CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

Friday, March 15, 2024 Start: 11:42 a.m. Recess: 2:41 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Room, 16th

Floor

B E F O R E: Hon. Nantasha Williams, Chair

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Rita C. Joseph Christopher Marte, Rafael Salamanca, Jr. Kevin C. Riley

# COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

# APPEARANCES

Annabel Palma,

Commissioner and Chair of the New York City Commission on Human Rights (CCHR)

Sapna Raj,

Deputy Commissioner of the Law Enforcement Bureau

Karjori Chaudhuri,

Deputy Commissioner of the Community Relations Bureau

Mariela Salazar,

Deputy Commissioner for Administrative Services

Dr. Aldrin Bonilla,

Chair and Commissioner of the Equal Employment Practices Commission

Jeanne Victor,

Executive Director of the Equal Employment Practices Commission

Nicole Yearwood,

Commissioner of the Equal Employment Practices Commission

Russell Ferri,

Director of Research at the Equal Employment Practices Commission

Ngozi Okaro, Esq.,

Commissioner of the Equal Employment Practices Commission

Rebekah Cook-Mack,

Staff Attorney in the Employment Law Unit of The Legal Aid Society

# COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Tahmir Williams,
Disability Justice Program at New York Lawyers
for the Public Interest

Connie Ticho, Urban Justice Center Free to Be Youth Project

Cristobal Gutierrez,
Make the Road Lead Attorney, Workplace and TGNCIQ
Justice Projects

Amy Blumsack Director of Organizing and Policy at Neighbors Together

Paul Keefe, VP of Legal Services at Community Service Society

Jonathan Eber, Program Officer at Enterprise Community Partners

Nycole-Lanyse Jacques, Unlock NYC

Allegra L. Fishel, Founder and Executive Director of the Gender Equality Law Center

Kayt Tiskus, Collective Public Affairs, on behalf of Trans Equity Initiative

Tabber Benedict, Housing Advocate at Fortune Society's David Rothenberg Center for Public Policy

# COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Julia Elmaleh-Sachs, Attorney at Crumiller PC, the Feminist Litigation Firm; Member of the Legislative Committee of the National Employment Lawyers Association

Wendell Walters, Manager, Policy & Advocacy Osborne Center for Justice Across Generations

Civil and Human Rights. My name is Nantasha Williams,

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and I am the Chair of this committee. This morning the Committee is looking forward to better understanding the landscape of the Commission and its consistent efforts in protecting New Yorkers from human rights violations. The Commission on Human Rights or CHR enforces human rights laws, engages, educates, and encourages positive community relations. The Commission's Fiscal 2025 Preliminary Budget totals nearly \$13.7 million, which includes \$11.7 million for personnel services to support 136 positions. CHR's budget also includes roughly \$2 million for other than personnel services of which approximately \$387,000 is projected to be spent on contractual services. Similar to the November plan, the Preliminary Plan includes a PEG that will reduce CHR's budget by \$676,000 in Fiscal 2024 and 24 vacant

The Commission on Human Rights is such a small agency, and these reductions will impact its work regarding enforcement, training, communications, and operations. Today we will examine CCR's Fiscal 2025 Budget and how it allows the Commission to efficiently carry out its important duties. We are interested in hearing the current vacancies' impact

positions as of January 2024.

1 COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

2 on its mandated task and the actions that must be

3 taken to fill those vacant positions soon. We will

4 delve deep into resources and strategies that CHR

5 utilizes for retaining existing staff when the City

6 | is cutting agencies' budgets.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Lastly, and among other topics, we will review local law reports on workplace protections for domestic workers and how the law is enforced to prohibit discrimination based on someone's criminal background.

I look forward to learning how the Council could better support the Commission on Human Rights in this fiscal year. I want to thank our committee staff for their work, Florentine, Mia, Jessica, William, and my Legislative Aide, Nader.

Before we begin, I'd also recognize my colleagues who are not here, but hopefully they will be coming shortly.

I will pass it to committee counsel to swear you in.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, good afternoon....
good morning, still, barely, everyone. Welcome, I'm

Jessica Boulet Counsel to the Committee on Civil

Human Rights.

Before we begin testimony, I want to remind any member of the public joining on Zoom that you will be on mute until you're called to testify. I will call public witnesses to testify after the conclusion of the Administration's testimony and council member questions.

So we will call representatives of the

Administration to testify. We will hear testimony

from the Commission on Civil and Human Rights first

and subsequently from the Employment Practices

Commission.

I will administer the affirmation to our panelist from the Commission on Civil and Human Rights,

Commissioner and Chair, Annable Palma; Karjori

Chaudhuri, Deputy Commissioner of the Community

Relations Bureau; Sapna Raj, Deputy Commissioner of the Law Enforcement Bureau; and Mariela Salazar,

Deputy Commissioner for Administrative Services.

Panelists, please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, before this committee, and to respond honestly to council member questions?

PANEL: (AFFIRMS)

2.2

2.3

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I will now invite 3 Commissioner Palma to begin testimony.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER PALMA: Thank you good morning, Chair Williams and Members of the Committee on Finance and Civil and Human Rights.

Thank you for convening today's hearing. I'm

Annable Palma, the Commissioner and Chair of the New

York City Commission on Human Rights.

Today I am joined by joined by Sapna Raj, Deputy
Commissioner of the Law Enforcement Bureau; Karjori
Chaudhuri, Deputy Commissioner of the Community
Relations Bureau; and Mariela Salazar, Commissioner
for Administrative Services.

I'm excited to speak about the work our agency has accomplished over the past year. For over 80 years, the Commission has cultivated a city where all New Yorkers can live work and thrive free from discrimination. Today the New York City Human Rights Law is one of the broadest anti-discrimination laws in the country. The law prohibits discrimination in housing, employment, and public accommodations - and it includes more than 25 protected categories.

The Commission has a dual mandate, first, the Commission's Law Enforcement Bureau enforces the

2.2

2.3

City's Human Rights Law. Second, the Community

Relations Bureau seeks to prevent discrimination

through education. There is more detail on the work

of each unit in my written testimony.

The Human Rights Law, was amended twice in the past year. Most, recently Fair Chance Housing

Protections were added. This amendment will go into effect in January of next year.

In November 2023, height and weight were added as protected categories. The Human Rights Law aims to advance equity in employment, in housing, and public accommodations.

Over the past year, the Commission's Law
Enforcement Bureau strengthened its efforts to
address voucher discrimination and launch
investigations to ensure employers are including good
faith wage ranges in job postings. They continue to
enter into transformative settlements that reduce
barriers to employment, change hostile work
environments, help voucher holders secure housing,
and foster equitable treatment in public spaces.

Consistent with past data, the highest number of inquiries and claims continue to be in the areas of disability and gender. The Commission continues to

1 COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

2.2

use the tools at our disposal to ensure that covered employers, housing providers, and providers of public accommodations understand the Human Rights Law and their obligations.

We reach more Yorkers than ever before by
leveraging are strong, innovative outreach,
partnerships, and communication channels. Since the
FY24 Budget Hearing the Commission has expanded
trainings to reach law enforcement, school safety
officers, real estate agents, and health care
providers - the major stakeholders that ensure rights
are respected and New Yorkers are treated with
dignity.

Trainings are one example of the wide ranging outreach carried out by our community liaisons and lead advisors who work specifically with historically underserved and underrepresented populations.

This year the Commission also developed several new signature events to bring New Yorkers together. We hosted an inaugural Human Rights Summit in December. This event featured training and discussions about the law and spotlighted the Commission's partnership with the Office for the

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

2 Prevention of Hate Crimes that award grants
3 organizations combating bias in New York City.

2.2

2.3

More recently to round out Black History Month, we hosted a spoken word event that celebrated the rich tradition of oral history and storytelling ingrained in Black history.

I will now focus on a Law Enforcement Unit. In FY23, the Commission resolved over 400 cases and secured over \$5 million in damages and penalties. The staff in the Law Enforcement Bureau, known as LEB, evaluates and investigate allegations of discrimination brought to the Commission by members of the public.

In Fiscal Year 2023, the largest number of inquiries and claims were in employment; housing has the second highest number of inquiries and claims; across all jurisdictions, disability related inquiries and claims were the most common, followed by gender, and then race and color. When appropriate, LEB can use pre-complaint interventions to obtain expedited relief from harm in.

In Fiscal Year 2023, the Law Enforcement Bureau resolved hundreds of matters without filing a complaint. The most pre-complaint interventions

The first, in settlements involving claims of discrimination based on the existence of a

2.3

24

25

resolutions:

disability, respondents were required to construct ramps in both housing and businesses.

The second, in one case involving a large company with stores throughout New York City, an LEB investigation uncovered persistent sexual harassment and retaliation, which the company failed to address. The settlement included \$330,000 in damages and penalties, policy changes, and ongoing monitoring by the Commission to prevent future gender-based harassments.

I also want to highlight the work of the Commission's Community Relations Bureau, better known as CRV. This unit cultivates understanding and respect among the City's diverse communities, builds partnerships, and informs New Yorkers about the rights and responsibilities that stem from the Human Rights Law

In Fiscal Year 2023, we reached a record number of New Yorkers. We engaged with 130,000 people through more than 2,000 conferences, workshops, and trainings.

In Fiscal Year 2023, the most requested training was the Human Rights Law 101, which was offered more than 100 times. Outreach conducted in collaboration

2 with the DCWP and SBS focused on Know Your Rights

3 materials, and informed New Yorkers on how to report

4 bias and discrimination. The Agency was pleased to

5 collaborate with a number of City Council Members in

6 these efforts.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Additionally, our Community Relations Bureau partners with NYPD Office of Equity And Inclusion, as well as DOE's Office of Safety and Youth Development to train new staff. The Commission presented workshops about the fundamentals of the Human Rights Law, as well as anti-Black, racism, and other forms of discrimination based on race and color.

Consistent with our mandate to foster intergroup understanding, the Agency continues to emphasize the importance of dignity and respect for all people in New York City. One example was a January citywide Day of Visibility Against Hate, a Commission activity that will take place annually.

We have been active in Jewish, Muslim, Sikh, and Arab community spaces, talking about New Yorkers' protections against bias and hate, with federal agencies as well as local partners.

Effective outreach and prevention must include

New York City's youth - work that the Agency

continues to expand on. This year, as part of the 75th Anniversary of The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Commission partnered with the United Nations to bring over 500 youth together to discuss the Human Rights Law in New York City.

In Fiscal Year 2023, the Agency's policy and regulatory priorities continued to reflect our commitment to serving diverse communities. For example, we recently worked with the DCWP and MOIA to finalize a Worker's Bill of Rights, that has launched citywide, and worked with the DOHMH on the Reproductive Justice Bill of Rights for New Yorkers.

I will turn to the Agency's Communications and Marketing Unit. The Commission continues to leverage social media, digital platforms, and community press to expand our reach. In FY23, campaigns included vouchers pay discrimination (INAUDIBLE) raising awareness of source of income discrimination, our multilingual campaign spanned social media, radio, print outlets, and convenience stores. As a result of our campaigns and focus on expanding social media, our reach has grown to several million people in FY23. Additionally, the Commission's website had over

2.2

2.3

2 million visits, and our agency was mentioned over 3 1,000 times in the press.

All of this work, and more, is made possible by the passionate and committed staff that show up every day to prevent and address discrimination in New York City.

I will now focus on staffing. As of today, the Commission has a headcount of 136. We have 113 active staff members. We are a small but diverse staff that speaks over 20 languages across our agency.

Finally, I will highlight our budget. The FY24 Agency Budget provided for approximately \$12.9 million. For FY25, the Preliminary Budget provides for \$13.6 million.

In closing, I appreciate the opportunity to speak about the New York City Commission on Human Rights.

We look forward to continuing to champion the human rights of all New Yorkers with the leadership of the Adams' Administration and the support of the New York City Council. Thank you so much, Madam Chair, and I welcome any questions.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

So, just jumping right in, the preliminary plan includes a PEG vacancy reduction of eight positions

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: Again, we want to

remain optimistic about the budget, and we wanted to

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

make sure that if we needed to touch our headcount that it was later on and not currently.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Is it fair to say that there is a concern that removing the positions will impact services? If so, how?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: As the Commissioner mentioned in her statement, I think that we are... we have expanded our reach, and we have continued to fulfill our mandate regardless of the number... regardless of our budget and our headcount. And we will continue to fulfill our mandate.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: The preliminary planning includes a City funding reduction of \$676,000 in Fiscal 2024 for less than anticipated personnel services spending. Your Commission stated that they met this PEG by reviewing the budget of the Enforcement and Training Team, Communications, Operations, and Intergovernmental Units. It was then determined that the savings will be met through unused funding from vacancy accruals throughout the agency. Can you provide a breakdown of the 24 positions that are currently vacant as of January 2024?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: Don't have the exact breakdown, but we are at 23 vacant positions currently, and they really vary across all units. So, we have vacancies, I would like to say, in each of our units so LEB, CRB, our HR Department, our IT Department. We all have at least one or two vacancies in each unit. But I am happy to provide you with that information after.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

Do you... well, you can't really explain this, but I will ask you this anyway, so you can provide this information to us later, why are these positions vacant and how long were those positions vacant?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: Some of the positions have been vacant for months, some have been a little bit longer over a year. We are coming out of a hiring freeze, so we are looking forward to be able to fill all of our vacancies, and will be working with OMB to be able to do so.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And how did you calculate the \$676,000 PEG? Like, when OMB asks you to conduct the exercise within your agency what did you do?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: That number equals about 5 percent of our agency's budget. So that was a

mandate that came from the Mayor, making sure that any cuts that we do minimize impact and don't affect don't critical and essential functions. So, that's what we did, we used that number, and then made our assessment.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Alright, that does make sense. I guess I'm looking for more micro answer. So, understanding OMB's directions to reduce, but within your agency you also have to be more intentional about where you're taking money from. So, I just wanted a little bit more detailed of an explanation as to how you calculated that? And where are you pulling money from? How did you decide where to cut and why to cut?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: The majority of those funds came from structural... like a structural surplus in PS, if I'm not mistaken, for the amount that you mentioned. And, again, there were... there's several factors that showed us that we were (INAUDIBLE) going to have this money, and that we weren't going to be able to spend it. And, again, just looking at (INAUDIBLE)...

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: (INAUDIBLE) share like some of those factors?

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Sure, I mean we've had an OTPS freeze. There were hiring freezes. So... And then again, based on the needs of our agency and what we were able to do, we factored that this was the amount that we had, and here's what we were able to do.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You said something, you said structural PS, which I'm... is I guess Structural Personnel Services. So, what does that mean? What is like... What do you consider Structural Personnel Services?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: PS. So, we have vacancies, and we know that by the end of the fiscal year we were not going to be able to either hire, or if we did hire and onboard someone, they were not going to use all of their salary. So that's what calculates into a structural surplus.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Have you requested additional headcounts or funding from OMB in Fiscal Year 2025? If yes, is the request still pending or was it denied?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: No, we have not submitted any new needs.

### COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And you mentioned that you're excited about the ending of the hiring freeze, so do you have a plan to fill the vacancies, and if so, can you share the plan?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: Sure. We have posted... make sure that we posted all of our positions or as many as we are ready to hire for. We are attending different job fairs. We are going out to different law schools, alumni associations, just really wherever we have an opportunity to do outreach. That's what we're doing.

COMMISSIONER PALMA: We also created an internal hiring committee to help with recruitment of future employees for CCHR.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: The November Plan PEG
reduced your headcount by three positions, one in the
Community Development Program area and two in the
PSOTPS program area. What type of position or
positions were reduced in the Community Development
Program area, and what type of positions were reduced
in the PSOTPS Program area? And how have you been
covering the work streams for those vacancies?

COMMISSIONER PALMA: I would highlight that in terms of the work stream we... that the Commission

2.2

2.3

has a mandate of fulfillment, so we have to continue to do the work, despite any reductions that we have faced.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: In general, those three positions were positions that we know that we had other staff that was able to cover, and so that's why they were reduced.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. Just wanted to acknowledge that we have been joined by Council Member Rita Joseph.

So, I know that the lack of adequate headcount could be an issue and has been an issue, especially in the future for your agency to efficiently carry out its duties... You know, the Council loves to give you more work. Do you believe that reducing the budget headcount has impacted your agency's core function of enforcing the Human Rights Law and educating the public?

COMMISSIONER PALMA: You know, Council Member, as you know, CCHR has an expansive mandate. And we work... we work to make sure that New Yorkers' rights are protected. And we have to continue to do the... you know, we have to continue to do that work. And we have a, you know, we do it with a mission driven team

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: Yes.

24

1

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. How are cases redistributed when a staff member leaves to avoid backlog?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: As you know our mandate is to enforce the New York City Human Rights Law. And no matter what the number of staff that we have we continue to address the investigations that we have to do - and take cases to litigation. We have more cases at OATH (Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings) in litigation now than we have ever had in the last 10 years that I know of. So we have been continuing to litigate the claims. We... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I'm sorry, you say you have more cases ow than you ever had...(CROSS-TALK)

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: At OATH and in litigation.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Oh, at OATH...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: Yes, that have been referred to OATH in litigation, uh, for trial. Of course, a lot of them get settled (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: (INAUDIBLE) less attorneys as well... (CROSS-TALK)

### COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: Yes, we have your attorneys, but we still have more cases that we've taken to OATH and we are very proud of that. We try our best to assign the cases out as soon as possible, so that they can be handled by the attorneys that we have, and move the cases along in order to be resolved - either as dismissals or settlements or referrals to OATH.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: On average how long do pro se callers wait before they have an intake appointment with an attorney?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: So, that depends.

Actually, we brought down that amount quite a bit. I think right now we are three and a half months that people have to wait for an appointment with an attorney.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, is it fair to say if you had more staff you would be able to shorten it even more?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: We always welcome having more staff, that would always effect how soon cases can be handled, but we are here to make sure not only that people get intakes with attorneys. We also have a pre-complaint intervention program, so whether it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is in source of income, or whether it is an employment, where people are facing discrimination because they want a reasonable accommodation, because of pregnancy or religion or because of medical condition, or whether it's a public accommodation, we try to address that pre-complaint, so that our attorneys and our pre-complaint interventionist are able to reach out, get people housing, or get people access to housing, get people the accommodations that they need, so that they don't have to file a complaint and wait for that entire process to take place. As you know, litigation takes a long time and investigation take a long time. So, if it is possible for the person to get relief immediately, then we try to address that without the filing of a complaint.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I want to go back to case transfers. So, you have departures, people leave, do you have any statistics on how much time is added to a case every time it has to be transferred?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: So, it's difficult to say that, because each case is different, and the complexity of each case is different. And time may be added to the case, not only because of the complexity of the case, but because of the parties that are

2.2

2.3

involved - how long complainants to get us

information, or respondents take to get us

information. There are different things that happen

in litigation that takes... or an investigation that

takes time. So, it's difficult to say that there's a

specific amount of time that's added to a specific

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Do you have data on the percentage of complaints that have experienced one or more case transfers? So maybe you can't quantify how much time is added when a case gets transferred, but do you have a sense? Are you tracking how many times a particular case may get transferred to a different attorney or someone else within LEB?

case. It just depends, it's on a case by case basis

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: We don't track how many times the case has been transferred, because that doesn't necessarily mean that the case is not getting resolved quickly. Just because it's transferred twice it may be different than a more complicated case that has been transferred just once. So we just... we don't track that particular data.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: How much overtime if any, uh, happens due to vacancies? And how has that been included in the past year?

2.2

2.3

Z <del>4</del>

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: We don't have overtime, we have what is called comp time. And that is added when people are... uh, that is granted when people have complicated cases, when cases are going to trial, when there are... there are specific investigations that need to be done, depositions, then people are given comp time. And we also try to reduce the number of cases that each attorney has, so that they are able to handle that caseload within the time period that, uh, our unionized staff can work.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: A few more questions about delays and transfers.

Do you all have a policy about when about administrative convenience closures are appropriate? And when an administrative closures are appropriate after finding probable cause? Two questions. And then how does this potential delay impact a decision about whether or not to close the case for administrative convenience?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: So administrative closures go through a specific process with us. It's not taken lightly. There is an investigation that takes place, and then there is a detailed analysis that's done - not only by the attorney, but the

2 supervisory staff are involved in that, too. And then

3 if a decision is made, and a case does not is not

4 | jurisdictional, uh, for whatever reason, or there's

5 no evidence of discrimination, then the case is

6 administratively closed. We should also remember that

7 when a case of administratively closed, it can be

8 appealed to the Office of the Chair. So if the person

9 appeals it to the Office of The Chair, you know, the

10 Office of The Chair then looks at it to see whether

11 | that decision was appropriate or not. And if it

12 | wasn't it's remanded back to the Law Enforcement

13 | Bureau for more investigation or whatever the Office

14 of the Chair decides needs to be done.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Can you share with us how

16 many cases you have in total right now?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: I can give you that

information later. I don't have that with me right

19 now.

15

18

25

20 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. Turning back to

21 | headcount, you know, the attorneys that work for the

22 | Agency perform such essential investigatory reporting

23 and enforcing of our very expensive Human Rights Law,

24 | could there be an overlap between attorneys who work

for the City agencies compared to private practices?

Э

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: What we found is that the City's or Government's pay rates for attorneys is more comparable to the nonprofit sector and not the private sector. The private sector has a different compensation model. It's hard to compare.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: What is the current attrition rate of attorneys at your agency? And what is the average length of employment for attorneys at your agency?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: I don't have that information at the moment, but I can definitely follow up and provide that later.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. I also want to know how the attrition rate of attorneys has impacted the quality and effectiveness of the services provided? If at all.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: I think that I would say that I am very proud of the work that the Law Enforcement Bureau and the attorneys at the Law Enforcement Bureau do. Whether we have had very few staff, you know, post COVID, or whether had a lot of staff, our attorneys are dedicated to their... to the work they do and the mission of the Agency. So, I

2 don't think that the quality of the work has suffered 3 at all.

4

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. How many testers do you have in LEB that conduct proactive testing?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: Right now we have only

6

7

5

three testers because we have testers who have left,

8

and we are looking to increase that number. But our

testers do quite a bit of testing ,you know, I think

10

last year we tested with four testers. We tested more

11

than 1,200 entities. Not just the number of test, but

12

entities that we tested in in New York City.

13

conduct any Commission initiated investigations this

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Do you have any plans to

14

15

year? If not, what kind of additional capacity would

16

you need to perform these?

first part of the question.

17

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: I'm sorry, I missed the

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Like, any Commission

something that you're hearing or that you're seeing

and maybe you want to launch a unique investigation

to do a little bit more digging. Do you plan to do

initiated investigations? Like, there might be

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

any of those?

25

\_

J

2.2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: We are constantly looking for issues that we want to investigate as the Commission. We have filed quite a few Commission initiated complaints during this fiscal year - and during this calendar year, and we will continue to do that. We are always looking for issues to file. Cases (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Can you share the ones you've done, because you said this year. Could you share the... the investigations you have conducted?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: So we have... we have some of them on our website... that have been posted on our website. We've done quite a bit in the salary transparency area. A lot of the Commission initiated investigations are not complaints. They start out as requests for information, because we're trying to find out what the policies and practices are before we determine whether we want to file a complaint or not. Other times we are sending cease and desist letters, you know, asking entities to not violate the law, and to work with us to come up with policies and practices that are in compliance with the law. So there are different ways in which we enforce the law through Commission initiated matters.

# COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Just a few questions on your contract budget, and then I'll turn it over to my colleague, Council Member Joseph, for questions.

So your contract budget was \$43,000 less in Fiscal 2025 compared to the Fiscal 2024 adopted budget contract of \$430,000. This was due to the removal of three contracts for data processing equipment, training programs for City employees, and professional service. Why were these three contracts removed in Fiscal Year 2025?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: Our contractual needs vary each fiscal year, so it really just depends or is dependent on the service that was being provided, and perhaps for... not perhaps, but for the upcoming fiscal year we have or we're planning to do different things. So it may just reflect a different vendor that we're using and a different cost.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Cool. Can you share a little bit more detail on the training program for employees that was reduced?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: I'm not sure which specific program, but I know that we had... and we would like to do this, because we find that it's also an incentive for staff retention. But, wherever

appropriate, we're trying to promote professional development opportunities. So that could be that we are using DCAS trainings. Most recently we had coaching opportunities for our staff. So that can be what is reflected in in the contractual budget.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: It would be good to just see details In macro level.

What is a typical training program that you all provide for employees and/or provided on a yearly basis? Does that also fluctuate? I know you mentioned some already. You mentioned the DCAS, and...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: Mm-hmm?

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So do you have anything else? Or it is just more so along the same lines... (CROSS-TALK)

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: It would still vary, but, yes, it would be more in line with, again, more trainings that are offered from DCAS. And, then, again, depending on our staffing needs, and perhaps what we are seeing... what kind of trainings our staff needs, then we are going to be looking into other opportunities with vendors.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. And because that budget was cut, is there a plan to restore the training program in the future?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: The training program hasn't has necessarily been cut. So I just think, again, that depending on... for the upcoming fiscal year what the need is that... it could very well be that we found another vendor, and so the cost may have gone down. But...

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: It would be good to get that. Because you're the expert, I don't what's in your budget. So, all we see is a number, and so if we see a number, and next to the line item it says training and it's slashed, like, it's hard for me to believe that you still have a program if you have no money for the program. And it could be what you said, but I don't know that.

COMMISSIONER PALMA: Council Member, and I would just add that our internal trainings when our laws (INAUDIBLE) when , you know, the law is amended, those internal trainings are still happening. That's not affected by contracts. We still do our mandatory inhouse trainings around sexual harassment and other

2 topics of law that we cover. And, so, those are not

3 | affected by this... (CROSS-TALK)

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, absolutely. I mean, I think there is consensus or perception that there are retention problems across the City especially for these super professional positions like attorneys.

So, you just mentioned, like you have professional development to support (INAUDIBLE) retention. And, again, we are just looking at numbers on a paper.

This is why we have hearings, because we want to learn more about what is going on. So, we obviously care about retention and training and just want more detail. Because what is reflected is that it was slashed.

Okay, Council Member Joseph?

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Thank you, Chair.

I want to push ahead and look into future, right?

So, what are some of the CCHR goals in the current

and projected fiscal years?

COMMISSIONER PALMA: Thank you, Council Member

Jospeh. So, since joining the Commission, there's

been no secret that I've really have stressed that

New Yorkers need to know that CCHR is here, you know,

that we exist. So visibility has been key for me and

2 the team. This fiscal year, we were able to launch 3 our first time ever what we call Info Line Live. So, now New Yorkers can actually dial us starting at 9:30 4 in the morning and get a live person to take their call, take their complaint. Before I came to the 6 agency, folks had to call in and leave a message and 8 wait anywhere between 10 to 15 days to get a response. So right now, you know, we are actually making it... we have made the Commission more 10 11 assessable to New Yorkers. And I want to continue to 12 do that by expanding the work that we do in our 13 communities service centers in each borough. As well 14 to have... to be able to do then intake in a way

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Has the data risen from that approach? And there's been communities that are hard to reach, what is the plan to make sure you're reaching those communities whether it is through language access and all of that?

where we're going to New Yorkers rather than having

them wait and come to us.

COMMISSIONER PALMA: So, we continue to proactively go out into communities. We continue to proactively engage in partnerships with the City Council, with elected officials, with our partners

2 out in the community, especially in communities that

3 are hard to reach. We are intentional about trying to

4 get out to those communities. I know that through the

5 | work of our CRB team we have done hundreds of

6 thousands.... we have reached hundreds of thousands

7 of people who have done thousands of workshops. And

8 that work continues, and that's the work that enables

9 us to reach those communities.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER CHAUDHURI: Thank you for the question.

I think for us there are a few things. One is, as Commissioner mentioned, we have community service centers in all the five boroughs. So this a geographical outreach, but there are also specialized positions called Lead Advisors and Liaisons, which our agency over the years has very thoughtfully thought about, like who are the communities who have historically mistrust in government? And how do we ensure that we have staff who represent, who speak the language, who look like folks, and who have built trusted relationships in those communities? So, those are some ways that we ensure that we are reaching different communities. Language, uh, we are proud to say of the Local Law 10 languages, we speak, within

2 our agency, eight of them, and we ensure that we are

3 working using other translation and other pieces for

4 | the other languages as well. So, language access is

5 | very key in terms of our relationship and

6 partnerships.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

COMMISSIONER PALMA: And I think I would just add,
Council Member, that we also ,you know, we have
leveraged our social media, we have leveraged in
ensuring... we are communications team has created
these human rights minutes that explain the law
literally in one minute. And, so, those have been
successful in reaching communities. We have also been
talking about creating a radio show, and also have
been engaging ethnic media to do roundtable
discussions to be able to bring our information
through the press directly into communities,
especially communities that that English is not their
first language.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: That was going to be my next question, thank you.

22 How can the Council support your goals?

COMMISSIONER PALMA: The partnerships that you all provide are key and vital, and we take advantage of

every opportunity that we can to show up where you

2 are. To show up and make sure that we are talking to

3 your constituents, to New Yorkers. I know that a few

4 months ago, I did walk throughs in business corridors

5 | with Council Member Riley, with Council Member

6 Krishnan. Those opportunities are always key to

7 ensuring that New Yorkers are getting the full

(INAUDIBLE) of services that the Commission has to

9 offer.

8

10

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Well, thank you for that.

I always put the dream out there. If CCHR had

12 more resources, what would its top priorities be, and

what is the reasonable level of funding that you

14 | would propose to support those?

15 COMMISSIONER PALMA: You know, I wish I could put

16 a number on a budget, but there is no magic number.

17 Right? We have a mandate to fulfill, and no matter

18 | what the budget looks like, we have to continue to

19  $\parallel$  fulfill that mandate. I will say that the team is

20 dedicated and continues to do the work. And, so, we

21 | will continue to accept all the support that we get

22 from the Council and continue to work with the

23 | Administration and with OMB to ensure that the needs

24 of our agency are met.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Are you currently facing

3 any challenges?

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER PALMA: I think our biggest challenge is recruiting attorneys to come and work at the agency. But we are actively, again ,you know, speaking with OMB and trying to be creative and innovative in how we can attract attorneys to come and work at CCHR.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: How are you thinking about adapting to expansive in this mandate including discrimination, housing discrimination, and economic abuse going forward?

COMMISSIONER PALMA: I'm sorry, can you repeat that?

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: How are you thinking about adapting to expansive in this mandate including discrimination, housing discrimination, and economic abuse going forward? Like, for example, my district has a huge discrimination about landlords taking vouchers. So, that is a huge thing. And I have a couple of... two other neighboring districts that are facing the same thing.

COMMISSIONER PALMA: You know, I always... we always encourage anyone who knows of that situations

3

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

44

2 that are happening, so bring it to our attention. We

can respond quickly and effectively. We will continue

4 to do trainings. We will continue to bring the

5 information regarding the amendments that were made

6 to our law. We will continue to ensure that we are in

7 | those spaces. And, so, wherever you need the

8 Commission to come and provide that information to

9 the community, please let us know. And we will

10 continue to do our part through our Community

11 Outreach Unit.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: And economic abuse, how is that looking to expand and mandate and making sure people know about it?

COMMISSIONER PALMA: The same way, through trainings, through discussions, through community meetings. Wherever we get an opportunity to highlight the amendments that have been made, and to those areas of the law, we are in those spaces doing that.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Are there any of those areas we foresee in the future that in five years we will need more resources to make sure it is getting the work done?

Council Member Riley, regardless of what our staffing

levels look like, we have a mandate to fulfill, and

24

\_

so the staffs show up every single day dedicated and ready to do the work and that will continue.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Thank you.

Going to recidivism continued to compliance, do you have any data you can share with us on the recidivism rate of the offending parties, and what mechanisms do you have in place to ensure continued compliance after resolution has been reached?

COMMISSIONER PALMA: Are you referring to matters we resolved or...

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Yes.

COMMISSIONER PALMA: When we resolve cases?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: So, as part of our

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Correct. Yes.

settlement agreements what we usually... well, not usually, but a lot of times what we will have is a monitoring provision in there, so that the respondent has to provide certain information to us about compliance at regular intervals. So, it depends on the settlement agreement, sometimes it is once every four months, sometimes it is once every six months that they have to provide us information. Or we will say, uh, in the settlement agreement itself, we will provide that we can ask for that information at any

settlement, reach a successful outcome?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: So, we work we are as
of right to file agency. So if someone comes to us
with a claim that's jurisdictional within our law, we
have to take that complaint - we have to take that
claim, file a complaint, investigate it, and if we if
we find through that investigation that there has
been a violation of our law, we will either settle
the claim to ,you know, so that both parties get
both parties are in compliance - so that the
complainant who has come to us gets damages. The
respondent who comes to us, has to either pay
penalties and the damages to the City penalties to
the City and damages to the complainant. And, then,
also engage in what we call affirmative relief. So
they have to go through training, they have to change
their policies and practices to be in compliance with
our law. They usually have to post our notices of
rights in either their housing, if there housing
providers in their wherever there offices are,
if they're employers, then in the employment places.
And, then, we also, as I said, we also ask for
monitoring of some kind. And then according to each,
we also have different ways in which we get
affirmative relief - like in source of income cases

2.2

2.3

we have been asking for set asides, so that certain
apartments or a set number of apartments are set
aside for people with vouchers. We... in Fair Chance
Act cases we've asked for the employers to engage in
job fairs that are targeted towards people who are
entering to work with reentry organizations So, there
are different ways in which we make sure that it's
not just the individual who has come to us who gets

relief, but that relief is something that affects

people going forward, the public going forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: And something you touched on I think is mediation. That was my next question. So, I understand the well-known power and balance between organizations individuals in alternative dispute resolution processes, how do you ensure that mediation remains a fair and equitable process for individual plaintiffs?

Can you just please elaborate a little bit more on your mediation process?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: So we have one mediator who handles our mediation, the Law Enforcement Bureau refers cases to mediation if both parties are interested in mediation and if both parties are represented. If the complainant is not represented,

2 then we will try to find an advocacy organization or

2.2

2.3

3 legal services that will agree to represent the

4 | complainant during the mediation.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: And have you seen any barriers when individuals are trying to find complaints? And if you have seen any barriers, what are your kind of resolutions to respond to those barriers.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: I am not sure I understand the question. (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: So, if you are seeing any barriers, because people don't want to file complaints or don't comfortable file complaints, they might come to you for resources, and then be kind of restrictive with giving you some information. So, what do kind of you know help them out?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: So, we actually get quite a bit of that where people are not willing to file a complaint, because... for different reasons either they don't want to... they are afraid of retaliation, or they just don't want to invest the time, or they don't want to file a complaint. We call them tips. So, the Commission then looks at that and determines whether Commission initiated actions

2 | should take place, because if we determined that

3 there's possibly a pattern and practice issue, then

4 we will either send a letter- a cease and desist

5 letter, or soft touch... what I call a soft touch

6 letter to the to the respondent, or we will file a

complaint, or we will send them a request information

to find out more. So the Commission will take it over

9 at that point.

1

8

10

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Thank you.

One thing I'm really, really happy about is your

12 | increased outreach with youth. Just wanted to know

13 | the rationale of why you increased your outreach with

14 youth. Can you please elaborate on the key

15 distinction between these two types of trainings that

16 | you incorporate now?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER CHAUDHURI: Thank you for the

18 question. And I am really glad to hear that you are

19 excited about our youth programming.

20 So, at the Commission we have a program called

21 Peer Mediation that is in its 21st year now. So,

22 youth engagement at the Commission is not a new

23 | thing. We have been doing it for many years. But, it

24 | is in a new place where we are doing much more focus

and much more thoughtful engagement. And, so, a few

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

years ago we launched what is called our Y.E.S. Initiative that stands for Youth for Equity and Solidarity Initiative. So, the Y.E.S. Initiative has a few things in it. One, we have a Y.E.S. Council where young New Yorkers who are high school and above are part of the council. So, they do a full academic year program with us where they... they talk about issues of discrimination and bias that they are seeing in their schools, their communities. And we work with them to provide engagement skills and leadership schools. And that is... when the Commissioner was talking in her testimony about the UN event that we did end of last year at the 75th Anniversary of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, our Youth Council was a very integral part of it. They spoke, they presented. Their voices were upheld.

So, our youth trainings differ slightly from our adult trainings, because they are for young people, and they are based on the needs and what the schools or community based organizations may request from us. So, for our youth training, we have a general human rights law not training for them, but we also do trainings around race and color. We do a training

2.3

around gender and sexuality. And we do a training on focusing on young... on women's empowerment. So, along with the peer mediation program that I talked about is in its 21st year.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Thank you. Chair I'm almost done.

In the first four months of Fiscal Year 2024, the number of complaints closed by 12 percent from 188 in the same period of Fiscal 2023 to 166 in Fiscal Year 2024. This is due to part two increased demand for other aspects of CCHR's work including the greater number of complaints filed which were necessary to more intake and filings for staff focused on complaints. So, the questions I have are what sort of complaints has CCHR typically received? What is the average time that CCHR resolves pending complaints?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: So most the number of complaints, the jurisdictional complaints that we file are in employment. Second is housing, and then, of course, public accommodations. Across all of those jurisdictions disability is the largest number of issues that... is the largest issue that we address.

I think it's very difficult to say why there has been a fluctuation within a quarter, because each

10

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

these staff member?

2 complaint is different. And what people come to us...

3 the public comes to us with complaints is also

different, not the subject matter, but also the

5 complexity of what we need to investigate, and how we

6 need to investigate it and how long that

7 investigation might take. So, I think you may be able

8 to look at it more towards a whole year rather than

9 | just a quarter - it can fluctuate within a quarter.

And then even within a year, it's hard to tell,

11 | because we may get very complex cases in a year. We

12 | may not get such complex cases the next year. People

13 | can come to us to file complaints. People can go to

14 | the Safe Division, people can go to EOC. They can go

15 to HUD and file. They can go to court and file. So,

16 | it just depends on who chooses to come to us.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: And lastly, do you believe there were enough staff members to manage issues? I know, Commissioner you said, regardless you're going make sure the work is done, but I just want to drill down to see if you believe that enough staff members were there to manage the issues. And, also did these complaints affect the number of overtime hours for

2.2

COMMISSIONER PALMA: Obviously, we will never deny that we can do more with more on. Our mandate is our mandate. We have to continue to enforce the Human Rights Law.

Did you want to talk about overtime?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: I think I addressed that but... So, if over... if comp time is needed, and we do allow our attorneys to use the comp time to address cases, and the issues that are raised in those cases, like I said before, if there are depositions, if there are interviews that need to be done that cannot be done during the work hours, if there are other types of preparation that need to be done, we do allow for comp time.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Thank you so much. Thank you, Chair, for allowing me this time. Thank you, Commission, and thank you, team, for presenting today.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I just wanted to follow up on your comments that recruitment is one of the biggest challenges. Do you have a sense why? Is it salaries... recruitments, yes. Do you have a sense as to why? Can give a little bit more?

2 COMMISSIONER PALMA: I think what we've seen, I 3 mean not in our agency, but across the City, there 4 are some determining factors that play into it. Salary can be one of those challenges, right? 5 Competing against the private sector, City agencies 6 7 competing against the private sector in terms of 8 recruiting folks can be tough. And, obviously, you know, when offers are made, some of some of the attorneys have come back to us and said, you know, I 10 11 was offered something with more money. So, those are 12 the challenges that we see. Again, we continue to try to be creative and innovative and then work with OMB 13 14 to see what we can do to be able to attract attorneys 15 to our agency.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.

How does the salary at your agency compare to other agencies that may have attorneys or other similar positions? Is it on par or is it below?

COMMISSIONER PALMA: All of... the majority of our attorneys are our unionized, so the salary ranges are part of the collective bargaining agreement, and we follow that.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Just some more questions on your responsibilities
and the services you offer. Does LEB work in

COMMISSIONER PALMA: To?

collaboration with other agencies?

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: If there is anything that might be happening around enforcing the City Human Rights Law? I'm thinking of source of income, which was in HRA, and there's still some things there. Like how do you all work with other agencies to address different issues?

commissioner Palma: So, the Leb Department enforces the law... the unit that will work with and collaborate with other agencies is our CRB team. So, we ,you know, with... you mentioned HRA flex time. We are now talking with DSS to be able to go in and do some trainings. And to the Housing Team in the shelters, right? Around source of income... so that's the collaboration that our agency will do. LEB won't do it, CRB is the unit that will do that.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: There was an increase of \$95,000 in FY25 in the plan compared to the Fiscal Year 2024 adopted budget. What has driven the increase in FY25?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: In what category?

## COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: One second, we will look 3 that up.

How does the Commission maximize its current resources and ensure that its efforts are cost-effective specifically in the Law Enforcement Bureau?

So, how are you maximizing your current resources to meets demand and also reductions in your budget?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: I'm not sure (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay... (CROSS-TALK)

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-

13 TALK)

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: We can (INAUDIBLE) ...

How freely does the Law Enforcement Bureau investigate complaints of police misconduct? How does the LEB currently measure impact and effectiveness and its investigation?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: So we... well, here are several parts of our law that deal with police misconduct - one is biased-based profiling, which is a specific area of our law that deals with misconduct by law enforcement. But, also individuals can bring claims to us, because they feel that they have been discriminated because of whatever protected class of

2 | the 25+ protective classes that we have, and of

3 course, in public accommodations is mostly come in.

4 So, we do have claims against NYPD - individuals who

5 bring claims to us based on violations of their...

6 where feel they have been discriminated against.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER CHAUDHURI: And, Council
Member, If I may add, we are also very actively
working with NYPD's Office of Equity and Inclusion to
ensure that their equity liaisons and others are
being trained on the City Human Rights Law.
Similarly, the DOE's Office of Youth and Safety who
hire the school safety agents, we are training every
new cohort of school safety agents around the Human
Rights Law, so that people are aware of that their

obligations are when they are out in the field as

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.

part of their job.

The \$95,000 was in the Community Development Law Enforcement Program? Yeah, Apparently there was an increase, right? It was an increase in Fiscal Year 2025 in the prelim, which was compared to the fiscal 2024 adoptive budget. So, there was an increase in this Fiscal Year 2025 prelim plan.

24

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: I will have to follow up with you with that.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

I'm going to talk about metrics and tracking, and then just a few more questions on source of income units and a few other things.

So, what is the... I know you need to get back to the me on the total (INAUDIBLE) of inquiries, but how do you track it?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: So, we have a system that tracks the number of inquiries we get. Each call that comes in, whether it is jurisdictional or not, and we also track the cases that are taken as intakes - the cases that are taken as complaints where complaints are filed. So we have a system called Dynamics that tracks all of that.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: We have learned that some inquiries get assigned a Matter Number, others assigned get Correspondence Number, and others don't get assigned any numbers at all, and I guess technically they are not tracked in the database there's no record. So, how does your agency decide which inquiries to assign a number to or not?

were of the settlement, and also how many set aside

units for voucher holders were won through the

24

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

2 settlements? And also if there were any civil
3 penalties and damages?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: There usually are civil penalties and damages. Those are sometimes reduced somewhat if we are able to get set asides, because that's really important to New Yorkers, that they have housing that is available to them - much more important than... so, we will reduce the civil penalties that are paid to the City sometimes in correlation with the number of set asides we get. Not always, but sometimes.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. And because we are on source of income, I will just ask a few questions on that.

So, the Source of Income Units works to address discrimination against New Yorkers seeking housing using varying forms of assistance from federal state, or city voucher subsidy or other forms of public assistance. The Administration has indicated their commitment in the past to addressing the housing crisis, including City of Yes, and millions of dollars to fund, I quote, "tenant protection programs, including more staff, increased investigation and enforcement against bad landlords

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.

been doing on an annual basis since 2018?

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: So, I know that in FY23

we had 145 PCIs that were successfully completed. I'm

not sure what the number is for Fiscal Year 2024, and

of course since 2018 we've done quite a few. There

was a little bit of a lull during the pandemic,

because there was a moratorium on evictions, and

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: What percentage of the precomplaint interventions have resulted in a move-in?

housing was just sort of a standstill at that point.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: So I'm not sure that we track whether it results in a move-in, because that's not necessarily what someone who contacts us wants all the time. Sometimes they just want access to view the unit. So they may be asked... there different ways that people want to access housing. Sometimes they have contacted a broker. The broker has told them, we can't show you the unit, because you have a voucher, and we don't think the landlord is going accept a voucher, or we don't work with people with vouchers. And once the person sees the unit, they may not necessarily want that unit. To us that's successful, because the person wanted to see the unit and would not have even been able to see that unit if we had not intervened. Of course, there are others

that we work with very diligently to have them move into the unit itself - or if not that unit, than another unit. So sometimes we work with the person

5 over a period of time to get them into housing.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I know you mentioned set asides, and I am pretty sure you don't have this answer, but if you could share with us at a later time how many set aside units your agency has been able to secure for voucher holders since 2018? And then just a data tracking question — can you share why you do not track address level data for source of income discrimination inquiries?

So, for instance, there's no formal tracking of where these discriminations are taking place across

New York City? So, technically you wouldn't be able to really assess and say, like, well we see in these communities or in these areas a higher propensity for discrimination, because your agency is not tracking location.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: So, We do take the location of... yes, we do take the location of the person who's calling us, not necessarily of the unit. But we have worked with other City agencies to track where in which neighborhood there has been the least

(INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

## COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

2 COMMISSIONER PALMA: Right, I...

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You can understand my confusion... (CROSS-TALK)

COMMISSIONER PALMA: (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Because you completely dodged that question, and then you actively said yourself that you worked with the agencies. So, I was really confused about that.

COMMISSIONER PALMA: I'm sorry, Council Member. I don't think... I mean, I know that I jumped in to response of the question, but it was... it's the specific work that LEB does in terms of law enforcement that they... to do their work, there is not much room for collaboration with other agencies, unless where we need information of this magnitude to be able to know where these landlords are committing this type of discrimination.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, and I feel like hearings and public forums are opportunities to also understand the way a person is and how they think.

And, so, I think it's... You know, I don't always find it important for me to say this disclaimer, but I say all the time, I am not going to pretend to know half of the things that you guys do. I am happy that

Commissioner had said, it's not just the unit that

2.2

2.3

does the source of income work, but all of the Law

Enforcement Bureau does that work. So, at this point,

we don't have a backlog necessarily of source of

income cases.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. I know in the past folks were in the Source of Income Unit or other units or divisions within in your very large bureau here spoke different languages. Can you share how many languages are spoken at the Commission? If you still have multilingual people that are not only going into the community but also working on these cases?

COMMISSIONER PALMA: At the Commission we currently... our staff speaks 27 languages.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: That's amazing.

Okay, I know that in prior years HRA has stepped in to perform some of the source of income work. Can you explain the relationship between HRA's work and Source of Income Unit, and if they are currently playing the same role?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAJ: So, I think the currently the inquiries that are coming as far as source of income discrimination is concerned, are going to be transferred to the Law Enforcement

implement and enforce the Fair Chance Act. However,

2 in the FY25 budget, the Fiscal Impact Statement only

3 allocate \$700,000. Does the Commission need to staff

4 up before the effective date takes place? If so, is

5 | the fiscal statement understating what needs to be

6 done?

2.2

COMMISSIONER PALMA: Again I will reiterate with us with any amendment that is made into a law, we have to... we are mandated enforce it, and so we will continue to enforce the laws as they come... as they are amended, as they are coming into place.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SALAZAR: I just want to say that we are in the beginning stages of the budget season, so I think there is also opportunities for us to continue the dialogue.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Well, thank you so much for all you do. I always advocate for the Commission, and I know we give a lot of work in the Council. So, I appreciate everything, and I think you so much for your testimony.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Alright, EEPC!

Alright, well I will just start my next opening statement while these lovely folks take their seats.

Good afternoon, and welcome to the Fiscal 2025

Preliminary Budget Hearing for the Equal Employment

Practices Commission or EEPC.

Again, my name is Nantasha Williams, and I'm the Chair of the Committee Civil and Human Rights.

million, which includes \$1.1 million for personnel services to support 12 positions and \$87,000 for other than personnel services. EEPC is an independent commission that monitors an evaluates the employment programs, practices, policies, and procedures of all City agencies to ensure that they maintain an effective affirmative employment program of equal employment opportunity for protective groups who are employed by or seek employment with the New York City government.

Although EEPC is expected to be exempted from a future PEG... However, it's Fiscal 2025 budget has not included any additional funding to enhance the commission mandated duties.

How does the city expect EEPC to fulfill its charter mandates without the proper funding for additional staff? And why isn't the Administration

2.2

2.3

If you could all... I'm sorry, yes?

Established 1989, through an amendment to the New

York City Charter, the Equal Employment Practices

Commission is currently celebrating its 35th

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

EEPC conducts equal employment opportunity

program audits on about 145 mayoral and non-mayoral

agencies once every four years. We also produce

several data-rich annual Citywide reports, examining

critical topics, such as occupational segregation,

pay disparities, underutilization, and

underrepresentation in the municipal workforce.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Additionally, we continue to convene informational sessions into agency task forces and practitioner conferences, both in person and remote, to further our mission through collaboration.

It is essential to acknowledge that while the productive EEPC staff and commissioners serve with

2 unwavering commitment and expertise in execution of

3 our mission, the compounded effects of the recent

4 PEGs personnel and other than personnel budget cuts

5 | over the years, have led to a real and

6 disproportionally adverse impacts to such a small and

7 | tiny agency like the EEPC. Valuable experienced staff

8 members have resigned, leaving remaining staff to

9 take on untenable additional responsibilities - as

10 you imagine this may affect morale.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We have faced unprecedented difficulties filling vacancies due to disproportionally inequitable across-the-board application of PEG cost savings. The subsequent vacancies that have resulted in reduced administrative, legal, technical, and institutional skills sets and capacities to carry out work that requires hindsight, oversight, and foresight - so we have experienced a reduction in those capabilities.

Currently, the EEPC operates with a staff of only

12, after a vacancy reduction of two, from the head

count of 14 - a surprising fact, considering the

magnitude of our mission and its importance to

current and perspective employees seeking fair,

nondiscriminatory workplaces where their talents and

careers can flourish in public service. In fact, the

City spends more resources to remove a one-time, 2-inch snowfall, which may melt anyway, than it invests annually on independently auditing and monitoring employment practices across City agencies.

Again, we spend more on a 2-inch snowfall event then we do annually on auditing and monitoring practices across City agencies.

We know City agency employment practices and procedures are the main drivers to recruit, higher, promote, and retain women, Asian, Black, and Latino perspective and current employees, and much variation exist in terms of performance and compliance in this regard.

The Mayor and the City Council must consider funding the EEPC commensurate with its mission and mandate to be consistent with its public pronouncements on achieving a diverse, equitable, and inclusive public sector workforce.

Such an investment to increase the EEPC's budget by less than a half million, within a \$110 billion+ City budget is both minimalist and feasible. This investment would be more prudent and cost effective than the tens of millions of dollars paid annually to

2 settle civil service employment discrimination

3 lawsuits.

2.2

2.3

Despite these challenges and constraints, the EEPC staff and commissioners remain committed to operational innovation and efficiencies where feasible; we proactively offer legislative remedies and policy recommendations when appropriate; and convene relevant stakeholders for long-term problem solving and strategic planning within our limited resources.

This includes numerous commission meetings and robust conversations with (INAUDIBLE) agencies. We have initiated efforts to address contemporary issues, such as recommending equal employment opportunity and sexual harassment trainings to all community board members, modernizing and auditing protocols, creating a pilot program with a city agency willing to take a deeper dive, and the removal of barriers for women and people of color convening agency heads and EEO professionals to be more communicative about our audit process and compliance, improving our website content and functionality, making us more public facing, as well as creating and

2 adopting our bylaws for the first time in agency
3 history.

2.2

2.3

entities in compliance.

Yet, there is much more to be done in this
largest and most diverse municipal workforce in the
United States, to realize equal employment
opportunities and compliance. What we have seen over
the last several years is that relatively few
entities are found to be in full compliance when
their EEO programs are audited. In fact, in 2022,
agencies had an average of 6.3 corrective actions
total of 114 corrective actions for all of the
agencies that were audited that year. Last year,
there were total of 119 corrective actions, excluding
community boards for the year - a clear indication
that we are simply not doing enough to keep the City

Local Law 13 requires that the EEPC review the City workforce for a period of 10 years. We are currently in the fourth year of the review, and as we delve more deeply into the analysis, we recognize that we do not have enough bandwidth within our current staff of three researchers to perform the types of nuanced and complicated complex analysis into the drivers of underutilization that we believe

quantitative skillsets.

are necessary to provide the City with the most effective legislative regulatory and budgetary recommendations. Those drivers will include a deeper review into the recruiting, hiring, promotional, and separation activities at the City agencies. Thus, the EEPC is requesting the resources of three additional staff members to the Research Unit, who would be analyzing demographic selection rates and recruitment source information at each stage in the application of the hiring process to identify obstacles both before, during employment, review exit interviews, and offer meaning recommendations and solutions. These will require a combination of qualitative and

Executive Agency Counsel is indispensable and mission critical for our agency, as a person who would be familiar with and understand the work of the EEPC, and would therefore be able to perform such as tasks drafting the legislative and regulatory recommendations that come from the reports. This person would also be well versed the federal, state, and city EEO frameworks and best practice.

(APPLAUSE)

In light of the above, we are respectfully requesting funding for a total of five additional staff, which includes the Labor Economist, two City Research Scientist, an EEO Program Analyst, and Executive Agency Counsel.

We estimate that our total request amounts, again, to less than \$500,000 - half a million dollars. With the most important and crucial of these positions being the Labor Economists, Executive Agency Counsel, and the Research Scientists.

This work is important. It must be executed as a matter of law. We believe that this work is a defense against discrimination... to prevent discrimination in our workplace. And we greatly appreciate the support this committee, Chair Nantasha Williams, and the Committee on Civil and Human Rights, the City Council, and the Mayor's office, and any representatives from OMB.

We look forward to working with you for the City in FY25. And looking forward to the opportunity to align our professed belief in diversity, equity, and inclusion and right sizing this budget lack for our agencies to be consistent with our values.

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

Neither the November nor the preliminary plan including any new needs for EEPC. The good news is that EEPC was exempted for the preliminary plan PEG.

I understand that OMB has agreed to not cut EEPC's budget for at least Fiscal Year 2025.

So, did OMB clarify that EEPC will not have any PEG going forward?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR VICTOR: Yes, there was no PEG going forward, and as far as needs are concerned, we were advised that as long as we could self-fund our new needs, that we could put them in.

Notwithstanding, I put in the same new needs that we have here today - the five employees that we're asking for.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Was any similar agreement made in future years?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR VICTOR: No.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Have you requested any increased funding new need to be included in the Executive Budget, if yes, for what area? I think you already answered this - the \$500,000.

Do you feel that your budget is sufficiently funded to meet all of your Charter requirements? If

York. So that the workforce is both representative
and includes the talent of everyone who was either
born and raised here or who comes here to try to make
a future for themselves and their families.

for women and people of color in the City of New

2.2

2.3

We want to be able to focus on foresight issues in terms of forecasting, in terms of the effects of the displacement of workers after COVID - separate we found in last year's report, that the majority of exits and separations from the City workforce during COVID were women and women of color. And as they try to come into the city workforce, we find that if they've been out of workforce for two years, and even if they have two years of service, they come back at the lowest salary range for that job description.

So, there's a lot of built-in structural and inherent and systemic inequalities that we don't currently don't have the ability to focus on in terms of hindsight.

It pains us to read that sexual offenders of certain agencies might get employment in another agency of the City. How does that happen?

So, these issues of foresight, in terms of looking beyond the horizon of how systemic inequality and these kinds of disparities continue to persist, it's something that we need bandwidth and capacity around. And that would be not only beefing up our Audit Unit so that maybe we do spot checks in between the four years, we by mandate audit agencies every

four years. You know, a lot could happen in between

3 four years after our spotlight is removed.

2.2

2.3

And in terms of research, all of these questions about the interconnectivity and intersectionality around occupational segregation, we have one of the most segregated occupational workforces in the country -in New York City. While we don't necessarily see ourselves that way. Pay disparities are also correlated with occupational, uh, segregation, and then we have issues of underutilization and representation.

So there's very much need to fund this beyond the half-million request. The half-million that we request is really to bring us back up to speed around our oversight. Right? The auditing and monitoring that is heavily important terms of putting the spotlight, and giving kind of attention that the issues need. And terms of bringing up the capacity around legal and institutional issues around hindsight, we have a labyrinth and byzantine labyrinth of all of these different municipal laws that affect workplace. How do these laws interact with one another? Do they cancel out one another? Are they being ineffective in comparison to one another?

And that is the kind of capacities that, if we had
the opportunity, we would want additional funding
for. But, this \$500,000 is really the minimum, the
minimum, to just get us to be... to legitimately and

6 credibly say that we are doing our best attempt to

7 auditing these over 145 City agencies once every four

8 years.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, would you say, given the current budget, you are struggling to meet your Charter mandated requirements?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR VICTOR: Yes. At this point, I would say yes. Because, I think... we have two units, we have the Research Unit and the Audit Unit. On the audit side, we're able to do the audits once every four years as required by the City Charter.

But, as the Chair said ,you know, last year we had 119 corrective actions for the agencies that we audited that year. The year before that we had 114 or so corrective actions. It's telling me that ,you know, something is not working. These agencies have been audited in the past, and yet they're still having a substantial number of corrective actions that indicate that they're not doing something, or we're not... we're not doing something, or we're not

doing enough to get these agencies to remain in compliance.

2.2

2.3

So, we would need a few more people. I mean obviously, last year, in 2023, we had a very difficult year, because almost all of our Audit staff. We are slowly in the rebuilding phase, and we're hoping that with the new staff that we bring on we're going to be able to continue to do the audits as we are meant to do them.

But in order to do more, we are going to need more. On the Research side, we're scratching the surface of underutilization of women and people of color in the workforce. And we want to do more than that, because we have six years of reporting left to do to give you and the Mayor's Office the recommendations that we think will actually move the needle in underutilization in the City's workforce. We want to give you meaningful recommendations that you can look back on and say, yes, so we have thorough analysis of the workplace, the workforces, the obstacles in hiring, the obstacles in selecting, the obstacles in promoting women and people of color in the workforce. And this is what we need to do to fix those things. And we know that the EEPC has done

2.2

2.3

2 a great and thorough job at determining what those 3 obstacles are.

So, we want to be able to give you a product that you can be proud of. So, you're going to need the additional staff for that.

COMMISSIONER YEARWOOD: And just add, I think we've been talking as a Commission about training. So, it's not just about us auditing agencies and saying ,you know, you have these corrective actions, but how can we train agency EEO staff to make sure that they're not in this situation moving forward? So, if we want to do trainings and that type of thing, we also need additional funding for that as well.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

And one thing you said, Commissioner, in your testimony was this idea that your role, your commission is structured to keep agencies compliant with the vast array of EEO laws. Have you taken an analysis... and I know this has been some off-line conversation, but I would love if you have some thoughts to get on the record. You know when agencies are... first, I'm thinking of the Vulcan Society with the firefighters. Uh, significant lawsuits. The City

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is definitely going to have to pay some money. And 2 there's probably a ton more that don't hit the news 3 or that aren't as salacious or egregious as the FDNY.

So, is it fair to say that investing in EEPC upfront could potentially decrease the City's paying out of lawsuits that they have - and that they get every year for a myriad of EEO violations?

CHAIR BONILLA: I would say yes. Maybe not be a direct or linear correlation, but absolutely, when you put a spotlight on these practices and procedures, and when you let folks know that the City cares enough about these DEI issues to audit you and to monitor compliance, then people will focus on what is being measured, and people to focus on what being audited.

I think a big challenge is that... and I often say this in the meetings that it is the minimum right now what we are doing. Right? It's compliance, but we all know compliance is not commitment. Compliance is not commitment.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Mm-hmm

CHAIR BONILLA: So, when folks get a Full Compliance Certificate from us, that is, for us, the beginning of a conversation, right? It is not

2 something that we know everything has already been up

3 to par.

2.2

2.3

For example, in terms of budget cuts, when we have to deal with City agencies, guess often where their cuts are reflected in? In the programs that deal with the diversity, equity and inclusion or EEO.

So, may have to audit an agency that doesn't even have a EEO personnel.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Mmmm...

CHAIR BONILLA: How do we do that? No one there is versed and state, federal, or city compliance around the different laws have been passed. And this is almost beginning the conversation anew - or not having the conversation at all.

So, it's definitely been deafening in terms of... and you mentioned the Vulcan Society, we learned something very important from that in terms of going deeper than compliance. So, if we looking at when someone does an EEO complaint, and you have an EEO complaint log about what the process is, the procedure uh, when it's been... when the complaint was launched. But even on our part, when you know better, you want do better. We want to dig deeper in

2 knowing how long the complaints have gone without

3 being resolved.

2.2

2.3

Imagine being in workplace where you've done a complaint on a coworker or supervisor and you have to work amongst or around these individuals for two, three, six, seven months? What's an appropriate length of time to allow this kind of discriminatory complaints to go on without resolved and not think it's going to create a disadvantage work environment?

For even for us within the limited we start seeing that's the foresight beyond horizon, but what's below this compliance stuff that we really are failing our residents and our employees - perspective or current - around commitment to diversity, equity and inclusion. And for us, the data... some people don't want to... it's inconvenient, right? Because, the pronouncements are one thing, but then we ask people, can we see the numbers?

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

The preliminary plan includes \$1.2 million in EEPC's Fiscal Year 2025 Budget to support 12 positions. As of January 2024, the Commission has two vacant positions. Can you describe the roles and responsibilities of EEPC's 12 positions?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR VICTOR: Just to speak directly to the two vacancies, uh, one of them has been filled and one is in the process of being filled. We did have someone accept... those positions were EEO Program Analyst. Those are the people that are boots on the ground that get out and do the audits.

As far as what our positions look like, we have the two units, we have three people in our Research Unit. There are City Research Scientists, that's what they do, they research.

On the other hand, our Audit team is staffed with EEO Program Analysists. Right now, we will have four of them and we have a manager. We don't have a director. I did not ask for a director in order to be as conservative as possible, but we lost our director, slash our... she was also serving as our Executive Agency Counsel, so we don't have that either.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Is that the two vacancies?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR VICTOR: No, the two vacancies right now are the EEO Program Analysts.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, got it.

2.2

2.3

Do you have any plans to fill the positions? Are you actively working to fill the positions? I know where was a hiring freeze, but now it's lifted.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR VICTOR: We are actively working to fill our vacancies. And we will almost have them filled. Our last vacancy was just accepted yesterday. So we will be filling that within the next couple of weeks.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Awesome.

2.2

2.3

What is EEPC's attrition rate?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR VICTOR: I think our attrition rate has been fairly stable over the years, with the exception of last year. Last year was really, I think, an anomaly. We pretty much lost almost everybody in the Audit Unit. So I would say three-quarters of our Audit Unit left for various reasons. Two of them left to take positions in other City agencies, two of them left to leave the City and in its entirety, they left city government completely, and one of them left city government completely, but her reason for leaving was that she was she was burned out. So, I'm losing people to burnout at this point.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I feel that.

2.2

2.3

So, just to talk a little bit about the work that you do, the auditing and annual reporting for employment practices. You mentioned that some agencies don't even have EEO Officers.

Can you share what agencies don't have EEO Officers?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR VICTOR: Off the top of my head, I can't really give you the names of the agencies. But I can get back to you with those agencies.

Those agencies are either in the process of trying to hire people. There's been one that's been trying to hire an EEO Officer for months - like seven months. But, it's generally speaking a lot of EEO Officers do other jobs, so they do that plus something else. So, there could be turnover from operational work as well as their work EEO work. It just impacts us in that way. But, I'll get that information to you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, there a currently 145 entities within EEPC's jurisdiction including the Office of The Mayor, all mayoral agencies, and the City Council. According to the City Charter, EEPC must audit employment programs of these agencies at

1

3

4

5

6

/

8

 $\circ$ 

10

1112

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

least once every four years to ensure that they comply with city, state, and federal regulations and requirements.

Can you provide details to the Committee on your audit work?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR VICTOR: Specifically, we have a list of standards that we... right now our standards are geared towards underutilization of women and people of color in the workplace. We use those standards to audit the programs and procedures of the agencies. And essentially what we do, how we do it, is at the beginning of each year, we will launch what we call PICS (phonetic) that means our questionnaires for the agencies to respond to and provide documentation. They provide the responses to the PICS, and then we have assigned EEO Program Analysts to work with specific agencies to understand what documentation and information they are submitting in response to the questions. And then they work with our manager to make sure that the responses at the agencies are providing actually meets the standard for the PIC. And if that's so, then we don't do anything further with that. If not, then we may be issuing a corrective action.

\_

But it's a two-step process. We issue a preliminary determination first with the listing of the any corrective actions -if any. And then we give the agency an opportunity to cure that. If they do cure it, then we're done. If they don't cure it, then we issue a final determination. And we give the agency four months to cure any corrective actions.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

Why are there only 18 agencies that are part of the Employment Practices Audit with the focus on underutilization as mentioned in the 2023 Annual Report? That I need to read. I will read it.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR VICTOR: Thank you for the question.

So, in that particular part of the of the report, we excluded community boards.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Do you typically characterize... Is there a way that you characterize corrective action as too many corrective actions?

Like, I know you said on average, some agencies have about six. Is there a number where you're, like, whoa, this is way too many? Or how do you calculate that?

2 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR VICTOR: The maximum number of 3 corrective actions that we have for this round of

1

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

audits is 17.

So, sometimes agencies might have 17 corrective actions. Sometimes they might have six corrective actions. Sometimes they might have two. It all depends on how their EEO Officer responds to the questions and what information they provide.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: How would you say City agencies are performing according to your audits?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR VICTOR: I would say that they could do better. My goal is that there are no corrective actions, and I am focused on a zero corrective actions response. But, right now, we have far too many agencies with more than 10 corrective actions. And I think that (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: How many agencies? Do you know how many agencies have more than 10 corrective actions?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR VICTOR: Yeah, I...

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And the agencies?

executive director victor: I can tell you that, on average... I have a chart here... last year we had a total of 43 agencies, but also it included a number

haven't received training.

\_

Another one that is pretty large is whether or not they have... the agency has distributed a copy of the EEO policy to all if its employees.

What's another one that's Russell?

DIRECTOR FERRI: (NO MIC) Reviewing the (INAUDIBLE)...

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR VICTOR: The plan with...

another one that is big is reviewing their hiring

practices - to sit down at least once a year to

review their hiring practices to see if they have

identified barriers to hiring. And if whatever

barriers have been identified are being addressed.

That is a common one as well.

CHAIR BONILLA: In terms of compliance, again, I want to... for what we care about, right? I want to be able to distinguish the compliance and commitment. So, the compliance is really the minimal threshold. The APC, we really want to get beyond that. So, you can have an agency that's compliant let's say, and let's say New Yorkers for the things that they really care about, for example, let's say in for firefighters or fire supervisors, why are 88 percent of firefighters white (INAUDIBLE) supervisors? Why are 65 percent of all firefighters white? For New

2 Yorkers that's kind of like interocular trauma. It

3 hits you between the eyes. That is the kind of things

4 they care about. Why are 99 percent of them are male

5 who are supervisors? So, if you by agency, by agency,

6 by agency, by agency and really tackle the issues

7 | that tax payers and New Yorkers care about - about

8 having a representative bureaucracy from people that

9 look and from the communities in which they live,

10 serve, and play, that's kind of like what I'm talking

11 about in terms of foresight and commitment.

So, we definitely need to be funded fully for our auditing and monitoring mandates. But the resources and the foresight that I feel this independent non-mayoral commission ought to really spend some time on in terms of its expertise - time and energy and resources is on that foresight, that beyond the horizon, in terms of getting a workforce that is aligned and representative of the New York City that we all know and live in.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: (INAUDIBLE) So, in terms of foresight, and I hear what you're saying - anybody can check a box, but that doesn't really tell the full story.

24

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.

\_

- -

So, are there agencies that you do think, while they might be in compliance, the commitment level to equal employment practices is not there? And, if so, why and who those agencies are?

I know you just mentioned FDNY, but are there any other agencies that have these glaring occupational segregation issues or other issues that, again, they might be in compliance of you know state, city, federal law EEOS, but there are still significant disparities?

CHAIR BONILLA: Yes, I am embarrassed to say that we even have this beautiful graph and table that shows you agencies and how they get more people of color. The median salaries decreases. We have it by job type. There is serious occupational segregation in New York City Bureaucracy in the public sector that needs to be looked at - particularly because occupational segregation is correlated with pay disparity, and there is genderized inequality and disparity within our ranks.

So, yes the (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Could you share, like, the positions? Or... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIR BONILLA: Yeah, there are... (CROSS-TALK)

2.2

2.3

CHAIR BONILLA: (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: It can macro...

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: This will be important, because this is a very important thing that I think gets understated. And it is my source of frustration with both ,you know, CCHR and EEPC not getting the adequate funding. And we always use the colloquial term "drop in the bucket", but the amount of money that we're talking about, considering we have over \$100 billion budget in New York City, between your two agencies is legitimately, like, not even a drop... it like might be a mustard seed or small...

(LAUGHTER)

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Like nano... like it's so small, and so it's frustrating, because ,you know, both agencies, commissions are doing work that supports larger issues. And if we are not being proactive and investing on the front end, it makes it that much harder to address issues on the back end, and to at least challenge systematic institutional structures that have created these barriers in the first place.

So, yeah, I just think it's important for these things... Because I know you have a beautiful audit

2 | that you did, but it would be so important to just

2.2

2.3

3 get some of these things on record.

COMMISSIONER YEARWOOD: I just want to add that we are also joined virtually by Commissioner Ngozi Okaro as well. So, if you see me texting, she's commenting.

DIRECTOR FERRI: So, just a few examples for particularly for gender underutilization, or occupational segregation, firefighters, Police Department, sanitation workers, laborer, craft, those kind of jobs.

In recent years, the NYPD has had trouble hiring Black police officers is one that certainly comes to mind. Firefighters certainly are also very much, you know, white-male dominated. And then, reversely, you have many job groups and titles that are disproportionately female and people of color that don't pay as much - social workers being an obvious example. So, that's just a few.

COMMISSIONER YEARWOOD: And I will also add EMS workers - which I had a busy week this week, as you know, Chair Williams, but they did just I think come out with an article on the fact that they're supposed to see a salary increase. So, when we look at EMS

2 h

J

workers versus firefighters, who makes up those two different departments, and the salary disparities, those are the things that concern us. And we do have the data and the charts that we can send to the Committee later. I know that work has been done.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

Equal Employment Practices Commission often times within their reports will provide several recommendations, corrective actions to agencies and entities. How often are these recommendations taken and established? And I know, again, I've had a lot of off-line conversations about how another commission can hold another government agency accountable - just a little tricky, but just would love some feedback on that.

DIRECTOR FERRI: So, one of the things that we do is we look at underutilization, which is based on the City says labor market is - what percentage of people that want to be firefighters are female or Black or Hispanic and all of that. Those numbers have not been updated in over 10 years, so they're really out of date. We have heard, I don't know anything officially, but that DCAS is now starting the process of updating the availability estimates and updating

1

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

its legacy software system that the database resides in. So that's one that at least it looks like things are moving. So that's at least one positive example.

One other one, last year when we testified, I had said that one thing that we need is data, data, data, right? That the more that we have, the more we can do. And it was added to the legislation on the exit surveys that we have access to the data. It was actually added to that. That was great, so that was also a very positive thing.

### CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Awesome!

Well, I think that is all that questions that I have. Thank you so much for all of your hard work. And I do hope that... we all value this important work that you are doing for so many people in New York City that serve all of us here. So, thank you so much.

PANEL: Thank you.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR VICTOR: And, if I could say, Chair, I know that the City is in dire straits in terms of funding, but I do believe that a little bit of funding would help us to do more and to be able to help the City more. I believe it would be a great turn on the investment that the City makes. This is

1 COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS 2 not really just a plea just to build our agency. This 3 is a plea to give you guys better work. 4 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you, I appreciate 5 that. CHAIR BONILLA: I want to thank you as well for 6 7 your public service, and of your committee and the different members. 8 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Alright, thank you very much. Thank you again for coming today. 10 11 We will now turn to public testimony. I'd like to 12 remind everyone before we start that each panelist 13 will have two minutes to present their testimony. No 14 recording is permitted while presenting testimony. I 15 will call individuals by name to step up to testify, 16 and please begin once the sergeant has started the 17 timer. Council member questions, I will go back for a 18 19 council member questions after each panel has 20 completed all of their panelists' testimony. 21 So for our first panel, I'd like to invite

107

Rebekah Cook-Mack, Connie Ticho, Tahmir Williams, and Cristobal Gutiérrez.

(PAUSE)

2.2

23

1

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Alright, if we could start on my left, your right, and then we will just go down the line.

REBEKAH COOK-MACK: Thank you for the opportunity to present this testimony. I am Rebekah Cook-Mack, Senior Staff Attorney in the Employment Law Unit at The Legal Aid Society.

I am here to ask you to take immediate action to increase the funding and staffing at CCHR and EEPC. The Commission is in crisis. It is unable to meet its mandate. Today the Commission has less than one-third of the staff attorneys it had in 2018. As Council has expanded the civil rights protections for New Yorkers, and given the job of enforcing that to the Commission, the Commission itself has shrunk. Just a handful of attorneys cannot be expected to enforce the strongest human rights law on the country. Notwithstanding the testimony we heard today, limited staff means limited intake appointments. The number of complaints filed at the Commission has dropped precipitously. In FY18, just over 800 complaints were filed at the Commission. FY23 that had dropped to just 340 a 42 percent reduction.

2.2

2.3

Damages collected by the Commission have also dropped from over \$8 million in 2021 to just \$44.6 million and FY23 an almost 50 percent reduction in just two years. And pro se callers wait months to get intake appointments.

By any measure, the commission is in crisis. The City must increase funding and life hiring restrictions, so that the Commission is no longer subject to the two-to-one hiring replacement rule, by which you can only hire one person for every two departures.

This policy is responsible for accelerating the crisis of the Commission, where we have so few staff losing just four people can result in a 10 percent loss to our LEB Unit that is critical for New Yorkers. It must be encouraged to promptly replace any departing staff.

The Commission testified that hiring attorneys is the biggest challenge affecting them. They must be able to hire attorney - twos and threes - people that get paid better will be more competitive and better (TIMER CHIMES) able to do the job. Thank you.

TAHMIR WILLIAMS: Good afternoon, my name Tahmir Williams, I am a third-year law student at CUNY Law

2 School as well as a legal intern with the Disability

3 Justice Program for the New York Lawyers for the

4 Public Interest.

2.2

2.3

The NYLPI is also a member of the New York City
Human Rights Law Working Group and we submit this
testimony to support the testimonies of our
colleagues in this coalition and to amplify the
testimony of the community members here today to
support increasing the New York City Commission on
Human Rights' budget.

One of the most critical aspects of the

Commission's work is prosecuting violations of the

New York City Human Rights Law on behalf of pro se

litigants. Often, the Commission provides the only

opportunity for pro se litigants to enforce their

civil rights. This is an indispensable and otherwise

unavailable service to the people of New York City.

Pro se litigants, in particular, are negatively

affected by the results of the Commission's

underfunding and understaffing, including lengthy

wait times for intakes, cases taking years to be

adjudicated, bad actors going unpunished,

administrative hearings lingering with no resolution,

and cases being administratively closed that could

2.2

2.3

3 and should have been resolved.

The process of filing and resolving complaints at the Commission has slowed to a standstill. In short, pro se New Yorkers are denied access to one of the only venues available to enforce their civil rights.

To meet the incredible demand for the Commission's services, our coalition's budget ask Fiscal Year 2025 is as follows:

Secure \$3 million in new funding for the

Commission's Law Enforcement Bureau; this funding

would increase capacity at the Law Enforcement Bureau

to mirror staffing levels in 2018, which requires

adding at least 34 attorneys, one Assistant

Commissioner, as well as supporting staff.

Second, lift hiring restrictions and create new positions that allow the Commission a one-to-one replacement for any staff turnover. Currently, the Commission (TIMER CHIMES)... thank you... Currently the Commission can only hire one staff member for every two vacancies, which hinders its ability to quickly staff up to meet case urgency.

Implement competitive salary rates to attract and retain talent, the Commission must be allowed to

raise salaries to compete with nonprofit legal service providers in the city.

2.2

2.3

Thank you for this opportunity to testify.

CONNIE TICHO: On behalf of the Free to Be Youth
Project of the Urban Justice Center, I would like to
thank the New York City Council's Committee on Civil
and Human Rights for convening this important
hearing. My name is Connie Ticho, and I am a Pro Bono
Scholar, also a third year law student working with
Amy Leipziger, the Director of the Free to Be Youth
Project.

Since 1994, Free to Be Youth has been a part of the Urban Justice Center, a nonprofit law collective serving New York City's most disenfranchised populations.

At FYP, we serve homeless and at risk LGBTQIA+ youth, by providing free legal services for young people up to the age of 24.

Like the Urban Justice Center, the New York City
Commission On Human Rights protects the rights of the
most vulnerable New Yorkers. But, the Commission is
in crisis as funding has been slashed and headcounts
dwindled, directly affecting our clients and other
local low income New Yorkers.

2.2

2.3

When many of our clients, who already faced number of stressors, including trauma, attempt to find shelter, they face discrimination due to transphobia, and are often turned away and forced live on the streets or in other unsafe environments.

For example, one of our clients, a young transgender man, attempted to return to his bed upon discharge from respite, he was told that no transgender or GNC (gender nonconforming) beds were available, and he could not have a male bed due to liability. The staff failed to provide him with services.

Another client, a transgender woman, who had legally changed her name and sex designation, was denied space at a female housing in Jericho Project. The agent then placed her in house with two cis men, one of who threatened the client.

Normally we would recommend both of these clients to file with the Commission, but due to budget cuts these last few years, homeless youth now face long wait times to file a complaint with the Commission.

These wait times stop our clients from obtaining the immediate relief needed, leaving them an unsafe conditions.

2.2

2.3

Without a functioning Commission, young queer and trans, unhoused New Yorkers, will continue to face discrimination or remain on the streets without a bed or protection. Thank you for listening. (TIMER CHIMES)

CRISTOBAL GUTIÉRREZ: Good afternoon, my name is Cristobal Gutierrez from Make the Road New York. You have my written testimony, I don't think I'm going to read it.

We've just heard from the Commissioner. I understand that there is a dancing of political power, but it's just ridiculous that we have to sit here and think that the Commission is the most robust that it's ever been, because it's not.

We represent immigrants - mostly undocumented workers. We have people that have gone through really horrible stuff. An example, a member that was trafficked by her employer, and she filed in 2022, and we just received the answer to our complaint. They tried to send it to mediation, where the mediator would have would have her meet with her aggressor.

So, it's really dire. Our cases are not moving. Many people have probable causes that are just

2 stacked. This is unattainable. If the federal

2.2

2.3

administration changes in November, and we're not

4 ready for it as New Yorkers, we will suffer greatly,

5 specially our most vulnerable. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you all for your patience and waiting to testify.

You know, I just wanted to piggyback on some of the mention of the Commission's testimony, and you know, if you listen to the testimony it seems like everything's great. You know, they mention that the first time they're bringing more cases to OATH than they've ever done before. But, they also admit that there's only 25 people, and they previously had, I think I counted correctly, 62 people pre-pandemic.

And so what do you say to that? Because ,you know, as a council member, it's like, really trying to find the true truth. And you have the Agency on ones saying everything's great, and we have no problems. And then you have the advocates on this side saying this is a huge problem.

So, what would your challenge be to this assertion that all is well, and they're doing even more than they've ever done before with less staff?

Anyone can answer.

2.2

REBEKAH COOK-MACK: My colleagues and I are spending our time filing appeals of administrative closures by the Commission. We are not filing cases there. And I think that that the reality of the advocate experience is fighting for the Commission to do its job. That is certainly what the Working Group has discussed. People do not... I know that the Working Group of... a wide range of advocates, don't bring their cases there anymore. And the numbers ,you know, the numbers are clear. Complaints filed by the Commission or down 42 percent. Do we think discrimination in New York City is down by 42 percent as Council has added responsibilities and obligations to the Commission? That seems, I mean it defies logic, right?

So, we simply don't agree with their assessment, and don't understand how the Commission ,you know, down to a really minimal number of staff and watching people walk out the door, right? How is Commission is going to be ready on January 1, 2025 when the new laws go into effect? It's distressing. It's distressing that the Commission ,you know, testifies that its able to meet its mandate, when ,you know, the advocacy community has voted with its feet and

/

are not bringing cases there, because we do not believe our clients are well served, or ,you know, and can't... don't feel comfortable referring pro se people there, because we don't believe our client will be well served by going to the Commission. It's a real mismatch.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, if you don't go to the Commission, are you just taking it straight to court?

CRISTOBAL GUTIÉRREZ: So, we... many advocates take different places, really wide range group of advocates. Some are housing, some are employment. So, it depends on which advocate. We are filing at the EEOC which is super troublesome if, you know, a republican gets selected in the White House, because it's a federal agency, and if they're documented, as most of our members are, it's going to be horrible.

And, also, the EOC has higher standards of evidence - has 300 days to file, the Commission has three years. So, it's we're being asked to make an impossible choice between a bad remedy but getting something. And that is sad, and troubling, and frustrating. We're dedicating our time, that should be dedicated to our clients, in lobbying to get more funds for the Commission. And that's not right.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

\_ .

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I am not an attorney, so this is a whole panel of attorneys here - and future amazing attorneys. So, because I asked the question about administrative closures, and the response was essentially, like, we did these administrative closures for, I would say, like, legitimate reasons, like, oh, it needed to be referred out, or it was something that we couldn't handle, maybe we don't have jurisdiction... whatever. And, so, I gathered, that is not your assessment? Maybe you have a different opinion about why these administrative closures are happening. So, if you can talk and give more details around your thoughts, opinion, about administration closures. Because, as a person who is not an attorney, you know, when they responded, it was a like, okay, I guess it could be for some legit reasons. But, I gather that you don't think that's the case is why... as you mentioned you are spending so much time appealing administrative closures.

REBEKAH COOK-MACK: We don't agree that the administrative closures are because they were jurisdictional or lacked merit. And I think neither would the Commission if it looked at the cases that we see, because these are cases where the Commission

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

don't match.

has in the past found probable cause existed. So very clearly they had jurisdiction. They found there was probable cause the discrimination had occurred. They thought there was merit. So ,you know, I think to say that ,you know, we don't file cases with the Commission that we think or meritless. That simply is not with the advocacy community is spending its time doing. So , you know, when we say our cases that we filed at the Commission, which we spent time developing and working with these clients, are being closed years later for administrative, you know, convenience, I think we very strongly disagree with their proposition that those were non-jurisdictional, meritless matters. And I think so would their now almost certainly departed staff attorneys, who had worked on those cases in the past and found cause. It is just that the experience in the statements

CRISTOBAL GUTIÉRREZ: I also want to add that these are the things that we are seeing as attorneys for our clients, the vast majority of people that file the Commission do it pro se. They don't have an attorney, and they can't appeal rationally, or making logic on a legal sense. It's really heartbreaking to

3

4

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

watch the Commission, as it was in 2018, uh, to what it is now. The Enforcement Guides, they were super expansive in interpreting the law. It was.... our law is to supposed to be super expensive. And it's just not doing it.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I think that's one thing, and I know there's been talk about how to figure out ways I better support New Yorkers who are essentially filling out legal documents. You know, I'm thinking about... it's super unrelated, but the Comptroller claims forms, like, you ask any attorney, that is a legal document that they are asking folks who are not in law school, or don't have a law degrees, to fill out that have legal ramifications. So, I definitely hear that, and it definitely pisses me off. Because I think there should be better support for folks who are seeking support and having to navigate a legal system without a legal background.

I don't think I have any other questions. I appreciate you all very much.

PANEL: Thank you.

REBEKAH COOK-MACK: Thank you so much for making the time today.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you all very much. And I
will call up our next panel. We have Amy Blumsack,

4 | Paul Keefe, and Jonathan Eber.

(PAUSE)

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Alright, thank you all, and you can begin.

AMY BLUMSACK: Hi, good afternoon, my name is Amy Blumsack, I am the Director of Organizing and Policy at Neighbors Together. We submitted written testimony, so I am going to skip over most of what we do.

I will say that over 60 percent of our members are homeless. We are community based organization in Brooklyn, but we work with many, many voucher holders from all across the City. And we have been working with and organizing voucher holders since 2019. It's no secret that source of income discrimination is rampant, and while I think we have made headway with the advocacy we're doing, it is still extremely prevalent. And it is deeply depressing, and stressful, and dejecting to receive a voucher and think you're going to get housed with it, and then not be able to find housing and being discriminated against at every turn.

2.2

2.3

I will say that the SOI unit at CCR plays a really critical role for our members, in particular their pre-complaint intervention and set aside program are extremely important and one of the largest ways that our members can access housing with their voucher. And I think that broadly we heard that the SOI Unit sits within the LEB, and so we also know that discrimination is like not siloed. People's identities are intersectional, and they are discriminated against in many ways, beyond just having a voucher. So, we really do need to fund the Law Enforcement Bureau at higher rates.

We are asking for an additional \$3 million to bring it up to 2018 levels. And also we want more funding to be able to effectively enforce the new Fair Chance Housing Act. And we also want CCHR to be exempted from PEGs and hiring freezes. The work that they do is so important, and we've heard... I'm running out of time... our members say over and over again that they wait months for (TIMER CHIMES) intake appointments or to hear back from someone at the Commission when they're filing formal complaints. And we've had many members whose cases have taking years to be resolved, and additionally, the turnover

2.2

2.3

of staff is really detrimental, because people who
are homeless or unstably housed are really transient.

It's hard. It's hard to have the same phone number
all the time, and have had many of our members lose
touch with the Commission altogether, and vice versa,

because of the turnover rates at the Commission.

So, the last thing I want to say is just that the salaries need to be raised. They're so uncompetitive, and the hiring process takes so long. And we're losing good people who might actually want to do good for the citizens and other folks who live in New York, but can't because they can't afford to.

I think a budget is a moral document, and the City is showing its values where it put its money, and the overall budget of the Commission is less it's like .02 percent of the entire City budget.

So, for the impact that this Commission has with such a small budget, I think we should absolutely be pushing and yelling from the rooftops that the Commission needs to be funded more robustly in order to protect all New Yorkers. Thank you.

PAUL KEEFE: Good afternoon, and thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Paul Keefe, I am Vice President for Legal Services The Community

1 2 Service Society of New York (CSS). We are a legal 3 service organization that has filed cases at the 4 Commission in the past, but I'm in a unique position in that I worked at the Commission for seven years before going to CSS. I was supervising attorney in 6 7 employment, so I witnessed the rise of Commission and

the fall.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

You have my written testimony, but I do want to just highlight a couple things. You know, the budgets that are being asked for or are good, but they're still not enough, especially when compared to the NYPD's budget. We are sort of saying that we value crime victims - justice for crime victims so much less when someone who has experienced sexual harassment. We throw good money after bad when we spend all this money on housing vouchers, but we don't have a Source of Income Unit to... that can be robustly funded to enforce against landlords and brokers that don't accept those vouchers.

And then I also want to point out some hard numbers on salary. So ,you know, we would lose people to legal services jobs. They would just want to work in Housing Court rather than at the Commission, because they would make \$5,000 to \$6,000 more than

/

they would at the Commission. Similar roles at the Department of Consumer Protection and Worker Protection and enforcing Fair Work Week and things like that. They make \$9,000 more than a Commission attorney. And then the lawyers at the Law Department make \$12,500 more than Commission attorneys.

So, the salary is a very big issue for a lot of people, and combined with that is that the collective bargaining agreement for the attorneys at the Commission limits to 35 hours a week. If you are coming in wanting to do work, and like going after enforcement (INAUDIBLE) discrimination, and someone says, you only have 35 hours a week to do that, people look at you like you have two heads. Because you go to Legal Aide or anywhere else, and the hours are more than that. (TIMER CHIMES) And it's not that people should be forced to work more, it just goes to show how key funding is, because they are limited by their number of hours. They need more money to hire more staff so that they can address all of those cases with the time that they have. Thank you.

JONATHAN EBER: My name is Jonathan Eber, I'm a Program Officer Enterprise Community Partners. We

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

nationwide affordable housing nonprofit. Thank you, Chair Williams, for the opportunity testify today.

I don't think I really have to explain the detrimental impact that discrimination has. It exacerbates our affordable crisis, it entrenches segregation and inequality. And source income discrimination, in particular, is really undermining all of the critical investments that New York City is making in rental assistance are such a pathway to housing stability for New Yorkers.

In 2019, Enterprise lead a coalition to outlaw source of income discrimination on the state level, and we've been active enforcement space ever since. And despite local protections being on the books for 10 years, it's still a rampant issue in New York City. It is the most common Fair Housing complaint type. And, so that all just sort of speaks to the need to , you know, for more enforcement resources, and the fact that the issue hasn't been taken seriously.

And addition, the new Fair Chance for Housing Act will expand housing protections for New Yorkers impacted by the criminal legal system, very rightly, beginning next year, so I'm just echoing kind of the

2 figures that other people are saying. We ask that

3 CCHR be prioritized this year. The agency saw cuts in

4 the November and January PEGs, and we urge the

5 | Council to allocate an additional \$3 million to the

6 Law Enforcement Bureau specifically to bring staffing

7 | levels back to where they were in 2018. And we also

urge the Council exempt CCHR from any future budgets

9 cuts or hiring freezes. And then also, we request

10 | that the Commission as a whole receive an additional

11 | \$1.4 million to implement the Fair Chance for Housing

12 Act, which begin protecting New Yorkers next year.

13 There is going to be a lot of rulemaking and public

14 awareness that's going be needed to get the law

15 enforced and get tenants educated on their rights.

16 So, combined with our \$3 million budget ask to staff

17 up the LEB, Enterprises' request is a total of at

18 | least at least (TIMER CHIMES) \$4.4 million beyond

19 with the Executive Budget Purposes. Thank you for the

20 opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you, I had a question, because you did a comparison of positions

23 that I believe... is the Law Department position

24 unionized?

21

2.2

PAUL KEEFE: I don't know, but they advertise their starting salary on their website, and it is \$12,500 more than the advertised position for an agency level one at the Commission... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, because the Commission testified that it was on par with other agencies.

PAUL KEEFE: It's not true.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.

PAUL KEEFE: I mean, and you can just look at the public job postings and see that.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, and I am sure you heard the questions that I asked the other panel. Any anyone can answer, but I would love to know your insider knowledge on how you feel about some of the things that were said, which ,you know, really paint this very rosy picture that everything's okay.

PAUL KEEFE: I mean, it's not okay at the

Commission. You know, still knowing people who work

there, it's not okay, and I know of people who have

left since. You had questions about how you can sort

of, uh, what numbers you can ask for. To dig into

that a little bit more, I would ask about the

caseloads that each attorney is handling. It should

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Why do you think... why do think more cases are now in OATH? Do you... I know you're not there, but if you could make an assumption, because she really used that to sort of

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 tout their ability to do a lot of a little. So, if

3 you're saying that's not anything to really brag

4 about, because essentially what it might also tell us

5 | is that the Commission isn't really doing all that

6 they can potentially to provide some type of pre-

7 | complaint intervention. I know that came up a lot.

So, yeah, just wondering what your thoughts are on

that.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

PAUL KEEFE: I don't think that it says that the Commission isn't doing enough, but it is the step that you take if you can't settle the case. If you find probable cause and the defendant will not settle with you, you have to refer to it OATH and take it to trial and that is the next step. So, what that says to me is there is this large backlog of cases where the investigation has been completed. And there is nothing left to do, because they are not going to settle with you, so you have to refer them to OATH. And I will say to the Commission's credit, their precomplaint intervention tools have always been very successful - specifically around source of income discrimination, specifically around when people need an accommodation to physically leave their apartment, because they are disabled. Things like that where a

timely intervention can help, that is a powerful remedy that the Commission has. But, again, they should have more resources to do that, because there still isn't enough for that. They should be able to act more quickly on that.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. I don't know if anyone wanted to answer anything else, other than that, I think I'm good. If you want to answer anything feel free, if not... (LAUGHTER)

AMY BLUMSACK: I just wanted to say that I agree with a previous panelist who was saying that there are political considerations when the Commission is answering questions about what they need, you know, how are they doing? And I think sort of their back is against the wall. I know for SOI complaints so much more needs to be done, and they work very hard to help our members. One thing that I'm curious about, which I feel like sort of goes to what Paul was saying, is you know, one area I would be curious to explore more is data tracking. You asked about open data, and I think it's not always... to me at least it doesn't always feel transparent or clear about what is the data that's being tracked? How is the Commission to defining the data that they're

2.2

tracking? What outcomes are they tracking, and how are they defining those things? And you know what are all the things that they're not tracking that can give us a clearer sense of their success, or where the challenges lie? And I think having more access to that kind of data would ideally give us some more objective look at how things are going. Because, it seems like, for them, there's only so much that they can say here. There's a ,you know, they have a boss. They have to be responsible to their boss. And I think ,you know, that's understandable, but it doesn't always paint an accurate picture of what's going on the ground.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you so much.

PANEL: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you all very much. We have one more in-person panel that I would like to call: Allegra Fishel, Kayt Tiskus, and Nycole Lanyse-Jacque.

All right thank you when you're ready you can start.

NYCOLE LANYSE-JACQUE: Good morning, my name is

Nycole Lanyse-Jacque, I'm a part of Unlock NYC's

Leadership Collective. Unlock NYC is a nonprofit that

is assists voucher holders who have faced

2

3

4

5

6

1

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

discrimination based on their source of income.

Additionally, Unlock NYC collaborates with

legislators and advocates to uphold for housing

rights for individuals.

I'm here today to give my testimony on how budget

cuts contributed to my homelessness. In the winter of 2022, I received my CITYFHEPS Voucher to assist with rent. From the winter of 2022 into December 2023, I viewed and applied to dozens of apartments throughout New York City. Unfortunately, during my search I experienced a lot of discrimination because I was voucher recipient. However, after discovering Unlock NYC, I was able to report people through their platform. On January 30, 2023, I was contacted by CCHR's Law Enforcement Bureau stating that I would receive an appointment. At this point I was unaware of the staff shortage at CCHR; however, it wasn't until April of 2023 that I received an email about an in person appointment that was scheduled for August of 2023. Once I met with an attorney, I was given two options, one was a pre-complaint intervention, which... (CROSS-TALK)

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS 134
2	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: (INAUDIBLE) I'm sorry, I
3	don't mean to cut you off
4	NYCOLE LANYSE-JACQUE: Mm-hmm?
5	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You said that I'm
6	trying to understand this timeline. You said they
7	reached out you filed the complaint, when did you
8	file the complaint?
9	NYCOLE LANYSE-JACQUE: It was in January
10	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: of 2023?
11	NYCOLE LANYSE-JACQUE: Mm-hmm
12	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And then you did not hear
13	from them
14	NYCOLE LANYSE-JACQUE: Until April.
15	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Until April 2023 for an
16	appointment in August of 2023?
17	NYCOLE LANYSE-JACQUE: That's correct.
18	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, thank you. I'm
19	sorry
20	NYCOLE LANYSE-JACQUE: No, you're fine. Okay,
21	August of 2023
22	Okay, so, once there was an attorney I was given
23	two options, one was a pre-complaint intervention,
24	which aimed to secure comparable apartments; the
25	other was for them to draft a complaint on my behalf.

^

Initially I chose the pre-complaint intervention, but unfortunately it didn't work out. So, I had to file a complaint.

At first the Law Enforcement Bureau attorney assigned to me was responsive and stayed in touch. However, after my complaint was signed and notarized, in November 2023, I didn't receive any communication until March 2024. And it has been almost a year and a half since I first reported voucher discrimination to CCHR, and it's and I still remain unhoused.

I have stayed in shelters, medical respite centers, couch surfed with friends, and had my life and health up ended by this grueling housing search.

This predicament is unfair and avoidable. I firmly believe that if CCHR had a larger budget, and more staff, with better wages this entity would be able to mitigate claims before their statute of limitation elapses. Since this is not the case, some agents and landlords will continue to discriminate against voucher holders. It is imperative not to cut budgets from City entities that are supposed to be the backbone of New Yorkers' human rights. It cost more to have clients in the shelter system then for them to have their own apartments.

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Please take a stand against budget cuts that contribute to homelessness in New York City. To this day, I haven't been able to fully recover physically, emotionally, and mentally because justice wasn't served. Thank you.

ALLEGRA FISHEL: Hi, my name is Allegra Fishel, I am the Founder and Executive Director of the Gender Equality Loss Center, a not for profit law firm based in Brooklyn. I've also been practicing civil rights law for over 25 years in New York City, and I am testifying on behalf of my personal knowledge of people that have filed with the Commission, and also hundreds and hundreds, maybe thousands of other cases, because I'm very interconnected with the private bar in York City.

First, I want to say that listening to the Commission today I wondered what planet I was on, because nothing they said really made sense to me as an advocate. I am not here to challenge their capability or talent, but what I am saying is that the process is absolutely broken. What my colleagues mostly say to me, is if you want to case to die, you file it with the City Commission.

\_

2.2

2.3

Frequently we get calls from people every month saying they missed a statute of limitations because no one called them back. The case length usually is between four and six years, my clients have died or moved out of state, because they can't wait any longer for resolution.

People who lose their jobs or lose housing are not a great place. There is economic unraveling, their families are harmed, it's devastating for them. And they become a liability not just for themselves, but for the City.

I also want to say that, in terms of staffing, while I think that the salaries could be increased, I know plenty of people that are willing to work there, but there is some issue of morale. Some of the best civil rights lawyers I've known in a generation have left the Commission in the last three to five years. I don't know why, but a lot of people have left, and it's not because of the salaries.

I just also want to say that there really is no other place for folks to go (TIMER CHIMES) pro bono or represented by you know, free legal services, which my organization is one of. Many cases aren't covered by the EEOC or the state division, because

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

federal laws have different requirements than the city, and the same goes for the state. And almost no low wage folks are filing lawsuits by themselves in state court and federal court, that takes a lawyer to do. The amount of money had to be increased. It's desperate to have this agency truly function. I was lucky enough to hear Speaker Adams' State of The City Address on Wednesday - and I was so inspired, but most of what she's proposing can't be done unless you have a city that takes discrimination seriously. Even in 2018, there wasn't enough staff. But right now, it is completely dysfunctional and unusable and it is not giving any relief to the really millions sometimes of New Yorkers who need assistance. There are 4.5 million working adults in New York most of the time, and this city needs to take some responsibility to ensure that they do not face barriers to economic equality. And, by the way, I really appreciated your little mustard seed analogy. I actually had to have my husband to the math, but the City , you know, the allocation now is less than .02 percent of the budget of probably the wealthiest city in the United States, much less maybe the world. Thank you very much.

2 KAYT TISKUS: Man, those are some hard acts to follow.

2.2

2.3

Hi, I am Kayt Tiskus, I work with Collective

Public Affairs, and the reason I'm testifying in this

hearing today is I wanted to talk a little bit about

how, first of all, I support all of my colleagues and

advocates who been here talking about how the

Commission really needs more money in the Executive

Budget in order to fulfill the mandate that has been

put before it. But also sort of talk a little bit

about what happens to some of these folks who require

wraparound services when they can't get it through

the discrimination that they a facing that they would

otherwise bring through the Commission.

The short answer is, one way the City Council has been helping to fill the gap, as agencies have been unable to sort of step up and do what they need to do, is with City Council initiative programs. So, you know, some of the initiative that I work with include the Domestic Workers and Employers Empowerment Initiative, where people can learn more about what their rights are and learn more about what their rights are and learn more about what they can do practically outside of an enforcement mechanism when that might be clunky or impractical.

The Trans Equity Initiative, I don't know, I know I don't have to tell you that it's a scary time to be a trans New Yorker, let alone trans person anywhere else. And it's only getting scarier. So ability to access services other than through adjudication is something that all of those partners work really hard on - from health to housing and otherwise.

The private work initiative, Support for Persons
In The Sex Trades Initiative to combat sexual
assault, where women's rights are human rights, and a
lot of very practical fallout can happen after you've
experienced a sexual assault incident, and figuring
out what to do about your housing can be very
complicated. So, as much as I really want to double
down on support that the Commission on Human Rights
badly needs more budget, uh, I also want to sit here
and (TIMER CHIMES) say that some of the stop gap
services that are picking up where the Commission
currently can't, because of their staffing levels,
many of whom you've heard from today, many of whom
are funded through the City, they also can't fall by
the wayside as we look for a tightening budget here.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. I appreciate you coming and sharing your story, thank you.

2 PANEI

PANEL: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much. I believe that is everyone who I had for in person testimony.

If I missed you, please fill out a witness slip.

For now, we will turn to the Zoom. I believe that we have four people on Zoom. I will call you in this order: We will start with Tabber Benedict, we will go to Nicholyn Plummer, then Julia El Maleh-Sachs, and finally Wendell Walters.

TABBER B. BENEDICT: Thank you, Chair Williams, and members of the Committee for the opportunity today to provide testimony. My name Tabber B.

Benedict, and I am the Housing Advocate for the Fortune Society's David Rothenberg Center for Public Policy. I'm also a lawyer and went to Columbia Law School

Fortune is a 57-year-old organization that supports successful entry from and promotes alternatives to incarceration. We believe in the power of people to change. As part of that work, we co-led the Fair Chance for Housing Campaign, and are here to today to support additional funding for the Commission on Human rights.

J

There are approximately 750,000 people who have conviction records in New York City. For people with convictions, two of the most challenging barriers to success in the community include employment and housing discrimination based on legal system involvement. The lack access to housing contributes the challenge of finding a job and vice versa. Housing and employment discrimination based on conviction records perpetuates homelessness and undermines family mobility and success across generations.

Discrimination based on records is also a racial justice issue, because our criminal legal system disproportionally impacts people of color. For example, f the 750,000 people with records in New York, 80 percent are people of color.

New York City's bold legislative solutions to these immense problems, the Fair Chance for Housing Act and the 2015 Fair Chance Act, regarding employment, require impactful implementing an enforcement efforts by the Commission. Therefore, it is necessary that additional funding be allocated to the Commission above and beyond what was set forth in the Mayor's Budget.

2.2

2.3

New York City prides itself on being at the forefront of protecting human rights. Nevertheless, for years the Commission has been underfunded compared similar agencies in smaller cities.

Additionally, the Commission must receive holistic agency-wide funding to conduct robust education and hire additional staff.

We therefore a call on the Commission to be exempt from (TIMER CHIMES) future budget cuts or hiring freezes, and also call for... (CROSS-TALK)

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

TABBER B. BENEDICT: additional funding beyond what we set for the Fiscal Impact Statement.

Expanding resources for the Commission will show that New York City is truly committed to advancing and protecting human rights in 2024 and beyond, thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next, I believe we have Nicholyn Plummer (phonetic).

NICHOLYN PLUMMER: I am not speaking (INAUDIBLE)

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: You may begin.

NICHOLYN PLUMMER: I am not speaking you can skip.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay, thank you.

We will go to Julia Elmaleh-Sachs.

\_

JULIA ELMALEH-SACHS: Good morning, thank you for the opportunity to testify at this morning's hearing.

My name is Julia Elmaleh-Sachs (INAUDIBLE)

pronunciation. I am a lawyer at Crumiller PC, the

Feminist Litigation Firm, and a member of the

Legislative Committee of the National Employment

Lawyers Association, New York affiliate.

NELA/NY comprises about 350 New York lawyers who primarily represent employees. We submit this testimony today to implore the City to take immediate action to increase the funding and staffing of the New York City Commission on Human Rights, which is charged with the duty of eliminating and preventing discrimination in New York.

You all know New York City has what has often been described as the most protective and strongest human rights law in the entire country. The City Council has frequently added additional important protections against discrimination, such as protection against height and weight discrimination and source of income protection, launching public education campaigns ensuring the public knows that they are entitled to further protections from discrimination.

2.2

2.3

However, the reality is that because of repeated staffing and budgetary decisions, the much vaunted New York City Human Rights Law has been drastically and embarrassingly weakened. In our experience, employers bent on violating the law are well aware that at present, there is profoundly insufficient government enforcement. The burden for enforcing the law therefore falls largely on those individual employees who have the resources and ability to avoid the Commission altogether by retaining private counsel and sustaining years of often re-traumatizing litigation in court.

These cases routinely drag on for years, and typically settle privately for money damages, and no injunctive relief, so there is little incentive for employers to change their behavior, and no record-keeping or oversight to present offenders from (TIMER CHIMES) routinely violating the law.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

JULIA ELMALEH-SACHS: Ordinary citizens who lack the resources or desire to engage in expensive, intrusive litigation, have no realistic method for timely vindicating their rights, since at present there is an average six month wait for a pro se

2.2

2.3

2 intake interview at the Commission. Not surprisingly,

3 the result is that far fewer citizens than in the

4 past bother to exercise their rights at the

5 Commission, and the Commission's overall fine and

6 damage collection numbers have plummeted.

In short, the City has chosen to use its budgeting and staffing powers to weaken a once-proud and impactful agency into a shrinking bureaucracy seemingly destined for insignificance.

In order to begin to turn the tide, the Commission's budget must be expanded from the current \$13,652,383 in the current Mayor's Preliminary Budget proposal right now, to at least \$18 million. What would be a significant budget increase for the Commission, as you said Council Member, is an insignificant rounding error for the City.

Further, the City must lift hiring restrictions so that the Commission is no longer subject to a two-to-one hiring replacement rule by which it can only hire one person for every two departures. This policy is responsible for accelerating the crisis facing the Commission since the remaining staff are forced to take on more work with no relief and at uncompetitive salaries. This citywide budget measure has a

2 disproportionate impact on the Commission because it

3 is so small. With less than twenty Law Enforcement

4 Bureau staff attorneys, four departures could reduce

5 the LEB unit by ten percent while saving the City

6 virtually no money. The Commission must be exempt

7 from this policy. It must be encouraged to promptly

8 replace any departing staff members.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The Commission must be permitted to hire at the Agency II and III level attorneys to attract the best talent. The Commission will not be able to rebuild if it offers its employees jobs promising low salaries and large caseloads.

In conclusion, while the City Council has every reason to be proud of the broad protections against discrimination contained in the Human Rights Law, a well-staffed and pro-active Commission is absolutely necessary for protecting these rights. Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will now go to Wendell Walters, and after Wendell has finished, we will try to come back Nicholyn Plummer.

WENDELL WALTERS: Thank you, Chair Williams, and Committee members for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Wendell Walters and I am the

2.2

2.3

Manager for Policy and Advocacy at the Osborne Center for Justice Across Generations at Osborne

Association. As many of you know, Osborne is one of the oldest and largest criminal justice service organizations in the state. We serve 10,000 participants in programs from arrest to reentry. We have offices in Harlem, Brooklyn, Buffalo, Newburgh, White Plains, and Troy, with our headquarters in the Bronx. We also have programming in 41 state prisons and on Rikers Island. Through advocacy, direct service, and policy reform, Osborne works to create opportunities for people to heal, grow, and thrive.

There are 750,000 people in NYC with a conviction history who experience perpetual punishment from their record after they have served their time. This large community of people, 80 percent of whom are Black and Brown, endure widespread discrimination in all manner of life. For years, many of them have been excluded and restricted from where they can work; where they can live; what licenses they can possess; what benefits they are eligible for; whether they can vote; and where they can travel.

This past December, the Council took the important step in reducing barriers for the

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 previously incarcerated with the passage of the Fair
3 Chance for Housing Act. After years of unwavering

4 advocacy, including the dedicated efforts of the more

5 than 100 organizations - including Osborne and

6 Fortune - that make up the Fair Chance for Housing

7 Coalition, landlords are now limited in their use of

8 criminal background checks. We are thankful for the

9 leadership of this committee, of the bill's chief

10 sponsor, Council Member Keith Powers, and his staff

11 | for their patience and commitment to this cause.

We now enter the implementation phase, to ensure this law leads to fairness and opportunity. We must now put all of our effort to ensure the requirements under the law are fully enforced.

The Fair Chance for Housing Coalition will continue to advocate on behalf of the law and do our part to make sure landlords adhere to the new restrictions, but the burden, as we all know and have heard throughout day, truly falls to the City Commission on Human Rights. It's no secret that the Commission is underfunded compared to the similar agencies in smaller cities despite rising workloads, they have done as much as they can with far less resources. We support the Commission's necessary

negotiation that centers human and civil rights.

25

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS	151
2	And with that, this Committee meeting is	
3	adjourned.	
4	[GAVEL SOUND] [GAVELING OUT]	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 16, 2024