CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

----- X

TUESDAY, MARCH 12, 2024

START: 1:08 P.M. RECESS: 3:44 P.M.

HELD AT: COMMITTEE ROOM - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: HON. CHRIS BANKS, CHAIR

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

ALEXA AVILÉS

ERIK D. BOTTCHER JUSTIN L. BRANNAN

DARLENE MEALY CHI A. OSSÉ

RAFAEL SALAMANCA, JR. PIERINA ANA SANCHEZ

JULIE WON

APPEARANCES

Lisa Bova-Hiatt, Chief Executive Officer at NYC Housing Authority

Eva Trimble, Chief Operating Officer at NYC Housing Authority

Annika Lescott- Martinez, Executive Vice president of Finance and Chief Financial Officer at NYC Housing Authority

Shaan Mavani, Chief Asset and Capital Management Officer at NYC Housing Authority

Jonathan Gouveia, Executive Vice President for Real Estate Development at NYC Housing Authority

Sean Campion, Director of Housing and Economic Development Studies at Citizens Budget Commission

Ross Joy, Center for Justice Innovation

Renee Keitt, Advocate, Resident

Celine Miranda, Advocate, Resident

Gregory J Morris, CEO of the New York City Employment and Training Coalition

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Betty Bernhart, Community Outreach Advocate at Red Hook Initiative

Tevina Willis, Community Organizing Manager for Red Hook Initiative 2 SERGEANT KAYE: (SOUND CHECK)

2.2

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good afternoon, and welcome to the New York City Council Hearing on Public Housing. At this time, please place all electronic devices to vibrate or silent mode.

At no time is anyone to approach the dais. If you have any questions during the hearing, please see the Sergeant At Arms Desk.

Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Good afternoon, and thank you for attending today's New York City Council Budget and Oversight Hearings on the Preliminary Budget for Fiscal Year 2025 and the Preliminary Capital Plan for Fiscal Years 2024-2028.

I am Council Member Chris Banks, Chair of the Public Housing Committee, and I would like to acknowledge that I'm joined by Council Member Darlene Mealy.

NYCHA has operated the largest public housing program in the nation for over 75 years, providing affordable housing for over half a million working class and low income New Yorkers - through both the Section 9 public housing and the Section 8 voucher programs. There is no doubt that NYCHA is a precious

2.2

2.3

and critical resource in an increasingly unaffordable city. However, longstanding disinvestment from successors, federal, state, and city administrations, alongside with deep organizational mismanagement, and, at times, outright fraud, have resulted in the ongoing deterioration of public housing.

Tenants continue to report health and safety issues in their homes ranging from lack of heat, unresolved mold issues, pest infestation, lead-based paint hazards, elevator outages, leaky roofs, and more despite the imposition of federal (INAUDIBLE) in 2019, much of this is the reality of NYCHA's capital need - which was assessed last year at over \$78 billion. These necessary repairs simply do not have the required funding in NYCHA's \$8.21 billion five-year Capital Plan.

The Committee looks forward to hearing what capital priorities the Authority has for the near future, where they are behind schedule, and what share of funding is targeted towards traditional Section 9 public housing, and the two Section 8 base alternatives. These alternatives - Permanent Affordability Commitment Together or PACT and their Preservation Trust are critical prongs of NYCHA's

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

long-term strategy to deal with this capital backlog.

The Committee looks forward to hearing about the progress of these public/private partnerships.

Regardless of the program, NYCHA tenants are served by, they all deserve high-quality and timely maintenance work and a clean and safe place to live. NYCHA's 2024 Operating Budget totals at \$5 billion and runs an anticipated \$35 million deficit. The deficit is expected to grow to \$64 million in 2026 in part due to ongoing rental arrears crisis. Despite the \$163 million in state Emergency Rental Assistance Program (ERAP) funding added last year, tenant arears totaled \$484 million in late 2023, a nearly fourfold increase since before the pandemic in 2019. NYCHA suggests it will have to reduce property management staff to close some of this financial gap. This poses a real question about ongoing maintenance at the developments - both PACT, Section 9, and the PMMR points to a number of repair statistics in need of improvement.

Emergency repair times at 16.2 hours on average remain above the 14.8 hour target. Meanwhile, nonemergency repair times worsened by 12% between July and October of 2023 when compared to the years

2	before. The overall confluence of the budget,
3	staffing and operational challenges are visible in
4	NYCHA's vacant unit readiness program. There were
5	some 4,900 vacant apartments, which are taking 404
6	days on average to reoccupy. Yet, the past two City
7	Financial Plans have included important changes in
8	NYCHA's vacant unit readiness program. Designed to
9	expedite the process of moving new tenants in faster
10	the preliminary plan includes the PEG savings of
11	\$52.8 million over five years, and in a swap of
12	expense with capital funding, we look forward to
13	clarifying how NYCHA will complete this essential
14	work and understanding how the budget supports these

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

efforts.

In New York, we are proud of our public housing and it's resilience and dedicated tenants. At today's hearing, we hope to better understand NYCHA's budget reductions. Will it avoid worsening conditions for tenants; continue to improve its capital spending to meet the federal monitor agreement; reduce its bureaucracy, and tackle corruption and fraud; and improve resident services and sustainability? Problematic PEGS like the vacant unit readiness swap and ongoing conversations of public housing and

identify yourself and your title for the record as we

24

25

begin.

MS. TRIMBLE: Eva Trimble NYCHA, Chief Operating Officer

MS. BOVA-HIATT: Lisa Bova-Hiatt, Chief Executive Officer

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Annika Lescott-Martinez, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

MR. MAVANI: Shaan Mavani, Chief Asset and Capital Management Officer.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you.

MS. BOVA-HIATT: Chair Chris Banks, members of the Committee on Public Housing, other distinguished members of the City Council, NYCHA residents, community advocates, and members of the public, good afternoon, I am Lisa Bova-Hyatt NYCHA's Chief Executive Officer.

I am pleased to be joined by Chief Operating Officer, Eva Trimble; Executive Vice President Of Finance and Chief Financial Officer, Annika Lescott-Martinez; Chief Asset and Capital Management Officer. Shaan Mavani, and other members of NYCHA's team.

Thank you for this opportunity to present the Authority's adopted budget, which was approved by NYCHA's board of directors in December.

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

I would also like to discuss our work to transform NYCHA as an organization to enhance residents' quality of life.

I would first like to commend the hard-working members of our Finance team, for the 20th year in a row, NYCHA was recognized for excellence in financial reporting by the Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada.

My colleagues and I are intensely engaged in the efforts to improve how NYCHA functions so we can better deliver services to our residents, and ensure the Authority remains a vital resource of affordable housing in our city.

We have made progress in key areas that most impact residents - from lead and mold abatement, to comprehensive building and apartment renovations through our housing preservation initiatives.

However, we are contending with considerable financial challenges. In addition to the mounting impact of decades of federal disinvestment, we are grappling with significant rent arrears. Rent arrears now total \$482 million across 70,000 households - a \$357 million increase from 2019. NYCHA is collecting

only 60% of the rent owed, including arrears, over a 12 month period.

HUD expects Public Housing Authorities to collect 100% of the rent. Since NYCHA's Mission is to keep residents housed, we conduct a campaign to inform residents of the various ways they can get help with paying their rent, and we work with residents experiencing economic hardship. We are also proud of our advocacy in collaboration with many partners, including residents and elected officials, to ensure residents receive their fair share of emergency rental assistance programs, ERAP funding from the state.

To date, NYCHA has received \$136 million of ERAP funding from the state and applied \$90 million to residents' accounts. We will continue to credit residents' account as funds are received. Thanks in part to the ERAP funding, rent arrears have decreased since last year and are stabilizing. Rent payments fund one-third of NYCHA's operating budget, and are critical to the ability to maintain our developments and provide residents with the quality of life they deserve.

2.2

2.3

Compounding these challenges, is the fact that our rapidly aging developments have nearly \$80 billion in major capital needs. Despite these funding constraints, we are leveraging creative funding strategies and using all available resources to make critical investments that improve residents quality of life and provide safe and healthy homes.

I would now like to take you through a few details related to NYCHA's budget. Please note that as a public housing authority, NYCHA's budget runs on a calendar year rather than the City's fiscal year.

For 2024, with expected operating revenues of about \$4.961 billion, and an expected \$4.996 billion in operating expenses, we anticipate a yearend deficit of about \$35 million.

We believe we can close this gap. We will continue to closely monitor our spending and implement cost savings measures - as we did to close the budget gap in 2023.

However, with the increasing needs and expected growing losses in rent revenue, the annual deficit is expected to persist in the coming years.

Two-thirds of NYCHA's operating revenue comes from federal sources. This year, we expect to receive

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

about \$1.3 billion in federal operating subsidy. As noted, rent revenue accounts for the other third of our operating revenue, and we expect to collect about \$959 million in rent this year - about \$117 million less than we should be collecting.

Our 2024 budget assumes a proration factor of 93.5% for the operating subsidy - \$91 million less than what NYCHA is eligible for. Our 2024 budget anticipated \$205 million in city operating funds, and the City has since increased our funding to \$234 million. We expect to receive about \$1.88 billion for Section 8 vouchers and the associated administrative fees this year.

NYCHA is receiving the previous year's expenses plus Section 8 administrative fees that is estimated to be prorated by 90%. Along with program reserves, NYCHA will be appropriately funded to issue more Section 8 vouchers for extremely and very low income New Yorkers.

Our projected expenses for 2024 include about \$1.9 billion in Section 8 payments to landlords; \$1.7 billion in salaries, fringe benefits and overtime; \$414 million in contracts; \$643 million in utility

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

payments; and \$378 million for expenses such as leases, supplies, vehicles, and equipment.

Chief Financial Officer, Annika Lescott-Martinez, will now provide some additional information about NYCHA's 2024 budget and financial outlook.

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Good afternoon Council Member Mealy, good afternoon, Chair Banks, and staff.

We have submitted two slides with our testimony. The first slide, as Lisa mentioned, is our 2024 Sources and Uses. It does show that we adopted a very manageable \$35 million deficit in 2024. As, noted the cost of the HUD and (INAUDIBLE) Agreement, and the cost of our aging buildings, continue to rise - while our tenant revenues have not returned to our prepandemic levels.

Like Fiscal Year 2022 and 2023, we anticipate that we will be to balance the budget at yearend. We are committed to closing that projected \$35 million deficit through cost saving measures and additional revenues as needed.

And on the second slide, it does show you our five year Sources and Uses. So, similarly as Chair Banks mentioned, we do project some increases to our outyear deficits as time goes on: \$54 million in

2 2025, \$64 million in 2026, and then it begins to stabilize after that in 2027.

2.2

2.3

For the benefit of the council members, we do produce an updated five-year plan each year. So, in December of this year, we will produce an additional five-year plan that might start to address those deficits as we have done in years past, thank you.

MS. BOVA-HIATT: Thank you, Anika.

I would like to discuss NYCHA's Capital

Investment Program. In 2024, about \$3.13 billion is available for capital investment in our properties, including approximately \$752 million is expected in 2024 federal capital funding - \$1.1 billion in city capital funding available in the 2024 city fiscal year, and other federal, state, and city funds allocated from prior year awards.

We appreciate the City's support, which is helping us improve residents' homes. We are also grateful for the State's commitment of \$485 million, which we will invest in façade restoration and heating systems improvements.

While the capital funding we receive is a fraction of the nearly \$80 billion in repairs and upgrades our buildings need, we are making a

difference for residents with the funding we do receive. Last year, we expended over \$1.1 billion on capital projects and completed construction for 100 projects - more than 600 Capital projects are currently underway across the city, and we are targeting \$1.5 billion in expenditure and completion of 150 projects this year.

As of the end of 2023, we have spent more than \$3 billion on Sandy recovery work, providing thousands of residents with new roofs, flood-proofed electrical equipment, boilers, backup power generators, flood protection, as well as exterior lights, CCTV cameras, and security systems.

In support of our HUD agreement targets, we have replaced 98 boilers and 84 elevators through capital investments.

In 2024, construction work is underway at scores of developments to replace an additional 85 boilers and 80 elevators. At the same time, we have renovated 284 interior trash compactor rooms with new equipment, and have 110 in construction, as well as seven waste yards with new exterior compactors. We replaced 415 roofs through our roof replacement

2.2

2.3

program, and 89 additional roof replacements are in
progress.

Additionally, we have completed or advanced a range of smaller projects such as CCTV, lighting, playgrounds, and community and senior centers — funded through mayoral discretionary city councilor borough president allocations. This includes five of the city council funded projects that we had to pause one year ago due to staffing constraints. And we plan to restart the remainder of the paused projects beginning in July and over the subsequent 12 months.

Overall, our City Capital Funds rate stands at 31% and should exceed last year's capital commitment rate of 56%, once the submissions already with or approved by OMB, are approved and/or registered.

Over the next five years, we plan to invest \$1.1 billion for comprehensive modernization projects; \$1 billion to upgrade heating systems; \$740 million to repair rooves; \$698 million for lead, asbestos, and mold, abatement; \$500 million to replace elevators; \$333 million to repair façades; \$269 million on waste management systems; and \$123 million for plumbing upgrades - among many other important capital improvements.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with the limited funding available, we are also improving our organization so we can better serve residents.

While we invest strategically in residents' homes

Our transformation plan is a lodestar for this work, which is evident in areas across the Authority. For instance, the transformation planned neighborhood model created smaller property management portfolios that are easier to manage while bringing more accountability and resources to developments.

We have oriented centralized function, such as heat and pest management, to the neighborhood model to increase oversight and foster better coordination with property management.

Thanks to our to work order reform initiative, borough-based skill trade staff reduced the work order backlog by over 23,000 work orders, and we have met and exceeded the annual demand for new work orders this past year.

Property management reduced the time it takes to conduct initial mold inspections from around 12 days at the beginning of last year to around four days currently. And they have completed over 42,000 mold inspections since the beginning of 2023.

_

Mold Complaints are down, thanks to our work to replace 8,400 roof fans, clean vents in nearly 74,000 apartments, and installed nearly 10,000 fire dampers.

We continue to reduce both the number and duration of heat and elevator outages tangibly improving residents' quality of life. And to foster a safe and healthy living environment, we abated lead-based paint in more than 6,700 apartments and tested over 68,000 apartments using the City's stricter standard for determining the presence of lead-based paint.

Our various sustainability initiatives are
helping to address the climate crisis, while
benefiting residents. This includes the Clean Heat
for All Challenge, to develop a new energy efficient
heating and cooling product for apartments; the
Induction Stove Challenge, to produce energy
efficient electric cooking systems; the graduation of
the first two cohorts of residents from the Clean
Energy Academy which prepares participants for greencollar careers; installation of 30 megawatts of
rooftop solar at our properties; and obtaining tens
of millions of dollars in grants for decarbonization

1

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

and resiliency work, as well as the installation of e-bike storage and charging infrastructure.

Notably, we are bringing billions of dollars of investment to our buildings through creative and critical housing preservation programs. To date, 20,000 apartments have been converted to PACT, and a record \$1.8 billion in financing for capital repairs was closed in 2023 across four PACT deals. And, after five years of extensive resident engagement and planning, residents of Fulton and Elliott-Chelsea Houses expressed their preference to entirely rebuild their campuses as part of the PACT program. Overall, 138 developments, comprising over 37,000 apartments, are in either the engagement or predevelopment process, under construction, or received comprehensive repairs through PACT. We aim to bring dramatically improved quality of life to a total of 62,000 families through the PACT program.

In December, a third-party election administrator certified the results of the first ever resident vote at NYCHA. Nostrand Houses residents selected the Public Housing Preservation Trust as the path forward for their homes. I am pleased that the trust will renovate Nostrand's residents' homes and buildings

2.3

from top to bottom. The resident voting process has also commenced at Bronx River Addition.

Developed in collaboration with residents, both the trust and PACT bring developments the more stable Section 8 federal funding, which is worth nearly double what Section 9 apartments receive. These initiatives also ensure that NYCHA developments remain public and that residents maintain their rights and protections, including permanently affordable rent.

Our comprehensive modernization program will also complete holistic capital improvements instead of simply repairing individual building components.

Launched at four developments so far, the program is supported by \$678 million in our City Capital Action Plan and \$200 million from the Gowanus Neighborhood Rezoning. Design-build teams have been selected to carry out the renovations at Saint Nicholas and Todt Hill Houses.

The challenges are indeed significant. The majority of NYCHA buildings are more than a half century old, and the historic lack of investment is driving up the costs to simply maintain them. But, NYCHA is home to hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers

making our mission to preserve and strengthen this vital resource of affordable housing so critical. And so we must continue to work together with all of our partners to advance creative solutions, like PACT and the Trust, which generate billions of dollars to revitalize and transform residents' homes. True change takes time, but with our shared vision and commitment, we will ensure that NYCHA can continue to serve our city for decades to come.

Thank you, and we are happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Commissioner.

We have been joined by Council Member Ossé and Council Member Sanchez, who has joined us via Zoom.

We are now going to proceed to some questions.

And I guess I will start with the overtime.

Growing overtime expenses are a challenge, and the Authority is forecast to spend \$2.6 million on overtime in 2023 - a \$41 million increase compared to the \$175 million in 2022.

How will NYCHA meaningfully contain the overtime budget, and what are the practical actions that will be taken by the Authority?

2.2

2.3

MS. TRIMBLE: Thank you, Council Member. Overtime is something we are actively looking at to reduce...

So, we are looking to actively reduce our overtime spending in a couple of different ways - the first is through scheduled overtime. Right now we get certain shifts for employes, like on weekends, which is an overtime expense. So, we have reduced our caretakes on the weekends from ending at 4:30 to ending at 1:00 instead to reduce overtime there. We have also restricted overtime expenses for certain work order functions. So, anything that is not a critical life/health safety issues on a weekend or evening, we are deferring to daytime, which does mean that we are going to see increases in our workorder backlog as we reduce our overtime flexibility for our staff.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. What is the greatest share of overtime that goes into, like, caretakers, maintenance workers? Will the limits on that overtime mean longer tenant wait times for repairs?

MS. TRIMBLE: Most of... The largest portion of our overtime is spent for responding to emergency conditions after hours. And so we will continue to respond... (CROSS-TALK)

2 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: (INAUDIBLE)

MS. TRIMBLE: to all conditions after hours, but we do have to be careful about any planned use for overtime. So, planned blitzes for work orders and things like that are things that we are looking at reducing right now.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. What alternative strategies is NYCHA going to employ to ensure that the maintenance isn't worsened throughout the OT reductions?

MS. TRIMBLE: I'm sorry, can you repeat that maintain...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: What alternative strategies is NYCHA going to employ to ensure that the maintenance isn't worsened throughout the OT reductions?

MS. TRIMBLE: We are looking... We are continuing to analyze the impacts of the overtime restrictions. So, maintenance work is mostly done during the day. The overtime is sometimes deferred for skilled trades to come in and complete a repair - something that is more specialized. And so we are looking at how we can improve scheduling to get more of that done during straight hours instead of overtime hours.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Could you share the breakdown of personnel services costs, for example salaries versus overtime by three major categories, of like staff, central staff, operations staff, and property staff?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: We do not have that number in front of us, but I will say that most of the overtime is actually done properties and the operation central staff. The overtime in the COCC, the central office, is very minor.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS.}}$ LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: But, we can provide that after the meeting.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: When it comes to the staffing and the attrition, part of NYCHA's deficit closing strategy is to decrease property staff through attrition. The budget reflects 12,109 positions in 2024; decreasing to about 11,292 positions in 2027 and 2028, which is a 6.7% decrease.

Can you describe which property staff will be lost - All the staff confined to developments that were converted through PACT, the City's Section 8 public-private partnership, and the Preservation Trust?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Sure, so thank you for that question, Chair.

Essentially what is happening is as properties exit the public housing portfolio and move over to PACT or the Trust, we reduce all of the expenses associated with that property from the NYCHA budget.

So, for example, in the case of Nostrand Houses, when Nostrand exits the NYCHA public housing portfolio, you lose all of the expenses related to that property, because NYCHA no longer pays for it.

So, that is essentially what you are seeing happening in the headcount. So, we adjustments to headcount to reflect the RAD/PACT pipeline.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Will there be any impact to the property development headcount for developments that stay, uh, on the Section 9?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Not, not planned, no.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Not planned? Okay. And when positions are lost due to a PACT development transition, is the position lost or reassigned to another development?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: So, there are two things that happen. So, the first is, the actual position. So, if there was a caretaker at Nostrand Houses,

there is no longer a need for a caretaker at Nostrand Houses. But, the person gets reassigned. So, the employee might get reassigned to another budgeted line at another development. So, the employee is not getting laid off or lost, but the position is being removed.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: In 2025, the plan removed 632 positions a 5.2% decrease from the 2024 budget.

What amount of savings is generated from these headcount reductions?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: So, there is a net effect.

There are two things that have to happen. So, as ,you know, the City does collective bargaining increases with the unions, the actual costs of staff increase.

And fringe benefits associated with staff increase.

So, there is both the cost of staff rising over time, and also the actual number of staff decreasing over time.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, is NYCHA... Is the savings lost or is NYCHA able to repurpose it?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: You will be able to get some of the savings, but I do want to be clear that you have to pay more for the people that you do have.

2.2

2 So, some of the savings from actually decreasing the staff get eaten up by the cost of staff going up.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Could you share some budget data from the recent PACT transition illustrating what happens to the headcount?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Happy to...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And the budget during a PACT transition? Thank you.

Does the mayor's house blueprint include any additional staff for NYCHA, and if so, where?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: It does include additional staff for NYCHA.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay, are there any new needs associated with the housing blueprint being considered for future plans?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: So, we work really closely with all of our city partners to continue to advocate for additional funding. The City has been really generous to NYCHA over the last five years. And this new administration with Mayor Adams has certainly continued to be generous to NYCHA. So, there is over \$1.5 billion in just 2024 alone in both capital and expense dollars available for the Housing Authority.

We are very grateful and we will continue to advocate

3

as new needs arise.

4

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay.

6

denied \$94 million in pillars area funding requests

Now, dealing with the unfunded needs, NYCHA

in 2024 and approved \$176 million, all of these

8

requests were made to address the environmental

hazards, heating, elevators, pests, and waste

management, et cetera. These are nonnegotiable to a

10 11

safe and habitable apartment. Can you describe a

12

little more about how NYCHA goes through a process of

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Sure, so we have a very

detailed budget process that starts every year in the

13

receiving and deciding all of these pillars area

fall. We take requests not just from the central

14

requests?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

in December.

24

25

Unfortunately, we had over \$550 million of new needs across the entire portfolio. And we don't have

office departments like the pillars, but also from property management, central office staff, in addition to the pillar areas. We combine all of those, and that is... You know, based on the numbers

that you read from our 2024 budget presentation back

/

\$550 million of additional revenue. So, we had to make do with the revenue that we did have, and that is sort of how those discussions were made. We do meet with every area, including the pillar areas, to make sure that we understand some of the tradeoffs. We would love to approve all of the new needs, but that's just not possible given the revenue. If we were to approve that, you would see a deficit above \$200 million.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you.

Did NYCHA ever go to the City or OMB to request support for these pillar areas? How does NYCHA submit these needs to the City?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Sure, so we have funding from the City for the pillar areas. That funding came through the (INAUDIBLE) Agreement. So, initially the City provided \$2.2 billion, and each year that they reduced... include a new plan, we get an additional \$200 million added. We work through that funding with through the City Capital Action Plan, and we purpose that funding to key pillar areas, heating, lead, pest, waste, et cetera. And that is on the City capital side. So, we do find that the city has been tremendously generous in terms of the support that we

get each year, and we will continue to work with all of our partners to advocate for additional funding.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. The Department of Investigation recommended that NYCHA institute a Centralized Contract Oversight Unit to correct its micro purchasing procurement process. NYCHA has accepted this recommendation, can you confirm that this unit costs as potentially \$1.6 million is not yet funded by the 2024 Operating Budget?

ADMINISTRATION: (NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Sorry, \$6.1... thanks for the correction.

MS. TRIMBLE: Yes, we are discussing the funding for that. It was not anticipated at the time the board approved the budget, but we do accept... we accepting of the recommendation and working out a funding strategy right now, as we continue to refine the proposal for that new structure.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Who will fund it... this unit ultimately, and when is it estimated to start?

MS. TRIMBLE: It will be funded by NYCHA through our federal funding that we receive. We are still working through all of the details, but our goal is to have the staff begin around June 1st. It will not

2.2

be It will be a set of staff located in each
neighborhood. As the CEO testified, we have a
neighborhood model, and our goal is to put this
resource in the neighborhood to support the
properties. So, we're working through all the
structure right now we're looking through the
appropriate civil service title to use for that
staff, and that may change the final cost estimate
depending on the title we choose. But, we are looking
to start hiring and hope that we have everyone on
board approximately June 1st.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, as of now, nothing is in place?

MS. TRIMBLE: Not yet, but we are actively working on the plan for... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, we possibly have the same repeat of corruption that took place?

MS. TRIMBLE: So, just to clarify we are working on that first recommendation of the 14. However, we have already achieved some of the other recommendations that DOI has made out of the 14. We are working closely with DOI on each of them, including, we have issued our compliance advisory alert; we're working on our fraud and compliance

2 training, and other pieces of it are already
3 underway.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Is there a specific timeframe you could give us? Uh, when the... (CROSS-TALK)

MS. TRIMBLE: We hope to have all of the recommendations completed and in place within the next year.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Do you think that's an urgent response to what has taken place or has been exposed by DOI? You know, I would think you move a little quicker knowing that there is nothing in place right now to deal with this type of corruption that we've seen.

MS. TRIMBLE: I absolutely agree, and we are acting expeditiously on this. We agree it is an absolutely critical issue. Out of the 14 recommendations, some are low hanging fruit that we have been able to implement fairly quickly, but some require additional work such as hiring and staffing, IT changes, rolling out trainings — so, those we want to make sure are done right, that the staff understands the implications of all of this so, some of that will take a little extra time. But, we are

2.2

2.3

)

continuing to focus on it and agree that it is the number one priority for us... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, the implications are very severe. They... Based off of the report, it boiled down to \$2 million that were stolen from the residents of NYCHA. So, there should be much more urgency, because we are talking about NYCHA residents who I believe are one of the most abused residents in the entire country, and this was obviously a major, major scandal that rocked... And, again, it continued to pull the confidence that the tenants... That they didn't have of NYCHA, and it just further deepened it. I would hope that the Authority would act more quickly so that there is not a repeat again.

MS. TRIMBLE: So, I can tell you that we do take this absolutely seriously. We have no tolerance for this type of malfeasance, and in fact, at our previous hearing where we discussed this in detail, we expressed that even before the arrest took place, we had identified issues around the micro purchasing process, and we had made steps to change the process, and we actually did see a decrease in the spend on services for micro purchases.

2.2

2.3

Out of the 14 recommendations that DOI made on February 6th, we have already implemented six of them, and we are working collaboratively with DOI on a weekly basis to make sure that the rest get done as soon as possible.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, the ball is in your court to act to and to get something done to give some degree confidence back to the residents of NYCHA. So, I would urge you to move more quickly.

Moving onto the vacant unit readiness program, the preliminary plan includes PEG savings of \$52.8 million over five years from an expense funding swap with capital funding for the vacant unit readiness VUR program. The VUR helps repairs prepare and rehabilitate NYCHA units for new tenants.

We heard in February that they were 4,919 vacant apartments and 3,526 non dwelling units, which are either under renovation or not in residential use. It took NYCHA an average of 404 days to reoccupy a vacant apartment.

Could you please provide updated numbers to the Committee on the number of vacant apartments and the average time to prepare these units for the new tenants?

MS. TRIMBLE: Yes, thank you, Council Member.

Right now, NYCHA has approximately 5,100 vacant units available, and we are still analyzing the rate of our turnover. Obviously, we have units that are still waiting for work to begin, and that time... that waiting time drags down our average turnaround time.

the timing of our turnover and what an appropriate time should be for that turnover work to happen.

So, we are reviewing our data to better understand

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Where are the sticking points that generate a lag time with renovating these apartments? What's the disconnect?

MS. TRIMBLE: There is just only so much capacity we have to do the work. We have worked closely with OMB. The vacant unit readiness funding has been a critical support for us, both the capital and the expense have allowed us to structure all of our vacant turnover work more holistically, so that we're taking advantage of both of those resources and maximizing each of them - so that we are working to improve our efficiency. We've already seen a 40% increase in move-ins from 2023 to 2022. And so we think we're working in the right direction. But, we do have a backlog of units that we have to get to,

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 37
2	and that turnaround time will continue to be longer
3	than we'd like until we are able to work through that
4	backlog.
5	CHAIDDEDCON DANKS, Thoule won

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you.

The PMMR reflected the slow apartment turnaround times as NYCHA's occupancy rate dipped from 97.1%, in the first four months of 2023, to 96.5% in the same period in fiscal year 2024. How many unoccupied units does the 0.6 decrease represent?

MS. TRIMBLE: I don't have that exact delta, but, again, we have just over 5,100 vacant units available right now.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Has NYCHA noticed squatters coming to occupy these vacant units? Has that been a major issue with squatters occupying the units?

MS. TRIMBLE: We do have some squatters that we are aware of and we work closely with the NYPD and our law department to take action on.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: How many have been identified? MS. TRIMBLE: I don't have that exact number available today.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: If you can get that number I would appreciate it.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

What was NYCHA's policy on evicting squatters and ensuring building safety is maintained?

MS. BOVA-HIATT: I can talk about evictions just generally. NYCHA's goal is to keep residents housed and to resolve tenant issues - not to evict.

We are focused right now on residents with the highest amount of arrears for the longest amount of time. In 2023, we had 1,835 nonpayment cases and 472 holdover cases, which would include the subset of squatters across the five boroughs.

And just by way of comparison, in March 2020 we had 34,000 cases pending in housing court. But during the moratorium, we discontinued 31,000 of them. Since the moratorium lifted, we've had a total of 110 evictions - two in 2022, 58 in 2023, and 50 in 2024 as of March 7th.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. NYCHA shared that it wants to target 3,000 units for renovation annually. Are you confident you can hit that number fiscal year 2024?

MS. TRIMBLE: Yes, we are confident in that 3,000 projection. We have, as I mentioned, worked closely with OMB to restructure our vacant turnover program,

1

3

4

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And that's internally done?

maximizing both the capital and the expense. So, we feel that 3,000 is achievable.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: How much more funding would it take to turn these apartments around. For example, half the time it would still take, which will still be done around 200 days.

MS TRIMBLE: So, I don't have an additional funding number right now. We are working as expeditiously as possible within our funding sources. OMB has been very generous and is reimbursing us in real time, but we have other constraints besides funding in addition , you know, staffing, and supplies and materials. So, we can certainly have a further conversation with the Council about all of the vacant unit constraints.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: We have heard from many tenants with concerns about the quality of the work when it is eventually performed. Does NYCHA inspect and sign off every unit before new tenants occupy it?

MS. TRIMBLE: Yes, we have a quality assurance

process that reviews vacant units prior to new prospective tenants moving in.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MS. TRIMBLE: Yes, so we have our superintendents who look at apartments, and then quality assurance reviews a sample of apartments as well.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay.

I'll be honest with you, from the experience with a lot of NYCHA residents, especially folks moving into new apartments or are being relocated to a different apartment, I've seen shabby jobs done where we've had situations where they are moving into the "new apartment", it is supposed to have been rehabbed, and there's holes behind the kitchen sink when you open the cabinet - when you open up the cabinet doors, there's holes behind the cabinets, behind the stove. There's holes behind... there's roaches all throughout the apartments.

So, I need to get a better understanding. Is a real inspection being done, or are we just dealing with a level of incompetence that has continued throughout NYCHA?

MS. TRIMBLE: A real inspections is being done, but I'm happy to work with you on those examples that you're pointing out, so we can track down exactly who did the inspection and enforce that quality of work.

Because it... (CROSS-TALK)

S

to hire an independent inspector, because it's not working.

Can you share how this process looks in the PACT

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, maybe you all may need

developments? Does private management deal with this problem directly or does any VRU money go to the PACT developments?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: So, no vacant unit readiness program funding goes to the PACT developments. That funding is only for public housing units in NYCHA's portfolio currently. And as Eva mentioned, the City has been very generous. We have \$226 million to spend over the next five years. And so, we are working expeditiously to spend that funding down and hit our 3,000 unit target.

If you want to talk more about PACT we have additional staff that can speak to it.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I guess the PACT, do they set their own? They set up their own form of program?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Correct, so the renovations are done... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: (INAUDIBLE) doing the rehab, so...

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Correct, the renovations are done through the rehabilitation program.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And, then, you said \$26 million is (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: \$226 million...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: \$226 million...

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Over five years.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. All right, well I think quality is important. And that's a large amount of money. I would encourage the Commissioner and CEO to come out and walk the grounds with me with some of the developments that we have... where we have had new tenants move into the apartment, and the picture of you are painting, or the amount of money that's being spent, is... you're not seeing it in the apartment on rehab or the work that's being done in the new apartments that folks are moving in to. I would encourage you...(CROSS-TALK)

MS. BOVA-HIATT:: Well, I...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: to come out... (CROSS-TALK)

MS. BOVA-HIATT: I'd be happy to go to whatever apartments that you're talking to, and I would bring along obviously our quality assurance... The head of

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2 our Quality Assurance Department, and also our
3 Compliance Department.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay.

2.2

MS. BOVA-HIATT: And those... Just so you know, those two units were created as a result of the HUD agreement. So (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you.

I will now move to Council Member Mealy.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Thank you, I just have a few questions.

My first question, in fiscal 2023, NYCHA committed \$416.6 million or 29% of its \$1.43 billion plan - city capital commitments. NYCHA has consistently committed much less than its planned commitments. And it is reasonable to assume that the substantial proportion of the Authority's fiscal 2024 Capital Plan will be rolled into the fiscal 2025, thus increasing the size of the fiscal budget from 2025 to the 2029 Capital Plan. Is that true?

MR. MAVANI: Thank you, Council Member Mealy, uh, so just to address your question - yes, in 2023, we committed \$416 million of city capital funding, which was a 56% commitment rate. And that is a significant increase over 2022, and we hope to do that again this

2.2

2.3

year. So, year to date, we have already committed \$343 million compared to that number last year. And as per the CEO's testimony, we hope to significantly outpace the 56% that we achieved last year in the next remaining months of fiscal year 2024. So, in terms of your question, we don't anticipate a large portion of this year's funding available from the City to rollover.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So, it won't rollover to 2025?

MR. MAVANI: That's right. Our target is to utilize the funds as effectively as we can.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: One other question I have to ask, with you saying that the... The rollout, I'm sorry, the vacancies, if you have these funds, why is the turnover in all of the empty units not occupied as of yet?

MS. TRIMBLE: Thank you, Council Member. It is because funding is not our only limitation. In order to increase turnovers, we need to have... we need to also talk about staffing, vendor capacity, and materials. (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: But, didn't you say in your (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

2 MS. TRIMBLE: (INAUDIBLE) things like cabinets and sinks...

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: your turnover is quicker now?

MS. TRIMBLE: We have increased our turnover time, and we are seeing already a 40% increase in the number of move-ins from 2022 to 2023.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Okay... (CROSS-TALK)

MS. TRIMBLE: So, we are working to improve that. But, it requires multiple resources.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Do NYCHA operations staff serve PACT developments? Is it true as well for the 7,044 active positions within the properties and borough offices?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: No, NYCHA staff generally do not serve PACT developments, uh, they are paid for out of public housing funds, and we have to use them in public housing developments. However, there have been cases in emergencies where we have gone to staff of PACT development to produce a certain type of repair. And in those cases, we do invoice the PACT developer.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: You had said that after a development goes out of PACT, what significant

2 increase does NYCHA receive after... First of all,
3 how many (INAUDIBLE) PACT is out of NYCHA's portfolio

4 now?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Sure, so we are just going to welcome our EVP of Real Estate, Jonathan Gouveia, who can speak to that... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: (INAUDIBLE) sorry...

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: No, that's fine. But, I will say, just in terms of the funding, just for your awareness, we receive funding for those units as public housing. So, when you see a unit or a development exit the public housing portfolio, the federal operating subsidy goes along with it. So, you see over time our operating subsidy has to decrease, and that is also part of the reason why have to stop funding that development. It is no longer eligible for funds, and we have to sort of reassign the staff in other places, et cetera.

And Jonathan can speak to sort of the mechanics around the PACT more greatly.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Because I need to know if there's an increase, uh, since you are selling...

Well, practically... not selling off the buildings, and I will get to the selling of the parking lots in

2.2

And the balance, uh, about 13,000 in change, uh,

MR. GOUVEIA: For lead abatement.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Okay, then. Okay, so, could you explain to me how much revenue you all received in 2022 for the selling of NYCHA parking lots?

MR. GOUVEIA: Well, we haven't sold parking lots.

Uhm, when we do these... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Oh, wait a minute, you can't just say that. Because in district, I believe Marcus Garvey... No, The Van Dyke, there was a parking lot... There's a big house on there now, development of 215 units.

MR. GOUVEIA: Yes.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So, that's revenue. You sold... NYCHA sold the development to CAMB, and now they have housing there. So, I know you did that in my district, we don't know how many you did in the whole (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

MR. GOUVEIA: It is true that there have been a few infill projects (INAUDIBLE) Van Dyke... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So, that's why I'm asking on the (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

It was a couple of million dollars, and the

2.2

2.3

commitment was to use the proceeds from the infill building to make certain renovations at the rest of Van Dyke Houses.

about that one. NYCHA has done this (INAUDIBLE) the City. There has to be some kind revenue stream in which you're making some kind of money if you're taking away parking... Even though I think it is discriminatory, because some people are using... Or some people are still driving, and NYCHA came up with saying that people don't need cars, especially in Brooklyn... that you could build on the parking lots.

MR. GOUVEIA: We have not done very many infill projects.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: How many?

MR. GOUVEIA: Uh, about ten, and we can provide a list of all of the infill projects that we've done and the revenue over the years. But, again, we have not done one of those deals in a few years. PACT is about comprehensive rehabilitations, not infill.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So, what do you... How much are you making with PACT?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2 23

24

25

MR. GOUVEIA: Uh , you know, some of the deals we have gotten some acquisition fees, and, again, we can provide a summary of that infrastructure to the Committee. But, for the most part, we do not get upfront payments at this point, because the requirements of the scope of the work that we have to do is very significant. So, all of the money that is part of the deal goes in to the properties to ensure that the renovations are as complete as possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Chair, could I have just two more questions?

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Uh, all right, would restoring funding loss in the PEG help expedite repairing units for re-occupancy?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Yes, you may, Council Member.

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Thank you for that question.

So, in the PEG that was done by the City, in the January 2024 plan, what you actually see is funding moving from... There is no funding that was cut from the vacant unit program. What they actually did was put capital funds in the vacant unit readiness program. So, they reduced the expense, and they put the funding on the capital line.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: I don't know (INAUDIBLE)

All right, what is the average cost to turnaround

each unit?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: The average cost for a vacant unit turnaround is approximately \$43,000.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Forty-three?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Mm-hmm

about how NYCHA would use the \$54 million certified to proceed CP from OMB, which we understand will enable spending capital funds on asbestos testing and abatement. And ,you know, my development was the first one in Brooklyn ever to have Legionnaires' disease. So, abatement and asbestos testing...

MS. TRIMBLE: Yes, so that CP, which we just got approved by OMB recently...

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: I can't hear you, I'm sorry...

MS. TRIMBLE: Sorry. So, we did have a CP approved recently from OMB that authorized our use of the City capital funds for asbestos testing and abatement as part of the vacant unit readiness program. So, all vacant units are tested for both lead and asbestos, and they are made lead-free and asbestos safe as part

any...

_

MS. TRIMBLE: We have our Family Partnerships team and our Resident Services team that will support residents, uh, any residents, uh, whether they have been formally homeless or not, can receive services through Our Family Partnerships Division. We make connections with mental health groups, any other resources that residents may need, uh, Adult Family Protective Services, other types of services, you know, we work with residents and affording conditions and so forth. So, we can accept referrals for residents in need, but that is funded just as part of NYCHA's Resident Services Programs.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Okay. I just have to give you kudos when kudos are due. So, far domestic violence emergency transfers, I normally call NYCHA, and it has been very well. Except, coming here today I had an incident that I was just on a Zoom... I couldn't get on the Zoom... What do you contribute that for... Is it a new department or a new staff person that is expediting emergency domestic transfers?

MS. TRIMBLE: As part of all of the vacant unit work that we have been discussing over the past year, we have been looking at all aspects of our

2	operation including the actual construction turnover
3	work, but also just internally about dealing with
4	residents in need, transfers, and so forth. We try
5	to You know, we understand that residents are
6	living in difficult conditions, and the wait times
7	are long, so we do try to provide as much support as
8	possible. So, thank you for recognizing that, and we
9	are always happy to work with any council member that
.0	may have a resident in need.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: And thank you, Brian (INAUDIBLE) he answers my calls. Thank you so much, Chair, for giving me leverage...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Council Member Mealy.

We have been joined Council Member Bottcher, and I will allow Council Member Bottcher to ask some questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Good afternoon.

I would love to get an update on your efforts to improve the way that you are utilizing City Council discretionary capital dollars. It's a point of frustration by many of my colleagues, that City Council discretionary money, capital money is

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

allocated to NYCHA, and it often takes many years for it to get spent.

My predecessor, Corey Johnson, allocated millions of dollars to NYCHA for improvements at Elliott-Chelsea and Fulton Houses, and very little of that money was ever spent. And we're actually... we actually have \$3.5 million unspent capital dollars left over from what Speaker Johnson allocated. We're pursuing a different plan to totally fix Fulton and Elliott-Chelsea Houses, so that money is not needed. But, that money was allocated many years ago, and it really should've been pushed out the door and spent right away.

Can you update us on what efforts you have made to improve the timeline, so that money that is allocated from the Council really goes into the development that year instead of years later?

MR. MAVANI: Thank you, Council Member Bottcher, it is a very important area. I appreciate you asking the question.

So, two years ago, at this hearing, we talked about a plan to look at discretionary allocations and how to accelerate progress on those projects - given the backlog that you alluded to.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

So, it might be helpful just to get a broader context of our capital portfolio and where this fits in, and then come back to some of the specific things we've done to try to ensure that we utilize discretionary allocations effectively and efficiently.

So, our overall portfolio today is 600 active projects. The total budget that is about \$6 billion. And within that, discretionary Council funded projects make up about \$80 million.

When we made that effort two years ago, we revisited all discretionary allocations, in particular funding that might've been provided in 2018-2019, several years back, and we made a very concerted effort to prioritize and move those projects forward.

In 2022, we were able to complete 18 of those projects; in 2023 we complete construction on another 30. And we continue to progress the discretionary funded projects within our broader portfolio as quickly as we can. We expect to complete construction on a significant number again this year.

Uh, more broadly, we have made a significant amount of process, people, and technology

2.2

2.3

improvements over the last two years as part of NYCHA's transformation effort. So, we are able to take in funding and utilize it more effectively.

In 2023, we spent more on our capital work than we ever have - as was mentioned in the testimony.

\$1.1 billion - and we're targeting a significant increase to about \$1.5 billion this year. And so we've scaled up effectively now to be able to utilize the funding and resources that the City, the City Council, and others have provided. And will continue to do that effectively.

The only caveat I would add is that while discretionary funded projects quite small often, they have a significant amount or an equivalent amount of overhead to structure and the project - as a lot of larger projects that we may be delivering under our HUD agreement commitments, whether that is very large heating or elevator, or other types of projects.

So, that's the challenge we face in terms of being able to resource these smaller allocations effectively. Obviously, the impact of those projects is critical for residents.

What we're trying to do moving forward, is to find ways to combine the scope of work that may be

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

funded through discretionary allocation along with other work that's happening at the site through some of these other funding sources, so that we're more efficient at using our resources to deliver those scopes together. And that's kind of how we're trying to approach that even more strategically moving forward.

So, instances like those you highlighted where we were not able to complete projects at a site, uh, before a PACT conversion , you know, do not repeat themselves moving forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: What is the timeline on the reforms to the capital project that will allow you to combine smaller projects so they move along quicker, rather than having the installation of cameras at a development be a multi-year project? (TIMER CHIMES)

MR. MAVANI: Yeah, so, by their nature capital projects typically takes several years. Right? And I think that's an important point to make. There is typically resident engagement phase right up front to ensure that the scope of work is best aligned - like in the case of cameras, the placement of the cameras and things like that, there is typically an architect

1

3

4

6

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to engineering design phase, there's a procurement phase, and then there's a construction phase. And, so, it is very unusual to utilize an allocation in its first year, whether it's a discretionary allocation or a state allocation. The majority of that money gets committed and utilized when you move to construction, typically ,you know, in year twoyear three or so on.

For certain types of projects, uh, where we have had discretionary allocations, like CCTV, we have, over the years, built out tools and resources that allow us to accelerate the initially design process and procurement process, so we can kind move much more quickly straight to construction, where there is limited architectural work or engineering work.

So, there is definitely projects that are critical around safety and security, for example, lighting projects where we have a much more streamlined flow and the ability to move quickly. We have specialized teams who do those type of projects at all the different sites across the city. It can move them quicker.

So, in terms of your question on the timeline to do those reforms, I think we have significantly

2.2

implemented a larger portion of the reforms we're doing. Uh, the challenge sometimes in combining a smaller project with other projects, has to do with different funding timelines, different approval timelines, and a different types of procurement and other requirements that attach to the specific funding source. Right? But, overall, I think that we have been able to close off many of the discretionary projects that had kind of pre-2020 funding, and we're catching up to the project load based on additional funding that has been provided since then.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Council Member Bottcher.

The RAD/PACT program has actually been around for the, I believe, the last 10 years or so. When NYCHA prepare its budget, how does it quantify the reductions, and its expenses, and revenues that result from a PACT transition?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Yes, so, when we prepare our budget, we take in to consideration the RAD/PACT pipeline for the next five years. And we make sure that we make reductions for those developments. So, as I mentioned, as a development leaves the public housing portfolio, so too goes with it its federal

operating subsidy, which is its source of revenues,
so too goes the rent. And, so, as a result
(BACKGROUND NOISE) that's (INAUDIBLE) on the sources
side. On the usage side, you have to take down the
expenses associated with portfolio. Uh, while we do
lose the revenue, we no longer have the expense, so
that's a boon. That means if you no longer have
development, you're no longer paying for the staff
for that development, you're no longer paying for the
upkeep of development. And, so, the budget does
reflect that overtime, and we make that adjustment
each and every year. And in each five-year plan, we
take into account our PACT timeline and portfolio and
make adjustments.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. As far as the, uhm, the exit of units from Section 9, how much does the exit of those units from Section 9, how much does it account for the declining rent revenue?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: That's a very good question, Chair.

I think, from my perspective, the majority of the declining rent revenue that you see is not from PACT.

It's actually been built up over the course of the pandemic. So, as we mentioned before, in many

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 64
2	testimonies prior, since 2019 our rent arrears, for
3	example, went from \$125 million in 2019 to where they
4	stand now, which is around \$482 million as of the end
5	of 2023.
6	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: (INAUDIBLE)
7	MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: So, that decrease is not
8	PACT. That increase is sort of folks perhaps not
9	paying their rent and being struggling with the
10	impacts of the pandemic.
11	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, say for instance when a
12	development is transitioned over to RAD/PACT, there
13	is are obviously rent arrears from it that's being
14	passed over? (CROSS-TALK)
15	MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Correct
16	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Am I correct, too? To the
17	developer To the (CROSS-TALK)
18	MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Yes, so actually, uhm
19	(CROSS-TALK)
20	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: (INAUDIBLE)
21	MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: We receive money for those
22	arrears. So, the developer takes on the arrears, and
23	they pay us (CROSS-TALK)
24	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And they pass it over to

NYCHA?

1 2 MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Correct. So, they pay me 3 back.... (CROSS-TALK) 4 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, that goes towards the rent 5 deficit. 6 MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Correct. 7 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. So... (CROSS-TALK) 8 MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: And it is not necessarily a 9 one for one. And most times we get as close to one 10 for one as we can. So, for example, if there's a \$100 11 million of arrears, we may get \$90 million for those 12 arrears. They're no longer on our books, the 13 developer takes over, they might negotiate certain 14 agreements with various tenants. In some cases we 15 have actually seen then wipe arrears. In some cases 16 they have reduced arrears... (CROSS-TALK) 17 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Right. 18 MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: So, it depends on the deal, 19 20 21 arrears. 2.2

23

24

25

but from a NYCHA perspective, I give you the arrears, and you give me funding in exchange for those CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, say for instance if the developer takes over a particular development, and there is a rent arrear, it seems like... Is there an agreement, uh...

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING	

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: between NYCHA? The... I have heard of situations where rent has been forgiven...

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Correct...

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Correct...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Uh, is NYCHA okay with that, if rent is forgiven?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: So, I would say that it's not NYCHA's decision.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: How do you account for that? I mean, like, uh, as far as ,you know, accounting purposes, because the... obviously that... that deficit still exists. So, how do you... How do you fill hole (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Right, so just to clarify a couple of your comments - I think you are certainly along the right track. However, there... From the NYCHA perspective, we do not arrears, nor are we allowed to forgive arrears per the HUD (INAUDIBLE) ... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: (INAUDIBLE) yes...

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Some the developers, during the deal, there is an agreement. Each deal is different. They may, again, decide to pay us some portion of the arrears, we do get as close to dollar

2	for dollar as we can. The developer provides NYCHA
3	the funding for those arrears that they are taking
4	over. One that development goes over to the PACT
5	partner, it is no longer a part of the public housing
6	portfolio. So, I have no say over how they treat
7	those arrears moving forward. They may decide to
8	forgive, they may decide to discount - I am not
9	allowed to do that. As it stands in public housing,
10	when it goes over to the developer, they might
11	negotiate those things, but I have no say in thatch
12	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. So, a developer can wipe
13	out the rent or any arrears that may exist?
14	MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: They have in the past. Uhm,
15	they can, but it is really deal specific. As you
16	know And the economics of that particular deal,
17	they do need that funding to maintain and operate the
18	developments the same way that we do. So, I could not
19	say in every instance that is economically feasible
20	for the portfolio.
21	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, everyone is being

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, everyone is being transferred over to a Section 8 lease, so obviously the subsidy is being increased. Am I correct?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Do you have a total sense of the savings generated by a unit when it is transitioned to PACT and a breakdown by the development?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Uh, I do not have a savings number, because as we mentioned, you lose both the revenue and the expense... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Right...

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: and it is... So,
essentially we could provide that if you are
interested in knowing ,you know, per unit how much
subsidy we get, we could provide that... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Yes, I would be interested to get per unit how much subsidy...

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Happy to...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And I... From clarification that you are giving us, the, uh, it seems like the staff... the staffing is what you're able to then, uh... (CROSS-TALK)

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Reassign... (CROSS-TALK)
CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Reassign to... (CROSS-TALK)

 ${\tt MS.}$ LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: To other developments.

That's correct.

2	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, it means that, uh, in a
3	particular development that has less ground keepers
4	or maintenance, what is that How does that process
5	look? Is it obviously based off a need? And if that
6	is the case, then we should see better grounds in
7	NYCHA. Because, I ,you know, we have had a
8	considerable amount of developments that have been
9	transferred over to RAD/PACT, but I have not seen
10	with this, uh, I guess migration of staff over to
11	those other developments, I still don't see the
12	difference as far as the maintenance of the buildings
13	and the grounds. So, what is the disconnect there?
14	MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Sure, I'm happy to start,
15	and then I will pass it over to Eva Trimble to talk
16	more.
17	But, essentially, when a development exits the
18	portfolio, we reassign those staff to other
19	developments that might have vacancies
20	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I understand that.
21	MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: So, it's not as though you
22	are increasing the number of staff overall at NYCHA,
23	you are moving that person into a vacant

25 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So.

(INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, you're filling a vacancy?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Correct, so there might be a development that is supposed to have 10, they are down ,you know, to nine, and you put that person from the PACT development that is exiting to that (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, I'm talking about the work getting done. If you're filling a vacancy, uh, then the work that was being requested or that was needed for that development should be getting done, right?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Sure...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, that means that we shouldn't have... We should have clean... clean elevators, we should have... the hallways should be clean. You name it. The gambit of inefficiencies that have been pointed out by tenants that are still plaguing NYCHA developments, even with the filling of these vacancies... So, how are you all monitoring that? Because, if I see that there is a, uh, you know, in my particular building, if we finally get a grounds keeper that wasn't there before, you know, but nothing has changed, what sense does that make? Are you just filling a vacancy just for filling a vacancy, or are we really monitoring the quality of

MS. TRIMBLE. Thank you, Council Member.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

work that is being done to satisfy the tenants and in a sense upkeep and maintain the property- that should have been being done for years?

Absolutely, the caretakers that take care of both the buildings and the grounds are a critical point for us. And they ,you know, we have actually rolled out a new program that is starting this year to improve the caretaker performance by improving training and education. So, we call that our Caretaker Muster Program, and the Muster is the caretakers meeting twice a day with their supervisors to brief on what needs to be done every day - make sure they have their assignments, and also review training and policies and procedures of how to handle certain things. So, we have been piloting that since the beginning of this calendar year. And we are in the process of now of rolling that out citywide. We have already seen improvements at the properties that have gone through the caretaker muster pilot, and we are looking forward to continuing to see improvements in performance that - again, cleanings of buildings and grounds as we continue to focus on our caretaker

staff to make sure that they are doing the job they are supposed to do.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. Back specifically to RAD/PACT, oversight by NYCHA has been an abysmal failure, uh, particularly in the... Obviously in the RAD/PACT conversion developments, does NYCHA monitor the evictions from PACTs?

MR. GOUVEIA: Hi, yes, we do. On a monthly basis, we get legal proceedings from every PACT partner for every PACT project. And we track all of the proceedings through the entire process. So, when they initiate a process, when they start doing initial outreach to residents for either financial issues, nonpayment, or holdover issues - we are tracking - and then when they start the actual process, if that is necessary, we also track that, and then we track all the way to the end in the event that there might be an actual eviction. All of this data by the way, is now on our website, we have a dashboard that we have just released. So, you can look at specific projects and the whole portfolio.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, when it comes to composition of household, and or just documents being transferred over to the RAD/PACT that has been a

evictions due to missing paperwork, so I would be happy to hear...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I will give you quite a couple a list of...

MR. GOUVEIA: Sure...

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: A list of tenants...

MR. GOUVEIA: and we will certainly look into that.

What I would say is, we, in 2020, established housing retention guidelines, and ,you know, our CEO mentioned in her testimony, our goal is to keep everyone housed. There is no incentive to evicting people, because if someone is evicted from a PACT project, we have to go and pull new residents from the Section 8 waitlist. So, there is no reason to try to get other folks into the buildings, and we want to maintain the housing for the people that are there.

So, the purpose of the housing retention guidelines is to make sure that our PACT partners are going through a rigorous process to make sure that they understand what is going on with every single household that is in some form of distress — whether that is financial or due to holdover issues or what have you, and work through the issues with our residents and point them in the right direction for... in terms of resources that they may need to remedy their situation. And, so, we track these processes and we try to make sure that our PACT

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

partners are being rigorous with every step of the
process before there is an eviction.

So, again, if there are people who are going to court because of missing documents, I would like to hear the specific cases, and we can talk to the PACT partners about that.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Are the staff being reassigned within existing NYCHA neighborhoods? Like, when you... Are they able to pick a neighboring development that is still NYCHA?

MS. TRIMBLE: Our HR department works closely with each of the employees to find a new assignment that is close to them. So, we try to reduce what we call travel hardships for the staff - so, either close to the existing neighborhood or closer to where they live.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And back to the, uh, when it comes to evictions and the monitoring of the evictions, the paper trail or any documents that the old management has, how... what is that process when it comes to turning over those documents to the new development? How does that go?

MR. GOUVEIA: So, there's a few things, there are a number of resident files that do get copied over in

_	COMMITTED ON TODDIC HOODING 70
2	terms of the rent role, household composition and the
3	like, and those documents are carried over to the new
4	management. But, what is worth noting is that in
5	order for Section 8 to work, part of the federal
6	requirements are that there A new lease has to be
7	signed. So, the actual lease that a NYCHA has under
8	Section 9 does not carry over - the actual document -
9	the content and the spirit behind Section 9 leases
10	are copied over into Section 8. So, they are
11	effectively signing a new lease, uh, it is completely
12	mirrored for the most part on the Section 9 lease.
13	But, they are starting with a new lease. So, there
14	shouldn't be anybody in a PACT development without ar
15	actual lease. They're going to get a brand-new lease.
16	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: They're going (INAUDIBLE)
17	because they have sign over to Section 8?
18	MR. GOUVEIA: That's correct.
19	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Correct? The So, if they
20	sign over to Section 8, that we understand, say for
21	instance, if there is a request put in by the tenant
22	to add someone to their household, is that also
23	transferred over, too?

MR. GOUVEIA: Yes, before we do a conversion, we do a lot of communications to our residents, and we

the composition of the household, the oversight,

overall, when it comes to right sizing, has

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

management... Has NYCHA... What is NYCHA's process been with mediating some of the issues that have come up with right sizing with the PACT developments?

MR. GOUVEIA: So, right sizing is a federal

requirement in Section 9 and Section 8. This is not specifically part of PACT or RAD, this is something that NYCHA and all public housing authorities should be doing at all times. As it relates to the conversions, what we do is, at present, everyone gets to remain in their existing apartments as we are in predevelopment and up to the conversion. And we even let folks stay in their apartments during construction. We start the process with our PACT partners post construction completion to right size people. It is worth noting though, they can only be right sized if there is an available apartment that is of the appropriate size for their household. So, it is quite possible, that folks, ,you know, one of the things that we hear a lot about, is , you know, seniors who have larger apartments and whether or not they should give up those apartments, there is a good chance that they are not going to be moved for some time, because there has to be a studio apartment or a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

one bedroom available. And just given our vacancy rate, that is always the case... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Oh, and then it goes back to oversight, particularly what has been the oversight experience of NYCHA? Because, I think what is lacking is oversight, and I think across the board when it comes to the RAD/PACT conversions, NYCHA... I know in my particular district has not been actively in the ground in mediating some the issues that arise when it has come to ,you know, some of the issues that the tenants are facing with the construction. And we know some of the developments, they will be moving into a phase where they will be doing the right sizing. I would just like NYCHA to be more proactive when it comes to oversight, and not just be a fly on the wall. I think the tenants demand it, and it is something that is needed - and in particularly, obviously, this is something that obviously is being... having to be dealt with right sizing, and obviously that is because of NYCHA's inability to do right sizing over the ,you know, since the... when they were managing the properties. And it has now become a major issue for the RAD/PACT.

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
•	

2.2

How many apartments will remain Section 9 if all NYCHA transformation plans, including PACT and the Trust comes to fruition on the anticipated timeline?

MS. BOVA-HIATT: Currently our goal is to transfer 62,000 apartments through the PACT program, and 25,000 apartments through the Trust. So, the balance would remain Section 9 unless we went back to the state legislature to increase the cap for the Trust or decided to increase the amount of properties that were transferred through the PACT program.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And where are you at with that number now? What conversions?

MS. BOVA-HIATT: So, we have no converted anything through the Trust, uh, Nostrand Houses had their (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, with RAD, with RAD/PACT...

MS. BOVA-HIATT: and... Oh, with RAD/PACT?

MR. GOUVEIA: So, for PACT, we have converted about 21,000 units. We have enough 17,000 and change in predevelopment. So, again, this is where we have development teams that have been selected in partnership with the resident leadership at each of these developments, and each of those 17,000 will be

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay, well, I would appreciate those numbers. I know everybody now will obviously get a chance to vote for the what program they would want to go into.

I guess now I am going to ask some questions... we'll leave RAD/PACT... We will go into the rental arrears and evictions.

Tenant rent arrears totaled at \$480 million across the 71,000 households as of November 30, 2023 - up from \$125 million in 2019. That represents almost four-fold increase from 2019 sum of \$359 million. In light of the awarding of \$163 million in state ERAP funding last year to help close the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

deficit, how much does NYCHA expect to still be owed in rental arrears by the end of 2024?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Thank you, Chair that is a great question.

I'm really pleased to report that as of February 2024, our rental arrears is \$482 million, that represents around \$136 million in ERAP funding which we received so far, \$90 million of which has been credited to tenant accounts. So, I will say that last year we came here informing the Council of our problem with tenant rent arrears, and we laid out a very careful plan, which we executed on. So, we successfully lobbied with the state to get the ERAP funding, and we are actually working through the ERAP backlog for NYCHA residents.

We have also worked with our city partners to get \$150 million of HUD Home ARP Funding, which can also be used for tenant rent arrears.

The current plan is to use that for the residents with the lowest AMI, those among the NYCHA tenants that are vulnerable.

So, there's still a lot of work to be done on rent arrears, but we do see a light at the end of the

tunnel. And at the end of the year, we do anticipate coming back to you and the Council with better news.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: When will the last of the state funding be applied to NYCHA's accounts?

MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: So, we are doing it on a rolling basis. There is a process by which we ,you know, recertify applications to the state. They then send us the money, and they also have to send us, by exact months per tenant, how much has to be applied, and then we run a pretty extensive process on our side to credit the tenant accounts.

So, we just do it on a rolling basis. So far \$90 million, about \$45 million to go, and we will continue do that each and every month.

If you are a tenant, you will start to see those credits on your rent arrears. And that has been happening since November of last year.

So, if you are a tenant, you actually see ERAP credit and a dollar amount, and you see directly your rent balance coming down by that amount.

We've also started to notify tenants, both NYCHA and the state, so we would just say to tenants ,you know, keep a lookout, we are going through those as quickly as we receive them from the state.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 84
2	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Can you give me a breakdown, I
3	guess, for my district or per council district, how
4	that who it is actually affecting
5	MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Sure, we can provide that.
6	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you.
7	And obviously you are actively seeking ERAP, and
8	capital, and other funding from the state? From the
9	state budget
10	MS. LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: That's correct. We continue
11	to advocate for additional funding.
12	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you.
13	I am going to turn it over to Council Member
14	Mealy. You have a couple questions?
15	Thank you.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Your testimony says that
17	you are getting funding from state Superstorm
18	Sandy, when will that money run out?
19	MS. BOVA-HIATT: Thank you for that question. I am
20	going to have Shaan Mavani, who, uh, his unit spends
21	the funds, and he can explain how much we have spent
22	and how much is left.
23	MR. MAVANI: Sure, we can go funding source by

funding source to make it clearer.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, the Sandy program that is funded by FEMA primarily, the majority of that \$3.3 billion has been spent. We're spending down the last \$150 million or so in 2024 and closing off those projects. Some of that invoicing may happen in 2025, t basically the physical work we e to complete this year.

From the state, uh, we received a total over ,you know, since 2017 or 18, we received over a billion dollars, just under \$500 million that just came in before Christmas - so in December of last year - but, we are expending that. I think we in the first few months of this year, we've spent just close to \$50 million of state funding. So, we are spending that a high pace. You know, we anticipate that the earlier tranches funding that the state provided will be spent down by the end of 2026. They mainly support our elevator and our heating programs that have HUD agreement targets in in 2024 and 2026. The more recent allocation, we have gotten, we expect to also spend down quickly. As was mentioned in testimony, a significant portion of that is for facade restoration work that we expect to spend down by the end of 2026 as well - and some heating work. So, that's state funding.

2.2

2.3

The city funding, as our CFO mentioned, we had a \$2.2 billion commitment that we are spending down. And after that, period there is an additional \$200 million a year that are committed by the city. And, so that goes into... those additional allocations go into 2033-2034. Therefore, kind of from your question, we're not going to be running out of that money as new funding is allocated... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: I am glad you said that you won't be running out of that money...

MR. MAVANI: Yes...

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So, uh...

MR. MAVANI: And just to close that off, on the federal side, we obviously get an allocation each year as well of a capital grant that continues to support a lot of our capital projects.

expected over \$1.1 billion on capital projects, completed construction for a 100 projects? More than 600 capital projects are currently underway across the city, and we are targeting \$1.5 billion in expenditure, and completion of 150 projects this year. AS of the end of 2023, we spent more than \$3 billion with Sandy. But, whenever I ask to do

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2.2

something capital in my district, I was told that
you don't have enough staff, we can't start a new
project. What is going on with that? Because,
Kingsborough needed a new park - the kids are getting
splinters. And I said, even though it's NYCHA, I
don't mind putting my capital money in as long as you
all put up some just as well. And you are telling me
now that you still have money. So, why is that being
said?

And, then, before my time runs out, I have one more question, because my colleagues Council Member Bottcher has questions... Okay could you answer that?

MR. MAVANI: Sure, thank you, Council Member.

So, in our prioritization process, we have to prioritize the type of projects that meet the HUD agreement requirements, which relate obviously to resident health and safety. So, we prioritize heating, elevators, pest and waste management, lead-based paint (INAUDIBLE)...(CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: But, you have money for that already...

MR. MAVANI: We additionally prioritize and safety and security projects, given their direct impacts.

So, in the last ,you know, in 2023, we did initiate over 100 projects as well as new projects that started. So, it's not that... as we complete projects, we're not initiating kind of an equivalent amount of new work. Overall, we don't have the resource level to continue to add to those, uh, the portfolio ,you know, as additional projects may be added. Like any organization, we are trying to plan for multiple years, and having the right level of staff... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So, no one can ask for CCTV in a development right now?

MR. MAVANI: So, CCTV, we consider safety and security (TIMER CHIMES) and we continue to initiate those projects once we receive funding. But, some of the other project that are critical for residents around community centers or playground are the types of projects that we're not able to prioritize.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Staff?

MR. MAVANI: Because of staff and the way our portfolio has grown significantly in the last five years with new city and state funding - but we are getting to point where ,you know, that is rebalancing itself as we have completed a lot of

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 89
2	work. And we are able to We hope by ,you know, the
3	time we get to 2025, we don't have kind of a mismatch
4	between our staffing levels and the amount of demand
5	there is for us to implement capital work.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: We have to talk about that.
7	You have 50 employees and 300 But, I don't see
8	why have to we can't do any new capital because o
9	staff. If you have so much money, it should be a
10	problem. CCTV is about safety. Kingsborough has
11	seniors there. And one thing I wanted to say,
12	Kingsborough, Hugh Gilroy is a senior center, and
13	their backyard, you are building housing on it now.
14	Is that still your land?
15	MR. MAVANI: Yes, uh, NYCHA has (CROSS-TALK)
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: You're going to get funding
17	for that sooner or later, that revenue is going to
18	into NYCHA's pot.
19	MR. MAVANI: So, I'm not sure which project you're
20	referring to, but maybe to clarify a few points - we
21	have not Sorry
22	PANEL: (INAUDIBLE)
23	MR. MAVANI: So, as Jonathan mentioned, there is a
24	very small number of infill projects that we have

done over the last 10 years... (CROSS-TALK)

_	COINTITIED ON TODDIC HOODING 90
2	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: You're saying infill is
3	small? So, it does not give you any revenue? Because,
4	at one time you said it was just going to be all
5	senior housing, and now my seniors are saying that it
6	is going to be mixed-use. So, that means you're going
7	to have market rate And I don't know how you're
8	interviewing seniors already for apartments that have
9	not been even come into fruition yet. So, I would
10	love to know how you are making You have to be
11	making money off of land that was yours that was
12	vacant. And now you're building almost 300 units of
13	not just senior housing but mixed-use. And that's my
14	last question.
15	MR. GOUVEIA: So, the infill building at
16	Kingsborough is absolute (CROSS-TALK)
17	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Making no money at all
18	(INAUDIBLE) (CROSS-TALK)
19	MR. GOUVEIA: it is absolutely going to be a
20	senior development. There is no (CROSS-TALK)
21	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Say that again, please?
22	MR. GOUVEIA: It's going to be for seniors.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Okay
24	MR. GOUVEIA: It's not mixed use, it's not mixed

25 income.

(CROSS-TALK)

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

2	COUNCIL	MEMBER	MEALY:	So,	where	is	the	rent	money
3	going to go	?							

MR. GOUVEIA: Well, you have to pay for the financing of the building, right? And the maintenance and the operations...

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: That is going to be there for centuries to come, you probably will pay back... or the developer will pay back that loan.

What projection of how much money are you going to make off of this project - City land?

MR. GOUVEIA: These are very expensive projects that... very expensive to build...

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: I yield, because this makes... No way on God's green earth, are you going to tell me that vacant land, you didn't sell it to them, you just gave it to them, and no one... NYCHA is not making anything off of this project?

MR. GOUVEIA: We are not... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: You all are just doing it of the goodness of your heart? In that case you might as well have everyone paying \$500 for these apartments.

MR. GOUVEIA: So, that specific project was a City priority from the de Blasio Administration. That was

first RAD/PACT conversion at Ocean Bay, how many

^

evictions have there been in the portfolio of apartments that have transitioned under RAD/PACT?

MR. GOUVEIA: In the whole portfolio, since 2016, there have been about 120 evictions. The bulk of those about 50 or so were at Ocean Bay, and that is the result of a number of things — one of the big issues after Hurricane Sandy was that there were a lot of people who had just simply moved away and not officially terminated their leases and the like. So, there were a lot of issues that had to be dealt with in order to release those apartments. Legal aid reviewed all 55 of those evictions and found that they were done properly.

So, when you take out the unique conditions at Ocean Bay, the roughly 55, it leaves you with 70 over almost 10 years of the program, which is a very low eviction rate.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Out of how many apartments that have... how many apartments in total have transitioned under RAD/PACT during that period of time?

MR. GOUVEIA: Just under 21,000.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: So, 21,000 apartments transitioned to RAD/PACT, and only 120...

1

MR. GOUVEIA: 120 in total...

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: A 120 in total? What is the source of data? Where is that data from?

MR. GOUVEIA: We track this on a monthly basis. So, every time a PACT partner begins the outreach to a resident - so, whether, again, they've stopped paying rent, so there's a potential for a financial issue and potentially an eviction based on , you know, nonpayment, we have our PACT partners go out and make sure that they understand the source of the problem. Did they lose their job? Did they have hours reduced? And they would encourage them to do the recertification, so that the income can adjusted or look at other potential resources. The same thing for holdover type issues, we really want our PACT partners to look into the underlying cause of what's going on and then take the steps to try to prevent the eviction. And we track that every single month, and we expect our partners to adhere to these rules, which are embodied in housing retention guidelines that we developed 2020, and we've updated

successively through the last four years.

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: You had given a figure earlier about how many NYCHA tenants were evicted over the past year or so. What was that figure again?

MS. BOVA-HIATT: Since the moratorium lifted in 2022, we have evicted 110 households - two in 2022; 58 in 2023; and 50 in 2024 as of March 7th.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: So, since the RAD/PACT program went in to effect in New York and buildings started transitioning to that, there were a 120 evictions in all that time for over 20,000 units, but NYCHA, since 2022, has effective 110 - it seems counterintuitive that the evictions would be lower in a sense in the RAD/PACT portfolio.

MR. GOUVEIA: Well, the remaining NYCHA portfolio is significantly bigger, right? Because we have 1,750 apartments, and about 20,000 - 21,000 have converted - so they've still got 150,000 or so. So...

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Right.

MR. GOUVEIA: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: How do you explain that low number of... relativity low number evictions in RAD/PACT. It seems like it would be in the interest a developer to transient out nonpaying...

MR. GOUVEIA: Well, it's not in their interest,

because anybody who leaves a PACT site, whether it's

on their own volition, due to eviction, or what have

2

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you, a PACT developer has to pull new tenants who would then take that space of the Section 8 waitlist. So, they're actually isn't an incentive to evict anybody. And, as I said, we are very much focused on

keeping our existing (TIMER CHIMES) residents in the apartments and minimizing evictions - which is why we developed the housing retention guidelines, and we rigorously oversee this process every month.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: To give residents who are potentially going to be part of RAD/PACT conversion a level of comfort about the prospects for eviction, are these statistics that you share with residents?

MR. GOUVEIA: We do. Whenever we're doing engagement, uh, in townhall-style meetings, or one on one type meetings, we share these statistics. But we recently put up a dashboard on our website that tracks workorder performance at all our PACT sites, as well as legal issues around tenancy - so, evictions for, again, nonpayment or holdover type

our PACT partners back to the housing and retention

24

MR. GOUVEIA: No she would remain...

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

MR. GOUVEIA: She would remain in her... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: (INAUDIBLE) at... (CROSS-TALK)

MR. GOUVEIA: She would remain in her existing apartment until an appropriately sized apartment, for household size, became available at the development.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I want NYCHA to monitor the situation, because the right sizing is going to be a major issue. And I know some of my developments, they're going through the rehabs, the apartments look nice, but the reality is that a lot of them will not be in those apartments that they're in... That they're moving back into get fixed... that are fixed. They won't be living in those apartments. And a lot of NYCHA residents, I believe, will be displaced, particularly under the RAD/PACT or even Trust Reservation, because right sizing is something that has to take place according to the...

MR. GOUVEIA: But...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: HUD regs. Am I correct?

MR. GOUVEIA: Yes, right sizing is a federal requirement. But, no one will be displaced, if by displaced you mean evicted based on right sizing...

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: But, there will be a
3	reasonable accommodations given to seniors? (CROSS-
1	TAT.K)

MR. GOUVEIA: No, nobody... Nobody has to give ,up their existing apartment until an available apartment of an appropriate size for the household becomes available at development.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, if there are not enough one bedrooms in that particular development, that particular senior will stay at their three bedroom apartment... (CROSS-TALK)

MR. GOUVEIA: Yes. Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. And I guess that's across the board, whether it is a senior or family... (CROSS-TALK)

MR. GOUVEIA: Yes. Regardless of age or any other issue, they will stay in their apartment until an appropriately sized department comes available in that development... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And they will not be pressure placed on them by the existing management company to move them out of that apartment?

2.2

2.3

/

MR. GOUVEIA: Yes, they will...

MR. GOUVEIA: No. Again, the apartment has to be available it has to exist. So, if there's no vacant apartment they can't pressure them.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, because I know in particular developments, like Fiorentino Houses, where there's been issues with... they're going through a gut rehab, and there's been obviously issues with trying to get certain tenants out of the apartment. And there's been a very... How can I say? Aggressive tactics used to get them out of that apartment. So, if we are seeing that when it comes to the construction phase, I'm hoping that the new management doesn't it perpetrate those acts when it comes to rightsizing. Because, I know the reality is that they losing profit by not filling those apartments or rightsizing them.

MR. GOUVEIA: So, Fiorentino Plaza is not about rightsizing. The issue at Fiorentino Plaza is that... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: No, it will... It will be, because the tenants who have actually been moved out of particular... out of Fiorentino Plaza have to return...

2.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: and there is a current issue, because what management is now trying to do, is they're trying to right size them on their return.

And that... I've asked that... I have expressed to management was a bad idea, that is something that should've been discussed prior to moving the tenants out. Because, now tenants.. They are going to be rightsizing and we are getting complaints already that there are particular tenants who they are trying to ,you know, they're putting a mother and a daughter in a one bedroom apartment.

So, these are things that we need NYCHA to pay attention to. And it hits back on the fact that a lack of oversight, that I've been saying, to mediate these situations that, to me, will lead to displacement under the RAD/PACT program - or any program you put in place, unless it stays Section 9.

MR. GOUVEIA: So, we are happy work with your office on some of these specific issues at Fiorentino. But, again, the Fiorentino Plaza issue is different. As you mentioned, that building - and set of buildings, was severely deteriorated and needs, as you said, a gut rehabilitation. So, we need to move the residents out in order to do the gut rehab. But,

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we will work with you on any specific issues or any concerns that residents may have.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Please, I am looking forward to it oversight.

To get back to the rental arrears and evictions, I had a couple of more rounds of questioning on that.

NYCHA is seeing a rise in the number of evictions filed. How many more filed in 2023-2024 year to date?

MS. BOVA-HIATT: So we have today had 110 evictions since 2022 - two in 2022; 58 in 2023; and 50 and 2024, as of as of March 7th.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I know it was mentioned earlier about the lack of recourses or social services that are being provided... or I think when Council Member Mealy had mentioned something about social services, there was kind of... there was a look on your faces... or it was said that NYCHA doesn't provide social services. When it comes to NYCHA's relationship with HRA and one shot deals to clear up arrears, what's the relationship like, or what is going on with that particular process?

MS. BOVA-HIATT: We've been working very closely with HRA around one shot deals. And we are actually household received one shot deals.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

NYCHA team to handle expedited one shot deals for our residents, uh, ensuring low income houses receive the support services to which they are entitled. And I can tell you in fiscal year 23 that 7,116

very, very thankful to them. They created a dedicated

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: How is NYCHA's legal department working with those who are working through the eviction process?

MS. BOVA-HIATT: So, our goal, and the law department's goal, is to keep people housed. So, we try to work with tenants - as do our operations staff or our housing assistance - to either connect them with one shot deals, other entities that provide rental assistance. You know, as a public housing authority, we have said repeatedly, and it evidenced by the fact that during the pandemic, I mentioned... Or prior to the pandemic, we had 3,400 cases pending, and we discontinued 31,000 of them.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: What percentage of tenants are on some sort of payment plan?

MS. BOVA-HIATT: We have tenants 520 active payment plans currently. But, we are working with individuals, and maybe Annika or Eva can speak to

this. Right now we are assigning ERAP payments to individuals. They're finding out what the balance is that they owe, because, as we know, ERAP did not cover all of the arrears, and then we're working with them with the balance that they do have to enter into a payment plan.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, is ERAP being used across the board or are you choosing particular tenants, or are you paying off a percentage of the arrears?

MS. BOVA-HIATT: So, we were only able to apply ERAP... he ERAP money we received to families and households that applied for ERAP through the state's portal. We also worked with tenants to try to actively get them to apply for ERAP, but the eligible households are the ones that did apply.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay.

I am going to move on to now capital projects and the monitor agreement.

The monitor agreement binds NYCHA to strict performance metrics that concern lead paint, mold, pest, waste, elevators, heat, and inspections. Is there a single place where the public can view progress against progress these metrics?

MS. BOVA-HIATT: So, I first want to start off by saying that we have a new Monitor - Jenner and Block, was chosen by HUD in the Southern District and the City to be NYCHA's monitor for the next five years. The names of the attorneys at Jenner and Block who are the new monitors are Neil Barofsky and Matt Cipolla. They started, uh, or their term started in February 28, 2024.

With this new monitorship, uh, the metrics that we worked very closely with HUD and the Southern District, and the monitor, will be included in their quarterly reports.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: What is the contract value of the new agreement with Jenner and Block? Who took over the monitors responsibility on March 1st?

MS. ANNIKA LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: So, NYCHA does not pay the monitorship. Uh, so, we do not review their invoices nor do we know their contract value.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, you... Okay, so, yeah...

And NYCHA has shared that its relying on external vendors as well as in-house capacity to meet the key pillar compliance areas such as mold, heating, and elevators what's the split between contracted and in-house spending on pilar areas?

MS. ANNIKA LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: So, that is a really good question. I can say that the operating contract budget across pillar areas is currently \$42 million. And just to give you a sense of the total operating funding across the pillar areas, it's \$370 million. So a portion of that \$42 million on the operating side is for contractors.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: All right, back to capital projects. NYCHA has a shared and only \$29 million of the \$147 million extraordinary maintenance for the properties was approved in to 2024 funding request. Why was this was this amount so low?

MS. ANNIKA LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: So, as we mentioned we received ,you know, over \$550 million worth of funding request. We, unfortunately, are not able to provide funding ,you know, enough to support all of those requests. So, what we try to do best as we could, was of course prioritize funding for the pillar areas. The properties already make up a significant portion of our funding. They are about \$3 billion of the \$4.5 or so billion budget.

So, as, we mentioned, where possible, we do add additional funding, but it is just not feasible for us to meet every single need across the Authority.

/

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And what types of emergency maintenance requests were rejected?

MS. ANNIKA LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: We do not have a specific list. We do not determine in that way. If something is truly an emergency, we fund that item as it arises.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Is NYCHA in conversation with the City, uh, about increasing this level of capital commitment to public housing?

MS. ANNIKA LESCOTT-MARTINEZ: Yes, uh, we continue to work with our city partners on additional funding. As we mentioned previously, in 2024, as of the January plan, there is \$1.5 billion in capital funding, uh, which we are working through in addition to the \$304 million in expense funding. So, we continue to advocate for our funding, but again, the city has been very generous (TIMER CHIMES) In the five-year plan, we have \$3.2 billion in capital funding if you include RAD/PACT, it is \$4.6 billion. So, it is pretty significant, and we are making end roads, as we mentioned, to increase our capital commitment rate.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: All right, thank you so much. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you to the folks from NYCHA. We will now move on to the public testimony.

For those wishing to testify in person, please see the Sergeant at Arms' desk at the back of the room, and fill out a testimony slip. Even if you have registered online, please still fill out a testimony slip with the sergeants.

For those wishing to testify on Zoom, after the in-person testimony, we will move to virtual testimony.

As a reminder, all testimony must be on topic. This hearing is being livestreamed and recorded. Witnesses may not use a recording device to film themselves or the proceedings while they are testifying.

All those either testifying either in person or virtually are encouraged to submit testimony at testimony@council.nyc.gov.

For those testifying in person, I will call your names, and if you can come up to the table: Ross Joy, Sean Campion, Renee Keitt, and Celines Miranda. If you can come up to the table? We can start on the... The timer will be set for two minutes.

./

MR. CAMPION: Thank you for the opportunity to testify, I am Sean Campion, Director of Housing and Economic Development Studies at Citizens Budget Commission. I have submitted our longer testimony, I just wanted to give a few highlights.

As we have heard today, NYCHA is the largest, most important source of deeply affordable housing for New York City. And while the ATS made some progress over the past year, it does face some persistent challenges, namely widening gap between its core operating revenue and expenses caused both by rising expenses and weakened rent collection, deteriorating physical conditions, and a clear and pressing need to execute on its plans to improve its management and operations... (CROSS-TALK)

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Just if you could move a little closer to the mic that'd be great.

MR. CAMPION: Sure, sorry.

Over the past year, NYCHA has made some progress, but lots of critical steps remain and should be taken to stabilize NYCHA's budget and improve physical conditions.

So, first on the Operating Budget outlook, the shortfall in NYCHA's public housing operations, the

2.2

gap between its expenses, and rent, and federal operating subsidy, it was about the same year over year. But, NYCHA remains reliant on subsidies and non-recurring revenue to fill about the \$790 million gap between expenses and core operating income - that is about 27% of its operating budget.

On the revenue side, obviously, rent revenue is more or less flat including back rent and one shots; federal operating subsidy is up by a \$1 million, and ERAP filled the gap, eventually allowing NYCHA to avoid drawing down on reserves.

But, on the expense side, expenses rose 3% per unit, to almost \$1,500 per unit per month, driven mostly labor - labor is up 7% year over year, and NYCHA failed to secure any savings or reforms to improve accountability of work rules, or reduce costs in its new collective bargaining agreements as well - that were negotiated by the City. And, as we heard today, it missed its OT goals as well.

Of course, NYCHA residents continue to live with some of the wort housing conditions in the city as evidenced by the HPS and the PNA ,you know, we have detail in our testimony, but close to a third of

units now are at the (TIMER CHIMES) point where it is just no longer cost effective to repairing.

We have recommendations that are listed in the written testimony as well.

I just want to highlight that the best way to address that need is through PACT and the Preservation Trust, which is the only way to deliver the recourses necessary to deliver the kind of comprehensive renovations that NYCHA tenants deserve to improve their quality of life and to preserve these units for future generations, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony.

MR. JOY: Good afternoon, Chair Banks, Council
Member Bottcher, and other esteemed members of the
Public Housing Committee. My name is Ross Joy, and I
am the Director of Housing and Civil Justice for the
Center of Court Innovation. I work from the Red Hook
Community Justice Center in Brooklyn and the Harlem
Community Justice Center on East 121st Street, thank
you for the opportunity to testify.

At the Center for Justice Innovation, we work to prevent evictions, respond to hazardous repairs, and increase tenant financial and legal empowerment.

Today I would highlight two particular issues that we have discussed before, that are currently facing NYCHA residents - the rising number of eviction threats and a deepening repair crisis.

During the pandemic, too many public housing tenants experiencing hardships could not obtain rent adjustments from their NYCHA property management office, and were otherwise discouraged from applying for New York State administered ERAP rental assistance.

Now, eviction filings are on the rise for rent dept accrued during the pandemic, with almost half of NYCHA households in arrears.

The Center for Justice Innovation is taking action with residents in arrears by completing past due annual lease recertification, filing rent grievances, applying for emergency cash assistance through HRA, the one shot deals, coaching on rent payments, and working with our right to counsel legal service partners to defend against eviction proceedings. Council funding supporting these initiatives are working. Since courts reopened in 2022, only two evictions out of a 140 court cases for

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 unpaid rent have occurred at the Red Hook Houses,
3 served by the Red Hook Community Justice Center.

We must acknowledge progress and further support NYCHA's law department as well as local property managers who problem solve with tenants, even those who have fallen behind, to remain housed. Many tenants; however, are rightfully protesting with their pocketbooks, withholding rent for uninhabitable conditions and utility outages. Despite federal efforts to bring about accountability, workorder reform is not working. Furthermore, this council has delegated housing maintenance code enforcement, the delegated agency (TIMER CHIMES) is HPD, and HPD continues to largely turn a blind eye to its enforcement duties of local laws on minimum repair standards that indeed do extend into public housing. Everyone in this room today knows of resilient residents and neighbors in NYCHA who fight for livability in their homes. Just last year alone, NYCHA residents, citywide, filed a total of 771 HP actions in the city's housing court seeking HPD inspections and a court order for repairs in their homes - of which, 218 of those cases were filed with

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2 assistance through the Red Hook and the Harlem
3 Community Justice Centers.

2.2

2.3

This council must instruct HPD to comply with the 2022 New York State law requiring, for the first time, open data publishing of tenant HP actions against NYCHA where housing maintenance code violations have been cited. Concerningly, HPD leadership has said they do not have the budget to implement this open data law.

The Center for Justice Innovation is increasing our support for tenant actions on these rent and repair issues in NYCHA. We urge the Council to ensure that the Center and the city agencies doing this essential work have the adequate funds in this year's budget. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony.

MS. KEITT: My name is Renee Keitt. The allocation of funding for NYCHA is indeed a critical issue and ensuring the recourses are directed towards Section 9 rather than PACT/RAD private developers is of significant importance.

Section 9 funding is essential for maintaining and improving public housing developments while preserving the land, thus safeguarding the interests

2.2

2.3

of residents and communities. PACT/RAD initiatives, on the other hand, involve the transfer of public housing properties to private entities through longterm leases, resulting in the loss of direct NYCHA and HUD oversight and control. While these initiatives may offer short-term financial incentives and tax breaks to developers, they can also raise concerns about long term affordability, resident displacement, and accountability. Moreover, public land is a valuable asset that should be managed reasonably to serve the needs of the community. Directing funds towards Section 9 ensures that they are used to benefit current and future generations of public housing residents.

Stakeholders and decision makers need to prioritize funding mechanisms that support the preservation and improvement of public housing under Section 9, while also exploring alternative strategies for addressing funding gaps and revitalizing NYCHA developments. By investing in Section 9 and maintaining public control over land assets, we can work towards ensuring the long term viability and sustainability of housing of all residents.

The lack of investment in NYCHA should considered an attempt at demolition by neglect, which refers to the practice of allowing a building to deteriorate.

Whenever the Chair speaks about the improvement, she speaks about the outside of the buildings — and not the inside where people live. Property owners sometimes intentionally neglect their buildings hoping it will lead to permission for demolition.

They may argue that the cost of repairing the deteriorated building in prohibitive and expensive, creating an economic hardship. Maintaining the character of an (TIMER CHIMES) neighborhood is essential, it adds to community cohesion. I also disagree... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: You may continue.

MS. KEITT: Mm-hmm? Continue? Okay.

The neglect of maintenance and repair not only compromises the quality of life for residents, but also undermines the long-term viability of public housing developments - as I said before.

Lastly, Council Member Bottcher just said \$3.5 million discretionary funding money is not needed - it is. We are worth it. We've earned it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Council Member Bottcher?

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Question for the Center for Justice Innovation. In your document, you said that courts are often hesitant to sanction NYCHA for failure to respond to court ordered inspections and complete repairs, because they are a government agency.

Could you elaborate on that?

MR. JOY: Yes, when a tenant who is living in uninhabitable conditions, and those conditions, what is unlawful is set by the City Council and codified under the Administrative Housing Maintenance Code, when those conditions are that bad, a tenant can go to court and file and make HPD a party to those cases, so the tenant isn't left alone to fight for the habitability of their apartment. HPD refuses to do any level of enforcement or even communicate with the Housing Authority, because they say they have a conflict of interest. Judges should be able, if a landlord does not do a repair, apply the first step of accountability, beyond a court order, is civil penalties. No judge in this city is issuing civil penalties against NYCHA under this belief that they are a city agency and cannot be held accountable.

•

There are certain judges that take an additional step into a contempt of court proceeding, but that is significant legal step in order to... And it is very rare, I can only count a handful of times that that has happened against the Housing Authority. So, what happens is, we just cycle - we cycle through disrepairs.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Just a quick question to the Center for Justice Innovation, how many evictions have you dealt with when it comes to RAD/PACT the conversions?

MR. JOY: We are fortunate to, at least at the Red Hook Community Justice Center, to not have RAD/PACT close to our neighborhood. There was one development close to The Wyckoff (sp?) Houses that we monitored very closely and did hear a lot about the right sizing. In East Harlem, there is one building that did go RAD/PACT, uh, East River Houses is going RAD/PACT, it sounds like in the near future. So, we are monitoring those cases. We do hear from tenants who want to file HP actions against the new RAD/PACT property owner, due to repairs, even after the conversion. We are in communication with NYCHA's law department when there are eviction concerns at

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2 RAD/PACT. I don't have a concrete number of how many we have... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you.

MR. JOY: Thank you

2.2

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, so much, guys, for your testimony. We will now go to the next panel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: So, I will call up Celina Miranda and Gregory J. Morris.

CELINE MIRANDA: Chris Banks, take a look at the survey that the Fulton-Elliot and Chelsea Houses took regarding the destruction of our homes. Only 30% of the Fulton and Elliott-Chelsea Houses eligible voters participated. You can call CHPC and request the results yourself. They will provide it for you. The title of this sheet says Fulton and Elliott-Chelsea PACT Survey Audit Results. This means that if only 30% participated in this... it's out of order. I'm so sorry...

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: It's okay, take your time.

CELINE MIRANDA: Can you come back to me?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay, you yield your time to

23 the gentleman... You can take... Thank you.

MR. MORRIS: Good afternoon, my name is Greg
Morris, I am the CEO of New York City Employment and

Training Consolation. That coalition is made up 220
organizations in New York City that focused on job
training opportunities. So, in other words, these are
the organizations in New York City that work in
partnership alignment with government entities, like,
the Workforce One Centers or the NYCHA REES projects
and others, to think about how it is that New Yorkers
find access to jobs - so everything from Brooklyn
Workforce Innovations, as an example, to Solar One,
which is focused on green tech. This organization
that I operate, New York City Employment Training
Coalition, focused on three things: Tracking the
value and investment of the Administration's
commitment to job readiness and training; it is
focused on being a resource to employer and employer
intermediaries; and it serves as an advocate for the
budgetary, legislative, and regulatory action items
that workforce development providers need in order to
ensure that more New Yorkers have access to job
readiness and training, career advancement skills,
and other pathways to success. I am here to say this,
of the half of million New Yorkers that our providers
work with every year, the top three categories of

2.2

service we provide, the populations we work with are women, young adults, and public housing residents.

I wanted to let you know, as I have been going from sort of committee to committee to say, this city does not track its outcomes related to the success of its job readiness commitments. And, so, I would ask this council to consider developing a task force or some other subcommittee that could focus on how it is that when the City makes an investment it ensures that it is reaching the equity and inclusivity that it talks about, so that more New Yorkers have pathways to economic security in a city that we both know is increasingly unaffordable.

Shoutout, by the way, to Council Member Bottcher, who supports the West Side Work Coalition, which is specifically (TIMER CHIMES) focused on residents of public housing.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Do you have any data when it comes to the hiring of folks within the RAD/PACT conversions? Uhm, because I know that it has been said that the management companies or the new developers that have taken over, they do not hire residents from those particular complexes. And I want to know if there is any data that... I guess it's

will match that or confirm that?

antidotal in a sense, but do you have any data that

MR. MORRIS: It is a great question that you are

asking. By virtue of anecdotal evidence, by virtue of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Yes.

the providers that we work with, I have not heard of those particular data resources. I have not heard of

development echo system in New York City that does

not think about outcomes, doesn't hold itself

that tracking. That is indicative of workforce

accountable for outcomes. And, by the way, just one additional note, talking to the folks at NYCHA REES,

public housing residents, when asked what they were

most interested in terms of services and supports

they were looking for, besides a safe and comfortable

housing environment, it was about job access, access

to good, good paying jobs. That is what we should be

tracking. And you have already highlighted one of the

places where we need to track those results.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you

MR. MORRIS: Thank you.

MS. MIRANDA: I will be starting over.

MS. MIRANDA: Chris Banks, take a look at the

survey that the Fulton-Elliot and Chelsea Houses took

regarding the destruction of our homes. Only 30% of the Fulton and Elliott-Chelsea Houses eligible voters participated. You can call CHPC and request the results yourself. They will provide it for you. The title of this sheet says Fulton and Elliott-Chelsea PACT Survey Audit Results.

This means that only 30% participated in this survey, regardless of their preference over demolition versus rehabilitation, basic simple math tells me that 70% of the FEC tenants do not want to be privatized. So, what Lisa Bova-Hiatt just said, that the majority of the FEC tenants what RAD/PACT, is a lie, or miss Chief Executive Officer of NYCHA does not know what she's talking about.

Lisa has spoken so much on our behalf, but I have never seen her on our campus having a conversation with the tenants. As a matter of fact, at the Eric Adams' breakfast event at the New York Law School, when I, a NYCHA tenant, spoke up to correct Eric Adams' misinformation and corrected him, that majority do not want demolition, in the room full of about 100 people, the only person that shouted to have me removed from the room was Lisa Bova-Hiatt — the one who cares so much of the well-being of NYCHA

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

tenants. Please, stop demolition - 70% of the FEC tenants do not want to be privatized. Demolishing our homes goes against the survey results. We are insisting, I'm begging you, please do not allow for this injustice to happen. We spoke - 70% is the majority, we do not want privatization, we surely do not want them (TIMER CHIMES) demolition. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now move to virtual testimony. If there is anyone else in the room who wants to testify in person, please see the sergeants in the back of the room and fill out a testimony slip.

For those on Zoom, I will call our name and you will get a prompt to be unmuted. The first person to testify will be Betty Bernhart.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

MS. BERNHART: Good afternoon, Chair Banks and city council members, thank you for allowing me to provide this testimony.

My name is Betty, I am a community outreach worker Red Hook Initiative and a resident of Red Hook Housing for 20 years.

2.2

2.3

0.4

My job at Red Hook Initiative is to support the resident with and any needs or concerns - I am an advocate for them.

Every day, I talk to residents and witness apartments - such as in the photos, which we have submitted as documentation, which would be crumbling ceilings, which causes severe flooding for weeks and months, electrical outages, dark hallways, widespread garbage issue, and much more - it harms the residents' health.

Red Hook Housing was constructed using federal funds during the Roosevelt Administration. We know that many of these funds are now dried up. If anyone can Red Hook, it is you. Red Hook requires funds to fix the interior gas line and risers, which frequently malfunction and caused several units to lose abilities to cook for many months.

Right now in Red Hook, there's 120 families without cooking gas, and it may not be restored until May. And in addition to gas line improvement, Red Housing also needs full upgrades of electrical wires. Red Hook is receiving upgrades for external buildings due to Sandy funds, but now needs upgrades inside the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

building as well. Many of the residents have exposed
wires, rusted boxes - the boxes are very old.

The improvement of Red Hook must include more work inside the apartments to address these issues.

NYCHA is at a critical moment, and we need funds now. We are calling all elected officials in demanding additional funds for NYCHA's capital and operating needs to address issues like this - that we are seeing at Red Hook.

Since it has been recently confirmed that NYCHA's grossly overspending... (CROSS-TALK)

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired...

MS. BERNHART: And contracts at NYCHA... Huh?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: You may continue, just wrap it up, we'll give you another minute, Ma'am.

MS. BERNHART: Yeah, I am almost done, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Another minute.

MS. BERNHART: Okay... over spending on

construction - NYCHA employees are stealing. We need to hold NYCHA accountable for how the money is spent. We urge that you act for NYCHA's residents to ensure

23 that budget allocations match the urgent needs at

24 public housing.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

25

Thank you for letting me speak.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MS. WILLIS: Hello, I am Tevina Willis, I am also a Red Hook resident, and I am a Community Organizing Manager at Red Hook Initiative.

What I would like to say is that NYCHA really needs a lot of oversight on its spending if they are going to be allocated any more funds. I am going to implore higherups at NYCHA to work close (BACKGROUND NOISE) with folks on the ground - the property managers, superintendents - and lean on the resident associations. We are a double development, so we have two management (INAUDIBLE) and we have two tier presidents. Lean on them so that we can really scrutinize and make sure that the work is being done. When repairs are done, the work is sloppy. The paint jobs... The paint that is being used is of poor quality and the walls start bubbling immediately after the work is done (INAUDIBLE) paint (INAUDIBLE) on the walls, the plastering is sloppy.

25

2 So, I understand that there is chain of command 3 at NYCHA, but it's not working. And if they are to receive any more funding, there has be closer 4 5 oversight. NYCHA will grossly overspend on equipment and supplies that are not being used properly, and 6 7 then pick the low ball or the vendors that are 8 giving... And pick the venders that have the lowest bids. The reason why someone is bidding low is because they are doing poor quality work. This is 10 11 something that needs to change. Why there are so many 12 long term issues and why NYCHA is in the state it is in, is because we have been getting what we have been 13 14 paying for, and the wrong money is being spent 15 elsewhere. So, if this does (BACKGROUND NOISE) 16 (INAUDIBLE) go through and these funds do pass, there 17 needs to be an over... a committee works closely on 18 the ground at each development. Sometimes I know we 19 like to rely on our colleagues and our subordinates, 20 but the line of communication is breaking down from 21 the development all the way up at the top, and we need to fix that. (TIMER CHIMES) And that is all I 2.2 2.3 have to say today, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Ma'am, for your testimony.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUST	NΩ	TΝ	ידוכ	$H \cap I$	PIIRT.TC	$\cap N$	COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Seeing no other witnesses on Zoom, (BACKGROUND NOISE) and no other witnesses in person, I will turn it back to the chair for closing statements.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you to everyone who came out to today's hearing. The role and the responsibilities of this committee is to continue to keep NYCHA accountable and demand transparency, and that is what we will continue to do.

This committee is adjourned, thank you so much.

(GAVEL SOUND) (GAVELING OUT)

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date March 30, 2024