1	COMMITTEE	ON TRANSPORTATION	1
2	CITY COUNCIL		
3	CITY OF NEW YORK		
4			
5	TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES		
6	Of the		
7	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPO	DRTATION	
8		X	
9		tober 29, 2018 art: 10:16 a.m.	
10	Re	cess: 12:40 p.m.	
11	HELD AT: Co	uncil Chambers - City Hall	
12	BEFORE: Yd		
13	Ch	airperson	
14	COUNCIL MEMBERS:		
15	Co	rnando Cabrera sta G. Constantinides	
16	Ru	aim M. Deutsch ben Diaz, Sr.	
17	Pe	fael L. Espinal, Jr. ter A. Koo	
18	Ma	ephen T. Levin rk Levine	
19	I.	rlos Menchaca Daneek Miller	
20	Do	tonio Reynoso novan J. Richards	
21		borah L. Rose fael Salamanca, Jr.	
22			
23			
24			

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 2
2	APPEARANCES
3	Edward Grayson
4	Director of Bureau of Cleaning and Collection
5	Rebecca Zack
6	Intergovernmental Community Affairs.
7	Josh Benson Department of Community for Traffic Operations
8	Zach Miller
9	Trucking Association of New York
10	Bruce Kraft
11	Sandy McCallion
12	
13	Betsy Sargisson
14	Chelsey Brownridge Dog Spot
15	
16	Jack Davies Transportation Alternatives
17	Clyde Vanel
18	District 33
19	Kelly Hodges
20	On behalf of Allie Taylor
21	
22	
23	
24	

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Good morning

we're going to be hearing 13 bills related to parking

related to congestions, its related to everything not

and regulations. As you know parking regulation is

everyone. Thank you for being here in a busy day,

^

relating in this case to animal rights.

only for drivers but also for pedestrians, also

City's Councils Committee of Transportation. My name is Ydanis Rodriguez, the Chair of the committee.

Good morning and welcome to this hearing on the

One of the great things about Chairing this

Committee are the broad numbers of transportation and issues that fall within this jurisdiction and that we can review.

Last transit congestion comprising alternate side park and regulations, muni-meters, traffic control device has [inaudible 2:22] bicycles, sidewalks, and others.

This committee deals with many issues that affect the everyday life of New Yorkers. I am proud of the work we have done over the past five years and that we will continue to do to improve the transportation infrastructure here in the city and

2.2

the numerous transportation services that are offered to our residents.

As you can see from today's agenda, we have a full plate before us. First, the committee will be conducting an oversight hearing on parking regulations in New York City. The city's parking regulations play a vital role in vehicle movement and govern where they can stop, stand, and park.

These regulations are in place to help ease the flow of traffic, facilitate on the street parking, assist in the delivery of goods to businesses and maintain our streets clean. However, sometimes these regulations can become too burdensome or outdated.

Many of them or all must be modified, which is why we are here having this hearing today.

It is my hope that during today's hearing, we will hear testimony for the administration, advocate and the stakeholders on ways we can continue to improve parking regulations and traffic flow in the city for vehicles and trucks. While at the same time, and the most important, maintaining our commitment to pedestrians and cyclist's safety.

The committee will also be considering 14 pieces of legislations to bills that I have a sponsor

2.2

pay parking period.

related to muni-meters. INTRO. NO. 325 related to rounding up parking time, it won't allow a person purchasing time at a muni-meters to pay only up to the last full units of time before the end or they

These will prevent a person from having to pay beyond the mandatory paid parking. People should only pay for the time that they use the parking, not more.

In INTRO 334, will require DOT to create a mobile application or approve the use of a mobile application that would connect individuals, so that they can exchange unused muni-meter time.

We will hear several bills related to alternative side parking restrictions.

INTRO NO. 370, sponsored by Council Member
Salamanca will suspend alternate side parking
regulations on Three Kings Day. As we are honored to
celebrate other holidays, the Three Kings Day is
important not only to the Puerto Ricans and the
Latino community, but it should be important to
everyone.

INTRO NO. 497, is sponsored by Council Member Koo, will suspend alternative side parking

1	
_	

_

regulations on Lunar New Year's Eve. The same support that I explained before to the Salamanca bills is the same understanding that I have for the Council Member Koo bills. We should also treat that particular day special for everyone. We will also hear several bills related to improving pedestrian's safety.

INTRO NO. 206, sponsored by Council Member

Matteo, will require DOT to install pedestrian's

countdown displays at any intersections where there

is a photo-enforced traffic violation system.

INTRO NO. 1142 is sponsored by Council Member Constantinides will require leading pedestrian's interval signals or LPIs intersections adjacent to hospitals, libraries, schools, and senior centers.

Additionally, we will hear bills related to sidewalks in the street space.

INTRO NO. 886 is sponsored by Council Member
Espinal will require permits commercial
establishments to place pet harbors in front of their
businesses, so that owners can leave their small pet
unattended in a safe enclosed shelter for a short
period of time while they shop.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

INTRO NO. 867 is sponsored by Council Member

Adams will require DOT to review the street widths in order to reevaluate street traffic flow designations and INTRO NO. 928 also sponsored by Council Member

Adams would require at least two corners of a street intersection to have the appropriate street name signage installed.

Some of the bills related to commercial vehicles and truck deliverers including INTRO 1140, also sponsored by Council Member Constantinides will require DCAS to develop an off-hour delivery plan and for that plan to be submitted to the Mayor and the speaker of the Council.

INTRO NO. 1010 sponsored by Council Member
Miller will increase the penalties for certain
commercial vehicles that park overnight on
residential streets.

INTRO NO. 1011 also sponsored by Council Member Miller will reduce the maximum time certain commercial vehicles may park to no more than 90 minutes.

Finally, two bills related to parking fines under the jurisdiction of parking fines. INTRO NO. 570 sponsored by Council Member Treyger will waive

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

parking violations issued to motorists who park their cars in a spot that have illegible parking signs.

Let me now recognize my colleagues who are here and also Council Member Yeger, Koo, Cabrera, Diaz, Espinal, Rose, Salamanca, and Constantinides.

I now invite a sponsor to give opening statements on the bills. First, starting with Council Member Salamanca.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Good morning. you, Chair Rodriguez for holding today's oversight hearing on parking regulations in New York City. Good morning to our partners.

New York City is one of the most diverse places in the world. You can find global cuisines in any borough, hear different languages spoken in every community and celebrate your own traditions through religious and cultural holidays.

One of the bills we'll be discussing today is INTRO 370. I introduced Intro 370 which will suspend alternate side parking regulations on Three Kings Day, a Christian holiday widely celebrated by many Latino communities here in the City of New York every January 6th.

For many of the Christian faith, the Christmas season officially ends on this day with parades, celebrations, and religious ceremonies taking place all over the five boroughs, especially in the Bronx and El Barrio. Just as the city recognizes the vast number of religious and cultural holidays, and suspends alternate side parking, many in the Latino community request the same treatment. I and my 18 colleagues who co-sponsor this bill, agree.

I want to thank Chair Rodriguez again for holding today's hearing especially well in advance of January 6, the next Three Kings Day. I am hopeful that we can pass this bill prior to the celebration and send a message to the Christians in Latino communities that your celebrations matter and the city recognized them. Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Let's hear now from Council Member Espinal.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you Chair. I'm speaking on INTRO 886, a bill I introduced that would allow pet harbors on the sidewalks of New York City streets. For those of you that don't know, just not too long ago, DOT actually issued an order to remove these dogs' safe harbors and because of that move, we

2.2

Member. Council Member Koo.

are essentially hurting a small business that has provided a new technology that I believe is an asset to pet owners, but also small businesses across the city.

So, what my bill will do would actually carve out these pet harbors as we had them in the past, to carve other coin machines. So, to ensure that New Yorkers can live in our city knowing that there are these conveniences for them and their pets moving forward.

I do have some questions and I won't be able to stay here for the rest of the hearing, but you know, my questions are one, why does DOT feel that pet harbors are any different than any other coin machine in our streets? And two, you know why are we setting an example where we are not being open to the idea of new businesses, new technology actually paving the way for our city to move forward? Why are we putting laws in place that would get in the way of these businesses and be able to expand our city? Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Thank you Council

Thank you Chair.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO:

Today I

_

am introducing INTRO 497 which is to amend the administrative code of the City of New York regulation to suspending alternate side parking regulations on Lunar New Year's Eve.

We all know Asian-Americans has grown

tremendously in the last 15 to 20 years. Now we are kind of almost in the 16 percent of the city population and Lunar New Year is one of the biggest holidays we celebrate and of course we don't just celebrate the New Year Day. We celebrate the Eve before. You have a big dinner, parties, and people having drinks. So, its not wise not to suspend the alternate street side parking because people in the holiday mode, is not good to tell them oh, yeah, to go out and move the car to the other side, you know? So, I hope my colleagues and the administration will support this bill. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

Thank you Council Members I would like to welcome the representative of the administration who are here with us today. Thank you for being here and now I'll ask the Committee Council to administrate the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2 affirmation and they invite you to deliver your 3 statement.

2.2

COUNCIL: Please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions? Thank you.

JOSHUA BENSON: Good morning Chair Rodriguez and members of the transportation committee. I am Deputy Commissioner for Traffic Operations Joshua Benson and with me today is Assistant Commissioner for Intergovernmental and Community Affairs Rebecca Zack. Together with our colleague Edward Grayson, Director of DSNY's Bureau of Cleaning and Collection, we are pleased to be here to testify on behalf of Mayor de Blasio on a number of bills before the Council on the issue of parking as well as several other topics.

I will begin with a little background on DOT's regulation of curbside parking in New York City and then discuss the bills before you today.

With approximately 76 million linear feet of curb in New York City, DOT believe curb space is a shared public resource that should be managed to safely benefit multiple users, including local businesses,

2	bus riders, pedestrians, bike riders, drivers, both
3	visitors and residents alike. Proper curb
4	management, the policies, programs and regulations
5	which dictate the functionality of this space, is
6	critical to allow the city to expand its travel
7	choices, support business activity, manage
8	congestion, improve neighborhood livability, reduce
9	pollution, and enhance traffic and pedestrian safety.
LO	When it comes to parking, DOT has over 385,000 signs
L1	specifying who can park when, where, and for how
L2	long. DOT maintains over 85,000 metered parking
L3	spaces served by over 14,000 parking meters and the
L 4	ParkNYC pay by cell program. As we testified back in
L5	June, we have accomplished two major transformation
L 6	of our metered parking system in recent years, one of
L7	the largest in the world, through the transition to
L8	muni-meters and the launch of ParkNYC.
L 9	DOT is now exploring a third transformation towards
20	and integrated, electronic payment and license plate-
21	based, electronic payment and permit management and
22	enforcement solution. The rollout of ParkNYC
23	together with NYPD's ASES enforcement handhelds for

their TEAs, are potential first steps in this

process, which would allow for much more efficient and fraud-resistant parking enforcement.

Since ParkNYC became citywide in July 2017, usage has steadily increased to the point where now 1 in 8 of the \$2.3 million parking transactions in a typical week are handled by ParkNYC and usage continues to grow. Customers have reported that they strongly prefer the app to using the meters and find it far more convenient. They know about, use, and like the ability to extend their parking session from their smart phone. And we are happy to say that the data indicates relatively high satisfaction with the app overall.

On the other hand, DOT is learning that users of the app want to park longer than the one- or two-hour limits currently allow posted for most passenger parking and may be willing to pay progressively higher rates in order to do so. This is something we are trying with our regulations in Manhattan below 96th Street, where we recently added a second, higher-priced hour to one-hour metered parking zones to offer a little extra time for those who need it while still promoting curb availability.

Э

2.2

While some of the features proposed in today's bills are ones we could explore in the future, DOT is also actively seeking input from the public about how to make it more convenient for them to park and pay for parking using customer surveys to identify what improvements they would most like to see. In general, moving away from cash to virtual payments will lend greater flexibility.

When it comes to innovation, another area we are focused on is our regulations, which have not always kept up with the ebbs and flows of New York City.

Changing land use and population shifts and evolving neighborhoods and travel mode are putting added pressure on the curb. New regulations such as those for our carshare pilot are one example of needed innovation.

But perhaps most glaringly, the structure of our metered parking rates for both passenger and commercial vehicles had not evolved very much as our city transformed. Incredibly diverse settings were served by similar regulations, providing little curbside management benefit to many areas that depend on meters to open up parking spaces for more people.

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

remotely if it becomes necessary to stay longer than

Recent meter rate changes are one example of beginning an effort to more closely match the prevailing regulations with the current activity and needs of many areas of the city by creating a tiered approach.

Finally, we know congestion is of concern to so many stakeholders, including of course, this Council. At DOT we look to maximize the safe use of our shared street resources, including curbside parking, to address congestion and best manage this limited resource in such a dense city. So that is why it is important for people to follow our parking regulations, and why strong disincentives for not following those regulations are necessary.

Now, beginning with INTROS 325 and 334. including Commissioner Trottenberg testified in 2014 and again in 2015 on predecessors to these two bills, we understand it can be frustrating to overpay for parking. I am pleased to report that one of the chief features of ParkNYC is the ability to extend the parking session from your phone, up to the legal limit. This allows users to pay for only the minimum time needed, while retaining the ability to add time

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2 expected. In practice, users report frequently
3 taking advantage of this feature.

2.2

Regarding the proposals before you today, a system for electronic exchange of unused time as proposed in INTRO 334 is not something we have in our current system and would be logistically complicated. This is particularly true because the unit being exchanged is not simply remaining value, but remaining time, which is constantly diminishing. On the other hand, the option to pay from your phone as you go addresses the issue the bill seeks to solve by providing users a means to not buy more time than they need.

When it comes to the last increment of payment before a meter's hours end and the occasional need to go over the metered time, the ability with ParkNYC to pay as you go instead of in advance has reduced the occurrence of this as well. A system without the use of cash would no longer require the use of increments, perhaps a solution to the issues in certain areas in the future.

Back when we testified in 2014, we produced some estimates of the actual amount of this type of overpaying. Of the amount that does occur, the

time.

majority is in the commercial environment. For passenger parking, updated for 2018 the amount is approximately \$35,000 of overpayment for all transaction annually, or a figure equal to about .0008 percent of the payments we process in a typical week. ON the other hand, the proposed solution would be an amount into the millions of dollars to absolve all parkers of the last increment of a meter's posted

In partnership with the Council, DOT took the major step starting in 2013 of ensuring that meters are switched off and cannot accept payment outside the hours they are in effect. While this may sound straightforward, it was actually complicated on a programming level, and with a meter system as large as ours, the cost to implement was significant.

We also implemented the ability to pre-pay before the start of meter hours, so that you can pay for time up to the legal limit, starting when the regulations begin. Previously, if you arrived before a regulation started and you wanted to pay for metered parking starting when the regulation began, you were forced to pay for the time before the regulation in addition, in order to park.

2.2

These two steps eradicated the vast majority of overpayment issues faced by customers. Regarding both the bills today, reprogramming the existing system to meet these requirements would be costly and would take time to implement while providing a very small benefit. So, DOT does not support either piece of legislation at the current time. But we look forward to continuing discussions about what we are learning customers want and making additional enhancements in the future, for both customer satisfaction and to best manage our curb for the purposes I have mentioned.

Next, I will speak about INTRO 570, which would waive parking violations when a single parking sign is illegible. Our durable, high quality signage has an average lifecycle of 10 years, and accordingly, last year we installed 138,975 signs, or about 10 percent of our stock, and over 135,000 signs the year before. DOT operates the largest municipal sign shop in the country to support the continual maintenance of our signs.

As background, over recent years, DOT has undertaken an effort to update our signage and reduce the clutter of excessive signage. In replacing old

signage with the current standards that will provide

more legibility and better lifespan, we have focused

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

block.

violation. As New York City Traffic Rules state, one authorized regulatory sign anywhere on a block is sufficient notice of restrictions in effect on that

on areas that we believe have a higher percentage of signs that are at or beyond their useful life.

signs that need replacing. And in the case of Alternate Side Parking, our single largest category of parking regulatory signs, DSNY notifies us when a sign is faded or has gone missing.

Overall, the public does a very good job of reporting

On most block faces there are multiple signs which display the parking regulation. When someone wishing to part encounters a faded sign, it is usually as simple as looking up and down the block for the next sign to confirm whether parking is legal.

It is DOT's understanding that in the case of a

missing, faded or defaced sign, the enforcement agent

shall determine if there is sufficient parking

guidance on the block, and only then issue the

Finally, while the proposal may be conceived to further incentivize DOT to replace illegible parking signage, were it to be enacted, it might in fact result in more motorists defacing signs so that they park illegally without consequence, only leading to further confusion of the current parking regulations. For these reasons, DOT opposes INTRO 570, while we encourage motorists to follow posted regulations that apply.

Next, on the topic of truck parking, this

Administration recognizes that truck parking

overnight and in residential areas is a significant

issue. While the proposed pieces of legislation,

INTROS, 1010 and 1011, requires further discussion,

we are exploring charges that are available to more

frequently enforce higher fines that are currently

being used and are working with NYPD to determine the

feasibility. At the same time, the need for truck

parking in the five boroughs is a reality and

continued efforts involving multiple city agencies to

identify new options or sites are necessary.

Now, moving on to the topic of Leading Pedestrian Intervals or LPIs. INTRO 1142 would require DOT to install at least 400 LPIs annually at signalized

intersections adjacent to hospitals, schools, and senior centers until all such intersections have received an LPI. On behalf of DOT, we strongly appreciate the Council's interest in the aggressive implementation of this proven safety measure. We have installed 2,774 LPIs since the start of Vision Zero, including 855 last year. To put this in context, since Vision Zero started installations are up by 5,000 percent. In fact, we are hitting more than double our goal every year.

We prioritize placement at high-crash intersections and corridors. While we do consider locations such as schools, DOT believes the implementation of this treatment is best guided by the safety data and our engineering judgement and we therefore target Vision Zero priority corridors.

We are always happy to look at requests for specific locations, as well. But requiring DOT to follow a formula to target locations next to specific facilities for 400 installations annually could replace at least some other higher-crash locations that we would select. And having to follow such a formula could affect our overall efficiency as well, that allows us to install such high numbers of LPIs

_ -

intersections, and it is the standard we are meeting

Regarding INTRO 928, this proposal would require

no fewer than two diagonally opposite corners on each

street intersection to have a street name sign for

each street. This is the goal of all our

in recent years, a key Vision Zero accomplishment which we plan to continue. Therefore, DOT opposed the bill as drafted.

Now, turning to INTRO 206, which would require DOT to install a pedestrian countdown display at every location with a red-light camera. Pedestrian countdown displays provide a proven safety benefit to pedestrians. As DOT has testified previously, more than half of all the City's over 14,000 signalized intersections have a countdown display, and we have plans to install more.

When it comes to intersections that have been chosen based on safety data for installation of a red-light camera, we reviewed those locations and currently close to two-thirds have a pedestrian countdown display as well. DOT will assess the remaining locations for possible countdown display installation based on our engineering judgement and specifications.

when we replace approximately 12,000 to 13,000 street name signs each year across the City. However, meeting the requirements for all the intersections citywide within 180 days of enactment as required by the bill would be a significant challenge to our resources and would divert efforts from vital safety work. Therefore, DOT opposes the bill.

Regarding INTRO 867, this proposal would require

DOT to assess every street in the City to determine

whether to change its one-way or two-way designation.

Conversions to one-way are in our Vision Zero toolkit

as part of our Street Improvement Projects. Such

assessments are appropriately guided by our data
driven approach for safety improvements, or by

community interest. However, assessing all of our

6,000 miles of street in New York City for whether

they should have one way or two-way traffic flow is

not an appropriate targeting of our resources.

Therefore, while we are happy to further discuss the

topic of conversions, including how to identify the

streets on which to focus, DOT opposes this bill.

Regarding INTRO 886, to permit pet harbors to be placed in front of commercial establishments, modeled on an existing law for coin-operated rides, which

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

dates back to at least the 1980's. As you know, our

3 city has grown significantly, so have the demands

4 placed on our sidewalks to accommodate larger numbers

of pedestrians and our focus on accessibility for

6 persons with disabilities has never been greater.

7 DOT does not support this use of the sidewalk in

8 light of population growth, accessibility needs, and

9 other potential uses of the sidewalk space. In

10 addition to DOT's purview, other City agencies have

11 potential concerns and are in the process of

12 reviewing this legislation.

Regarding INTROs 497 and 370 to add addition holidays to the ASP calendar, DSNY has testified on similar legislation in the past and remains opposed. These bills eliminate two additional days of alternate side parking during the year, in addition to the existing 34 alternate side parking holidays that are already in place, some of which are for multiple days. DSNY believes that their street sweeping vehicles are the most effective tool in the City's street cleaning arsenal, and further reductions in street cleaning regulations would limit the effectiveness of this tool. We at DOT refer additional questions about these bills to them.

Finally, regarding INTRO 1140, promoting off-hour deliveries is an important tool to reduce congestion and emissions. DOT's off-hour deliveries program focuses on shifting truck deliveries from peak periods to off-hours in conjunction in specific congested areas such as Midtown Manhattan, Downtown Brooklyn, Flushing and Jamaica. We conduct targeted outreach to business locations, and also work with transporters and receivers to facilitate curbside access needed to support such deliveries.

The bill before the committee today is a worthwhile proposal that this Administration, including DCAS and DOT, would be happy to explore further before possibly implementing a plan.

Challenges to off-hour delivery can include the transporters ability to service off-hour deliveries, the availability of secure drop off facilities, the potential for refrigeration if needed, and other logistics. DOT has already initiated an assessment of deliveries to DOT facilities to examine opportunities for improving freight efficiency.

I will finish by saying that with so much density of people and activity, we all know competition is very fierce for the many uses of street and sidewalk

in New York City. At DOT, we are continually improving and innovating how we manage this valuable resource safely and efficiently for the greatest benefit while balancing all of those competing uses.

Regarding some of our other signal and street treatments we are discussing today, DOT welcomes conversation with the Council about how we utilize al the tools in our toolkit and as I have said, we are always happy to review particular location. We would now welcome any questions.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: I have some questions, but my colleague Council Member Salamanca had to go or else I would have let him go first, but of course I appreciate that you got from DOT Sanitation is here. I've been a bit frustrated that traffic and I hope that the issue that has many bills related to traffic, I know that from DOT perspective, you can answer some of the questions, but it doesn't make sense that no one is here from traffic enforcement to be able to respond to some of the questions. Council Member Salamanca.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Thank you Chair Rodriguez. Thank you for your previous statement. My questions really, you may think I'm going off

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

topic but I'm going to circle it back to my statement or the reason for my bill which is INTRO 370 which would require that on Three Kings Day alternate side parking be suspended and as I stated in my statement you know, this holiday, it's a holiday that's celebrated by the Christian and Latino communities but yet in your statement, DOT - because this is your statement. There was no statement by sanitation, your opposed to it. I have a question. Can you explain to me the diversity in your leadership? I am interested in knowing who in DOT will be opposed to counseling alternate side parking on a holiday that's highly respected and celebrated by the Latino community, yet you feel that it's not necessary cancel alternate side parking. So, can you explain to me how many Hispanics do you have in the leadership in DOT?

JOSHUA BENSON: Council Member, I appreciate the question and I definitely understand the importance of the holiday and the statement that I read is about cleaning and it comes from DSNY, so I'm going to defer the question to —

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: No, I understand that but I'm just curious because the Department of

2.2

Transportation sent you to come and give a statement here in City Hall. I would like for you to tell me, how many leaders, how many Hispanic leaders are there in the Department of Transportation?

REBECCA ZACK: Council Member, I can get back to with information, we don't know -

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Exactly, you have no idea how many Hispanic leaders there are in the Department of Transportation, yet you want to sit here and tell us that a holiday, that's highly respected by the Hispanic community, can not get an alternate side suspended.

REBECCA ZACK: I just want to clarify one thing.

Because there's so many bills and its just only a

couple bills for DSNY, we were including their

testimony in our testimony, so be a little more

streamline effective. We don't oppose the bill we

were speaking on behalf of.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Well, your statement says that you are not supporting the bill, so therefore, you're opposing the bill.

REBECCA ZACK: I think we could have clarified that a little bit better on behalf of DSNY is the opposition.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: So, you do not know how many Hispanic leaders you have in the Department of Transportation?

REBECCA ZACK: I don't know that off the top of my head.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: I'm sorry Ms. Zack.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: And let me this. You know, everyone lives with their pride the group that we come from and make this city a great place. The Jewish, the Italians, the Irish, African-American, Latinos, Black, and others. There is a reality here. I don't think that many people even know that in the 1900 Census the New York City population was 96 percent white, 2 percent African-American, Latino were no counting. Today's population is 29 percent Latino, 27 percent African-American. Together in the 50 percent nation, we made the largest group here. Based on [inaudible 38:20] who unfortunately died, a great epidemic and I don't think that anything has changed.

I do give credit for some improvement that we have seen in the City, but no doubt that was Council Member Salamanca is bringing and he is so connected with the bill that I fully support, and I hope that

we can work together because its important.

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

should be important for everyone. This is important to the second largest group of New Yorkers in the City and not into the question, there's a reality. There are 10,000 leadership positions in the City of New York and there's only 200 Latino in leadership position.

So, yes, we are lacking our fair representation in government, academic, media, and other places and that's why when we have any hearing here, you all are going to see a lot of Latinos sitting there representing those and I think as African-American community, having very efficient making the cases. Anytime that there are panels and there's no representation for African-American community, they speak loud and clear and we are in solidarity with the call but it our time to say, where is our Latino seat in all agencies? So, the reality is that no, there is not Latino fair representation in any agency of the City of New York and that's why probably there's a lack of understand on how important it is to work on this bill. Not only for Puerto Ricans or Dominican but for all Latinos.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Thank you Mr. Chair.

My question to Sanitation, how many Latino leaders do you have in the leadership in the Department of Sanitation.

EDWARD GRAYSON: Good morning. My boss, the first Deputy Commissioner is a Latino. We have a couple of Chiefs that are Latino, so we have — Latino is at the upper ranks in Sanitation, I don't have an exact number to give you, but I report directly to a Latino leader every day.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: That's awesome. So, can you explain to me why would the Department of Sanitation be opposed to a highly respected holiday in the Latino community?

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: To the side parking -

EDWARD GRAYSON: We're not opposed to -

canceling of the EDWARD GRAYSON: No, no, we're opposed to
canceling of alternate side parking. We are not

job, our core mission is to keep the streets clean.

opposed to it because of the catalyst event. Our

23 We work very hard to try to do that.

Our most effective tool in keeping New York City clean for all New Yorkers regardless of race and

denomination, is — our most effective tool is mechanical brooms. In order for that tool to be effective, we need the ASP regulations in effect.

So, we oppose anything that takes a day away from us being able to clean up. That's what we're opposed to, not the cost, not the requesters cost, just the fact that we can't get the street clean every time the suspensions are lifted.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: So, thank you. Mr.

Chair, again, I really thank you for your support and
I want to thank my 18 colleagues who have signed onto
this bill. When you look at the 34 days of alternate
side parking that has been suspended, you know, you
have a various, — there suspended for various
religious reasons and I just feel that the Three
Kings Day is also a holiday that should be considered
as a 35th day to suspend alternate side parking.
With that, thank you Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Let me ask you a question. First of all, we appreciate the work that men and women do in Sanitation, keeping our City clean, picking up garbage and this is something that we would be working at the Council helping ensuring by every year the negligence of the administration is

1	
Τ	

_

not funding for you guys to be able to keep picking up our garbage, so however, it is by adding important holidays as we also are doing other — suspending other days, it doesn't mean that we are adding more to the issues on how the street can not be doing the work. This is about as we have done with other holidays, we feel that this particular one is so critical, especially for the Latino and the Asian community. How many — I have a few questions now. How many tickets were given last year because of traffic violation?

JOSHUA BENSON: Council Member we don't have the parking violation data with us. We could certainly get that back to you.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: And again, very proud of the work that we have done, and we will continue doing at the DOT, but we don't have the answer because there is no one here from traffic and we ask for you guys, the administration should know. Not that particular agency but the administration as a hole that a hearing we have all those many bills, should be sure that everyone for the agency, that who could provide those questions, they should be here.

Do you know like how many - we'll talk about the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

important to update this Three Signal in New York
City, like, how many — do you know like how many
signals do we have right now that they should be
updated?

JOSHUA BENSON: Can you clarify the question a little bit? We have 14,000 traffic signals, intersection of the traffic signals. What do you mean by updating them?

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: [Inaudible 44:31] that they are not working anymore but still people are getting ticket because they are not using anymore and still, the City has not updated those — churches that used to be opened.

REBECCA ZACK: Oh, you mean the parking signs not a traffic signal.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, the parking sign.

REBECCA ZACK: Because when a traffic signal is out, we just batch emergent servers on to that.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: But how many signs do you feel that should be $-\$

REBECCA ZACK: Parking signs are like defaced or faded or whatever. I'm actually not sure.

2.2

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

JOSHUA BENSON: So, at any given moment I don't think we know the number, what we do is, we rely a lot on the public in particular and Council Members, your constituents, to report any issues like that that they see.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Well we need to know because we are reaping New Yorkers their money for - We're taking their money knowing as an individual, as a particular agency.

We as a city are taking money from the pockets of people. Like there's a movie theater and its not odd to say Council Member, please give me the information. You know what I mean, like why a movie theater used to be working at on of the [inaudible 45:40] in Broadway and that movie theater has been closed for the last two years and DOT, they don't get it that that no parking sign should be removed immediately.

You know you as an institution whose ever agency gather report that that movie theater is closed. That sign should be - if it's not needed because of pedestrian safety, which I supported. Why [inaudible 46:05], that a fine line that it's not working anymore, and residents need more. They're getting

tickets because we need that money to balance the budget. Why sanitation is continued like, no like, sometimes giving tickets in area like people sitting waiting for the control to pass by and you're still giving those tickets when their driver is still waiting to move their car.

So, when I look to those signs, my concern is don't come and tell us only little information because I can tell it's important to allow the dog owners to be able to work with their business and establish you know, they harbor its space in front of the business, because you know, I'm all about sidewalks.

I'm about pedestrians and cyclists as a top
priority but for me, it doesn't make sense, and
everything is local about, but I can tell you story
is simply that I know many council members can tell
you.

A year ago, I told you guys, I can show you a photo. So, Nicholas Avenue between 180 and 181st, a business owner takes 90 percent of the sidewalk plus the street. If you go right now and you guys are not enforcing on someone who in DOT has information, traffic has that information, and say we can't work

on it. So, when we have major issues on someone that

is taking like 90 percent of the sidewalk and you're

doing nothing and not only that, their even taking

the street right now if you go and here [inaudible

48:03] of something that is part of life or everyone

in New York to say, whoever on the dock, they should

_

be able to put it in a location close to their

business, to have their dinners — how can we balance?

You know, how can you work with us to be sure that we

go after those who violate you know, every day and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

can tell you right now, cases, that's one of many

that we have in many communities.

People who are using the sidewalk and we as a city are doing nothing and then here, we are — and so, yes you may build. That is important for a large percent is on New Yorkers who say how can we work. So, how can we balance? How can we execute and go after those who violate as my case and the one that I told you, and I gave information to you guys a year ago and at the same time work with something that is important for a large percent of the New Yorkers to allow to take their dog when their having a brunch, when they have a dinner, when they have coffee.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2	RE	BECCA	ZACK:	You'	re	referencing	the	grocery
3	store	in vo	ur dist	trict	COI	rrect.		

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Excuse me.

REBECCA ZACK: The grocery store on Saint

Nicholas Avenue —

2.2

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: It's on Nicholas

Avenue between -

REBECCA ZACK: Right, that's the one and I think there had been a multiagency effort I think also with DC-

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: What are we waiting for.

REBECCA ZACK: I know, can I get more details from my borough office and follow up.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: You can but you had a year ago, many times, over and over.

REBECCA ZACK: Understood.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: And anyone that goes right now and take a photo, abusing the whole sidewalk and abusing the street, in both sides of the street. So, one, work with me, work with us in cases where people are abusing. They're excessively using the sidewalk that they are not supposed to. They are supposed to have three feet and then let's work with

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

us with the bill as we have many representatives or animal rights who love [inaudible 50:02] and the Council Member here and let's see how we can work around the bill.

REBECCA ZACK: We always enjoy working with you Council Member and I think we've made great strides in the past five years, so we welcome that.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. sanitation how close do you work in coordination with traffic? With the traffic enforcement agents?

12 JOSHUA BENSON: With the NYPD Traffic Enforcement?

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Hmm, hmm.

JOSHUA BENSON: They're in there. They get assigned agnostic to how we are signed. So, we do not - we are aware, we do not summons any vehicle that they have already summons. That is something that we completely - its right there on the ticket, we bypass it because as it pertains only to street cleaning regulations, but we don't dispatch our assets around where they dispatch theirs.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Okay, again, I know all about supporting sanitation department for you to be able to enforce the law, so that you can be

2		

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

sure that they pick up the garbage and clean the I have issues sometimes with a certain agency that I feel, that still even though it doesn't happen as before, I believe there is still productivity and is also mandated and there is like a number of tickets enforcement has to give every day and that's why sometimes instead of only going for after those who violate the law, which we should. There're also cases of us giving ticket. that's why I say its so sad that traffic is not here to respond to questions on how they guarantee that they are working to go after those who violate the law but at the same time, not to abuse it and yes, given a ticket sometimes is given because they have to give a number of tickets every day. Do we think we used to the productivity today, enforcement for traffic and I didn't mention NYPD on traffic, they have to give a number of tickets every day?

REBECCA ZACK: I'm sorry, could you say that again regarding NYPD traffic?

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: And again, if you cannot answer because of traffic, I'm fine with that if you can give me the question with someone.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

2 the New York City Traffic NYPD enforcement traffic 3 have to a number of tickets every day?

REBECCA ZACK: I'm sorry, I don't know that answer to that.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Okay, and my last thing is about congestions. There is a lot of concern and you hear from my colleague now [inaudible 52:56] about trying to work around being sure that commercial trucks, they are not parking the rest of the day and ensure to limit it but that's also connected for me with an incentive.

What incentive are we providing to the truck associations and all the members that work around them to be sure that they focus on delivering a night?

JOSHUA BENSON: Chairman it's an excellent question and deliveries at night, you know there's some and maybe they're not evidence to everybody, but there are some real congestion benefits to deliveries at night. Its — you know, the traffic is both lighter, so it's a benefit to the person making the deliver that they're not sitting in delays and then on the flip side, they're not contributing to the congestion during the peak periods.

_

So, at DOT, we have an off-hour delivery program and that consists of doing outreach and demand management with both receivers of deliveries and transporters and trying to work through their concerns and make a match where we can and its difficult. There are a lot of challenges, there are a lot of - you know, there are a lot of reasons why people take deliveries during the day, quite frankly and you know, in particularly on the staffing side at the receiving site, tends to be the biggest challenge and so, one of the things we try to encourage people is to look at lock boxes and other ways of securely receiving goods while no staff are present at the facility.

So, those are some of the things we work on.

There are about 100 businesses right now

participating in the off-hour delivery program at

about 300 sites. So, we are just trying to build on
that momentum.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: I just feel that based on conversations that I have with the stakeholder from Truck Association months ago, there is a need for you know, DOT and DCAS to continue working close with them, so that as we approach those

J

bills that we have here and I understand that it is important to protect the residential area, but also work with the members of the Truck Association to be sure that as we continue addressing congestion, that is real and this is our time to put together a comprehensive plan related to congestion that those institutions who delivered food and other goods that they also continue being engaged with DOT and DCAS to talk about incentive and not only ongoing after then, so that's one thing.

My last thing is about my two bills. One is the one that is rounding up parking time. I feel that people should pay only for the time that they park. If someone needed to park for 50 minutes, they should only pay for those 15 minutes. They should not be forced to pay for an hour and I feel that the technology is there for it to make that happen and I hope that we can continue conversations about the rounding up and I know that we have started many times being on opposite sides in some bills and some initiatives through conversations. We decide to do things through legislation and as an agency, any agency now, in the future, in the past, will prefer to do more by themselves but I fear that whatever way

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.2

through legislation or through the agency the rounding up parking time is nearing. Someone shouldn't be paying more than when they are using the time.

The second thing on the INTRO 334 that will require DOT to create mobile application or approve the use of mobile application that would connect individuals so that they can exchange unused munimeter time. Who ever pay, is there time, its not our time and it's their money and again, I remember coming back from DC when I introduced a bill that will ask DOT to add to create an application and we were able to work together with you guys and we did it through the agency. One was on here, technology is there. When you say that probably there is some complication how to do it, you will hear from many techs that we should be able to work to allow exchange unused muni-meter time.

As a Council Member as I've been told there's a bill that I have that I've been told by stakeholders and they say look, if you pass this bill the City will reduce \$85 million because you know, we would not be able to enforce in those areas and I think that yes, we would need this money to keep the

2.

_

_ ¬

library up and get more hours for the library but
this is about technology is there and how can we work
with the tech field to be sure that if you have any
doubt on how that can be addressed, that we can work

Mr. Chairman, I agree that you know we have a lot of great ideas on the tech side that could make some of this possible and I think we absolutely want to keep working with you and keep refining our parkNYC app. You know, we just launched it now only two years ago and I think absolutely, we don't see this as — it's not perfect, it's not the end state. We want to keep refining it and working on it, so we would be more than happy to work with you on delivering some more benefits to the customers and finding ways to further eliminate any overpayment that maybe happening.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Okay, thank you.

I would like to acknowledge that Council Member

Reynoso, Miller, Deutsch, and Levin they are here and now they we're going to question the findings.

Council Member Koo.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you Chair. Thank you, Commissioners. So, my question is I am very

_	
2	disappointed that the administration is opposing the
3	bill 497 and 370 because we always said this is a
4	City of diversity, culture and ethics and a heritage
5	for every group of people in the city. So, my
6	question is you said you would make the street dirty
7	if you put two more days on the schedule. So, what
8	do you do on the particular day of sweeping?
9	Alternate side cleaning, what does sanitation do on
10	the particular hour or two hours that you can not
11	park on the street, right?
12	JOSHUA BENSON: Yes, so your asking - just so I'

2.2

JOSHUA BENSON: Yes, so your asking — just so I'm clear, your asking what do we do on the days that the street is suspended? Well, the mechanical brooms will still go out in a limited number to get, because there are some open curb lines, regardless — they're not directly ASP driven, that we also clean. So, we will put them out to add some level of service but the routing of our regular posted signage, those regulations, does not get completed on any day that its suspended.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So, when we celebrate a holiday, its particularly the Asian Lunar New Year or the Lunar New Years' Eve, we don't have a parade.

You know, the streets are not dirty. No one makes

2.1

2.2

the streets more dirty. We celebrate at home or in
the restaurants. So, why does it effect the
cleanings of the streets?

JOSHUA BENSON: You actually bring a very good point. Its not directly related to any holiday. Unfortunately, there is liter in the city every day, regardless of holiday and our mechanical brooms are our most effective tool to clean the city for all New Yorkers every time we run them. So, we're not saying that — our position is not that any holiday would impact direct brand-new liter being put on the street. We have to clean the street everyday to the best of our ability, adding two more suspension days makes it more difficult for sanitation to do that. That is all.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Yeah but, you already had 34 days -

JOSHUA BENSON: 34 reasons to suspend, some of those are multiple. So, like for calendar year, 18 I believe it was like 40 or 41.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So, I don't understand the significance, adding two more days there.

JOSHUA BENSON: Oh, well, because you don't clean the streets.

JOSHUA BENSON: Well, I recognize them all.

They're on the calendar. I don't treat the street.

2.2

it?

more congestion, more customers, will tend to have

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

```
1
   longer meter parking hours. So, I think the meat
   packing district actually has the latest metered
   parking hours because of very late-night activity
           So, the flushing, downtown flushing as you
   well know is a very busy area. It's a very popular
   area. Many people are driving there to park and you
   know, partake of the establishments there. So, it
   reflects the activity. That's the purpose of it and -
        COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Constituents are arguing
10
   that the city won't do - it's just to get more money
12
   you know from our district.
        JOSHUA BENSON: So, the purpose behind it is to
13
14
   turn that parking over, make it available for more
15
   customers and you know, if there's a particular
16
   situation that you don't feel is working, we would be
   happy to talk with you about it and we can make
17
   adjustments.
18
        COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Yeah, I think we ought to
19
   discuss on those because in downtown New York, its up
20
   to 7:00 p.m., if you go to the bathroom in the
2.1
2.2
   meantime, 7:00 p.m. they stop.
23
        JOSHUA BENSON: It varies. There are definitely
   places in Midtown where it goes much later than that.
```

Near the theater district, you know, but we would be

to put it in this request to create a task force.

To

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 53 look at all the days that [inaudible 1:07:35] all the That also we should study and see if we should include those days and serve in a loving light as we have done on other days. I would like to acknowledge Council Member Menchaca now, Council Member Yeger, and follow with Council Member Constantinides. COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning, as the chairman indicated 10 learlier we are hearing 13 bills today designed to make it easier for people to live in New York, to do 12 business in New York, to make it fairer, to make our 13 streets safer, to recognize the various cultures and 14 religious observances that people have in the city to 15 16 rid our residential neighborhoods of commercial parking to keep trucks off neighborhood streets. 17 To do good things for New Yorkers, 13 bills. I listened to your testimony, I read it before 19 20 you started speaking and then I listened to every word you said. Not a single one of those 13 ideas are 2.1 2.2 things you agree with as currently written, not a single one. I don't agree with all 13 bills. 23

25 ideas. Some of them I think are good ideas, some of

sponsor some of them because I think their great

2.1

2.2

them I need to be convinced but in a universe of 13, I
was able to find at least one that I thought was a
good idea that I could sign on to.

You can't find a single idea in these 13 bills that you like and its not the only 13. Chairman Rodriguez has a bill that would allow people to reclaim their streets in their neighborhoods after the street sweepers have gone by. So, in the 90-minute zone, when you can't park, and the street cleaner comes by in the first ten minutes. The next 80 minutes go back to the community, so people can park again. You oppose that. Its not being heard today, but you oppose that.

There are a number of parking bills that are not being heard today that you oppose as well. So, let's just talk about the universe of 13. Not a single one of these bills is something you can live with? Not a single one of these ideas is something you can say, you know what Council, you also got elected, you're here to serve the public, we'll agree with that. Some of these bills have the votes to pass. I'm not sure what it is that you really want from New Yorkers, I really don't. I really don't. I wake up every morning and I see some of the things that DOT does,

```
some of the things that sanitation does, I appreciate
   that you pick up the trash, I really do, but in my
   neighborhood on the board that I share with Councilman
   Deutsch, we have a commercial area and a residential
   area that has midnight to three regulation.
   middle of the night, people can't park on one day a
   week on the other side of the street, you know, it
   alternates, trying to get that removed and changed to
   a 7:30 to 8:00 a.m. or an 8:00 to 8:30 a.m., so people
10
   can park overnight. It's hard for people to park
12
   overnight. I hunt for parking. The Department of
   Sanitation opposes that. WE don't have enough street
13
   sweepers they say, to hit the streets from 7:30 to
14
15
          It will affect our ability to keep the streets
16
   clean. No body I know believes that. I don't even
   think your department believes that but there's this
17
   resistance to change. The idea that you would oppose
18
   a bill that would require a summons to be dismissed if
19
20
   the sign is not legible because a. people couldn't
   look up and down the block for the legible signs.
2.1
2.2
   Because don't worry about it, New Yorkers Traffic
   Agents only write tickets when the sign is very
23
   legible and otherwise, they won't write a ticket.
24
   They only write good tickets, we all know that and C.
25
```

```
1
               COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION
                                                      56
   New Yorkers, you awful people that we are, are going
   to just go around with spray paint and start spraying
   up the signs. I mean does this make sense? This is
   how our government is reacting to these ideas.
   what universe does any of this make sense. I'm not
   even asking for a question. This is a reflection on
   what I've been hearing today. ParkingNYC app, I use
       When the time expires, even if there's more time
   that you're permitted to park there, you can't renew
10
   it.
11
        You have to wait about I think its 15 minutes or
12
```

a half hour even if your still within that hour or two-hour zone. So, if I park my car and pay .25 cents because I thought I'd only be there for 15 minutes. If I'm wrong, just wave your hand, I'll stop talking. Am I wrong?

JOSHUA BENSON: I just want to respond to that point on ParkNYC. So, the app should be allowing you to renew your time up to the time limit, if its not -COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: After it expires.

23 minutes and I want to renew at the same meter, I can

Another words, if I bought 15 minutes and now its 18

just renew it? 24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Mr. Chair I appreciate your time, thank you.

of them are near schools?

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 60 2 JOSHUA BENSON: We could do an analysis and give you that number but again, we're not tying them to schools, we're tying them to where the pedestrians were being injured. So, I don't have that number but there will be many that are near schools for sure, hundreds. COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: And I 8 wholeheartedly agree that we have to take care of those intersections. There's not a disagreement 10 there. I'm just saying that as a proactive step, 12 right, I would rather have a situation where we're not responding to a tragedy and actually get out in front 13 and these are areas where senior centers, parks, 14 15 schools, hospitals, where we have children, seniors, 16 crossing the street where there's potential for issues and we trying to be proactive. So, I'm hoping that we 17 18 can walk and chew gum at the same time. That we can take care of the reactive issues, which are very 19 serious and still be proactive, correct? 20 2.1 JOSHUA BENSON: We would love to do both, and we 2.2 do try to do both and so I think we take any and all

requests that people have for LPIs and we accommodate those as well as the approach I was describing. So, I agree very much so with you that LPIs are a great

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 61
2	tool. We are trying to protect pedestrians in the
3	best way we can and there's an efficiency to doing
4	groupings of them ad that's what we do but we
5	absolutely take requests and want to be proactive with
6	you as well.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: And I think we
8	need to do more than just take requests. I think we
9	need to be a little bit more proactive here in our
10	policy. Me being able to point out intersections, I
11	may or may not get an LPI. They're a great tool, we
12	should come up with a more formulated idea than just
13	me sending you requests, correct?
14	JOSHUA BENSON: We would love to sit down with
15	you and explore revisions to our methodology and see
16	whether we could come up with something that you know,
17	is a little different than what we've been using, but
18	continuing to you know, address the need.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Alright and so,
20	moving onto the nighttime deliveries and I think that
21	again, I wholeheartedly agree with the administrations

23 What would need to happen for us to get an agreement 24 with DCAS here to make this a reality?

22 visions zero plan and I think these fits within it.

1 2 JOSHUA BENSON: So, we've spoken with DCAS and I think you know DOT ourselves, we've undertaken an assessment of our own deliveries to try to get familiar and come up with our own internal strategy around evening deliveries, but I think they're eager to look at this issue with us, with you. So, I think we could explore this further together and I think it's something there's a lot of interest around. COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: I think that we 10 need to get it done right? As we're looking to reduce 12 traffic on our streets, coming up and having the city lead the way, when it comes to nighttime deliveries 13 and getting those deliveries and during non-rush hour 14 15 times when our streets are already packed, already 16 dealing with lots of congestion. Getting City deliveries off the road during those times are 17 extremely important, I think we have that shared goal, correct? 19 20 JOSHUA BENSON: Yes, I agree with you and I think it's a paradox where you know, its hard for people to 2.2 see what those benefits are. It's sort of abstract so, I think demonstrating it is the way to go. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: So, do I. 24

think its time for us to lead the way. So, I

2.5

2	appreciate that we're going to be able to get there on
3	this and I look forward to working with the Chair to
4	getting this plan done and I'll just comment on this
5	whole issue of the DSNY opposition to these two bills
6	today and let's say how civil it sounds. Frankly, I
7	wasn't going to comment but hearing the defense that
8	somehow losing two days of broom is going to somehow
9	point our city in a bad direction when it comes to
10	street cleaning, I find that to be a very flimsy
11	argument. I really do. I think there are 365 days in
12	the year, there are 34 days that are currently
13	suspended adding to more, in respect, particular
14	holidays for Latino communities, for Asian
15	communities, it just makes sense and the argument that
16	we're making today, I hear it, and this doesn't ring
17	true in any way shape or form. So, that's my comment,
18	you can respond to that or not.
19	CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Thank you Council
20	Member. Council Member Deutsch.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you Chair. Thank
22	you for this important hearing. So, first I just want
23	to commend Deputy Commissioner Rebecca Zack, that's

24 the title I gave you and I could definitely say that

2 you could walk, chew gum, talk on the phone, and
3 listen to everything at the same.

So, I commend you for being able to multitask and I had a great experience always with you offline and online, so I want to thank you for everything you do. So, I just want to touch upon INTRO 570. So, in testimony, you mentioned that when a sign is faded, its as simple as looking up and down the block for the next sign to confirm whether parking is legal. our city, just, I want to mention that it used to be accommodating for all and its very difficult for a senior citizen when he or she needs to walk out of the car to start looking for unfaded sign, that's legible as well as parent with children, you know, to walk out of the car, otherwise you have to leave the kids behind the car, which is not good. But then you have to walk out with the children to go look for a sign that is legible. So, I just want to make a recommendation that if we could do — you did mention that the public is not doing a good job reporting signs that need to be replaced.

If we could do some type of outreach, because I know sometimes it takes awhile for a faded sign to be

1

4

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

2.2

23

replaced. Do you know what the timeline is between a report of a faded sign to when DOT corrects that? 4 JOSHUA BENSON: So, Council Member, it's an excellent question. Safety related signs get the highest priority, so a stop sign, do not enter one way, and parking signs are in the next tier so it's not immediate, but I really like the idea of outreached encourage reporting if that's what you're getting at to really you know, get the information in 10 so we can get out there and fix these signs right away, that would be a great way to handle the concern. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Okay, so if we could do 13 an outreach, I would definitely want to be involved in 14 15 that and to look at the constituents know that we have 16 a certain week that we could call it in and this way we compile a list of all the faded signs throughout 17 the district. But also, when it comes to a summons, I know that DOT is not the one to decide if someone's 19 20 found innocent or quilty. So, we just need to send out a message that if a senior, someone has defense 2.1 2.2 this is something that is pretty common sense that if the person cannot get a legible sign, they shouldn't 23 always be found guilty. Shouldn't be found guilty at 24 25 all on that summons.

25

2 One other thing I wanted to mention is the truck loading and unloading that was just installed recently in my district in certain areas that I've been working with MT and DOT about. So, I did bring it up to DOT that those signs, the new signs number one, they are the same color as the other signs. So, its very difficult for people to really realize that a new sign came up and it defeats the whole purpose because 10 people are parking in the truck loading and unloading zones without realizing that it's a new sign. So, two things, one and my request was if they could place put 12 in another color, like the no standing anytime signage 13 14 and if they could do that like, as soon as possible because people are receiving summonses every single 15 16 day now. They are coming to my office, so those are unjust summons because its very confusing. So, if 17 18 they could put a red sign, like the no standing signs And number two is that I put in an INTRO 19 number one. that all new signage from DOT should have the words 20 new on top. Because I know on some signs you do have 2.1 2.2 it and others you don't, so people don't know that it's a new sign when you install a parking loading and 23 unloading sign. But the left turns you do have the 24

words new on top, so I have an INTRO on that, I hope

want anyone to be getting a summons because they

didn't understand what the sign was trying to convey.

4 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you. Thank you 5 for your partnership, thank you.

6 CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

Council Member Miller.

1

8

10

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Good morning. Thank you Chair Rodriguez and I want to thank my colleagues for the introduction, it is important OS's and legislation that we're speaking about this morning in a number of different ways that reflect the communities throughout the city. The needs and the values of communities and so, before I talk about my INTRO's I'd certainly like to elaborate on those. Particularly Three Kings and Lunar New Year certainly reflect the values and the needs of a significant portion of our community and our citizenry around the city of New York and certainly, their quality of life and their religious faith base and cultural commitment of being impeded when we don't recognize their needs as we have for communities in the past.

So, I want to go on the record by saying that and let me further say, that in the five years that I've been a part of this transportation committee, today

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 69 was not the first time nor the second or third time that we questioned the diversity of the DOT and its impact on the communities that they serve and its policy and decision making. So, I'd love to further have that conversation 6 with the Commissioner and certainly we have had that conversation in the past. As we talk about the LPIs, I know that my office has requested annually slow zones around parks, hospitals, churches, synagogues, 10 malls, and schools, and that has yet to come to fruition either. 12 So, we would like to continue to work 13 14 collaboratively but we'd like to work expeditiously 15 when we know that these slow zones are absolutely 16 necessary in keeping our communities and our most vulnerable safe. 17 18 Also, and well, I think the entire package is relevant to the quality of life of our city. One 19 more, the sidewalk introductions is certainly 20 something that we have been talking about for a number 2.2 of years now. The congestion in our commercial corridors, there are still owners who have more 23

and that is unacceptable, but we have not gotten the

product on the street then they have in their stores,

2 support from the administration that we have done a

number of walkthroughs with sanitation, which

4 temporarily help. We had DCA and even DOT along, but

5 without constant enforcement, it is not working.

So, again, I am here specifically to talk about

7 INTOS 1010 and 1011 and I have my assembly member Mr.

Clyde Vanel, who shares the district with me? We have

9 our Community Board Chair Ms. Rene Hill and our

10 transportation Chair from Community Board 12 as well,

Ms. Michelle Keller to highlight how critical this

12 issue is in our community.

These bills would reduce the amount of time during which 18 wheelers can be legally parked from three hours to 90-minutes and increase the fine for violation to \$400 and subsequent violation within a six-month period to \$800. The parking abuse of 18-wheel commercial big rigs have been persistent quality of life issued throughout our city from Saint [inaudible 1:28:57] to Bay Ridge. They reduce load traffic. The most monstrous park next to our playgrounds, schools, homes, for hours, sometimes even

24

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

days at a time.

commercial trucks and they are about discouraging the most frequent parking abuses by industries worst at

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

I want to also acknowledge the co-sponsor of this legislation for their great support. Council Member Adams, Richards, [inaudible 1:30:24] and Constantinides. I look forward to the hearing and once again, a hearing from DOT and the stakeholders in

absolutely has to stop. So, I'm hoping that, we have

about 32 sponsors which indicate that this is not

24

```
1
               COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION
                                                       73
   limited to southeast Queens, the borough of Queens but
   this is happening throughout the City of New York and
   that it has a tremendous impact, not just on the
   quality of life but the health and safety of our
   residents and yes, that our colleagues support this
   and I look forward to, not just the passage of this
   legislation, but certainty enforcement.
        The problem is that we study, and we have
10
   reporting bills and we create laws and we just don't
   have the ability in enforce this and our communities
12
   continue to be abused.
        So, I look forward to working with the Chair on
13
   this and let me just reiterate as a Chair of the
14
   Black, Latino, Asian, caucus that represent four and a
15
16
   half million New Yorkers here in this City that there
   are communities that are not being heard, their voices
17
   and their values are not being heard and we simply, by
   addressing these simple parking alternate side
19
20
   regulation would go along way in saying that we value
   the voices of those communities as well. Thank you,
2.1
2.2
   Mr. Chair.
23
        CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ:
                                        Thank you.
   Council Member Miller, before Council Member Deutsch
24
```

25 leaves to another responsibility. I would like for

25 and so, I'll let your team kind of get an update from

24

more to deal with the bike relationship with the LPIs

```
1
               COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION
                                                      75
 2 me on that, for us on that. But let's talk a little
   bit about LPIs in general and the testimony really
   spoke to a vision about the LPIs that was connected to
   Vision Zero, but I want to get a sense from you all
   about what is your goal. You reference double - but
   your hitting more than double of your goal every year.
   What is that goal? Whats the ultimate long game on
   LPIs and we'll start there.
        JOSHUA BENSON: Thank you Council Member, so as I
10
   mentioned before, we at DOT we believe that leading
12
   pedestrian intervals, a head start for pedestrian in
   an intersection is a very powerful safety tool. It's
13
   a part of Vision Zero and that's why we've
15
   aggressively pursued this. We used to do you know, 10
16
   to 15, 20 per year.
17
        COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: So, what is that goal
18
   today?
        JOSHUA BENSON: So, there's a 400 target in the
19
20
   Vision Zero action plan that your meeting that goal,
   your doubling that goal.
2.2
        COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Right, so whats the
23
   real goal around LPIs?
24
        JOSHUA BENSON: I mean we're doing as many as the
```

team can support. Really, and I have a very lucky of

```
1
               COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION
                                                       76
   a great team who has been attacking this with a lot of
   gusto and I really -
 4
        COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: And I met some of those
   folks and their incredible analyst and team members.
   So, I guess I want to get a sense of - you have a goal
   that you're almost ignoring because you're
   aggressively implementing them across the City because
   you believe in them. We're trying to create a sense
   of relationship to areas that in our district are
10
   vulnerable spaces. So, I really want to get a sense
12
   from you and your team about what is that long-term
   goal and the aggressive nature of the installation of
13
14
   the LPIs, really tell me that a couple things. One,
15
   there's a limit. So, there's only a certain amount of
16
   intersections that have opportunities for this.
   that's - if we don't stop, we'll hit at every
17
18
   intersection and so, is that the goal to hit every
   intersection city - I want to get a sense, not just
19
   the kind of advocacy, help me understand?
20
21
        JOSHUA BENSON: Right, so, very good questions
2.2
   and I appreciate your interest in the details of how
   we do it. So, the guiding light for us really is the
23
   Vision Zero priority corridors, priority intersection.
24
```

So, going back and looking at crash history over time.

```
1
               COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION
                                                       77
   Where are pedestrians vulnerable by the fact that we
   know that people have actually been injured at those
   intersections and then focusing on those areas.
   some of the biggest streets that you know, you might
   think of as being the high-risk streets in your
   community are the ones that we are focusing on.
        So, like let's say you know, Queens Boulevard,
8
   Northern Boulevard, Fourth Avenue in Brooklyn, you
   know those types of like major they're the ones that
10
   are getting the focus and they certainly include many
12
   schools, senior centers etc. Its not that you know, -
   I hope I didn't give the impression to your colleague
13
   that we don't think this LPI treatmetn applies to
14
15
   those facilities. It absolutely does however, what
16
   we're saying is because of the urgent need to protect
   life and limb, we want to focus on those facilities
17
   that happen to be on the streets that we know have a
   history of crashes first and then move onto the
19
   streets that have less of a history.
20
2.1
        Will we get to every intersection? I would like
2.2
   to, there are going to be some where its just not
   really relevant because there's something else going
23
   on where let's, say there's an all pedestrian phase,
24
```

or there's turning phases where the crosswalks are

1

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 protected in some other fashion. So, there are some

3 few intersections where its just not really relevant

4 to the way that intersection is managed but for the

5 most part, we're finding that it fits nicely on your

6 typical intersection.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Great, and so I think I got a better sense and we want to continue that conversation and if you could end please with just an update on that great pilot that we have started that is getting us some good details and information about utilizing the LPIs. Not just for our pedestrians but for bicyclists to use that same head start for these intersections and there's 50 intersections that are being studied right now. Give us a sense about whats going on excepts.

REBECCA ZACK: Correct, so I know that the pilot runs through the end of this month which is obviously this week and then there will be a period of time in which we review all the data that the traffic and planning team put together and I don't have like those numbers at my finger tips right now, but I think every thing indicates that things went well, but I haven't gotten kind of an overall summary of how the entire six months went. I don't have a timeline for when

```
1
               COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION
                                                       79
   that will be done but I am assuming - they've been
   gathering data this whole time, so we would be tying
   on that soon.
        COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Lets set up a follow up
 6
   meeting and get crunching together.
        REBECCA ZACK: Absolutely, I appreciate that.
        CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Thank you Council
 8
   Member. We're about to feel the questions. Could you
   please read those - the suspend alternative side
10
   parking data we have so far?
12
        JOSHUA BENSON: Chairman, you would like me to
   reread the list?
13
14
        CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Please.
15
        JOSHUA BENSON: Sure. New Years Day, Martin
16
   Luther King Jr. Day, Lincoln's Birthday, Ash
   Wednesday, Asian Lunar New Year, Washington's
17
   Birthday, Purim, Holy Thursday, Good Friday, Passover,
   Holy Thursday, Good Friday, Passover, Psalm ascension,
19
   Shavuot, Eid al-Fitr, Memorial Day, Independence Day,
20
   the Feast of Assumption, Eid al Adaha, Labor Day, Rosh
2.1
2.2
   Hashanah, Yom Kippur, [inaudible 1:41:56[[inaudible
   1:4158] Columbus Day, All Saints Day, Diwali, Election
23
   Day, Veterans Day, Thanksgiving Day, the Immaculate
24
```

25

Conception and Christmas Day.

that no doubt that all those days that you mentioned are important days for our city and I'm happy that we honor those days in different ways. One thing is that suspending alternate side parking regulation, but I

2.2

23

24

1 hope that you guys, as you go back and report to your boss, and to the Mayor's office who you know will be communicating with this in DOT. The message here is loud and clear. We are the Council, you heard from different Council Members. Not only the Asian and the Latino. My other brother [inaudible 1:43:48], we should also suspend alternate side parking regulation on the Lunar New Years and on the Three King Day. again, I hope that we will continue working on 10 conversations with you guys, DOT and Sanitation and see how we can make progress because those two days 12 are very important for both communities. The Latino's 13 who make the 29 percent of the city and the Asian community who make, I believe its around 17 percent of 15 16 the city. So, I wanted to touch base on the pedestrians 17 count down signal. As you know, we've been working, and I can say that we've been making a lot of 19 20 improvements on installing the numbers of them in our street, but the question was always why are we asking 2.2 for more and why now? And the answer is because we want to make our street safe for all and as much work, 23 we do to increase the number of the countdown signals, 24

the more safer our pedestrians will be. So, the

2	question is	how many	intersect	cions	in	the o	city	hav	re
3	pedestrian d	countdown	signals,	and h	NO	many	are	in	each
4	borough?								

REBECCA ZACK: Can you give us a sec?

JOSHUA BENSON: So, we do have the numbers Council Member and thank you for your interest in pedestrian countdown signals, we definitely agree I think with the spirit of this bill, that it is a safety feature for pedestrians. It does help. We've studied the effect pedestrian countdown signals have and we found both have been particularly with older pedestrians and young children that we see them actually waiting to step off when they see that the countdown is low whereas in the past, they would have just had the flashing hand signal. So, there are about 7500 intersections that currently have pedestrian countdown signals and by borough, it's about 2,000 each in Manhattan and Queens. About 1000 in the Bronx, 2200 in Brooklyn and 324 in Statin Island. So, its you know, again something we want to continue to expand this program. Streets that are on the wider side, are the ones where it tends to deliver the best benefit and so, we look forward to working

1

5

6

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

2.2

with you to keep expanding. More than half of intersections have this already.

1

4

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: And what are our projections on how many should we have, do we need?

And of course, it's not as many as possible, a real number. What is the projection that we have based on how many do we need and how many are we looking to install every year in the next few years?

JOSHUA BENSON: Right, so we're continually expanding this program as we go. We don't have the final number calculated just yet. We are also, we're adjusting the criteria slightly to account for slower moving pedestrians. The width of the street that we use to do the threshold was based on - originally based on a faster walking speed and more recently we've switched to a slower walking speed to account for older pedestrians or pedestrians with mobility impairments. We think it's important to provide the greatest benefit to those folks who are having trouble moving quickly because their the ones who actually benefit the most from seeing how much time they have remaining, but I would imagine we'll be close to twothirds to three quarters of the intersections would

have these when we get complete.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: And what is the DOT process for adding a pedestrian countdown signals

one in. So, it's a pretty good value proposition.

really just unplugging the display and plugging a new

2.2

23

```
1
               COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION
                                                       85
   or to an intersection and why are so many choosing
   over other. Those DOT can only pay a pedestrian
   countdown signal with red light cameras?
        JOSHUA BENSON: So, it's a width-based criterion,
   how wide the crossing is for pedestrians and if its 40
   feet, the street is 40 feet or wider, with no parking
   or 48 feet or wider with parking, that's the
   threshold.
        We've been working in large groups of
10
   intersection that are near by and contracting out the
   work for efficiency purposes, so that's why you see
12
   kind of one corridor at a time receives the countdowns
13
   and then we move onto the next and so, you know, we're
14
15
   constantly looking for additional locations and its
16
   something that a lot of the locations we've gotten to
   already have been community driven and it's a program
17
   we're happy to work that way but we also have our own
   inspectors who go out and assess and look for
19
20
   locations.
        CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Do you have the
2.1
2.2
   number, the data on what percent that crashes happen
23
   in intersections?
```

JOSHUA BENSON: I'm sorry, can I just clarify the question. You mean, as all crashes.

24

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 86 2 CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: What percent is happening in intersections? 3 JOSHUA BENSON: I don't think I have the number 4 at my fingertips but it's a very high percentage. REBECCA ZACK: I'm thinking of a Vision Zero add 6 that was in bus stops last year and I want to say it said 75 percent but that's the number that jumped out It was high, but don't quote - I mean, I know I'm testifying. I'm just saying like, that's the 10 number - I'm thinking of the add in my head and I 12 remember it being quite that high. 13 CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: I just feel that looking at you know, expediting the process to bring 14 15 additional countdowns you know, it is necessary in the 16 intersections. It is so critical and I'm not thinking about it as a Council Member. I'm thinking about it 17 as a father with two daughters 5, and 11, and a mother who is 87 and you know, I can tell you that most of 19 the bills that I have introduced, have not been the 20 result of doing a lot of research from the beginning. 2.1 2.2 That has come from just walking in the street and seeing what we need and when I walk, it doesn't matter 23

the intersection. It can be York Avenue for third,

first, or it can be in Broadway. I think that still

24

2	we have to continue and I committed to continue being
3	a partner with you guys to bring more tools to the
4	intersections to calm the way of how drivers turns
5	because again, without having the data, you have the
6	engineer but based on conversations that we have, we
7	know that still today based on this information, a lot
8	of crashes happen in intersections. We have made a
9	lot of progress. Like, in the last, and you have a
LO	lot of credits in the last eight years. We have
L1	continued what the previous administration had started
L2	doing to, so it's not something new. Anyone can see
L3	how being able to improve safety for pedestrians and
L 4	cyclist, but you know I think that it is important. I
L5	know that you got to have your information but, in the
L 6	agency, to know what is the projection, how many are
L7	needed today? How many more are we expecting to have
L8	this year. So, as we are getting ready for our 2019
L 9	budget, so that we start a discussion about how, and
20	I'm committed to advocate to bring, spend increase
21	investments for those needs that we have to improve
22	safety of pedestrians.

REBECCA ZACK: We always appreciate your support. 24 We've had a wonderful partnership the past five years

are religious or cultural days and then there are

add to the suspension calendar results in a 30 percent

now, two new, not new but two groups are coming before

24 would also like to acknowledge Council Member Richards

McCallion, Betsy Sargisson and Chelsey Brownridge.

panel. Kelly Hodges on behalf of Allie Taylor, Sandy

2.2

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 92 is here and we have Zach Miller. Okay, let's start with the panel. Thanks. You may begin. CHELSEA BROWNRIDGE: Good afternoon Chair 4 Rodriguez and members of the Committee on Transportation. My name is Chelsea Brownridge. the Founder of DogSpot, a company that manufactures pet harbors here in New York City. Out of respect for time, I submitted my entire testimony for public 10 record, but I'll just speak simply to the most to the most essential points here today. 12 I'm here to call on the Council to pass Introduction 886, my company DogSpot was born in a 13 garage in Bedford-Stuyvesant in Brooklyn in 2014 as a 14 15 solution for people who need a place for their pet 16 while they go to the store. A very simple concept but the reality is, is that there's a law out there now 17 where stores can't allow dogs inside. About 60 percent of our stores are legally prohibited from 19 allowing pets inside and there's not solution out 20 there and our pets are now being tied up, left 2.1 2.2 outside, and businesses are losing business as a

result, because their turning away customers that have

23

24

pets.

2	For more than two years, DogSpot operated a
3	network of these safe, internet-connected, climate-
4	controlled dog houses on Brooklyn sidewalks without
5	incident. From the beginning we developed a
6	partnership with the city. We were awarded grant
7	money from the New York City Economic Development
8	Corporation and the Brooklyn Public Library. We were
9	given an invitation from City Hall to represent our
10	technology on the world stage at the Smart City Expo
11	in Barcelona. Then, unexpectedly, the Department of
12	Transportation ordered that our dog houses need to be
13	removed. Receiving this cease and desist order from
14	the Department of Transportation was a shocking and
15	enormously disappointing event for our small company.
16	We were being forced to chose between removing our
17	houses from the members that we were serving in the
18	business or fighting in court for the city that we
19	love and wanted to continue to grow our business in,
20	so we opted to remove our houses from public sidewalk
21	not for lack of belief in our service and the benefit
22	that it brings to the city, but in favor of
23	collaboration with the city. So, in short order, we
24	were forced to stop serving our customers. Luckily,
25	we were fortunate enough to be quickly met with

Introduction 886, support to New Yorkers and small businesses. I'd like to thank Council Member Espinal for his leadership and Chair Rodriguez and the entire Committee again for allowing me to speak. I'm happy to answer any questions.

23

24

SANDY MCCALLION: I'm Sandy McCallion. Thank

you, Chair Rodriguez and Council. I concur with what

```
1
                                                       96
   Chelsea said and I was actually somewhat surprised to
   hear the DOT object to this, to these dog spot houses
   on safety grounds. As I walked to the subway, my ten-
   minute walk to the subway this morning, I passed five
   or six dogs that were tied up outside of businesses.
   Its not safe for the dogs. We have had incidents
   where dogs have been stolen in Brooklyn but also, as
   pedestrians walk, there are some people who are
   frightened of dogs and the children are running to the
10
   dogs or running away from the dogs and that's not a
12
   safe situation. These dog spot houses were
   incredible. They don't infringe on the sidewalk at
13
   all. There set back next to businesses.
14
   decide to go out and suddenly realize that you need to
15
16
   run an errand and you have your dog with you, you can
   put it in there. Its actually kind of fun to watch
17
   your dog inside, because you can see it in the app.
   It's a wonderful, wonderful service.
19
                                         I was very
20
   fortunate to have it in Brooklyn. I was fortunate to
   be in the neighborhood that it was there. I asked for
2.2
   more to be put there and suddenly they were gone.
   Please bring them back.
23
24
```

KELLY HODGES: Good afternoon. My name is Kelly Hodges and my dog Franklyn were actually the first

dogs, pet quardians, animal rescuers, and local businesses. The availability of safe, convenient,

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

2.1

2.2

their forever homes.

affordable care for doges makes it easier for New
Yorkers considering adoption to make the decision to
welcome a pet into their family and keep them
permanently. Decreasing barriers to adoption is one
of the best ways to help more homeless animals find

Additionally, every year numerous dogs are stolen by well-intentioned pet parents who stop into a store for just a minute. Pet harbors would provide a secure alternative to tying up a dog and leaving them unattended.

Over the years, our city has evolved to because more accommodating to growing families with children, and now, as dogs become a part of an increasing number of New York City families, too, we are seeing our city policies change, with more dog-friendly restaurants, bars, and transportation options. I believe that pet harbors would positively broaden our city's pet services and urge the passing of INTRO 886. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: I truly believe that animal rights is an extension of human rights and again, most of my understanding has happened from my role working and interacting with so many groups that

dogs and in a safe way. Right now, what you'll see up

and down on any given commercial street is dogs tied

24

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

front.

up and again, as I mentioned in my testimony, that's
not safe for sidewalks either, and so, what we're
trying to do is create a safe environment for those
dogs, so that pedestrians can move freely, so that
dogs can be safe and so, that's important on that

The second benefit to the city would be to the small business themselves. One of the things we learned in our time operating here is that our members, like Betsy just mentioned, were shopping more often in stores. Its really difficult for small businesses to stay in business in the city these days and to give them another reason that they have to turn away customers because people want to bring their dogs with them and they can't. Its really difficult for small business owners, so early on when we were talking to them, the store owners themselves, they were extremely excited and you'll have fine testimony in the packets that we shared from those business owners, unfortunately their all with their businesses today, so they couldn't be here with us today in person, but they were extremely enthusiastic to do whatever it took to get their dog houses back. continue to get interest from store owners who want

thank Chairman Rodriquez and I thank my Council Member

24

25

I. Deneek Miller.

city. Unfortunately, hosting these businesses and the

airport has put a strain on our communities.

24

commercial trucks have a place to pick up and drop off supplies their delivering, there's no place to park. So, between overnight stays, they park on residential communities taking up spaces in front of our houses, not just creating an eye sore, but also an environmental hazard that is troublesome for many families. Southeast Queens is designated as an extreme transit desert from the federal government where it takes residents an hour and a half to get to 10 Midtown Manhattan by public transportation. That's 12 why many families rely on cars and many families have multiple cars. Many of them have no other way to get 13 around to drop off their children from school, to run 14 15 errands, and to get to and from work. Needless to 16 say, that this becomes more and more difficult when an 18-wheeler is parked in front of their homes and truck 17 drivers also leave their trucks on over night as they sleep in their cars. This continues to be one of the 19 20 major issues to many of our local offices and to community boards that receive complaints about these 2.1 2.2 trucks parked overnight.

These INTROS will reduce this hazard and it becomes law, truck drivers will think twice before

23

streets for multiple days. TANY has proposed some

language to INTRO 1011, which we've included in this

24

accept deliveries at off hours. Recently DOT Commissioner Pally Trottenberg pointed out that a supermarket on the Upper West Side is actually

24

implement an off-hour delivery program in city-owned

buildings sets an important example for other

consumers.

1

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

TANY also supports INTRO 570 and tentatively supports INTRO 867 which would review street widths that we would like further clarificatory on the intent of the bill and are happy to meet with Council Member Adams to discuss further and are happy to meet with any Council Members to discuss any of these issues further. Thank you.

JACK DAVIES: Good afternoon. Thank you for convening this hearing and for the chance to testify. My name is Jack Davies. I'm the campaigns manager for Transportation Alternatives. I will note that my submitted testimony spells out our positions on the individual measures noticed today but I will use my limited time to discuss parking generally.

As we craft city policy governing on-street parking, its critical that we both appreciate the context the current rules were written in and be mindful of the environment we're formulating new

policy in. The laws governing paring across the City were largely devised by power broker Robert Moses during an era of peak automobile production and use in the United States. As New York radically suburbanized in the 1950's Moses and his City Planning Commission prioritized cars as the principal form of future transportation. As a result, the City deeded enormous amounts of public space to drivers for private care 10 storage to meet the perceived increase in automobility and gave no consideration to the new demands that an evolving New York would place on safety, equity, and 12 other transportation resources, like the existing 13 transit networks. 14 15

Of course, 21st century New York looks wildly different than planners in the 1960's envisioned. New York's residential population density is almost 20 percent higher today than in 1960. The majority of New York city household don't own cars, and public transit remains the primary way most New Yorkers travel on a day to day basis. Yet car parking requirements, se t my Moses over 50 years and more in line with a mid-size municipality then the most transit-rich city in the country, still largely govern

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

```
1
               COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION
   New York. And these antiquated policies are costing
   New Yorkers.
        The majority of New York City's spaces is being
   used inefficiently. Currently 80 percent of New
   York's open space is filled by parked cars and
   congested traffic, a widely unfair allocation of space
   in the city where the majority of citizens do not own
   a car and space is a precious commodity.
        On-street parking consumes 16,000 acres of our
10
   public space, it's equivalent of more than 16 Central
12
   Parks. Most of New York City streets are lined with
   cars, and as a matter of policy, we have accepted the
13
   idea that these cars should be afforded the
14
   opportunity, in one the priciest housing markets in
15
16
   the nation, to live rent free, all the while rent
   increases for actual people. Thank you.
17
18
        CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
        BRUCE KRAFT: My name is Bruce Kraft. I live in
19
20
   Oueens.
            The area boarded by Horace Harding
   Expressway. Grand Central Parkway Service Road, union
2.2
   turnpike to the city line on a Sunday morning at 10:00
   a.m. this year, I found 58 tractor trailers.
23
   were parked in front of people's homes, churches,
24
```

schools, parks. They are waste haulers, car carriers.

1

They park underneath highways at the Union Turnpike in Grand Central Parkway, which is dangerous. This continues all the time. Last year at this time, there were 30 parked. Now there's almost 60. They park overnight, they park by fire hydrants, they unhook their trailer, leave their trailer, take their truck. Saturday, a tractor trailer was doing maintenance, had the whole front end of the truck off and was doing maintenance. Sunday, 73rd Avenue, tractor trailer had another truck block the street while for the next three hours, they repaired it. This is in front of a public park. You can go to the ball fields on 73rd avenue and you will see waste haulers parked. People do not have places to park 16 their cars in certain areas. Flushing, you go by there, even if you google the church, the Queens 17 Borough Community Church and google it, you will see what do you see? You will see a car carrier. 19 has gone on long enough. They park where people can 20 not get to park when they come home at night to park 2.2 their car because we don't live in an area where transportation is like in Manhattan. If you don't 23 have a car, you don't live, and you have to now drive

didn't know that any of the maintenance things and I

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 111 want to say maybe a month, maybe two months ago, we met with Council Member Miller's office and he was the one who brought it to our attention that a lot of the problems in your district is with more truck drivers who actually are more local residents. We were under the impression that a lot of this was from out of It turns out a lot is from local and what they'll do is they'll come, I think the Council Member said on Thursday or Friday, they'll come with the 10 truck and the they'll come with either their spouse or 11 12 friend in a car. They'll drop off the truck for the weekend and take the car back to where they live, 13 which is all local. So, this was just brought to our 14 15 attention, I want to say a month, two months ago. So, 16 we're trying to get the word out that this is unacceptable, and you can't be doing this. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON YDANIS RODRIGUEZ: The important thing is that we need to continue working together and 19 address those concerns which is very important for the 20 quality of life issues of residents, or in this case 2.1 2.2 of Queens but it can be in any other particular area. So, lets continue conversation to see how we can 23 address any specific issue and see how we can get in 24

touch with those who own those trucks. With that,

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 112
2	thank you. I would like to end saying that this
3	hearing on Parking was about updating a system that
4	needs changes on meters, commercial parking,
5	pedestrian safety, adding to more alternative parking,
6	to two very important communities, the Chinese and the
7	Asian community and the Latino community is about
8	legible parking signs, animal rights, pedestrian
9	safety and I'm committed to continue working with my
10	colleagues and all the advocates through the five
11	boroughs to be sure that we take the parking system to
12	the level that we should be, which is to be more
13	efficient to everyone. Thank you. With this, this
14	hearing is adjourned. [GAVEL].
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

$C \quad E \quad R \quad T \quad I \quad F \quad I \quad C \quad A \quad T \quad E$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 1, 2018