from the corn mash and you see those

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

ducks dying, the corn mash coming out of their

3 mouths. That is very common to see those. I have

4 seen so many of those ducks dying when we were

5 visiting those farms.

So, it's a French tradition but it's changing very quickly and I will wrap up by saying that there was a survey that was done in November 2017 in France and 58 percent of French people were in favor of banning the force feeding of ducks and geese and 37 percent of French people refuse to buy foie gras for ethical reasons. And so, that's very recent and also, I want to say that 23 out of the 28 European Countries banned the production of foie gras. friends know it has become an exception and I hope that New York will follow the steps of those European countries and also French people that in the vast majority are opposed to foie gras even though it's control and since the footage have come out, to L214 people really see whats happening.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much. Please.

HOLLY CHEEVER: I am Doctor Holly Cheever and I have been to Hudson Valley Foie Gras on three occasions. First, in 1991 as part of an uncover

2.1

2.2

investigation from PETA. Secondly, in 1997, at the request of Whole Foods who were sought by Hudson Valley Foie Gras to come to the plant to see how humane their product was as an offering as a food choice and of course, they hope that Whole Foods would pick them up and distribute them nationwide and what's important about that particular visit in 1997 was that was the last time the public ever got a chance to see foie gras as it is done at Hudson Valley Foie Gras nowadays as well.

The third time I went as a guest invited by Dr.

Larry Bartholf whom you heard speak in the previous panel and then as we began to see these very sanitized choreographed and altered forms of public display once Hudson Valley Foie Gras realized that the public could not see the real activity because Whole Food were a stinging rebuke to them saying that they were lied to. That clearly this was a torturous process and that they would never carry any kind of Foie Gras Hudson Valley products ever in their national distribution of food types.

So, in all the animals that I have seen, both living and dead, I have seen pneumonia, I've seen gross liver failure, I've seen liver rupture. I have

2.2

seen esophageal trauma and an esophageal rupture, and I have also seen hepatic encephalopathy, which is Greek for if my liver dies then my brain is going to die as well. And I have also seen on two occasions workers actually forcing the feeding tubes down the necks of seizuring birds because again, if he liver is in failure, it cannot process the blood to keep toxins away from the brain and the brain then goes into it's own form of illness.

One thing we haven't mentioned about these birds is they are not a natural species, they are a hybrid species usually a hybrid cross of Pekin and Muscovy ducks, neither of whom have any migrating DNA in them. So, to say that this is going to be mimicking natural migratory behavior is nonsense and the reason they are chosen is that they live longer then other species do as a hybrid and therefore they can make it past the four weeks which is typically when the death rate begins to become so overwhelming that it eats up the profits and this was stated by Guillermo Gonzalez who is the owner of Sonoma Valley Foie Gras in California at the time when that business was shut down by California passing a law.

2.2

I know I'm at the end of my speaking time but if anyone from the Council would like to know the difference between the sanitized versions, the choreographed tours that started happening after California's passage of the law. On the east coast Hudson Valley Foie Gras, so that the writing was on the wall and Gourmet Magazines, Chefs, Editors, Cooks, Veterinarians, who are all coming, they want to visit. And so, if anyone has any curiosity about

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you, thank you very much. Please.

that, I'd be happy to answer question.

CHRIS DEROSE: Hi my name is Chris DeRose,

President and founder of Last Chance for Animals. We are based out of Los Angeles, I am from Brooklyn originally, but I came out here for this from Los Angeles. I love New York. I love what it could be,

I love what it is. I wrote a great talk, but I am not going to do it, so I am going to spare you that because my colleagues have said it better then I would be able to say it.

You know, New York has proudly withstood the 911 terrorist attacks. We have cleaned up the city from crime and gangs, made the streets safe again here.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 But I have one question I would like to answer, why

3 can we also have the city be known as the most

4 compassionate city in the world? Why do we have to

5 let the ugliness of small profit driven businesses

6 like the foie gras and the horse carriages; why do we

7 have to let them terminate the ugliness of New York

8 City and tarnish the name of New York City?

The strength of a nation is judged by the way its animals are treated. But I think that also has to do with the City of New York. We are a big city; we could set the pace for the rest of the world. We could be the first major city in the world to become a carrying compassionate city, not only for its humane population but also for all its living beings.

If you were to do to a human being what they do to these ducks and geese, you would be considered the sickest, the sadist of human beings but because it's an animal, we are able to justify it as food or they are just animals and they don't care, and they don't feel. They do feel and I put a ban on the foie gras obviously and also for the carriage horses. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you. I never would have guessed you are from Brooklyn.

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

GENE BAUR: Thank you so much to the Council and to the kindhearted people of New York who have come out today to speak for compassion for animals. we treat other animals says a lot about who we are and what is acceptable. And on farms across the U.S., bad has become normal and there has been talk about factory farming and that's important. I cofounded Farm Sanctuary in 1986 and have been visiting Factory Farms since that time. We care for animals who have been rescued from these abuses including ducks who have come from foie gras farms and they are some of the most sick animals we've ever had.

The one thing that is so important to remember is that these animals would die if they were not slaughtered at a young age. Their livers are ten times the normal size, that is really the take home message. And the folks in the foie gras industry who are defending this are not necessarily bad people. They have come to accept things and normalize them and use actually the word factory farming as a bad thing. Which is good, but what is humane, and they also use the word humane and in fact, if you look at page six of the document that was provided, it talks about how Hudson Valley Foie Gras tried to use the

2.1

2.2

word humane and it was disallowed, because it was not a humane product.

And they talk about how it's not a factory farm but if you look at page five, of this handout, that's a factory farm. Having 100,000 animals is a factory farm. So, what is okay becomes the question and this is a product that we do not need. This is a product that is the result of torture. And it is outside the bounds of acceptable conduct in our society and our societal views are shifting and this is one of those things that at a certain point, we will look back on and say, how could we have done that.

So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much. Were there additional speakers on this panel that we need to swap, oh, forgive me, yes.

BLAIR MARSHALL: Real quick. My name is Blair
Marshall and I live in Flushing New York. I am Peter
Koo's constituent. I respectfully ask that Intro.

1378 be passed by your Committee.

As someone who was born and raised in New York, I am worried and concerned that foie gras is legally served in New York City restaurants. Foie gras is an extravagant dish that is the result of cruel, force

2.2

feeding of ducks and I've heard a lot about you know,

and I sympathize with the person on the other side

who shared his hardship about coming in and being an

5 immigrant.

I grew up on 5th Avenue, I am a debutant. I had a privileged life until I had some mental health problems and my family was no where to be found. If it weren't for the laws in this city, I would have been homeless and I want to give voice to the animals who do not have a voice and these birds are being tortured and 81 percent of New York City Voter support is ban on force fed foie gras, I stand with them. I ask that the Committee pass this bill as soon as possible. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much and are there others who need to swap in here. Okay, if I could ask just the two of you just to rotate your seats please. Thank you. Oh, three, forgive me, yeah.

DAULIA BENAROYA: I am Daulia Benaroya, I live in District 19 in Queens. As an animal lover and a President of a Website Design Company, I created a website platform to share information about the abuses of animals for the public because many people

2.1

2.2

2 are not aware of what goes on in the animal welfare 3 area.

Being in the computer field, I also want to make a note that millions of people will lose their jobs due to artificial intelligence and society is not stopping this progress due to that. So, why should they stop for the animals? A lot of abuse was mentioned about the ducks that go through these processes. These are male ducks; nobody mentioned the fact that female ducklings are not useful.

So, what do they do, multiple millions of female ducks are just tossed into grinders while alive.

In France, 40 million are killed that way. So, that's also animal abuse. Over 3 billion animals are killed daily for food. Before they are killed, most if not all are abused and tortured. I don't expect the world to save 3 billion animals but if we want to be humane, we need to chip away where we can. This is one place where we can do that with Intro. 1378. I really thank all of you for being so pro-animal welfare. I am really thrilled, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you. Please.

VIOLA AGOSTINI: Hello, my name is Viola

Agostini. I reside in District 36 and my Councilman

2.2

is Robert Cornegy. I am here to support the Intro.

1378, the ban of sale of foie gras. New York City

became my home almost ten years ago. I moved here

from Italy to pursue my dreams in the hospitality

6 business and learn more about different cuisines from

7 around the world.

Italian food is good, but I knew there was more to explore in the gastronomy world especially in a city like New York. I quickly became a big fan of French restaurant and therefore, I discovered foie gras, which honestly, I loved. I remember telling my American friends what foie gras was. At the time, I knew it was just duck liver and they used to look at me with a face of disgust. While I always had a better feedback from my European friends in this regard of it.

Until one day on social media, I came across an article on how foie gras is made. Foie gras that literally means fatty liver which technically, is a diseased liver and is obtained by force feeding ducks and geese with a metal or plastic pole jammed down their throat in order to feed them up to four pounds of food per day.

The investigation found that a single worker was expected to force feed the 500 birds three times each day.

Therefore, causing lots of suffering and injuries to the birds. Can you just try to be in their feathers for just one minute. Well, I did, and I couldn't stand that the thought of being involved as a consumer in such a cruel and abusive industry.

My taste was no more important that a living being life. I also would like to add that as a former tourist and someone that brings lots of visitors to New York City, the one thing that they all agreed as the most ugly attraction they had seen, are those carriages in Central Park. Especially during the hot summer days, panting and suffering for a job they never asked to do.

So, I fully support the Intro. 1425. The Carriage Horse Heat Relief Bill. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much.

NICOLE FERNANDEZ: Good afternoon members of the Committee on Health. My name is Nicole Fernandez, and I reside in District 36. My Council Member is Robert Cornegy. And before I begin what I prepared; I would just like to comment on regard to what was

2.1

2.2

2 said regarding AAVMA. The AAVMA is actually on 3 record supporting the ban on foie gras and they

4 condemn the artificial force feeding of ducks and

5 geese to produce foie gras.

Today, I am here to express my support for Intro.

1378 which would put an end to the sale of foie gras
in New York City.

For six year, I worked in a gastroenterology office where we performed endoscopies on a weekly basis and if you are unfamiliar, endoscopies are a medical procedure that involve having a long, flexible tube inserted down the esophagus of the patient for the purpose of diagnosing various medical issues. I can distinctly recall the apprehension the patients would experience the day of their test. I would put them at ease by holding their hands as they would receive anesthesia. I can also remember my trepidation once I had to undergo this procedure myself.

This procedure is far less severe then what many ducks and geese endure for foie gras. The tube that is forced down their throats is a rigid metal or plastic tube. These duck and geese are not afforded the same comfort and care that I was given. There is

2.2

no anesthesia and they are stuffed to ten times their natural size. Can you imagine having to experience this yourself? My cats at home will squirm in discomfort when I need to hold them still just to administer oral medications. Animals feel fear and they suffer. To thing that these animals, the same animals that can be seen peacefully sailing through the waters in Central Park, would have this forced upon them all for one high priced delicacy item on a menu is quite appalling. Especially when 81 percent of New Yorkers are against foie gras.

New York City needs to join California and over a dozen European countries that have already banned the despicable practice. Lastly, I would like to add my support for Intro. 1425, the Carriage Horse Heat Relief Bill. This bill will greatly reduce the heat exhaustion that horses suffer year after year in New York City. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much and thank you to this panel. Okay, due to the fact that we need this room to be set up for the Stated Meeting, we are going to transition to the Committee Room. It's going to be a little tight. Bear with us, we will continue.

2.1

2.2

Okay, welcome back, we're going to continue our hearing. The next panel will include Flora Mattis;

Joyce Friedman of Voters for Animal Rights; Natasha

5 Reznik; Judith Lustgarten of Humanity and Ethics for

6 | Shelter Animals; Marilyn Galfin Voices for Shelter

7 | Animals; Craig Seeman Voters for Shelter Animals;

Brian Shapiro Humane Society of the U.S.; Chris

9 | Allieri Wild Bird Fund.

Okay, once again, we have two few chairs, but we promise we will hear from all of you as we swap out. Would you like to start us off please.

JOYCE FRIEDMAN: Thank you Council Member Levine. My name is Joyce Friedman, I am a New York City resident and I am the Board of Directors of Voters for Animal Rights. I am testifying today in strong support of the bill to ban the sale of foie gras and Intro. 1202, the bill to prohibit the trafficking of wild birds in New York City. Regarding foie gras, I will just say plant based delicious foie gras exists and it called faux gras. Try it sometime.

I will focus on Intro. 1202, a much-needed bill that will protect New York City's wild birds from the illegal yet common practice of being violently stolen from our parks and streets to be used as live targets

in Pennsylvania pigeon shooting contests. I have

worked on this issue for many years. Individuals are

paid to lure large flux of pigeons with bird seed and

then violently snap up hundreds of pigeons at once in

a large mechanical net often resulting in painful

broken legs or wings to these terrified fragile

8 birds.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Sparrow starlings and robins often get caught in these wings to, then the netter races to their vehicle and throws in the struggling netted birds and speeds away. This has been witnessed many times by horrified New Yorkers as my friend has said. friends quote, I have first-hand experience in dealing with the unscrupulous pigeon netters who with impunity have been working as an organized unit in and around the five boroughs of New York City. decades I got them red-handed netting a flock on 42nd street and single handedly got them to release the birds though it wasn't easy. I have heard of devastated flocks of pigeons who were completely wiped out when the frail women who care for them cannot stand up to these criminals who kept coming back to net them. Decades of research has shown by the HSUS and other groups that these birds are

2.2

transported to the last remaining brutal pigeon

3 shooting contest in Pennsylvania.

Prior to being shot, the pigeon are kept in dark tiny boxes without food and water, so is to disorient them so when their released at the shoot they cannot quickly fly away. Often, they are maned and left to suffer until they die and believe it or not, children will twist their heads off.

It sounds like a horror movie; it is actually reality. HSUS research has shown that multiple nettings have occurred in our city in the one to two weeks prior to scheduled Pennsylvania pigeon shoots.

And HSUS undercover investigators have followed netters at they transported the birds out of New York for these shoots. Why does this continue even though it's illegal. Our current laws are not sufficient. The current week penalty is just the cost of doing business. When arrests are made by the NYPD, the criminals are quickly back on the streets. This bill will simply, and I will wrap up. Increase the penalty to a misdemeanor and make the law clearer and easier for law enforcement to protect our urban wildlife.

Thank you Council Member Carlina Rivera for recognizing the need to protect New York City birds from this cruel practice and we look forward to the bills swift passage. Thank you vey much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much.

BRIAN SHAPIRO: Thank you Chairman and honorable Council Members. My name is Brian Shapiro New York State Director for the Humane Society of the United States. Regrading the foie gras bill 1378, I'd like to applaud the Council for recognizing the difference between ethical veterinarians and scientists who are here to speak form the heart and as earlier was mentioned from the industry, unbiased. As opposed to those who much like the tobacco industry or the auto industry were paid purposefully to present a particular point of view to prop up an industry.

Speaking of the industry, I do want to mention we heard a lot about a field trip Hudson Valley Foie

Gras. A long-time lawsuit by the Humane Society of the United States ended less then 10 years ago with Hudson Valley Foie Gras having been shown to have violations of the clean water act. And needing to pay \$50,000 in remediation for that pollution.

_

2.2

So, that is something that has effected the community there as well, which is actually where I live in the upstate area.

Additionally, we support 1425, this is sensible legislation regarding the carriage horses following up on what Joyce Friedman just mentioned. We support Intro. 1202 in relation to the prohibiting the trafficking of wild birds. We have found HSUS a coalition between incidents of netting large groups of pigeons on the streets in New York City and they're transportation to Pennsylvania for something sickening known as pigeon shoots.

We have through investigations followed automobiles there. There is a timing issue where when these nettings happen, they do end up in Pennsylvania. We also, this is quite gruesome, in order to remove bans from homing pigeons that have been captured in New York City, we know for a fact that the legs have been removed from these birds while they are alive prior to them being shot in Pennsylvania. Wildlife is a resource for all New York City residents and these nettings are selfish and they are illegal, and we urge the City Council to move forward on this legislation.

presented very well. We urge the City Council to

Regarding the shelter bills, the shelter is

bring them in as stakeholders and thank you very much

for your service to New York City and for the time to

/

restrict their movements.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Mr. Shapiro.

BRIAN SHAPIRO: Yes, sir.

present our opinion today.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you.

NATASHA REZNIK: First, I would like to thank the Committee for recognizing points of these issues. My name is Natasha Reznik, I am from Brooklyn, I support Intro. 1378 to ban foie gras in New York City.

Foie gras is fatty liver. By definition, it is the inflammation of the liver. If you or I get diagnosis from the doctor, we would be immediately put on a diet. So, how do ducks and geese get fatty liver? By being forced pipes down their throats which forces large amounts of food into their stomachs and then repeat it several times a day. Their throats and stomachs can be damaged by forcing a pipe down. And to ensure that they fatten up as quickly as possible, they are kept in cages to

2.2

Well you and I can go on a healthy plant-based diet and exercise to save our liver and our lives; the geese and ducks do not have such an option. They endure the torture every day throughout their short lives until slaughter. There is nothing natural about this and it should not be continued based on the past and therefore it's okay. That was then, we

Also, support Intro. 1425 to get horses out of the heat. Intro. 1202 to protect wild birds and more plant-based options for healthier New York.

Thank you once again.

can do better now.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you.

MARGARET LEE: Flora had to leave ill, so I will be reading for her. My name is Margaret Lee, I live in Manhattan. I am an animal advocate and a lover of our city's pigeon's. I am reading the testimony of an investigator with SARK showing animals respect and kindness.

Thank you, Council Members, my name is Steward Chevets, investigator with showing animals respect and kindness or SARK. We urge you to pass Intro.

1202. SARK has investigated and exposed pigeon shoots in numerous states throughout the country for

2.1

2.2

2 the past 30 years. We have video documenting

3 hundreds of these violent and horrifically cruel

4 spectacles. The vast majority of this abuses occurs

5 in Pennsylvania.

It is well known that many of the pigeons used in these shoots come from New York City. In a pigeon shoot, live birds are mechanically ejected from boxes, called traps. They are tossed two or three feet into the air and shot at close range. The floor of the trap is electrified to force even the most dossal birds to spread their wings and attempt to escape. This is killing for the sake of killing. It is deeply disturbing that there are twisted people who take pleasure in spilling this innocent blood.

Few of the victims die quickly. Birds shot somewhere on the contest fields are grabbed, jumped on or tackled by the workers who are often young preteen boys and girls. These children are allowed to torture the animals by tearing off the birds feathers, wings, or heads or by stomping them.

The criminal behavior of pigeon shoots goes beyond the animals and that children are taught that this kind of apetalous abuse is acceptable. We have seen still living birds thrown into garbage cans by

2.1

2.2

these child workers. Live birds are smothered under the bodies of more victims. We have seen birds who have somehow survived all these horrors only to be burned or buried alive. Pigeons who are shot but can still fly far enough to avoid retrieval may die minutes or hours later. Some birds suffer for days before finally succumbing to their wounds or predation, hunger dehydration, infection or exposure.

Even those we have rescued have suffered a morality rate of more than 50 percent because they are already on the brink of starvation. The birds are given little water and even less food in the days before the shoot, which saves money for the pigeon dealers and makes them easier to shoot.

As someone who has personally rescued hundreds of these wounded and dying pigeons, I can tell you that each and every one of them is an individual and any bird expert can tell you how intelligent these members of the dove family are.

We have included links to videos with graphic documentation of these events; however, I must caution, this is not for the faint of heart. If there is a pigeon population problem, there are humane options available.

2.1

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, thank you.

CRAIG SEEMAN: I am Craig Seeman, Voices for
Shelter Animals. I Support Intro. 1478 and 1502.

1478 for creating badly needed Department of Animal
Welfare. The Department of Health has no
infrastructure or mission to care about animal
welfare. Handling animals through the borough and
veterinary and pest control speaks loudly to that.

In 2002, Comptroller Bill Thompson ordered ACC in noted of the uselessness of the DOH inspection report. They were simply a list of yes/no check boxes all about the facility and nothing to do with the animals. Thompson said there was absolutely no known criteria even for the simple yes/no questions.

Seventeen years later, the DOE is still using the exact same form. They have nothing to do with real world animal welfare. The debatable live release rate mask underlined serious problems. Scott Stringer's 2013 report led astray reported that most animals transferred to rescues are sick. 60 years later, that hasn't changed. As of 2016, only 7 percent of the animals transferred to rescues were classified as health. Reporting stopped for two years. DOH did not address the absence of that

reporting or the ramp in illness amongst transfers exemplifies a department that has no concern for animal health.

While the DOH responded to the Avian Flu outbreak amongst cats in early 2017 by removing them cleaning the facility. It was only due to the potential transmission to humans. That's their mission. They continue to do nothing to address the pneumonia and [inaudible 16:40] is reported to us by the rescues who are far to afraid to speak out to the ACC and the DOH.

The isolation facilities for the treatment of sick animals and the AC are inadequate based on this Committee's April 2018 hearing and I will conclude with we need a department that focuses on animal welfare, understanding how to help our homeless nonhuman family and the need for transparency and a task force in 1502 for Euthanasia, so the community can respond and resolve these issues. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you sir.

MARILYN GALFIN: Hi, Marilyn Galfin, Voices for Shelter Animals. We support 1478, the Department of Animal Welfare replacing a DOH and the bureaus of veterinarian pest control services to oversee the

2.2

animal care centers. Companion animals continue to

be treated as such, as pests. With DOH's antiquated

status guo model of sheltering. The convenience

killing of adoptable treatable dogs and cats for

6 population control.

DOH continues use of behavior assessments, labeling animals with simple behavior issues and fear-based behavior as positive threats and some are being killed for it. When the journal of Veterinary Medical deems assessments invalid and call for moratorium on them.

The Executive Director of ACC 2003 to 2006 when asked, does the DOH have the best interest of ACC or the animals in its care at heart? The answer is clearly no, they do not. Nothing has changed. In my numerous calls and emails to DOH about my concerns about the kennel cough in the shelter, which an Anonymous Rescue claims that every dog is coming out of the shelter with it and my concerns about calicivirus in cats potentially fatal, I got no response.

2010 to 2013 ACC didn't even have a medical director. 20 plus years, DOH has no immediate solution for lack of ICU facilities and controlling

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

disease. The endless cycle of vulnerable homeless
animals coming in healthy, getting sick from the
shelter and potentially killed for these easily

5 treatable dog and cat calls will continue.

We need a Department of Animal Welfare to better address this issue. We hope that this department would consist of people who support the progressive model of sheltering. Who have animal experience. Who know who are emotionally invested and understand that every homeless animal is a sensitive being and deserves to be treated as such. It's not a number, it's not a live release rate and to ensure that every animal that enters the shelter will be treated humanely, get proper medical emergency care and the resources to ensure that animals come out alive. Healthy and are given a second chance of life and new home to get the love they deserve. We support 1502 and the task force with a community involvement so that rescuers and volunteers can freely speak without the fear of retribution and to allow a health environment and not the toxic environment on social media, so we can work together to find the policies and best practices for animals to save lives.

2.

And in our vision, we hope that the Animal Welfare Department will extend into protecting all animals that the city companion and non-companion to end exploitation, inhumane treatment and cruelty and put an end to this commerce of cruelty, the fur industry, puppy pet stores, foie gras, carriage horse and slaughterhouses and more.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Ms. Galfin, if you can wrap up please.

MARILYN GALFIN: Okay, this is it. My wrap up, here we go. Lets make the city humane. Let's show we have compassion and moral correctness and let's start with 1478 and 1502 and let's help our homeless animals. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, was there another speaker for this panel? Could you maybe grab a seat, so you have access to one of the mics?

JUDITH LUSTGARTEN: My name is Judith Lustgarten. People of wisdom know there is two sides to every story versus all the sugar and spice rah-rah. We desperately need replace DOH oversight of ACC with an animal welfare department and an animal advisory board with the best interest of these shelter animals at heart. We need Intro. 1478. The city has waited

2.2

20 years for proper humane oversight. The animal law we work under was created in 1894, a hundred and twenty-five years ago, it's archaic. Yet here we are in New York city, supposedly, the most progressive city in the world still working under an obsolete animal law from over a century ago. The law states that in any city of two million or more, Mayor has full control. Mayor's don't have the time nor qualifications for this today, which is why in progressive states there is an animal care service advisory boards providing recommendations to Mayor's and City Council's.

Intro. 1502, we need honest accountability and transparency on shelter euthanasia, behavior evaluations, accessors and their qualifications, it's critical. As these evaluations are used for labeling animals for euthanasia decisions and new hope rescue only. It's not fair to these living soles, not to have qualified, ethical professionals handling this.

Most every animals assessments have been proven incorrect once out of ACC especially once they decompress. Animals terrified in a shelter environment. Puppies biting on leashes, dogs lunching, pulling towards another dog or human. It's

2.2

matrix.

dogs being dogs. We all see this every day on the street, but at ACC it's a death sentence. This business can now be done successfully, when proper people are put in place implementing plans correctly versus retrofitted. There are now proven success records. It's growing one by one across our country. Kennel pounds large and small are being converted by various modern shelter reform by no kennel equation by companion animal protection act.

As the momentum of shelter reform continues to build, it will apex and then it will snowball.

Already, everywhere you turn you hear of another shelter converting, yet in New York City, we still have these archaic kill shelters. A task force of advocates is needed to develop proper ethical shelter practices, recommend changes in policies and law. We must know the criteria for animals not made available. Silent kills, January 23rd board meeting they said, that the small animals wont ever be on a kill list. As they can place all of them "even if they are Cujo in a small body." Clearly, that was untruthful shatter as they are killing them now right and left. We need a fair and honest evaluation

Watching these wonderful family animals who live peacefully and lovingly with children and other animals being killed unfairly and unnecessarily with plenty of empty cages weighs heavily. I sent ACC wonderful programs happening elsewhere to look at all the time. They are everywhere. If other cities can build programs for our most vulnerable, so can we.

It's our duty as decent, civil, humane people. — You are going to stop me?

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Yes, I am afraid so. Only because we have this many people waiting to speak and we are going to lose the room, but we appreciate your statement and we have one more person here from the panel, I believe, is that right? Okay, please.

RITA MCMAHON: Rita McMahon Director of the Wild Bird Fund. The Wild Bird Fund is New York City's only wildlife rehabilitation facility and we support Intro. 202, which will provide protection to all of New York City's wildlife. The Department of Environmental Conservation and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service protect native and migratory species respectively.

The federal and state agencies prohibit the trafficking of wild birds, there are no protections

2.2

for nonmigratory and nonnative birds which include

pigeons, starlings, sparrows, cormorants, mute swans

and other bird species. Our present wild birds are

captured in New York City to provide easy targets for

6 can shoots in other states particularly Pennsylvania.

Feral Pigeons are regularly netted on New York

City streets then transported out of state. They are

not fed or given water for days. The day of the

shoot, the birds are tucked into spring loaded boxes,

the shooter armed with a shotgun, takes aim as one by

one the birds are quickly released to fly up and be

shot.

It is a contest to see how many birds can be killed in the shortest time. These shoots can last up to twelve hours of nonstop shooting. Thousands of birds remain, but often not killed. That is left for the boys, the hired hands who stomp them, tear off the heads of live birds. Throw living birds in garbage bens or burn and burry them alive. The trauma of these animals experience is horrific.

Cases are brought to the Wild Bird Fund and we see the suffering. Most die from their injuries and the stress of the ordeal. Even those who are rescued and

2.2

2 are given food and water, almost never regain
3 interest in living.

The greatest sorrow of a rehabilitator is to see the fear in the eyes of an animal in your hands that you are trying to help, that has been terribly abused by your fellow man.

The Humane Society in the United States, SARK and many others have tried to stop the carnage, but it still goes on. Putting a fine or a penal time on trafficking wild birds at the source, which is New York City, is the best solution possible.

The one edition we request is for d. exempt persons to have exempt persons include any person who is rescuing a bird who is injured, orphaned, or otherwise in danger of imminent harm. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you and I think we have one more speaker on this panel. We are all set, okay, thank you all very, very much.

Next up we have V. Grassi on behalf of Horse

Carriages; Amitar Krasiev[SP?] — sorry if I am

mispronouncing that name. Ian McKeever; Alison

Clarke from the Harry Werner Vet; Patricia Saffran;

2.2

Colm McKeever; Johnny Smith; Ann Fox; Amitz
Velessy[SP?]; Tony Dunofrio.

Okay, ma'am on the left end, would you like to start us? Ma'am would you like to start. Okay.

Could you make sure your microphone is on and that you are speaking into the mic?

GENIE GRASSI: Hi, my name Genie Grassi and I have been a carriage driver for years and I ride and drive horses. I've owned horses, I've rescued horses. I am speaking because there is a lack of facts here and I want this on the record.

I just spoke to my vet on the phone in the marble halls. He is a major New York Vet, riding horses, carriage horse, official horses and I said to him, how many heat deaths have there been in the past five, ten years? He said, zero, zero in the past five years, my records go back seven. Not even in ten years do I remember one. Let me repeat that, Zero.

We've had horses that do have some heat issues and we prefer to send them away for summer vacations. Those are usually the heavier horses; we bring in lighter horses. We have had cases where horses trip on the road; however, that's usually due to the

2 failure of the city to fix the roads uneven surfaces.

3 Those photos that the Animal Rights have made of

4 horses who have tripped and gone down have been

5 portrayed as heat cases. This is vital distortion

6 and it's profiteering, and you can thank PETA, New

7 York Class, who ever you want for this but in

8 particular, a horse named Newman, a few years ago, a

9 paint horse, who tripped and went down. Newman by

10 | the way is fine. He is a riding horse today, but he

11 | was used as a poster child to collect donations. And

12 used at our expense because it was betrayed as a heat

13 case.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

In point of fact, it was 72 degrees when he went down on that summer night. It actually was

September, so this is the degree of distortion that your committee is dealing with. I don't expect the fairest hearing here because the Mayor has taken a political approach to the carriage industry. He has become almost a demagogue on the subject and has

But I want to say one thing. Former Commissioner of the Park, Henry Stern, seen that this legal lynch mob was developing against the carriages said, I do not think they should be sentenced to death by

received much money and seven investigations.

2.1

2.2

starvation. And that's what I think Intro. 1425 is really about because we drop the current 90 degree level, which has been holding just fine to maybe 80 with humidity and cut out our summer season and deliver to the Mayor and his donors exactly what he wants which is to shut down the carriages before the emails come out, which he whited out on how much

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Ms. Grassi. You are over time, actually you are getting a fair hearing here, as is everybody and just to explain to you, City Hall Civics 101, you are on the City Council side of the building. We draft, introduce, hear, and vote on legislation ourselves which the Mayor may then sign or chose not to sign, but don't confuse the two sides of the building. I think what you have seen here over the last five hours is a thoughtful measured discussion in which all sides have been able to speak.

GENIE GRASSI: I hope so.

money he took. This is white out.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And you have been afforded that same time and now we need to move on because we still have a lot of other people who also want to be heard, including members of this panel.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

GENIE GRASSI: Sir, are you not a sponsor of this

3 bill?

this.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: We are going to move onto the next -

GENIE GRASSI: Yes, and so you are in favor of 6 7

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Ms. Grassi. appreciate your input.

GENIE GRASSI: I am not feeling I get a fair hearing. I would like a fair recording.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Alright and you can run for the city council if you want to ask questions in hearings. Please sir.

IMMITAR KRASIEV: Hi, how are you doing? I am from New York; I grew up around Brooklyn and in and out of Pennsylvania and sometimes in Italy. I always kept my live around horses and around the equine life. I have learned from the best of the best including my father and my aunt, my grandfather on my mother's side and my father's side. And I went around the United States and I have learned more about the way different parts of the United States and also different parts of the world treat horses.

And so, I may not be that old, but I have learned from people who you want to take advice from, and I have seen parts of the world and parts of the United States that don't really treat their horses as good as we do in New York.

I am not always here to see it but my father did make a living off of it and there was many nights where he wouldn't come home because he had to take care of the horse and that just shows me that he loves that horse that we grew up with, a white horse named Julieta, just as much as he loves me. And there was many nights that he would stay home taking care of me and not go to see the horses and tell his workers to go.

So, basically, what I am saying is with all the experience that I have seen around, even if I haven't been around too long, I take advice from the right people and I see that there is a lot worse things going on around the world and around the United States then there is going on around here.

There is a lot of things that we can fix, I am not saying that we are perfect, but I am saying that we are doing a lot better than a lot of other places are and I am proud of that and I am proud to be an

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 origin of that. And I am proud to be my father's son

3 to learn from one of the best there is in the

4 business. I just think that this shouldn't pass

5 | because I think everything is going well and the

6 people who put it into place were thinking the right

thing when they did put it into place.

Thank you for your time.

would you like to speak?

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much. Thank you. Please, please, no disruptions. Ma'am, please,

PATRICIA SAFFRAN: Greetings, I am honored to have the opportunity to speak before the Council. My name is Patricia Saffran. I was a contributing Editor for Horse Directory Magazine since 1999 and I have also written for the major website Equine Information Exchange. I have been a horse enthusiast and friendly observer of the Central Park Horse industry for over 30 years.

As to Intro. 1425, no equine veterinarian publicly endorsed or crafted the bill. This is because it is unscientific to add a human humidity index to the existing 90-degree Fahrenheit heat index already successfully used and preferred by park professionals for the carriage horses. I urge the

J

/

Council to withdraw the bill or vote against it. The 90-degree Fahrenheit extreme has kept the horses safe without illnesses and adding a human humidity index will adversely affect the horses by keeping them inactive in their stables for unnecessary days.

I spoke to Council Member Keith Powers for ten minutes in person about this proposed bill and later met with his office. I had mentioned to Keith that there is an equine index covered by the FEI report, which I sent to him but it's too complex to use in the park. The 90-degree Fahrenheit index is working well and doesn't need modification.

City officials and the Mayor are causing the horses great harm by forcing them into the park in the new poorly located overcrowded hack stands, which in some areas are without shade, water and with new routes that do not have adequate rest or water. It's time to call in Equine Veterinarians to fix all the problems that have been created. The City Council, Parks Department, DOT and the Mayor need to stop playing God with the innocent Central Park carriage horses.

Now, there is some confusion about the human humidity index and the equine index, and your bill

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

does not differentiate in favor of the horses, which should be an equine index. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Understood and Ma'am, are you speaking as well or was this a joint presentation?

UNIDENTIFIED: The horses shouldn't be in the Park. There was more shade on 59th street because of the buildings and they are not mistreating the horses. I go there every day. When it is hot out, very hot and humid, the horses are not out. They don't come out in the heat and they treat them good. Mayor Bloomberg never said anything against the horses. They don't abuse, they should go back in the 59th Street and there it was more shade and they don't suffer. I see them every day, they don't suffer. They are not overheated. They take them in when it's hot and humid. I don't know what this Mayor wants. That's not good how he is. The horses are well treated, and I go there every day, and, on the weekend, they are well treated, and they should go back in the street where there was more shade.

In the street, when they go from 5^{th} avenue all the way up to 6^{th} avenue and they go in there in the street anyway, so whats the point? They should be

2 back on 59th street and they don't abuse the happy

3 horses. And they are not suffering in the heat

4 because they take them in when it's very hot and

5 humid. They are not abusing at all, not at all and

6 they should remain for the next 250 years. Thank

7 you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, thank you very much and we had one more gentleman on this panel, is that right?

AMITZ VELESSY: Hi, this Amitz Velessy. I have been driving horse carriage the last 15 years. I am from Turkey; I grew up from farm. When I was growing up, we had all kinds of animals. We have horses, I drive horse like five, six years old when I was a kid. And then also, I had education from [INAUDIBLE 47:04]. When I came here, I was an immigrant, so I couldn't get my license. So, I drive the horse carriage, I love the animals. This issue and it's problems over here as people are always talking about our business and people don't know about it. People don't know in the business; people don't know the horses over there, and they just decide that it's about us and the problem is they don't ask us.

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Park.

2 They decide it without us and then they say, we 3 changed the law. We have to throw out this one and 4 then get a problem. And we have the regulation, we have winter, summer, time, all the regulation of the 6 horse carriage. I mean, I saw the many horses at other different countries and other state, other 7 place and I saw the horses in New York State also at 8 Central Park. So, these horses believe me, are taken 9 10 good care of over there. They are very well taken care of and I have two kids, one is seven years old 11 12 and two days marked Father's Day, so we was waiting 13 for me all day for me to spend time with him, but I 14 couldn't get him because I was at work in Central

I spend my time more than my kids with the horse. I can't spend all days with him and then he is mad. So, we are with the horses all the time. We take good care of them. We love them. Whatever is good for horses, we try to do them but people that try to change this law like this does not help. It's going to affect us; it's going to affect the horses. So, everything is circulation. It's like a wheel, if it broke some pieces in the bits and chains, it's going to affect everything. If this law changes, we are

2.2

2	going to lose a lot of days and how are we going to
3	pay the horse bill, horse rent, shoes? Everything i
4	going to affect us and our family, our kids, it will
5	affect us. But it already has regulations, 90
6	degrees is very good and working. In the last 30
7	years it doesn't have anything about the horses. No
8	dying horses, nothing happened. So, that's work for
9	the horses, there is nothing wrong with them. We
10	don't need to change this one.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, thank you for your testimony. I am sorry we are out of time and I — UNIDENTIFIED: I have one more statement. I handed it in to the Sergeant. It's from Elizabeth Smith in Kentucky.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And she is welcome to submit that. Okay, wonderful, thank you and we thank this panel. We are now going to move onto our next panel consisting of Jessica Zafonte; Stewart Mitchell; Maria Depaulis —

UNIDENTIFIED: De Blasio should leave us alone.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Roxanne Delgado.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Quite down please quite down.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Shimon Shuchat I think;

Caetana Laprebendere; Carole Hughes; and Joan Sample.

Okay, we will start here on the far left of the table if you are ready. Do you have access to the mic okay there?

SHIMON SHUCHAT: Oh yeah, sure. My name is

Shimon Shuchat and I am speaking on behalf of in

defensive animals, which is I'm a national animal

protection group with over 15,000 members who reside

in New York City. We urge the New York City Council

to pass Intro. 1378 and make selling foie gras

illegal in New York City.

Our society inflicts many horrors on farm animals but foie gras production is arguably the worst. Foie gras is produced by forced feeding ducks and geese enormous quantities of grain. The birds have pipes stuck down their throats and food is pumped directly into their stomachs. This process causes them physical pain as well as tissue damage and bone lesions. The birds livers expand to ten times their natural size which impedes blood flow and makes it difficult for them to breath.

They are also confined to tiny cages where they don't have enough room to spread out their wings and are unable to perform natural behaviors such as swimming and bathing. Solitary housing also prevents

2.2

the birds from interacting with each other. This is
a serious problem since ducks and geese are highly
social animals who form close friendships and

5 sometimes mate for life.

Regardless of our ethnicity, race, religion or political affiliation, we should all be unanimous in opposing and condemning cruelty directed at animals who are among our societies most vulnerable members. Foie gras is the epidemy of such cruelty and has no place in civilized society. Ducks, geese and other animals may not be able to talk but there are screams of pain and sorrow when faced with abuse. Go straight up to heaven and shake the earth. We urge you to pass Intro. 1378 and make New York City a more compassionate place for all it's residence whether they be human or nonhuman. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Shimon. Okay, sir.

STEWART MITCHELL: Thank you for allowing me to speak. My name is Stewart Mitchell, I am from the $36^{\rm th}$ District in Brooklyn and I stand in support of Intro. 1378, the ban on Foie Gras.

A short life spent on farms in cramped cages, force fed two to three times a day is outrageous.

2.1

2.2

Mishandled by farmers to feed the ducks rapidly, their disproportioned organs is one of many casualties. Fed through a funnel that causes bruises and lesions, stress and unable to stand for obvious reasons. The act of gavage to fatten the ducks liver is the cruel back story to an overpriced dinner. The unnatural and cruel treatment of these creatures should be replaced with admiration and beauty of their features, but this majestic birds grace and presence is overlooked by the privilege who pay to have enlarged organs cooked. There is people that will argue the process is humane, but they only speak that language because of monetary gain.

They say people should be able to eat what they choose but they are not the ones who stand the most to lose, to take the freedom of a life so pure and replace it with an existence of misery to endure to be made into a luxury French cuisine for the selfishness of taste is a decadent indeed. The time has come to say au revoir to the cruel and inhumane practice of making foie gras.

I also stand in support of Intro. 1475, the horse carriage heat bill. Horses are not vehicles and bicycles are much more fun. Thank you.

J

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Among other things I am impressed by your ability to find a word that rhymes with foie gras.

The first time I have heard testimony delivered in rhyme. We appreciate your contribution and speaking out.

STEWART MITCHELL: Thank you for letting me speak.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Of course.

MARIA DEPAULIS: Good afternoon, I'd like to thank you for your time and your patience. My name is Maria Depaulis from Westchester County. I am an animal lover, a mother, and a grandmother.

I am here again speaking for the animals. I like to speak and make record to say that I am here to speak for them. I like my grandkids to know that I trust you. I hope you have the compassion to hear all of us speaking for the horses, for the ducks, for the squirrels, for the pigeons.

The horses in the heat make me sad. When we come to New York City, my grandkids like the horses. They don't know whats behind this horrible business. This is a disgusting business for money, they have gone on for too long. We have to stop this. The ducks being

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

forcefully fed for an overpriced dinner like he was saying, I remember in my country in Columbia, we would eat that. We found at parties we could not afford it and I think in here it is the same and I also would like to speak for the squirrels and the pigeons. There is a tournament somewhere in New York where the fireman sponsor an event to shoot squirrels. I find that horrible. And pigeons, pigeon racing, pigeon shooting, I think it's horrible. Pigeons are part of New York. Eight years when I came here, the end of my mothers life was easier and sweeter because pigeons would come to her window. They have a very special place in my heart and I just want to let you know that we have to have more compassion. Our society needs your intelligence and your compassion today. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much. Thank you, please.

ROXANNE DELGADO: Hello, how are you? My name is Roxanne Delgado on behalf of Bronx Animal Rights Electors in CD13, I am CD 14 SD3480808034. I am very involved in the political spears in the Bronx. I am asking City Council directly; do you really care about geese and ducks? Because you really don't seem

to care about those that reside in your own backyard.		
I'm talking about the geese and ducks in the parks		
that will be starved to death during the winter		
because a proposed agency park route that will ban		
feeding them in the parks. Not only is this cruel		
for animals because it is basically a starvation		
campaign towards the squirrels and birds that have		
been exempt from the rule, banning feeding wild		
animals. And I am not here to advocate feeding wild		
animals, we're not here to feed birds, bears and		
racoons and possum. It's always been birds and		
squirrels; they are like urban animals. They are not		
really considered wild; they have been interacting		
with humans for centuries now. For you to just cut		
the stings and eliminate the human support is quite		
cruel.		

Not to mention you are targeting the seniors and disabled people who are not only are the ones that were the first people on the poll to vote for you, but also are the ones that feed the birds and squirrels. And now they have actually called me, many from Riverside Park, Madison Square Park, my own park in tears telling me that now they even have pass by's yelling at them not to feed the birds and

squirrels because the [INAUDIBLE 1:02:43] had basically scapegoated for all the issues that occur in the parks is not related to them. For example, the rats, if you really don't want rats in the park, you just don't eat in the park.

There are a few seniors in the parks that throw a few crumbs and nuts to squirrel, regarding the safety for the animals. Well, if the parks really cares about the safety, why do they not plant trees that bare the fruits and nuts to feed them because I actually am a park advocate as well and thank you for the Play Fair Campaign for the additional \$43 million for parks. But nonetheless, I speak to the ground people, they tell me they cannot plant the trees that bare those fruits and nuts because they require more pruning and they only prune once every seven years and mostly because they don't have to pick up.

To make this short, City Council has oversight of all city agencies. Do you really care about geese and ducks? Take care of those in your own backyard because they will be starving this winter if this ban does go ahead and also, you will be targeting seniors for act of compassion. Thank you. It's New York City Park City Agency, we had a public hearing on

'

J

2.2

March 1st, we had a rally in City Hall with 65 people, many pigeon feeders and it's an agency rule that could have City Council review. To ban feeding birds and squirrels in New York City Parks and I hope if you really care about geese and ducks, please think about those in your own parks. Thank you again.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Ms. Delgado.

JESSICA ZAFONTE: My name is Jessica Zafonte. I live in Council Member Bill Perkins District. I am an attorney and longtime animal rescuer and I focus on the rescue and rehab of waterfowl and other birds including pigeons and as of last year, I have also been working with the New York City Parks Department to rescue and rehome domestic ducks that are actually frequently dumped in city parks.

So, first, I ask that the health committee and my Council Member Perkins pass Intro. 1378 to ban foie gras. As you have heard, the method to produce it is cruel and no way the industry can portray it will change what is standard practice.

Secondly, we have to think about when we hear these descriptions of what these facilities are like. Is this even an appropriate place for humans to work.

2.2

I mean these workers deserve better to. As a rescuer, well, second, I ask you to pass Intro. 1202 concerning the trafficking of birds. As a rescuer, I have fostered and spent a lot of time with pigeons and ducks and people sometimes don't extend their empathy to birds because they look so different from us and specifically, because they express their fear and pain very differently from mammals. But that doesn't mean they don't feel the fear and pain as well as many other emotions and they have very complex lives.

As you heard, the restaurant industry is complaining that we are taking away their rights and the production industry is saying we are taking away their jobs. But throughout history, progressive laws have always been met with strong opposition. Always arguing it's a violation of someone's rights or choices or jobs, even when those rights and choices and jobs are at the detriment of others. Every such law has been vehemently opposed, from the law abolishing slavery to those requiring that children be vaccinated. But at some point, our government decides that the suffering of the victims out ways someone else's wishes or choices. Especially when

2.2

the victims are the most helpless and most vulnerable members of our society. So, because the animals can't testify today, I am testifying on their behalf. Please pass Intro's 1378 and 1202.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, thank you. Sir.

SHINA VAN: Hello, thank you all for your time.

I want to first quickly note the fact that just like when we here to discuss the proposed fur ban, we always see who stays behind late and has nothing personal to gain out of this but yet we are still here to speak for the animals today. My name is Shina Van[SP?], I am a resident of District 40 in Brooklyn. I am a constituent of Council Member Dr. Mathieu Eugene and I also represent Total Liberation New York. We are a grassroots activist group, dedicated to animal welfare and to fighting animal abuse as well as animal abusers.

I am here to express our strong support of Intro.

1378. The bill to ban the sale of deceased and horrifically tortured animal corpses as food, also known as the sale of foie gras in New York City. I apologize in advance if any thing I am going to say is going to gross you out, but it should. Foie gras is the diseased and enlarged liver of a duck or a

2.1

2.2

goose produced through force feeding. The standard practice use for producing foie gras involves violently shoving a metal or plastic foot long pipe down a birds throat, then pumping him with so much feed that after three times a day for several weeks of this, his liver swells up to ten times its natural size and becomes diseased.

There is a reason why foie gras, this disgusting industry is being banned throughout the world. It's 2019 and I feel like it's time that New York City evolves and does the right thing to ban these horrific practices. That if any of us acted upon in broad day light in any busy New York City street, we would definitely be looked at as deranged, be quickly locked up, arrested and charged with horrific animal abuse. Which is exactly what foie gras is. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you Mr. Van and thank you to this panel. We are racing against the clock; we have two more panels. The Stated Meeting starts at four and so, we're going to try and move quickly here. Next, I will call — forgive me. You can come on up and you can start or have a seat. I am going

2.2

2 to call the next panel, but you will be next to
3 speak.

Did I call you? Are you also in the previous panel. Great, have a seat. Okay, I will tell you what, why don't you two speak and then we will call the next panel please.

CAETNA LAPREBENDERE: Thank you. Good afternoon members of the Council, my name is Caetana

Laprebendere and I am a New Yorker of French decent.

I live in Bushwick in Council Member Rafael Espinal's District 37. I would like to thank him for supporting Intro. 1378 to ban foie gras. I had to call in sick from work today to be here because I am indeed sick. Sick of the fact that it is the year 2019 and my city still ignores the barbaric force feeding and horrific conditions these innocent animals are put through. Just so that a tiny amount of people can profit from or purchase this cruel excuse for food.

If we had a duck or goose in this room and saw someone treating them in the way they are treated in these farms, the last thing we would think of is luxury or wanting to eat their livers and the first

2.1

2.2

thing we collectively think is, stop this right now,
please.

The peaceful world we all dream of living in and are working towards has no place for foie gras. I hope we get this over with and ban foie gras once and for all and since I have a whole minute left, I would like to mention that I work as a freelance walking tour guide of New York City constantly interacting with tourists. Especially I do tours at Central Park often enough and I constantly, I am ashamed of the fact that we still have carriage horses in the city. And sometimes I walk around with a group who is on vacation consensually walking around the park in the heat and the rain, etc., and the cold and they are constantly commenting about the fact that horses are forced to endure these situations.

So, I think it's completely reasonable to support Intro. 1425 and actually every other bill mentioned or discussed today. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much. Okay, ma'am.

Thank you. A lot has been said already, so my testimony is just like this or even like that. But I am here in support of 1425 and I am just asking you

2.2

2 all to pass the bill. These carriage horses have

3 been through a lot and I can't believe we are still

4 | fighting for them.

These things we demand for ourselves, but they can't. So, I am asking you to end the suffering of them and ban it. If it exceeds or reaches 90 degrees to pass that bill. It's humane, it's the right thing to do and there is so much more to say but I am going to let it go because I also am asking for your guys to humbly pass 1378. I have just become aware of it and it's horrible. And they said it all for me, so I am just asking you to — I am sorry for the people who have suffered or will suffer. I hope something can be worked out for them. Losing your job is not something that we wish, so my heart goes out to them and I am hoping something can happen, so that that won't happen for them, but these birds should no longer be tortured in this manner.

Alright, lastly, which has not been talked about too much. Intro. 1478 and Intro. 1502, 1478 creates a Department of Animal Welfare and Advisory Board to replace the Department of Health and control over New York City's Animal Care and Control. And 1502 requires New York City ACC to report conditions

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 surrounding euthanasia and actions that they took to avoid it.

I am asking for your support in those bills and because it is the New York City Department of Health, whatever role they have played in the past is no longer viable and does not serve the best interests of our abandoned and broken shelter animals.

The DOH was created for the health and welfare of people, not animals. Our companion animals deserve more then what's provided for them at New York City They have loved us unconditionally and saved us ACC. from harm. I remember reading a story of a young woman saved by a stray Pitbull, someone's discarded pet. The knife attacker stabbed the dog several times and collapsing more than once. He got up and continued to fight for a woman he didn't even know. There are animals just like that today at New York City ACC being dragged to their deaths by the very people they have begun to trust. Their fellow animals are going in horror barking and screaming knowing they could be next. Animals coming in healthy, contract shelter born respiratory infections, and some become deathly ill. Please, I

2.1

2.2

2 urge you, please help change this by passing these 3 bills.

I have adopted from the shelter and I have been there, and it reeks of misery and death and the animals can sense it and they are terrified, and many are killed because of their fear. It's time to change and it's time for a change and you can bring that about. And I thank you so much and I want to tell you that I believe you guys to be, as been repeated by others, the most humane board and I am pleased to be in the same room and breath the same air. God Bless you all. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: This is why I am glad these remarks are recorded. Okay, and now we are going to call up our next panel which is Christopher Wallach; sorry if I am mispronouncing the name, it's New York City Bar Association Animal Law Committee. We have Venessa Sol; Alexandrea Lafata; David Karopkin; Rebecca Milvich[SP?]; Elizabeth Argibay; how did I do? John Dileonarldo; Sharon Discorfaro; Desiree Mattos. That was a lot of people, we will see how many are still here.

Okay, why don't you start since you are in front of the mic and ready to go.

CHRISTOPHER WALLACK: I am happy to. Good afternoon Council Members and thank you for your time. My name is Christopher Wallack, I am the Chair of the New York City Bar Associations Animal Law Committee here in support of Intro. 1378, the bill that would ban the sale of products from force fed bird. The Council should pass this law because it better aligns the city's laws with the city's values. Like treating animals humanly.

A bit about the laws, currently federal and state law don't protect these birds. At the federal level you have two laws, The Animal Welfare Act contains an exemption for any animals used for food while the humane methods of slaughter act doesn't even mention birds. At the state level, the states anti cruelty statute arguably could be used to stop this practice, but in practice, it hasn't been used. Moreover, if you had a state ban on the act of force feeding, that wouldn't necessarily prohibit the sale of products from force fed animals. It would just mean the change of suppliers.

If the Council passes Intro. 1378, they can make a real step toward protecting these animals where federal and state laws have fallen short. And doing

2.2

California.

so, would put New York City in line with a number of other jurisdictions, as someone mentioned in 2004 California passed a statewide ban on the sale and production of foie gras. The ninth circuit upheld that ban and then just this January, the Supreme Court denied a petition. So, that's the law in

Outside the U.S., well over a dozen countries already prohibit this practice. The UK, India, Germany, Israel. I want to call particular attention to Israel because in 2004, when the Israeli Supreme Court issued its decision that forbid foie gras as a product in the county, Israel was the forth largest producer of foie gras in the world and had over 45 foie gras farms. Nonetheless, the Supreme Court declared this "torture" and for those same reasons, the City Council should pass this legislation as well. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much and how about we will continue this way and then we will come around please.

ALEXANDREA LAFATA: Hi, my name is Alex Lafata and I live in Staten Island in Council Member Joe Borelli's district.

For the last few years, I spent on average 5 to 15 hours at slaughterhouses per week. I would say a lot of times we also go to poultry houses on Sunday, Venessa and I, we are actually at one.

A lot of times, the intelligence of birds specifically is really downplayed to make it a lot more palatable. When we go to the slaughterhouses in particular, I was able to gain access two days ago. And when I went in, there was actually a few cages of ducks stacked up on one another and when I approached them, their immediate response is to act in complete and total fear. Their wings are often broken, their beaks are often broken, and they are in absolutely appalling conditions. If that's the way that they act when somebody approaching them to give them water response, can you imagine their reaction when people coming up to them with those metal prods to jam down their throats must be.

These animals are genuinely terrified and kept in appalling conditions. The word humane is used very, very best when used at farms and slaughterhouses.

There really are no strict guidelines, it basically just means that you somewhat follow a very loose strict of guidelines.

These animals are in relentless agony for a product that 81 percent of New York City voters are against. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, thank you.

VENESSA SOL: Hi, my name is Venessa Sol. My grandmother is a seamstress who migrated from Sicily to Brooklyn when she was 17 years old and now, we comfortably live as a family in Staten Island. No thanks to depending on animal abuse as a source of income.

I am a constituent of Deborah Rose, and I am here to speak in support of Intro. 1378 because I believe ducks and geese deserve better.

As a young girl living on Staten Island with all of its park and nature, I felt a strong connection to the animals around me. Being in the park was always enjoyable because I would be surrounded by so many of my animal friends. As many children do, I would watch the ducks and geese in all of their beauty and way of life. I would feed them and watch as they swung to the food. Such and innocent and peaceful activity. I learned about the bazaar process of foie gras through transforming my lifestyle from nonvegan, who didn't recognize all of the ways humans

_

abuse animals to vegan animal rights activist, who does the most she can to help and protect animals.

When I saw the videos of ducks and geese with long rods being shoved down their throats and read that they are force fed to the point that there liver grows ten times as large. I couldn't help but think of my childhood memories with the ducks and geese and the beautiful parks of New York. As a New York State Certified ESL teacher, I refuse to stay silent while other people indirectly teach children that treating animals cruelly is acceptable and moral.

How can we teach children to be kind to animals like dogs, cats and tortoises when we can accept that the foie gras industry exists. Many people in the city are already opposed to foie gras. For example, over 60 restaurants in NYC and 81 percent of residents do not support foie gras. And for the record, I also support the other legislation presented today, to protect other animals in any future attempts to reverse the long history of New Yorkers torturing other animals for our benefit.

Please support New Yorkers during this important time I the world when humans are recognizing all of the unnecessary immoral, inhumane, and unlawful

2.1

2.2

2 torment that our fellow earthlings endure because of
3 us. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you.

REBECCA MIVICH: Hi, my name is Rebecca Milvich.

I live in east village and Carolina Rivera is my

Councilwoman. I am in support of all the animal

initiatives here today. I want to address one issue

a couple of people have brought up. The gentleman

who teared about his immigration story and how he

thanks the farm in which he works at for helping him

overcome his struggles.

I hope that you as a panel can see through these types of distractions because it is a distraction here to have that type of story in, I guess a contrast to what we're trying to talk about the animals and their story. I don't think that gentleman would have the same thoughts to speak like that if he had the animals suffering right in front of him. It just wouldn't be that way. I just wanted to bring that up.

A couple other statements that were brought up,
"without foie gras, the farmers would not be able to
get free healthcare." It's another distraction that
I hope that you guys can see through, that's not

2.2

true. Another one, if there is such a demand, the farmers must be producing it well. They are not its; they are sentiate beings and that's why we are here and if they really see them as its, there is no way that any type of oversight could actually help the sentiate beings when they are considered its by the farm producers.

I want to mention of course, another gentleman that spoke about his addiction issues and how he solved them through the support of the farms and it's very touching and I feel for that gentleman, but I also want to just mention that that's another distraction from the point of why we're here.

It's not about these individual people, it's about the sentient beings that have been forgotten for too long and of course, I am in favor of the carriage horse temperature ban and I hope that each individual here on the panel have all been to the carriage horse stables. And when you have it in the back of your mind, when you say, I am an animal lover, I am a horse lover, these are part of my family. We all know that that is not where you would chose to board your horse, you wouldn't. You just wouldn't.

So, I am going to conclude my testimony today just based on those, everything else has been stated and thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: And we are going to go back to -

ELIZABETH ARGIBAY: Hello, thank you for your patience. Thank you respected members of the Committee on Health for the opportunity to address the importance of raising the bar for our city and humanity in regards to animals. My name is Elizabeth Argibay, a resident of Astoria Queens and I represent Total Liberation New York, an activist group whose mission is to give voice and meaning to a marginalized demographic in our city, the animals.

As the daughter of immigrant parents, I feel compelled to address this in a way that would do them proud. [SPEAKING IN DIFFERENT LANGUAGE][01:31:32-01:32:20].

When we fail to put the needs of the innocent before our own, we fail society itself. New York City must deliver one message and it's time to defend animals with everything we have. I beg you to ban foie gras in New York City and pass Intro. 1378, as well as all the other legislation that was presented

10

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 today. And I want to repeat, those who are left

3 behind have nothing to gain but to speak up for the

4 innocent and for the damaged and for the ones that

5 | are treated the worst and I appreciate your patience

6 hanging in there with us and letting us all have our

7 | time. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: [1:33:07-1:33:12][SPEAKING

9 IN DIFFERENT LANGUAGE].

ELIZABETH ARGIBAY: Whew Mark Levine.

11 CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: [1:33:22-1:33:23] [SPEAKING

12 IN DIFFERENT LANGUAGE].

13 JOHN DILEONARDO: Hi, my name is John Dileonardo.

14 | I am an Antrozoologist, a Manager at PETA and the

15 | Executive Director of Long Island orchestrating for

16 | nature. The New York City area is leading domestic

17 fowl rescue.

I participated in the rescue of approximately 500 ducks and geese last year, many of them in all five boroughs and some of them mallards. The birds most often abused by the foie gras industry. Mallards are sexually manipulated sterile birds who are created by masturbating male Muscovy ducks and raping female

pekin ducks with that semen. The ducks are confined

25 to filthy sheds where they have no choice but to live

2.2

int their own excrement and are denied all that is natural to them, such as water to swim in. Males are force fed multiple times every day with pipes cruelly ran down their throats to create an enlarged and diseased liver. Neither Pekins nor Muscovy's are migrating birds. So, talk about only force feeding them before they would naturally migrate is nonsensical, even if you are to ignore the fact that no duck has a pipe shoved down his throat in nature.

Mallard's are actually very sweet animals. So much so that when we found a mallard abandoned in the Gowanus Canal last year, we named his Angel. Angel was special but no more special then any other bird force fed and slaughtered for foie gras. Ducks and geese have complex social structures, long lasting memories and display abstract reasoning from a very young age.

Many mate for life and morn for lengthy periods when their partners die. Admired by park goers everywhere. Ducks and geese are some of New York City's best known and most loved animals. New Yorkers do not tolerate their abuse in our parks. So, why should we tolerate their abuse for foie gras?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

_ ¬

25

Please support Intro. 1378 and get this cruel product out of our great city and while you are at it, please support 1202 to protect the pigeons and 1425 to protect the horses. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much and I know we have one more bit of testimony on this panel and I do want to somewhat belatedly respond to an earlier testimony on this panel that referred to the works as distractions and as somebody who thinks that we need to be pushing ever further towards policies that prioritize humane treatment of animals and that we have a certainly have a right to expect businesses to adapt as societies values evolved. I do certainly think we should have empathy for workers, including those who testified today and while we might not agree with them on the policy, I think we want to still validate their perspective. And I am personally glad that we did hear a diversity of voices today including the workers. Again, whose perspective, I do think it's important to hear. And with that, I am going to pass it on to you to close out this panel.

SHARON DICORFARO: Good afternoon, my name is Sharon Discorfaro. I am a member of the New York

2.1

2.2

City Bar, a New York City Bar Associations Animal Law
Committee and an advisory board member of the Wild
Bird Fund and I am also a member of your district,

5 Council Member Levine.

I am here today to testify on a personal capacity as a resident of the upper west side to voice my support of the numerous animal related legislation proposed today. Particularly, Intro. 1378, we've heard a lot about the delicacy known as foie gras, which is in fact a diseased liver. This is a public health concern as much as an animal welfare concern. Beyond this, it is produced and can only be produced by force feeding birds. It is simply violent human behavior and as such, should be unlawful. A ban on the sale as an effective legal approach to reject on a societal level this cruel practice.

Intro. 1425, as long as carriage horses are still working in our city, we must ensure conditions are improved for their health and safety. We need to address the fact that although temperature perimeters are in place, they do not sufficiently account for environmental factors that impact the experience of temperature. We need to have perimeters for the horses that rely on a heat index to establish the

2.1

2.2

ceiling for humane working temperature. And I want to just mention T2018 1189 which hasn't been mentioned, which is the city's voicing support for state legislature to create a tax credit for the adoption for shelter animals. I believe this could work wonders in two respects, generating awareness about shelter animals and the great work our shelters are doing on behalf of animals and providing an incentive for New Yorkers to adopt rather than shop for companion animals. So many animals are already in need of homes and any increase in adoptions can also lesson the burden on overcrowded shelters.

So, less controversial but no less important.

Again, I support all the animal related legislation

we are discussing today. New York loves its animals

from the family dog to the wildlife of Central Park

and as the Mayor's office has reminded us most

recently with its Wildlife New York City Campaign

Animals are New Yorkers to. We must always be asking

ourselves how we can do better as our own

understanding evolves about animals needs and we more

fully appreciate their contributions to our own

quality of life and as our awareness expands, so to

should our laws evolve to reflect that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Okay, thank you and thank you for this panel. We are now moving to our final panel of the hearing.

DESIREE MATTOS: Hello, excuse me.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Oh, forgive me, I did it again.

DESIREE MATTOS: I am sorry, thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: No, not at all.

DESIREE MATTOS: Yes, my name is Desiree Mattos,

I am the President of Keeping Warm Dog Houses. It's
a nonprofit organization that provides shelter to
neglected backyard dogs not allowed to live indoors
with their families and I just want to thank the
committee for the opportunity to speak on behalf of
the animals and the many bills that are being passed
today, that are being introduced. I really
appreciate it, we all appreciate it, thank you so
much.

Into. 1378 banning the sale of foie gras is one of the most important items on the agenda today. We have heard about the horrific way foie gras is created and we are not going to go into that again. If you didn't know, now you know.

J

This is a barbaric and torturous practice that is unnecessary. Why would anyone want to eat the diseased liver of a goose or any animal for that matter? This is a health hazard for the consumer. We are not talking about banning of the sale of chicken, fish, beef, etc., we are talking about foie gras.

A small fraction of the population in New York

City are the consumers of the diseased liver of geese and ducks. I am sure if they saw videos of the actual process of the force feeding of the birds that they would reconsider their food choices.

Geese can't advocate for themselves which is why we are here to fight to end their suffering, pain and slaughter. The fact that there are people present and in opposition to the sales ban of foie gras, is extremely disgraceful. I urge the Committee to vote in favor of Intro. 1378. Intro. 1425, the Carriage Horse Heat Relief Bill is another important bill that needs to be addressed. It is important to protect the horses from the heat and humidity to reduce heat stress, maintain their health and their wellbeing.

These horse and carriage owners value their business which pays their mortgages, their bills,

If they

2.2

provides their children's education etc.

3 value their business, so to should they value those

4 who keep them in business, the horses. There should

5 be no opposition to the basic need of protection for

6 horses from working in the heat.

I urge the Committee to vote in favor of Intro.

1425, the horse and carriage heat relief bill and I

just want to thank the Committee and the Council for

putting these bills on the agenda and helping to

create a progressive and ethical and cruelty free

city. Thank you so much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you and now, our final panel. We are going to call up Chris Cobb from the Greenpoint Cat Club; Rita McMahon from the Wild Bird Fund; Jenna Shipiro from the Animal Legal Defense Fund; Hector Sudan and Jacelyn Stone and please, I think you can sit right in the middle of the table.

There might be one more witness, okay, but you can start us off.

JENNA SHIPIRO: Okay, sure. So, the Animal Legal Defense Fund was founded in 1979 to protect the lives and advance the interest of animals for the legal system and on behalf of thousands of our supporters here in New York City, I encourage you to support

Intro. 1425 to protect the carriage horses from	
dangerous heat and humidity. A lot has been said on	
this, I am just going to kind of reaffirm two small	
points, which are in the handout that we've submitted	
and that is, an equine expert from the Department of	
Animal Science and University of Connecticut found	
that because of the way horses regulate their	
internal temperatures, heat stress is likely to	
result if the humidity is greater than 75 percent,	
regardless of ambient temperature. So, I just wanted	
to reconfirm that point and the notations and	
citations are on our document and the second one is,	
it's definitely time to update the way temperature is	
measured in New York City and the US Weather Bureau	
sited temperatures readings can significantly be	
lower then the actual temperature and a Cornell	
University study found that the temperature our	
street level in New York City can be as much as a 45	
degree difference from a recorded temperature.	
So, those two points, I just wanted to add to the	

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much and would you be Ms. Stone. Okay, please.

testimony. Thank you.

JACELYN STONE: I want to thank you because I know you have a lot to think about today. Thank you for letting me speak on behalf of our 500 voting members for the Citizens Committee for Animal Rights and I speak for them also here today.

For the passage of all the animal rights Intros.

Especially the foie gras, I am sure you have all the facts and I only ask that America, being a civilized country, we must change these primitive barbaric, brutal acts of cruelty and foie gras and to help hold us, America, our honor, as a civilized country worldwide.

Lastly, intelligent and good people know the difference between good and evil and understand you can not turn an animal into a machine. It's against God and nature. There are thousands of choices to force fed disease liver. Cruelty to living creatures is the darkest crimes on the human conscience and I thank you for all the good we can do from this today.

CHAIRPERSON LEVINE: Thank you very much, Ms.

Stone and thank you to everyone who spoke out today, who stayed until the end. Thank you to everyone for the respectful tone of the hearing and this will conclude our hearing today, thank you. [GAVEL]

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 1, 2018