CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

----- X

Friday, March 1, 2024

Start: 10:28 P.M. Recess: 1:57 P.M.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Hon. Diana I. Ayala, Chair

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alexa Avilés Chris Banks Tiffany Cabán Chi A. Ossé Lincoln Restler Kevin C. Riley Althea V. Stevens Sandra Ung

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Rivera, Hanif, and Brewer

APPEARANCES

Salif- Advocate, Representing Self Accompanied by translator

Boubacar- Advocate, Representing Self Accompanied by translator

Molly Wasow Park-Commissioner of The Department of Social Services

Molly Schaeffer-Interim Director of the New York City Mayor's Officer of Asylum Seeker Operations

Nicole Krishtul-Housing Organizer at New York City Comptroller's Office

Rev. Dr. Chloe Breyer-Executive Director at the Interfaith Center of New York (ICNY)

Madeleine Elfenbein-Jews for Racial and Economic Justice

Anthony Feliciano-Vice President for Advocacy at Housing Works

Christine Clarke-Chief of Litigation and Advocacy at Legal Services NYC

Alexandra Dougherty-Senior Staff Attorney and Policy Counsel Civil Justice Practice- Brooklyn Defender Services

Chris Mann-Assistant Vice President of Policy and Advocacy at WIN

Will Watts-Deputy Executive Director for Advocacy with the Coalition for the Homeless

Adam Roberts, Policy Director for the Community Housing Improvement Program (CHIP)

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Jose Perez- Representing Self Accompanied by Translator

Johan Velasquez- Representing Self Accompanied by Translator

Ann Fawcett Ambia-Bay Ridge Community Contributions for Floyed Bennett Field; Retired Public Interest Attorney

Christopher Leon Johnson- Representing Self

Towaki Komatsu- Representing Self

Ameya Biradavolu- Licensed Social Worker, Representing Malikah

2.2

SERGEANT LYNCH: This is a microphone check for the Committee on General Welfare - recorded in the Chambers on March 1, 2024, by Layla Lynch.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning, everybody, and welcome to the Committee on General Welfare. At this time we ask that you please place all electronic devices to vibrate or silent mode.

As a reminder, no one is permitted to approach the dais during the hearing. We appreciate your cooperation.

Chair, we are ready to begin.

Thank you, and good morning, everyone. Welcome to today's hearing; my name is Diane Ayala, and I am the Deputy Speaker of the New York City Council, and the Chair of the General Welfare Committee.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [GAVEL SOUND] [GAVELING IN]

Today we are holding an oversight hearing regarding the Department of Social Services

Manipulation of Monthly Eligibility Rate Reporting.

We will also be hearing two bills: The first will be Intro 210, sponsored by, Council Member Hanif, prohibiting the Department of Social Services, or any other city agency, from imposing length of shelter stay restrictions in a shelter of any type. The

second bill is Intro 349, sponsored by Council Member Nurse, would make clarifying edits to Local Law 34 of 2024 to indicate that required reports are to be submitted on a quarterly basis.

In January of this year, the Department of
Investigations issued a report detailing its findings
after conducting an investigation into allegations of
unlawful overnight stays and PATH Intake Centers in
the summer of 2022, and improper manipulation of the
publicly reported PATH eligibility rate from 2017 to
mid-2022. Among these findings, DOI indicated that
the Department of Homeless Services, under both Mayor
Eric Adams' administration and former Mayor de
Blasio's administration, had unreported right to
shelter violations, inadequate recordkeeping, and a
blatant manipulation of statistics.

In addition to violations being unreported, the DOI found that top homeless service officials had artificially lowered a publicly reported statistic, which ultimately painted the wrong picture of what shelter capacity needs are New York City. This could have resulted in longer wait times for families to qualify for housing vouchers and move into permanent

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

housing - further clogging up an overburdened shelter
system.

Council Member Hanif will be speaking about her bill, Intro 349. I want to underscore that rather than issuing a shelter stay limit for asylum seekers, the City should be finding ways to ensure that asylum seekers can live with dignity. This cruel policy is destabilizing to so many who are already struggling to navigate being here.

I look forward to hearing from the Administration and advocates today, and gathering feedback on this oversight topic - and on Intro 210.

At this time, I'd like to acknowledge my colleagues who were here today: Council Member Cabán, Council Member Ossé, Council Member Ung, Council Member Avilés, Council Member Rivera, Council Member Stevens, and Council Member Hanif.

I would also like to thank the committee staff, who worked hard to prepare this hearing, Aminta Kilawan, Senior Legislative Counsel; David Romero, Legislative Counsel; Caroline Strauss, Legal Extern; Julia Haramis, Unit Head; Phariha Rahman, Financial Analyst; Anne Driscoll, Data Analyst; and finally my staff, Elsie Encarnacion, Chief of Staff.

2.2

2.3

I also want to take this time to express my gratitude to our counsel, David Romero, who will be moving on from his time with the Council. David has been an invaluable asset to this body, while we are sad to see him go, we wish him the best in his future endeavors. We will really, really miss him.

I will now turn it over to our panel of impacted individuals, and I just really want to point out that I'm very disappointed that the Administration is not here to hear from these individuals, because that is the whole point - when we allow these panels to go first, it is really to give an opportunity for the Administration to hear from the people who are impacted by our policies and about the detrimental ways that these policies are impacting their lives.

With that, I will pass over to counsel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Good morning, everyone, my name is Aminta Kilawan, Senior Legislative Counsel to the Committee on General Welfare at the New York City Council. I will be moderating today's hearing and calling panelists to testify.

The first panel will be a public panel comprised of Salif and Boubacar, and this panel will require French interpretation.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 8						
2	BOUBACAR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
3	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
4	TRANSLATOR: Let me introduce myself, my name is						
5	Salif. I am here to talk about what is going on in						
6	centers with my friend sitting right next to me.						
7	BOUBACAR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
8	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
9	TRANSLATOR: We are going to need help with what						
10	is going on in the center. We have been there for 30						
11	days now. So, we are wondering basically what is						
12	going to be next step forward. How are (TIMER						
13	CHIMES) how is the Committee going to help us given						
14	our situation?						
15	BOUBACAR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
16	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
17	TRANSLATOR: So, what my friend is saying up until						
18	now, is that the conditions at the center is not						
19	decent at all. There is only two bathrooms for up to						
20	(SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
21	BOUBACAR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
22	TRANSLATOR: There is only two bathrooms for 80 to						
23	100 people. So, first, that is the first issue that						
24	his friend mentioned.						

BOUBACAR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: So, the food is actually the same every single day pretty much. What we eat in the morning is the same thing as we eat at night. It is just pretty much the same thing every single day.

SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

2.2

2.3

TRANSLATOR: So, this is interpreted, I need clarification, please. (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: Okay, so, what he is saying is that whenever you find a shelter that will give you...

When you find a shelter, it is only for 30 days. And after those 30 days, you have to apply again. And when you find yourself in that situation, you're not sure if you're going to be finding a shelter again after those 30 days.

SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: So, my friend and I are not just talking on our behalf, we are here talking on the behalf of all of the immigrants who could not make it today. So, we are not just talking about our condition, we are just trying to represent a lot of people who are in the same situation.

BOUBACAR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

2.2

2.3

SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: So, we mentioned the situation about food. Food is not the only worry that we have. Also, uh, beddings and where we sleep is not decent at all. There are a lot of people who have conditions, who are sick, and they're not taken care of properly.

SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: We are here to talk about conditions that you don't know, but we are here as immigrants to talk about those conditions.

SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: First of all, thank you for listening to us and giving us an opportunity to speak. We hope that, uh, we hope that this hearing will be profitable for us and for all of the immigrants that are outside. It is very cold outside, and, uh, 30 days in a shelter is not enough. As I previously mentioned, after those 30 days, we find ourselves in the same situation again. So, it is not just about food it is about an overall. So, thank you for listening to us, and we hope that in the coming days a solution, uh, you will... the Committee will be able to find a solution.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 11
2	BOUBACAR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
3	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
4	TRANSLATOR: So, first of all, uhm, as I
5	previously mentioned, the food is not decent at all.
6	We are given food that has been frozen for a very
7	long time, so the food is not decent. It is only
8	frozen food every day. And, also, I forgot about
9	underlining something, which is that when you don't
10	find After those 30 days, when you do not find a
11	shelter, you have to sleep in a church. And you can
12	only sleep in that church from midnight to 6:00 a.m.
13	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
14	TRANSLATOR: And whenever that happens, we only
15	sleep on the floor, there are no blankets or anything
16	like that, and it is like that every day.
17	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
18	TRANSLATOR: We thank you, and God Bless you all.
19	(APPLAUSE)
20	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I think we have some
21	questions.
22	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
23	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yes, come
24	Good morning, can you translate?

TRANSLATOR: Good morning.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 12
2	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I am Council Member Diana
3	Ayala.
4	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
5	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I would like to welcome you to
6	New York City.
7	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
8	BOTH: Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I think that you will find a
10	panel of individuals who welcome you to our city with
11	open arms.
12	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
13	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
14	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I would like to understand a
15	little bit more about your experiences in the shelter
16	setting here in New York. So, I want to ask a couple
17	of questions?
18	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
19	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
20	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I think the first thing I
21	think is important to understand is, how long have
22	you been here in New York City?
23	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
24	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 13						
2	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
3	TRANSLATOR: Five months.						
4	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Five months?						
5	TRANSLATOR: Five months.						
6	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.						
7	BOUBACAR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
8	TRANSLATOR: Six months for him, five months for						
9	him.						
10	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And in that time, how many						
11	shelters have you stayed in?						
12	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
13	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
14	TRANSLATOR: I have been in three to four						
15	centers Three to four shelters now.						
16	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
17	BOUBACAR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
18	TRANSLATOR: Three.						
19	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Three? And is						
20	TRANSLATOR: Three for him, four for him.						
21	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And is that as a result of the						
22	30 day rule?						
23	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
24	SALIF: Yes.						

TRANSLATOR: It is.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 14
2	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. While in shelter, have
3	you had the opportunity to talk to a social worker or
4	a case worker to plan out your to plan out a long
5	term plan for exit of shelter?
6	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
7	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
8	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
9	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
10	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
11	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
12	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE
13	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
14	TRANSLATOR: I There was an office somewhere in
15	(INAUDIBLE), and they gave me an address that would
16	help. And (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
17	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
18	TRANSLATOR: Nothing.
19	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So, he didn't speak to He
20	had They have not spoken to anyone?
21	BOUBACAR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
22	TRANSLATOR: I am going to need clarification. One
23	minute. (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
24	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

BOUBACAR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

2 TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

2.2

2.3

TRANSLATOR: From what I understand, they, uh, someone gave them a certain address. At that address there was some type of an office, and at that office, he, uh, he had some type of procedure, and he gave a lot of documents to explain his case and all of that.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And that happened one time?

TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: It only happened once.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, I am asking this question, because the City has argued that there is extensive case management that happens during the transition of folks. And, so, it is important for us to understand whether or not that this is happening and to what extent it is happening. Because, the idea is that during that process folks would be, uh, you know, there would be some sort of exit plan strategy. So, if somebody had a family member someplace else, then we would have a better understanding of that. If they are not meeting with someone regularly, then that is not in fact what is happening. And that is what I am trying to ascertain.

who are helping us in (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 17						
2	SALIF: One second One second (SPEAKING FOREIGN						
3	LANGUAGE)						
4	TRANSLATOR: So, apart from them, he was just						
5	underlining that apart from them, he has not seen						
6	proper help. He said these people that he just						
7	previously mentioned are the only ones who bring him						
8	clothes, who bring them food, or help him with any						
9	type of procedure. They are the only ones.						
10	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, so, once they exit the						
11	shelter, how long does it take before they are able						
12	to access another bed? So, hey're standing in line						
13	for how many days, how many hours?						
14	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
15	PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
16	TRANSLATOR: About four days. It takes about four						
17	days. Whenever we leave one shelter, it takes us						
18	about four days to find another shelter.						
19	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
20	TRANSLATOR: It actually depends on people and						
21	situations. Some people find another shelter very						
22	quickly. Some people, it takes them up to ten days to						
23	find a shelter.						

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Ten days?

24

25

TRANSLATOR: Ten days - some people.

TRANSLATOR: From the moment we leave the shelter, to the moment we find another shelter, we just sleep in different locations that are given to us, that are recommended to us by people who are basically running the shelters. But, in those locations, you can only sleep there and be there from 8:00 p.m. to, uh, it's 8:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m. that's it.

PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

24

25

TRANSLATOR: And after 6:00 a.m., you have to get out of those locations wherever they are, and then you have to just wait until 8:00 p.m. again.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So, they are just walking around?

22 TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: Some people are just, uh, collecting bottles to sell them back to make some money and to

2 also kill time. Me, a few times, I had English
3 courses.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Have there been times where you have been forced to sleep out on the street?

TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: It did happen, yes. And sometimes you just sleep somewhere, wherever you can, basically. It could be in a train, it could be anywhere. Or you just spend the night collecting bottles ,you know, just to find something to do during the night until morning.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Have there been times that they have gone without food or water throughout the day?

TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: Yeah, we are very used to that. It happens very often.

PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: But, many times we find people who are just very, uh, kind hearted and they just help you - give you food and help you.

that this directive is a failure.

TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

24

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 21							
2	COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: We want everyone housed.							
3	TRANSLATOR: Pardon me?							
4	COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: We want everyone housed.							
5	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)							
6	PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)							
7	TRANSLATOR: Thank you, we want the same.							
8	COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Where are you staying right							
9	now?							
10	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)							
11	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)							
12	TRANSLATOR: I am still in the shelter.							
13	PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)							
14	COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: You don't have to give me							
15	the address.							
16	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: We don't want the address or							
17	the name (CROSS-TALK)							
18	TRANSLATOR: So, after About the gentleman who							
19	is sitting right next to me (CROSS-TALK)							
20	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Is it in Manhattan?							
21	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE) Uh, he							
22	stayed in the shelter for about 30 days (CROSS-							
23	TALK)							
24	COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: So, you started a new cycle							
25	of 30 days?							

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 22						
2	TRANSLATOR: Well, he was in a shelter for 30						
3	days, and then they give him an address a						
4	recommendation for another shelter. It took him five						
5	days four to five days to find that other shelter.						
6	COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Find that other shelter?						
7	TRANSLATOR: Yes, and once he got to that other						
8	shelter, they told him that (SPEAKING FOREIGN						
9	LANGUAGE)						
10	SALIF: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						
11	TRANSLATOR: And about that other shelter that he						
12	was supposed to be, uhm, welcome, and, uh, he said						
13	they said to him over there that they did not have						
14	any space for him. So, basically, he is back to						
15	finding another shelter again as of now.						
16	CHAIRPERSON HANIF: So, the gentleman in the						
17	middle, is back to finding He is right now						
18	TRANSLATOR: Yes						
19	COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: in a precarious situation?						
20	TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)						

TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Looking for...

TRANSLATOR: Yes, he is looking for another 22

23 shelter at the moment... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: For another spot as we

speak... (CROSS-TALK)

21

24

TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: Yes, we have, we have access to

health care. We have health insurance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Okay, great. And, then,

2.3 what other services have you had access to?

TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

25 PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

situation happening. How has language access been

when you are at the shelters?

24

2.2

2.3

TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE) Just to make sure, what do you mean by language access?

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Have they been able to get... Are there translators there? Is the paperwork on their native language? What has that been like and that experience?

TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: So, he said, most times when we want to apply for something, we just apply over the phone. But, in some shelters, we have someone who translates and interprets for us.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Okay, good. So, I heard a couple of times, they kept saying they have to find another shelter, are there not... When the 30 days are up, are they not given another referral? Are they going back to the original... the intake center? I am just trying to figure out what that means when they are saying they are finding their own shelters. Are they getting support from other people? Because, I

PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: Four days, about four days.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: So, wait you... (CROSS-

25 TALK)

2.2

2.3

2 PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

2.2

2.3

TRANSLATOR: He says at that address, sometimes it takes four days, and actually that's a short period of time, it can take way longer than that, sometimes it is up to 10 days. So, basically, every single day they go to that address, and they just wait.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Oh, so, they will go and then they'll leave. And is that Saint Brigid's?

TRANSLATOR: Pardon me?

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Is this at Saint Brigid's?

TRANSLATOR: Yes, it is.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Okay. And just my last question, and even when they're in the shelter (TIMER CHIMES) I know one of them said that sometimes they will take English classes or language classes, are there other services like work readiness, job programs, and other language classes that are being offered while they are there so that they can start being assimilated?

TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: No, there are no... we're not offered anything like that. We just learned the language. We

only MetroCards that are given to us, are MetroCards

experienced, the other thing that I previously

mentioned, which is the bad food, bad beddings, and

24

PANEL: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

2	COMMITTEE	COUNSEL:	Thank	vou.	Thank	vou	so	much.
---	-----------	----------	-------	------	-------	-----	----	-------

TRANSLATOR: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yes, we are done with this panel, thank you so much.

TRANSLATOR: Thank you.

(APPLAUSE)

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Council Member Hanif, would you like to speak to your bill?

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Yes.

Good morning, thank you, Deputy Speaker Ayala, for including Intro 210 on today's hearing Agenda. This bill would prohibited the Administration from implementing caps on shelter stays for all New Yorkers experiencing homelessness, including asylum seekers. The implementation of the Mayor's 30 and 60 day rules has been nothing short of a human rights catastrophe. I'm proud to be advancing legislation that would put an end to these cruel and counterproductive policies, alongside 12 of my colleagues in the Council, the Public Advocate, and the Comptroller.

The Mayor brags that shelter evictions have reduced the number of people in the City's care,

1

3

4

5

6

1

8

10 11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ignoring the obvious question, where are the people who are forced out of the shelter system ending up?

Absent any comprehensive data from the Administration, let's try our best to answer. Many are in line outside Saint Brigid in the East Village, where they wait every day in the cold, for weeks on end, hoping a bed placement opens up. Of these folks, a percentage are spending their night in City-run barebones waiting rooms that lack even basic cots. But, ultimately many, if not most of them, are sleeping in the subways or the streets, an outcome that benefits nobody. Alternatively, some are resorting to dangerous and informal congregate living situations, like the one just discovered in a storefront in Queens. Those who are unwilling to endure the suffering the City is putting them through are being reticketed by Emergency Management to other cities without any coordination with their local governments. This effectively repeats the same dynamic that occurs when Texas Governor Greg Abbott buses people to Port Authority without warning, which Mayor Adams has been decrying for the last year and a half.

_

_ ¬

Families with children are being given new shelter assignments, but are destabilized by being shuffled around. Students who have built a sense of community in their schools are being pulled out of their classrooms. Progress that their parents have made on asylum, TPS, or work authorization applications, is stymied by the operational complications of an address change. It's tempting to say that this policy is pointless, but that would be incorrect, as the cruelty itself is the point.

A growing chorus of New Yorkers is seeing the harm created by the shelter evictions and calling on them to end. It was an honor to be joined earlier this morning by a broad-based coalition of faith leaders and advocates, including the Interfaith Center, JFREJ, New York Jewish Agenda, (INAUDIBLE), the Synagogue Coalition on the Refugee And Immigration Crisis, Malikah, The Working Families Party, New York Immigration Coalition, Vocal New York, Women in Need, SafetyNet Project, and Make the Road New York. I look forward to hearing their testimony later on.

I urge my colleagues to sponsor Intro 10 and to swiftly bring it up for a vote. Thank you, Chair.

Resources Administration or HRA, and Department of

Homeless Services DHS). I'm joined by Molly

24

Schaeffer, Director of the New York City Office of Asylum Seeker Operations.

DHS is the nation's largest and most comprehensive municipal shelter system for people and families e experiencing homelessness. Through our shelters and programs, both those directly operated and those operated in partnership with notfor profit partners, we support people through profoundly challenging moments in their lives. Our work to prevent homelessness, and our work to provide shelter to families and adults, makes a real difference in the lives of the people we proudly serve. It is our collective mission to provide support and opportunities for those experiencing homelessness to ultimately transition to safe and healthy permanent housing.

I open with this focus on mission to underscore what drives me, Mayor Adams, and this entire administration daily. Our focus on getting stuff done to support the lives in New York's most vulnerable communities is our ethos, our passion, our life's dedication.

I am before you today to discuss the findings of the DOI Report released in January of this year. As

2.2

2.3

the report concluded, the manipulation of the PATH eligibility rate was at the direction of the leadership of the past administration. Looking forward, rather than back, I'm committed to being as transparent as possible, and will work with the Council and other oversight bodies to maintain that standard.

I am equally determined to support the work of this great agency and the teams that work around the clock to support New Yorkers who have fallen on hard times and need our help.

I want to state clearly that this manipulation of data has not and will not happen under this administration, and I have the utmost confidence in Administrator Carter and the entire team at DHS.

Jocelyn Carter has dedicated her life and career to assisting the most vulnerable people in this city.

Her commitment to the mission of DHS has positively impacted the lives of thousands of New Yorkers, and this city is a better place under her leadership.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today.

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Before we start, I just wanted to take a moment to say that, in the midst of this humanitarian crisis, it's important to

be mindful of the individual people who have traveled to the United States to start new lives. Thank you to the brave people who spoke today and to the council members who invited them.

We're about to discuss the City's response to this unprecedented crisis and how we have managed and are managing it, which often means talking about things at a very high-level. But, when we talk about the 170,000 people that have come through the City's care - or the 64,000 that are currently in our care - this administration never loses site of the individual people and the lives impacted. Thank you again for sharing your stories.

Now I will start. Good morning, Chair Ayala and members of the General Welfare Committee. I am Molly Schaffer, Interim Director of the New York City Mayor's Office of Asylum Seeker Operations (OASO).

I'm happy to be here before you today to discuss the City's ongoing efforts to support migrants arriving in New York City and the negative impact proposed Intro 210 would have on the City's ability to effectively manage the asylum seeker response.

Since April 2022, New York City has led the nation's response to the migrant emergency -

providing immediate shelter, food, legal support, and other essential services to over 179,000 people. Our city has managed this emergency with compassion and decency. We have relied on experienced agency leaders and hundreds of public servants who have been supporting this effort— while also managing their day jobs.

Let's be clear, there was no playbook on how to respond to a global humanitarian crisis, but what we did and continue to do is what New Yorkers do best in times of crisis- we step up to help each other- and we find creative solutions.

For nearly two years, that is what New Yorkers and people all over the country and world have seen - New York City taking action. Using the limited resources and tools available to us, we've opened 216 emergency sites, and are currently serving over 64,000 people. And, importantly, no families with children have had to sleep on the street. I think that statement is sometimes overlooked. As Commissioner Park knows all too well, it normally takes years to open a traditional shelter. We stood up over 200 emergency sites and fully staffed and operationalize them in less than two years - that is

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

2.2

2.3

24

25

a significant feat. One of these sites is our arrival center - something I'm immensely proud of - which operates 24 hours, 7 days per week with comprehensive on site services.

Many partners, from all levels of government and around the world, have traveled to observe our operations with the intention of adopting our best practices. We are proud of the work we have accomplished, and we recognize that more needs to be done.

A national humanitarian crisis deserves a whole of government approach that addresses municipal governments alone cannot meet. We hear from people in our care every day, they want to work. We've been steadfast in our advocacy on work authorization to the federal government, and due to this advocacy, temporary protected status was extended to Venezuelans. While we appreciate the support we have received from our state and federal partners thus far, the work is not done. We need additional resources to keep pace with the daily influx of new arrivals into city emergency sites.

New York City has stepped up to support individuals and families arriving from the border,

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

2.3

24

25

and we know more can be achieved. We've seen inspiring examples of our core values: New Yorkers opening their hearts, donating book bags, clothing, and food to welcome our newest neighbors. New York City's future will continue to be built on our collective responsibility to help each other, regardless of immigration status.

After the expiration of Title 42 in May 2023, the City had to respond to the biggest surge of new arrivals into the City's care since the beginning of the current crisis - which hit a peak of 4,300 arrivals over a one week period. Given this historic influx and then the need to immediately bring on capacity, we pursued additional models to bolster our response, including establishing emergency respite sites and launching our upstate hotel program at about a dozen sites. Despite around the clock efforts to increase our sheltering capacity, it became clear that a pipeline of emergency sites was only one part of the equation. At the same time, cities like Denver and Chicago were stretched beyond capacity and implemented time limits on the length of stay at their shelters. Other cities like San Diego and El

new sites to accommodate the influx.

This was a hard decision based on careful

2

1

3

4

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

In July, we established a 60 day time limit on shelter stays for single adults and adult families.

Paso capped their accommodations and did not open any

consideration at the individual and policy level. In

September, this time limit for adults was reduced to

30 Days.

Faced with an increasing number of new arrivals, severe capacity constraints, and an understanding that the cavalry from the federal government was not coming, we needed to continue to prioritize beds for families with children. In January, we began to implement the 60 day policy for families with children. As someone who has been at the front lines of welcoming and supporting new arrivals since April 2022, this was not a decision made lightly. We paired these time limits with case management to support families in the development of their plans for how to adapt and chart course for their future. Case management teams engage with clients to identify the barriers that are preventing them from leaving shelter and then assisting with those goals.

Sherter time limits, parred with case management,
are policies designed to help more households achieve
self-sufficiency, find stable housing arrangements,
and leave the shelter system. The shelter notices
also allow us to accommodate new arrivals as people
discharge. Currently, about 24% of single adults and
adult families return seeking another placement - and
50% of families with children return and reapply.
These policies are working. More people are taking
that next step on their journey and moving out of
shelter. Thoughtful planning, coordination, and
engagement has gone into the implementation of these
policies - particularly with our colleagues at New
York City Public Schools. As we've publicly stated,
our goal is to minimize disruption to children's
education, and we remain focused on this goal. I want
to thank the New York City Public Schools for their
unwavering commitment to serving the nearly 36,000
students in temporary housing enrolled in our
schools.

The number of people in the City's emergency sites has steadily decreased from over 68,000 to under 65,000 in recent weeks - an indication that the City's intensive case management, legal services,

and reticketing initiatives are working. Our team works diligently every day to improve our operations and to support our clients working toward their American Dream.

I will now turn to Introduction 210 to share the Administration's position on this bill. To date, over 179,000 people have received assistance at the City's emergency sites, and we have centered our work with compassion, care, and respect.

We need this tool to carefully manage services, because we don't control the numbers of new people arriving into this city. Without this tool, we can't manage at all. We are at the whims of southern cities, governors, and border politics. This intervention is a common sense practice employed across the country by cities like Denver and Chicago. We've taken this support a step further by pairing time limits with case management. People want to work, they do not want to live or raise their families in emergency shelters in perpetuity. This is evident in the data I shared - about 24% of single adults and adult families and about 50% of families with children, return seeking another placement.

Individuals and families are moving on from what was

_

2.2

2.3

intended to be a temporary emergency based accommodation. This bill would restrict the City's ability to fund the work we are doing to identify their needs and support them. We strongly encourage the Council to work with us in service of the people in our care, and this bill does not achieve that.

As stated, we will continue to do all that we can to serve new arrivals in our care. For nearly two years, and with no end in sight, the City continues leading the response to a national emergency. Our limited levers and tools should not be hindered by bills that will disrupt the good work we are doing - the work that has been acknowledged by leaders around the nation, at the state and federal level, and around the world.

We look forward to continuing to work with the Council, and I welcome an opportunity for you to join me for a visit at one of our shelter sites, the Asylum Application Help Center, or arrival center to see our operations up close. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today, and I'm happy to answer any of your questions.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I just need a moment to compose myself. Before I... I want to just recognize

2 that we've also been joined by Council Member Brewer,

1

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 Council Member Restler, and Council Member Banks.

You know, often times when I am sitting on this side of the desk, I try to be really mindful that I have also been on that side. And I work really hard to be fair with folks who come before us, because I know that we are all doing a job, and we are trying to do that job to the best of our ability. And, so I don't want to shoot the messenger if you will. However, I think that today I am going to give myself permission to shoot the messenger. And that is because, there is absolutely no compassion, care, or respect in throwing people out on the street who have no place to go. And the idea that this is a policy that we should be supportive of is insane. That action alone has tainted the good work that has been done.

I recognize that a lot of good work has been done in a very difficult unprecedented situation. I have often said that publicly, I don't know how you have managed to be able to absorb, and place, and identify the number of sightings — it is a very difficult position that you have been put in. That is not disputable.

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

problematic.

But, this policy is inhumane and it's dangerous. It's only a matter of time before somebody gets hurt. We saw in the news in the last two days just one situation, which I am sure is likely the beginning of a longer conversation on more sightings that we hear about where migrants are huddled in spaces that are not suitable for housing - where a fire could break out and people could be seriously hurt.

We've seen people sleeping out on the streets, that is not compassionate or respectful, and it's not care. So, I refuse to lend credibility to that statement, because the devil is in the details. Even when we're housing folks - and I do visit, and I have... I'll speak about Wards Island. I have heard Wards Island, the City thought it was a good idea to put 3,000 people on top of each other. I didn't think that was a great idea. And the result of this is that you have 3,000 people that have nowhere to go, nothing to do, because they don't have working papers, they can't work, and they are not connected to resources even within the local community that they are being housed in. And, so, if they go outside it's problematic, if they stay inside it's

-

2.2

And anybody in this room, uh, I think would agree that even within your household when there are too many people, after a while, you know, people are quarreling and getting on each other's nerves. So, we're seeing that - to the point that I had a young migrant, 24 years old, murdered at Randalls Island.

Now, I'm not saying that everybody that comes in is a saint and that problems will not arise. I didn't hear that coming... I didn't hear anybody make a big deal of it in the Administration. And, I still haven't seen a single change in that shelter to ensure that it doesn't happen again. In fact, two weeks later, somebody else was slashed. There is inhumanity in that. The fact that people are eating food that is frozen, expired, moldy, or the same day in and out - day in and day out, is not respect, compassion, or care. I think it's important that you hear that. And I get it, you're doing a job. But, I need to do mine, and I need to be honest, and I need to be true to who I am - not only as a legislator, but as a human being.

And shame on you for not coming in to this room and just standing outside while those gentlemen shared their experiences. Because, that is the

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

way.

experience that you should be wanting to hear about, 2 3 so that you can make the necessary changes to ensure 4 that the unnecessary struggles that we're putting 5 them through are being addressed. And if you don't speak to the impact to that community, there is 6 7 absolutely no way that you are going be able to make those changes in the most efficient and effective

I'm sure there will be no questions... I have no questions, you know, to be honest on this. I will let Council Member Hanif address that.

We are also here to really speak on a very serious issue on findings on the DOI investigation that concluded that there had been some manipulation of data in regards the eligibility of folks at the PATH center. So, I will ask a few questions on that, and then I will defer to my colleagues.

But, actually, ,you know what, I want to just very quickly, I am going to let Council Member Carlina Rivera ask a question, because I know that she has to leave.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you so much, Madam Chair, and for your opening words, which I agree with wholeheartedly.

It has been very... if you were not here for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

their life.

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

So, I have a few questions related to this. I'm

going to ask them all at once for time. As my mother would say, it's okay to take out a pen and write them

those first few minutes and hearing that testimony, I encourage you to just go back in and watch it, please. It was incredibly moving, and they have a very, very simple ask, which is they'd like to start

center in New York City, Saint Brigid, which New York

So, my district is home to the only reticketing

Magazine called the, "The Longest line in New York".

This site is the center of the chaos caused by the

Mayor's 30 to 60 day shelter limit rule. Individuals

seeking asylum don't know where they're going to

sleep, and they have had to deal with freezing, cold,

and rain - and as was mentioned by the by the panel

before this one, whether it's four days, 10 days, 15,

you can hear these stories over and over again. And

while people are looking or waiting for a cot, a bed

somewhere, they either are sleeping on the floor in

some sort of facility or they're sleeping in the

street or on the subway.

25

down.

How many people have been asked to leave stable shelter placement due to this rule? How many people have reapplied? How many of those people have actually been placed in a bed? What is the current waitlist for a bed? How many individuals do you estimate are sleeping on the street or in the subways? Wouldn't it be safer to have people sleeping in shelters rather than crammed in commercial basements? And do you track how many people have been placed in a bed and then have cycled through reapplication again? Thank you.

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, I'm going to take those one at a time.

I think just high-level, as you all know, we have served more than 178,000 asylum seekers. At this point we have given 55,200 notices to single adults and adult families - 24% are currently in our shelter system. So they have reapplied, and they are currently in our shelter system.

We have said many times, we are out of space. We allow people to, when they are reapplying, they come to Saint Brigid, and they are given the opportunity to join the waitlist, and at that point, we do

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

everything we can to get them in the next available bed.

I'll turn it over to my colleague for anything on the street homelessness.

COMMISSIONER PARK: So, as you know, Department of Homeless Services does 24/7 outreach to clients experiencing unsheltered homelessness. This is separate and apart from the asylum seeker crisis, it's something we've done from many years, and have increased in the Adams administration. We agree that we absolutely do not want people on the street. Our outreach workers, our annual count of people experiencing homelessness happened, the Hope Count, which is the gold standard of data, that happened at the end of January. The data collection is still under review. The analysis is under review, so I will have to hold onto specific quantitative numbers on people in any relationship between street... unsheltered homelessness and people experience.... the asylum seekers. But, I will say anecdotally, from what we hear from our outreach teams, there is limited impact at this point on unsheltered homelessness related to asylum seekers. That doesn't mean none, but limited - that again is anecdotal

)

information, and we will certainly be looking at the Hope Count data when it comes in.

You know, I think with respect to some of the Saint Brigid and the reticketing, you know, we are certainly aware that people have spent time outside either overnight or lining up early in the morning.

People do have a waitlist number. We are community... and speaking as a member of the Administration,

Department of Social Services is not directly involved in this site, but I can certainly assure you that we use those waitlist numbers, we communicate with clients. People do not need to be spending time outside. Keeping people safe and indoors is a priority, (TIMER CHIMES) and that's why we have the waiting room facilities that the Director Schaeffer mentioned.

a number that's like 17,000... 5,000 are those individual... Are you... When they leave the shelter system, are you... You say only 24% have reapplied, but are you tracking where they're going, how many actual people are being placed? I mean, you have a 179,000 people that have come through the city, 55,000 notices recently, but only 24% have reapplied.

2	I mean, it's a disparity. And, so, we are trying to
3	figure out where these individuals are going. And,
4	then we present actual people who are going through
5	it earlier today, and yet, I'm not sure where the
6	disconnect is happening between listening to the
7	stories, and then really understanding where these
8	individuals are going. They're crammed in commercial
9	basements, they're in the subway, they're sleeping in
10	Tompkins Square Park across the street - hoping,

hoping that they get called, but they're not.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, how are you all dealing with that, with those disparities in numbers, and the number of people that we actually see going through it - predominantly Black and brown people? Thank you, Madam Chair, for the time.

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, we want to make sure that everyone has a safe place to be overnight. So, we have our waiting rooms where people can be overnight. If there is unsafe places where people are staying, you know, our system did its job. The last couple days when we noticed unsafe places where people were staying, our FDNY DOB got involved immediately, and we were... and we connected people to either Saint Brigid or to the arrival center -

2.2

2.3

depending on where they were, to make sure that they
had a safe place to go indoors.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: All right, thank you. Again, I don't want to take away from the DOI Report, because it is equally important in our conversation today.

So, I want to just ask a few questions on that, and then I will yield to my colleagues.

Regarding the, uh, the investigation, is there any data on the true eligibility rate that was saved anywhere? And, if so, has an analysis been done to understand how much the rate was altered?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Thank you, Council Member.

Let me answer that question by taking a step back and talking a little bit about what happened. Families with children as... after they apply at PATH are placed in a conditional placement. So, from the moment that you apply at PATH, while the eligibility investigation is ongoing, families are in shelters.

Those conditional placements are not specialized sites or anything like that. It is a shelter unit, like any other shelter unit. What happened was that in order to keep a relatively flat eligibility rate, the date of determination was altered - it appears by a few days. We cannot necessarily go back and

1

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

recreate it on... for any given day, but , you know, if I might have been found eligible on a Monday, I was instead found eligible on a Wednesday.

I want to make it very clear, absolutely everybody who should have been found eligible was found eligible. And while those eligibility determinations were underway, people were in shelter. So, there was no question of people being inappropriately denied access to shelter. It was a question on what day that eligibility determination was made in order to keep a relatively flat eligibility rate. So, overall exactly the same number of people were eligible for shelter. What changed was the day to day rate.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Before I respond to that, I forgot to acknowledge that we have also been joined by PS 62, up in the balcony, hi, guys! Uh, from Council Member Schulman's district. Welcome to City Hall!

So, if in fact, there's a person who was not found eligible on Monday, and... but, they were eligible on Wednesday and they were placed, then why go through the trouble of playing with the numbers?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Unfortunately, Council Member, I can't speculate why the previous administration chose to do what it did. I can acknowledge that it happened, I acknowledge that it should not have happened. I value transparency, and I am committed to making sure that nothing like this happens again.

But, at the end of the day the impact was relatively marginal, because people were in shelter, and they were all... anybody who needed to be found eligible was found eligible.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Inevitably, but we do get... I mean, I wonder if there's a correlation between the calls that we get us as members of the Council from our constituents who are telling us that they continue to be found ineligible time and time again, and that they, you know, the process is extended beyond the amount of time that they should have.

So, you know, I think it leaves people very nervous about their housing situation when they don't have that level of permanency. Like, they don't have that commitment, right? That you will be housed indefinitely until we find you some place to stay.

COMMISSIONER PARK: So, we have made a number of changes recently at PATH to make a more customer-

eligibility determination.

2.2

2.3

focused experience. So, prior to COVID, if you were ineligible and you wanted to reapply, because families are entitled to reapply, it had to be done in person. What we have done more recently is that that first reapplication can be done over the phone. If there does need to be a subsequent reapplication, we do ask that families come in to PATH because, we want them to be able to connect with a person and to resolve the issues that are inhibiting their

We've also expanded the number of appointments that somebody has with an eligibility reviewer to make sure that there is ample opportunity to get DHS staff the information that they need so that we can reach that conclusion. We have added mediation to the process, so that when we are working to determine whether or not somebody does have another housing option, that is something that we are focused on to see if that... a previous housing option is available to them. We can find that mediation can sometimes be helpful, but if mediation is not helpful, if the primary tenant says no, Molly, can't come back, then that is certainly something that we accept.

_

2.2

O 4

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: The DOI Reported stated that eligibility rate data was being used... is used for budget forecasting and planning shelter capacity. Can you explain how exactly the rate was used for planning during the period when it was being manipulated?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Eligibility data is one data point that we might look at as we are doing capacity planning. But as I noted, people who are pending eligibility review are in shelter. And we need to plan for how many people in conditional placements that we are serving as well as how many people have been deemed eligible. So, at the end of the day, far more important for our long-term capacity planning, is how many applications we're getting, and how large the census is - both of which were unchanged by this practice.

In addition, we generally look at longer-term time horizons, rather than daily or monthly data for doing long-term projections just because we find it's more reliable.

So, while we... I absolutely do look at shelter eligibility, I do think it could be a trend and a leading indicator about what might be going on in the

housing market, in terms of our capacity planning this was not a driving point.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: What analysis, if any, has been conducted on the impact of the eligibility rate being manipulated on shelter capacity or budgeting?

am really grateful to DOI for thorough investigation that they did. I think their report is very informative, and it is something that we have reviewed very closely. Their finding was that the... the impact was relatively marginal, that because families were in conditional placements while this was going on, so that ,you know, from a... on a day to day impact, there was little effect on the families experiencing homelessness.

The place where there was potential impact, and DOI laid this out in their report, was the point and time when a family might have become eligible for a CityFHEPS voucher. But, what was not called out in the report, unfortunately, is the state of the New York City housing market, which I am not saying is surprising, to say it's a very tight housing market. We currently have more than 10,000 households in the shelter system who have a CityFHEPS voucher, who are

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

searching and looking for housing. On average, a family from the point and time that they receive what we call their shopping letter with CityFHEPS until they actually move out, it's about 200 days, because finding and apartment is really challenging.

So, while on the margins this may have delayed the point and time that somebody got a CityFHEPS voucher by a couple of days, the impact on moveouts, I don't ,you know, every day matters, but it is not a matter of days in what is a multi-month period.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I mean, for me it is more of an, uh, I think that the manipulation did not really necessarily benefit DHS but rather the Administration. Because, it gave the impression that the number of folks that were in need of shelter was significantly lower than the actual number of folks in shelter.

COMMISSIONER PARK: So, it shouldn't have happened. I do not support, you know changing data like this, and it will not.... It is not happening now, and it will not happen again. I want to be very clear about that.

But, what we are seeing... Right now, we are seeing fairly significant swings in eligibility rate,

24

25

happen again.

depending on whether the bulk of the people who came
in in a given week were asylum seekers who really by
definition don't have a two-year housing history that
we look at - or whether it's people where we're doing
a more in depth investigation. But, the average is
about is similar to what the average was during
this time period. So, although there's more
variability, but we're not talking about significant
changes in overall eligibility, because what was
going on, was changes on day-to-day patterns, but
not nobody was found in eligible inappropriately.
CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I mean, that's good news, but
I think that ,you know, it doesn't take away from the
fact that ,you know, there was a picture was being
painted that was inaccurate. And I hate when we have
quotas and numbers attached to the work that we do,
because it does encourage the type of behavior,
unfortunately, especially in politics, and it is just
inappropriate. And obviously, it doesn't help us in
our oversight ability or responsibility.
COMMISSIONER PARK: I agree with your, Council

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I hope not.

2.2

2.3

In the DOI conducted investigation into issues involving the City's PATH Center, there was a response to finding weaknesses in policies and procedures at PATH. Intake censors (INAUDIBLE) tracking the entry and exit of families taking shelter. DOI recommended DSS create a process for documenting family arrival/departure times at PATH. DOI also recommended that DSS maintain structured written requirements for complying with the 10-to-4 rule in Section 21-313, including procedures for reporting violations.

Do you plan on implementing DOI's recommendations to strengthening the procedures at PATH related to tracking entry and exit of families seeking shelter?

And if yes, when?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Yes, we do plan on implementing the recommendations. We are in the process right now of working through the technological system development that they recommended. We anticipate that we will have some pieces of that rolled out the spring; although, the complete system that they recommend will take some time - we want to make sure that we get it right. We

will... we will absolutely do policy and procedure documents that go with that and train staff fully

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Do we know our process will be developed for documenting, uhm, the arrival and departure times?

COMMISSIONER PARK: So, we're doing initial policy and procedures right now, and then as we build this technology system to do it, we will certainly have to revise.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.

DOI also recommended that DSS track families who choose intermittently leave PATH to attend to other needs. Will this recommendation be implemented?

COMMISSIONER PARK: So, this one is a place where it's a little bit challenging, because DOI's recommendation and families actual behavior doesn't entirely line up. Right? Their recommendation assumes the family always moves as a unit. In fact, if you have two adults ,you know, one adult may stay in the building, the other adult leaves the building. Right? So, it is a little bit more complicated than what they recommended, and we do need to make sure that we are taking into account the full breadth of human behavior. As we are putting together our system, that

2 is the kind of thing that we are thinking through
3 right now.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Are you thinking... are you having conversations with DOI about the complexities of that to get some guidance on what would be acceptable?

COMMISSIONER PARK: We are certainly following up with them about our compliance with their recommendations, yes.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I mean, I just keep thinking about when I go in to the DMV, you know, they give me that ticket that has the time that I got there, and then when ,you know, as I am moving along, they're tracking every, you know, if I went to the bathroom, if I went outside to get something to drink. It doesn't seem like, in 2024, that it would be that difficult.

COMMISSIONER PARK: I think that is the general structure that we are going with. And I say, will have pieces of that in the spring. The slight difference between moving through the DMV, which you typically do as an individual versus moving as a family, where people may be making different decisions about where they are in the building, we

,

2.3

just need to make sure that we can accommodate all of those nuances. But, yes, we are working on it.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.

DOI recommended that tracking families through
PATH be fully automated by using scanners to track
those families through PATH rather than rely on
handwritten input. I just... I am always surprised at
how outdated the system is at DSS. You really... We
need to invest a little bit more efficiently in your
agency to modernize you and bring you up to code.

But, is that something that is going to... so, I am assuming that's something that's being...

COMMISSIONER PARK: We are in the process of system development now. I would absolutely love to do even more systems modernization across DSS. It is something that we are looking at across the board and managing our budget there.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.

And the DOI recommended that there be an automated process for notifying PATH leadership Housing Emergency Referral Options Unit management and DHS, DSS leadership at 4:00 a.m. each morning of the number of families who arrived at PATH at/or at 10:00 p.m. and who remain at PATH, and if the number

is greater than zero, the current shelter assignment status of each family.

Will this be implemented?

2.2

2.3

commissioner park: So, we are certainly working on how that tracking gets built into the overall system development that we are doing. I think we want to make sure that there is opportunity for quality assurance in that as well. But, absolutely, part of what we are doing is making sure that staff are fully trained with the tools that we have now, and then the tools that we ultimately build on what escalation looks like should there ever be another incident.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So, those tracking mechanisms that were found... that were not in place, they're still not in place, so how are you tracking them now? What is different from what you are doing now than what was being done then.

COMMISSIONER PARK: So, we have some level of tracking system now. You know, people do get a ticket when they come in, and it is... It is a more limited number of points through the journey. So, we are fleshing that out. We are building it. But, the process of who is in the building at four o'clock in the morning is fairly manual. It does depend on

2.3

2.2

talking to people. So, I think with the more evolved system that you and I just spoke about, that we will be able to strengthen that significantly.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Would that eliminate the burden of human person that's actually now manually submitting that documentation from having to do that.

Because, I am just... Yeah...

COMMISSIONER PARK: I am speculating a little bit here, because it is a system that is still in its ,you know, design requirement stage, but certainly using technology to support staff, rather than make their lives more complicated, is something that we always look to do.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And there will be training?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Of course, yes.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, has there been any training... retraining now?

COMMISSIONER PARK: We have certainly... Yes, we have done retraining to make sure that people are fully up to date on existing policies and procedures, and reinforced escalation strategies, uh, approaches, if there is an incident. You know, frankly, the fact that there was some question about the escalation policies is some ways a reflection of positive news,

2	because this hadn't happened in the past. Right?
3	There weren't staff who had been through this before.
4	But, in an unprecedented emergency, and, really, I do
5	want to take us back to what was going on at PATH in
6	July of 2022, at that point - this was before the
7	response to the asylum seekers had become the whole
8	of government effort, so really DHS was it. We were
9	on the frontlines, buses were dropping people off on
10	random street corners. Hundreds of families were
11	walking in. We were getting double or more than
12	double our number of clients. And sort of adding to
13	that and really creating a perfect storm, in that
14	week in July when this was when we missed the
15	standard when families stayed past 4:00 a.m., we were
16	doing upgrades to CARES, which our system of record.
17	So, it was mandated security upgrades that were
18	really important to happen, but it meant that
19	placement work that normally gets done on an
20	automated basis was getting done on paper and by
21	phone call. So, you really had a perfect storm going
22	on. And I am so grateful to the frontline staff who
23	actually really did manage that perfect storm and

were able to serve families in that environment. But,

COMMISSIONER PARK: No, unfortunately, I don't.

There are a number of reasons why somebody's

certainly, we are reinforcing what needs to happen if there is another need for escalation.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So, you said that there's training, that there has been training, has... is there an audit process that has been put in place to ensure that the training is working and to catch deficiencies in a timely manner?

COMMISSIONER PARK: So, what we're doing is that we are moving this particular... We are moving data points and data management away from some of the frontline staff and moving into our centralized data management team at DSS. They are reviewing methodology and walking methodology for how we collect data. They will... This is going to provide some additional checks and balances. And then they will be reviewing data on regular basis.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Perfect.

Do we know... I don't think that I saw in the DOI Report, there was no clear way of... Because the record keeping was not great of determining how many families were impacted by the changing of the numbers. Do you have an idea?

2	eligibility determination could be put on hold. Some
3	of which are Many of which are very valid. If a
4	family is getting the last piece of information that
5	we need, we don't want to find them ineligible if we
6	know they might have it in a couple of days. We will
7	hold their determination, so that they don't have to
8	start over from scratch. That is actually a very
9	valid reason for putting a hold on an eligibility
10	determination, and I cannot retroactively separate
11	the two.
12	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Understood.
13	What are the requirements for reporting
14	violations to City leadership?
15	COMMISSIONER PARKS: Just to clarify , Council
16	Member, you're talking about the 10-4 standard?
17	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Mm-hmm.
18	COMMISSIONER PARKS: So, frankly, this is an
19	exceedingly rare occasion or occurrence, and the
20	protocol is that staff on the ground need to pick up
21	the phone and call leadership immediately.
22	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Is that happening?
23	COMMISSIONER PARKS: It has not happened, because
24	we have not had any violations, but, yes, it will

happen if necessary.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 71
2	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So, we haven't Okay, so
3	the next question was going to be, how many
4	violations have been reported since the
5	investigation? Is that Are you saying that number
6	is zero?
7	COMMISSIONER PARKS: I will confirm that there
8	might have been one. I will note that right now, the

standard operating procedure has been paused as per Executive Order, given the asylum emergency.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And DOI also investigate a separate allegation that DHS has manipulated eligibility data from June 2017 to 2022? They concluded that these efforts to downplay the eligibility rate made the homeless crisis appear less severe.

How was the PATH monthly eligibility rate calculated from 2017 to mid-2022?

COMMISSIONER PARKS: So, the eligibility rate calculation is the number of people who are found eligible divided by the number of people for whom any form of determination was made - eligible or not eligible. That is still the way that calculation happens. What was going on in the prior administration was that on any given day, the number

_

J

of people who were found eligible was changed. So, maybe there would have been... And these are hypothetical numbers...

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Mm-hmm

COMMISSIONER PARK: I just want to be clear about that. Maybe 15 people - 15 families, uh, could have been made eligible that day. In order to maintain a steady eligibility rate, five of those were held. They were found eligible the next day. So, the calculation did not change, it was the date of the eligibility determination. As I noted, during that time period, families were in conditional placements, nobody was denied shelter, and the total number of people found eligible for shelter, did not change. It was the date of the eligibility. That doesn't make it right. We should not change data. We need more transparency. But, I do want to just be clear about the impacts.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I appreciate that.

With DHS's family shelter population being at record highs, it is important for DHS to maintain accurate information about the circumstances at PATH.

DOI recommended that an internal audit process should be implemented to ensure that the data made public by

_	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 73
2	DHS is accurate and truthful. So, you said that ,you
3	know, you would implement that. I believe you have
4	implemented that. When did you When was this
5	policy implemented?
6	COMMISSIONER PARK: We are in the process right
7	now of implementing our auto procedures. We received
8	this report at the in January, so we are
9	operationalizing now.
10	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I am sure that you heard
11	rumors before January that this was an issue. So,
12	there was no audit process put in place?
13	COMMISSIONER PARK: We are working to make sure
14	that we have careful procedures that comply with the
15	DOI investigation. We are doing that now.
16	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.
17	And what has the PATH eligibility rate been since
18	the investigation on a monthly basis?
19	COMMISSIONER PARK: So, right now we are seeing
20	quite significant swings in eligibility rate,
21	anywhere from about 35% to about 60%. That is quite
22	unusual. It is really a reflection of our work as
23	part of the asylum seeker response. When we are
24	making significant numbers of determinations on

families... on asylum seeker families, because really

2.2

2.3

the historic.

almost by definition, they don't have a two year housing history, they are highly likely to be eligible. So, you might see the... some of the spikes in eligibility rate, uhm, when we are dealing with a more traditional client base, uhm, it... the rate becomes something that looks a little bit more like

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I appreciate that. I will leave any further questions to my colleagues, but I wanted to ask three questions to - I believe it will be Miss Shaeffer.

On January 6th, as I mentioned before, a young man was murdered at Randalls Island. Since this event, what measures have been taken to ensure the security of people residing at Randalls Island and other emergency shelters?

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Thank you for that question.

We take the safety and security of everyone in our shelters as the utmost priority. We have worked to ensure that we have NYPD presence at Randalls, and that we have added security cameras. And at all of our sites, we have done a comprehensive security analysis to make sure that we have everything we need

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

to ensure that our... the folks in our sites are safe.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Do you know what the staffing ratios at HERCS are?

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: I'd have to get back to you on that.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, and that would include... I would specifically like to know case managers and security. Because, my understanding is that at Randalls Island there are 50 security officers for 3,000 individuals.

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: We have spent a lot of time reviewing the different security ratios and other staffing ratios to ensure that it makes sense for the sites that we have. And I am happy to follow up specifically on Randalls.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. There have been further instances of violence in migrant shelters across the city, including another situation at Randalls where a security guard was assaulted, and a stabbing of a migrant at Lincoln Center. Actually, the security guard was assaulted, but then the security guard, I just want to clarify, slashed a migrant in the neck, I believe it was. And, then we had the... the issue

Administration doing to keep shelter residents and staff safe at all City shelter sites - specifically, because I continuously heard the Administration say that there are... they are looking in to maybe possibly putting in metal detectors and cameras, and looking at their security... the current security structure. But, there has been no change that has resulted from those statements, so I am curious to see ,you know, to hear what has changed and what is the plan moving forward?

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, shelter

management is an iterative process, we're constantly
looking at doing our operations better. As mentioned,
we are going through a process or we have gone
through a process to look at all the security at our
sites and see where tools like metal detectors, tools
like cameras are best deployed. And...

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: The reason I am asking the question is, because after the (INAUDIBLE) who is the young man who was murdered there... By the way, that happened January 7th, I believe - 6th or 7th?

He's... his body is getting home today. It took us a lot of effort to make sure that we got him home to

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

his mom, because the City didn't even call or offer words of condolences or make any effort to help ensure that that the family got the body, that it was transported back to his native country. But, after the (INAUDIBLE) was stabbed and killed there, there was no urgency. There still to date hasn't been any level of urgency to make any changes to the security structure there. And in fact, one of the news outlets was out there a few weeks after the second slashing, and they saw that there were migrants that were selling food. Right? And in the preparing of the food, they had access knives. Which, you know, it... I'm not assuming that they had those knife for... with bad intentions, but the fact that they were knives in the facility that were coming in and out after something so tragic had already occurred, and then was almost repeated two weeks after, and still no change has been made. It gives me the impression that there is no intention of making any additional changes to the security structure there. And that worries me, one, because I find it as a disregard to this young man's life, but, two, the fact that there are people there that may be feeling really unsafe is troublesome. And I haven't... again, I haven't seen

2.2

2.3

any effort to change the security structure. When you put 3,000 people in one... in any space, it doesn't matter whether they're migrants, whether they are children, whether they are... whoever they are, there is going to be conflict. We need to be prepared that before we put the 3,000 people there. Fifty guards... and I heard it through good authority, that that's what the number is, it's not sufficient. And the fact that there hasn't been an effort to ensure that no weapons are coming in since then, I need an explanation for that.

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Thank you for your concern. I'm also happy to sit down with you to specifically go over Randalls, but I will say there is absolute urgency on making sure that Randalls is a safe as possible. You mentioned 3,000 people in any site is it not something we've wanted to do - ever.

I want to take a step back and remind folks that we are municipal government dealing with a national crisis. While you may disagree with a lot of... or some of our policies, you can't say we haven't led with care and compassion. I just want to kind of also mention that, because we have tripled our shelter system in the span of two years. In two years we've

tripled our shelter system, and we've provided TPS, work authorization, asylum. We've helped connect people to apartments. We've helped connect people to jobs, but we need more help so that we don't have to create sites that are 3,000 large. We need help from the federal government. We need them to help coordinate where people are going. We need them to give us more resources. We continue to iterate. We continue to have urgency around the safety of people in our care, but we can't do this alone.

COMMISSIONER PARK: If I could add in here, and I'm preaching to the choir a little bit, because the council members who are here represent some really terrific partners, but finding locations for shelters has been tremendously challenging. I think there's large scale agreement that bigger sites are hard to operate, but finding sites for smaller shelters is also something that is challenging. In community after community, this is true - before the asylum seeker crisis, but it continues to be true, ,you know, DHS gets push back. We want to be providing the highest quality sites that we possibly can. We want to be working with good providers. We want to have quality real estate. We send a letter, as I think you

all know, every year to community... council, members, community boards, other elected officials looking for sites, want to really make sure that we are continuing that partnership with this body, with community boards to make sure that we are having the most community-based siting practice possible, and that we are finding options for smaller community-based shelters. Again, I do think I'm preaching to the choir here, but I think that it's something that we would really like to partner even more with the Council on.

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: And just one other point, is that I was just at Randalls yesterday, I believe, with the Mayor, talking to the asylum seekers, because as you mentioned, we talk regularly with people in our care, we regularly go out on site visits, because we want to ensure that we know how things are affecting people. But, talking to the staff members there, talking to the asylum seekers there to really emphasize the security and try to figure out ways we can do things better on that site.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But, still, no change has happened terms of... if I were operating that facility and somebody died under my watch, my first

2	instinct	would	be	to	go	in	there	and	figure	out	what

3 I did wrong, or what could've been done better.

4 Understand, I don't want to... again I've been

5 | very... I've tried to be very fair, because I do

6 understand how difficult of an endeavor this has

7 been, but once this young man was murdered, somebody

8 | should've gone back and said, you know what, maybe

9 this existing structure is putting other people at

10 risk. Maybe people are bringing a knives because they

11 | feel unsafe. At city shelters routinely, we have

12 metal detectors in many of them. Am I correct, Molly?

13 COMMISSIONER PARK: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: To ensure that people are not bringing in weapons. And we still have not done that at the HERCS. And, so, I just want to understand, is there plan to add metal detectors and ensure that everyone that is in those facilities is safe or not?

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: As mentioned, we are doing a security assessment at all of our sites, Randalls is on the top of that list. And, again, happy to sit down with you to talk about the specifics. We have made some changes already and we'll continue to make changes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to that.

1

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. I just want to share with you that the other day, uh, last week we had a ,you know, we've been working with the family of the young man that passed away. I've gotten to know a few of the family members and people, you know, friends that have come here. And, there was a young man who came from Denver and was sited at Wards on a Tuesday.. It was last week, I don't know the (INAUDIBLE) and by Wednesday morning he wanted to leave. He was like, it's horrible, there's no place to put your stuff, people can easily just take whatever property you have. But, there are fights in there. There was a fight that was pretty disturbing, and the guards didn't come until after it was already too late. They felt unsafe. They felt that people were... they said that they witnessed people that had been barred from the center, uh, from the site coming in through the back doors. That to me is... It raises a lot of red flags. And, again, I want to make sure that everybody in there is feeling safe enough that they don't have to be bringing in a knife, and that people that are not bringing in knives feel safe enough to know that they're not going to be witness

But, I still wanted to ask, because of how really important is, after the incident where the young man was murdered in front of many other migrants, was there any effort to bring in any level of mental health support so that they had somebody to talk to about what they had just experienced?

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: And again, happy to have a conversation specific on Randalls, but, yes, we have behavioral health specialist on site, and we worked with our... Health + Hospitals runs that site, and we worked with them to ensure that there was support for the people who in that.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, I appreciate it.

We will now go to Council Member Stevens, followed by

Council Member Restler, Council Member Hanif, and

Council Member Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Good morning, I think it's still morning, oh, no, it's afternoon, good afternoon. Thank you guys for both being here. And I just have like two sets of questions. And I will start one with ,you know, I think our chair talked... said our sentiments right. I felt that it ,you know, it would have been really important for folks to hear the testimony that was happening here earlier today

with those courageous young men who were here to kind
of talk about their experiences. And I say that,
because I also was at a mosque recently near my
district where about a 100 to 200 asylum seekers have
been staying because of the 30 day rule. And just
seeing the conditions there, and them just saying
that we want to work, we want to do something. And
even the mosque saying, like, we want to help the
people, but we are not even getting support from the
City. And, like, they're like, we're helping with,
uhm And they are happy to do it, but they are,
like, they are helping ,you know, with food, and they
are getting donations from community members for
clothes and all of the things. And ,you know, I have
reached out to the Administration, and have not
gotten any response back on this. And I want to note,
I am fully aware that this is a federal issue. And I
agree that they should definitely being doing more.
And ,you know, I say it all the time, I didn't sign
up to be a council member to figure out immigration
issues, I signed up to figure out potholes and stop
signs, but now here we are. And, so, we are all in
this together. But, that doesn't mean that we don't
lead with compassion and love and do what's right.

And this is not saying that you guys are not working
as hard as you can, but it's still a problem. So, we
can't negate one for the other, because, yes, it is
not It's a problem, it is a federal issue and all
of those things, but it's here. And, so, that means
that as we are trying to find it together, we need to
be leading with love and compassion. And what I saw
at the mosque when I visited is unacceptable. And,
then even the stories that these men told today is
unacceptable, so we do have to do better as a people.
And this is not even just the City, this is just me
saying this as a human being, I don't think that
people should just suffer in this way, and all of the
stories that have been coming out. And I kind of lost
my train of thought, but even something that was said
here today that the wait time after the 30 days, that
they are waiting five to 10 days in order to get
placed again. And, so, my thinking around this is,
like, how is this helpful? And it kind of seems like
a redundant of services if we have people cycling in
and out? And it actually seems like it was cost more
money. Because, if I leave, now we have We're
readministering people over and over again. It sounds
like this is a weird cycle that we are putting in,

2 and it doesn't sound like it is really cost effective

3 either.

2.2

2.3

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, thank you for your concerns. And I would also love to talk to you a little bit more about that masque, because we obviously want to make sure places where people are staying are safe.

We... Since the spring, we have, as I said before, we have served over a 179,000 migrants, and we have led with care and compassion and love.

Because, we have done everything in our power to stand up a site to give people immigration support.

We have done everything in power to help people along with the journey... Bring in (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

council Member Stevens: Well, if you were here earlier, you would have heard that the young men, the gentlemen who were here this morning, they said, actually, that hasn't been happening, and that they have been doing that on their own. So, that is why I think even hearing that today, your statement is... it could be true, but it's not happening consistently, because it was said here.

2 So, that is what I mean. Like, the inconsistency,

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 right?

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yeah, and I mean, we stood up a system of 216 sites in less than two years. We, I want to follow up with those individuals, see which sites they were at, really see what's happening at those specific sites. We... That is why I talk to people all the time, that's why I got to sites, it's to really see what's happening, and ensure that we are delivering on the most quality product that we can. But, I mean, I just, again, I am going to take a step back and say, when you are... When we are dealing with an influx of 1,000 to 5,000 people every week, and we currently over 64,000 migrants in our care, we have to make hard choices and complicated choices, and choices that provide support for the most vulnerable in our care at the most critical points in their journey, which is when they first get here. We cannot control what happens at the border. All the things that we can control are what happens when people are in our shelter. And we can't... We need all of the tools in our toolbox to continue supporting these newest arrivals and ensure

that we are doing that with care and compassion.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

 \circ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

(TIMER CHIMES) At this point, more than 76% of people leave our care before the 30 days, and it really has helped ensure that we have space and the staff capacity to give people what they need in that first 30 days.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Just... And, Chair, just I need a couple quick more seconds.

Just really quick, because it's something that you said that was just like a red flag for me, just even saying, like, the critical, you like to serve people at their most critical moment. I think that is subjective. Because, I think if you ask all of them, it is a critical moment. And, so, that becomes subjective. And, so, I think that that is... And, again, this is not saying folks are not doing their jobs. I think that there are holes in this system. And I think the 30 day thing is actually creating more holes than you are anticipating than solving. Right? And, so, if people are already leaving at large numbers before the 30 days are up, then you don't need to have the mandate there. So, that to me doesn't ,you know, those things don't sit well with me.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

But, I do have another question that I do want to ask Commissioner Molly - in my district as we know, an HRA site was just closed, and I know it was because there was a leasing issue or something else, but I am really concerned that a whole section of people in the Bronx, in the West Bronx, now have to travel so far to get to a new site. And I am really trying to just get a better understanding. Because, the reasoning behind it, and the way my office has been having an influx, because we were their next door neighbors, and now we have become an HRA center, which, we can't, right? Everyone is saying that they have not gotten notices and all of these things. I just... This rollout has been very messy in the sense of , like, like I said, we have been getting a lot of folks in my office, we have had a lot of people standing outside not being able to notice... people coming back to the office saying that they weren't being able to be served. And just thinking about how this could have been a better transition, and also how we are now really putting a number of people in a community out of their way to now to get services. And I am really concerned about this. And is there a

1

3

4

_

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

plan on getting services closer to the West Bronx and to my district any time soon?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Yes, thank you, Council Member. You're correct that this traced to back to a landlord issue. We were... We're subject to the winds of the real estate market as well, so we lost... Did lose our... Or fairly suddenly lost the lease on one site, and so we had to re... restructure services, so that we were making use of the real estate that we did still have. We acted very quickly to make sure that we still had a physical presence for all of our real estate... for all of our functions. And I think over the... In a couple of years, we will be able to do better, uh, and to distribute services more evenly across the borough. But, we do need to do some work on building out some of the site space before we are able to do that. Certainly if we have the notice piece of it, if that has not been adequate, we can make sure that we are re-noticing. And I think from the point that Deputy Speaker Ayala flagged this to us that we have done some additional noticing. Happy to follow up with you offline if we need to be doing more.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Yes, I just want to say again, like, I have seen sometimes especially folks forget how my district is a little isolated, especially the Highbridge area. And to go from Highbridge to Hunts Point, that is like, a two (INAUDIBLE) zone, and so we have people who already need services now having to figure out how to make a journey. And, so, even the thought around, like, oh, uhm, some of them have been told, like, oh, you can do some of this stuff on line, and blah, blah, blah, if they had those services, they wouldn't need it. And , you know, even to go to the extent of like - a lot of times when they were going to the HRA office, they would still have to come to my office, whether it's to get copies and all these other things. And, so, there is a whole slew of services that they're going to miss out on now, because we did not work kind of hand to hand. And I am not saying that they needed to be there, but I think the consideration for how far it is out, it is really displacement and a disservice to my district. And I think that I know you said it is going to take a couple of years, I don't know that we have a couple of years, because

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

people will suffer in the High Bridge area in my
district.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER PARK: We are happy to work with you on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Council Member Restler?

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you, Chair Ayala, I really appreciate your tremendous leadership. And to recognize my friend and colleague, Council Member Hanif, for her courageous leadership, and thank you both for testifying here today.

Just because, uh, there's only so much time in Q&A, I would love if it's okay, Commissioner Park, I'm gonna read the DOI Report, and would love to follow up with questions. I have not had a chance to read it yet. We've had some real issues in our district at Lejoli Shelter, and haven't gotten great communication from your team on updates and... except for from Vincent, who we appreciate. And similar issue that Council Member Stevens raised, there been just a lot of changes. I know it was part of a long-standing plan to benefits centers in downtown Brooklyn, and we've been hoping to just get a briefing to understand the timeline and plans. If

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

you could provide that overview for us, we would greatly appreciate each of those things.

COMMISSIONER PARK: I am happy sit down any time.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

Okay, so for today, I appreciate the chance to put those aside... Commissioner Park, you know, every year, if I recall correctly, we see a big increase in children, families in our shelter system at the end of the school year. Is that right?

COMMISSIONER PARK: Uh, that is the pre-COVID traditional pattern. In the last few years, things have been a little bit unsettled, but yes, that's historically accurate.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: And, you know, families do their best to hold on in doubled up circumstances and tenuous challenging dynamics, so that kids can stay close to and can ,you know, the school that they're attending during the school year... And I'm... I just can't understand how a 60 day rule for children, for families with kids, is good for them. I can't understand how uprooting a family that has, you know, we have a shelter that just opened... a HERC that just opened in Council Member Hudson's district on the border of mine in Clinton Hill, is sending

2.2

2.3

many dozens of families - dozens of students to two schools in my district - PS 54 and (INAUDIBLE)157.

And we have brought in all of these donations and supports, and the school communities have done an extraordinary job and welcoming these students and families into our community. And we're approaching a 60 day deadline where they're gonna be relocated and presumably will go to some other school somewhere far away from Clinton Hill and Bed-Stuy, is that right?

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: I will take this question.

So, this administration has really prioritized in this period of emergency, families with children. We haven't made... It was an incredibly hard decision to start doing the 60 day notices. It's not something we wanted to do. As everyone knows, the cavalry didn't come from the federal government. We have also said many times that we do not want to disrupt education. Because of really careful coordination and planning with the Department of Education, and our staff, and the HERC staff, and everyone at the arrival center 90% of the children that were affected by this policy remained in the same school. Some of the 10% that didn't, some moved away, some moved to other parts

0.1

of the nation or other parts of the country, but 90% stayed in their school after they made this change.

And, so, we really, really did prioritize education and the education of the youngest children when making these types of choices and moves.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: So 90% of the kids who were subject to a 60 day rule, who were forced to uproot their lives, and in all likelihood moved to not just different neighborhood, but a different borough, are staying in the same school according to the data that you have as of

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: January.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: January. Uhm...

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: And the same borough.

I mean, I think it's more than same borough of...

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: But, they're staying in the same school is... The point is. I am just meaning...

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yes...

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I mean, the point is that they maintain enrollment. And, I'll give an example, in Clinton Hill, Bed-Stuy, in this area, you know, I... as you know, as a native of the 33rd, t's probably the most expensive in Brooklyn. And it's not

2	easy to live there, especially for a newly migrant
3	family. They're probably moving to a very faraway
4	place - like the Bronx or Staten Islan, or Southeast
5	Queens or Brownsville, where they can manage to live
6	and afford to come into our community. So, are you
7	looking at travel times as folks are staying at the
8	same schools - of the distances the people are
9	traveling and how far people are going - spending
10	multiple hours a day (TIMER CHIMES)in each direction

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: The majority of our families were placed in the same borough as well or the borough their children... their youngest children. We don't track when people leave our care, where they're going, but everyone was given the opportunity to either stay in their same school or change... (CROSS-TALK)

is? It's not good for a six or seven-year-old either.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Got it. So, the 90%...

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: And we worked with

our department... (CROSS-TALK)

to is for people who were reticketed, placed in another shelter, they were placed in another shelter

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: figure you're referring

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: What percentage of the families who have been subject to 60 day rule, stayed in shelter for that... and went to another shelter upon relocate... upon being uprooted?

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Sorry, say that question again?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

1213

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: For the families that have been subjected to the 60 day rule so far, it sound like the most recent date you have is January, what percentage of those families stayed in the shelter system and what did not? How many left... (CROSS-TALK)

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: I would have to follow back on the exact numbers... (CROSS-TALK) COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay. I just... think that it's fair for... you're not gonna have every data point at your fingertips. But, and I appreciate, I mean this, you all have really hard jobs, 170 odd thousand people coming through the city of New York without the appropriate federal assistance, it has been deeply challenging. I am empathetic to the challenges the you all have faced and how hard you have worked to try to provide some services and care. But, I do think that uprooting families after 60 days is inhumane, and it is heartless, and it is a really problematic policy for kids and their ability to learn. And, you know, I would've thought that would've been a central piece of the testimony. I would've thought we would've had better data today to discuss this, because, to me I

_

just can't understand how we don't want that kid staying in the same school all school year to get the help that they need with transitioning to a new country - getting the support that they deserve - and figuring things out in the summertime - if we need to do so. That would be a much more compassionate and effective policy, from my vantage point, to support our kids. And I leave this hearing no more... even more dissatisfied and concerned than when we started. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Council Member Hanif, and then Council Member Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Thank you so much

First, I would just like to start with some kindness. I see Chris here, and we've been working very closely. We are welcoming a new shelter in my district, and it's been in the news as of late with a lot of opposition, and actually since the end of last year - a lot of opposition coming in from our neighbors. And I've been steadfast and firm in welcoming this new shelter. It'll have 400 beds, and the coordination that we've had with DSS has been very critical and alongside of my elected colleagues the community board, community groups, and other

2.2

2.3

leaders. And while there's a lawsuit now, and other sort of tactics being utilized to oppose the shelter, we remain committed to welcoming asylum seekers. And, so, I share this because I know that I have been one of the most critical voices of the Administration's operations, and that is a part of my responsibility while also recognizing the reality in which we live in. And I'll be welcoming as many shelters as I need to in my district while pushing for better policies.

And, now, Molly, your statement, I found very offensive. I found it very offensive. I think we can... We can agree that the 30 and 60 day policy is a bad policy and not defend it. I think that the Administration can just say that... that we have to do this and leave it at that. I just wish that we could accept that we didn't have to defend inhumane policies. Because, one, you weren't here for when the two gentlemen, who have only been here for less than six months, told us their stories—they've been in already four shelters, three and four shelters. One of them is not in a shelter right now. One of them is still looking for a shelter. He had been assigned to a shelter, and he had transportation issues and couldn't find his way to the shelter; when he arrived

2.2

2.3

to the shelter, he was told, there's no more room for him, and then referred somewhere else. That shouldn't be the story of individuals who are arriving here in the span of three to four months. I don't consider that success. And we've seen over the course of the last several weeks, we heard about the storefront in Queens. We heard about the makeshift bus. We're hearing about overcrowded apartments. We're hearing about cars and trucks. We're seeing our sidewalks...

New Yorkers are witnessing what's happening.

COMMISSIONER PARK: So, Council Member, let me start by thanking you for your leadership and your steadfast support. It really means a lot to have a strong partner as we work on shelter siting, so thank you.

I mean, I think what you were describing right now is over in a separate and apart... or compounding the asylum situation that we're talking about, is really a reflection of the overwhelming shortfall in New York City of affordable housing. Right? The Department of Housing Preservation Development came out with data a few weeks ago, the vacancy rate overall for rental housing is 1.4%. It's much lower for more affordable apartments. It's why the

2.2

2.3

Department of Social Services is actually getting into the affordable housing development space. We using Social Service dollars to actually grow the pie of affordable housing. We're working really closely with HPD to make sure that there are units for people who are experiencing homelessness - and other low income households. It's why we've taken CityFHEPS and made it a statewide tool, so that people are able to look at a broader supply of housing. It's really also, I think an argument for the Administration City of Yes policy that we have to be doing more development.

So, you know, I hear your concerns. But ,you know, I think everything that you are speaking to really gets back to the... down to the fact that we need more places for people to live, and we look forward an administration to working with you on it... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: And I appreciate that, because that, what you just said was nowhere in either of these testimonies. And the housing investment piece is what continues to be left out.

And, so, I'm really glad that that came up in this moment, because that is exactly what the crisis, I

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

20

2.2 2.3

24

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Will you repeat that?

think, exists in the city. It's not an asylum seeker the crisis, it's a housing crisis. That it has existed, and that investment needs to speed up. And I'm glad to hear that DSS has this component coming up, and I'm gonna... I will support... excited by City of Yes as well as we continued explore all of the options.

I have a couple of questions I want to get into. How many asylum seekers applied for an overnight shelter bed yesterday and were rejected?

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: We will have to follow up with you on that exact number. And nobody was rejected. People were put on the waiting listen COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: So, what is the waitlist? INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Uhm, after 30 days, people can go to Saint Brigid and be put on the wait list for the next available bed.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Right, but the wait list is like, like code for they don't have a bed.

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Everyone is offered a place indoors, outside of the elements, to wait overnight while they wait for a bed placement.

3 place indoors to wait while they wait for a bed

4 placement at their shelter... or a new shelter

5 placement. If they... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Where do they... (CROSS-6

7 TALK)

1

2

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: (INAUDIBLE)

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Where do they, uh, wait

10 indoors?

11 INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: There is a... We have

a couple of sites where people can wait. 12

13 COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Can you describe, uh, the

14 conditions of this waiting centers?

> INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: They are a place indoors where people can wait, where we provide food and other services while they are there.

> COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: So, do they have amenities like beds or cots?

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: I am going to step back here, because I think that we are going a little down a rabbit hole. But, we have over... We have served over 179,000 migrants. We have said over time again, and again, that we are running out of space.

We have hit our capacity. We have said that many,

/

2.3

many months ago. And we are doing everything we can to get people, uhm, to get people new shelter placements as quickly as we can.

know that. We know that... We know that that's... We know that from the start. We have been saying that over and over for the last two years. It is okay to say that there isn't a bed in these waiting centers. That what we are witnessing... What this... What the members have said here, that what we are seeing is true. What kind of data is available right now? Like how are you... Like, the percentage that was shared about leaving the system, how are you collecting that data?

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: As you know, it is a vast program that we run. And, so, we have a data system that all of our agencies use to be able to better understand where people are in our system. So, we use our data system, and we make... To make the best data determined decisions we can, and report things like how many people stay and how long they stay.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: And, then for those who accept the reticketing option that the City is

)

2.2

pressuring folks to take, how is the City working with other municipalities to coordinate arrival and transfer case management on things like applications for asylum, TPS, and work authorizations?

about reticketing, it is one of the tools in our toolbox. We started doing reticketing in the arrival center, because we knew that a lot of people, as they were coming from the buses in Texas, didn't actually necessarily want to be here. That was the only way out of town. And, so, we are really proud of the fact that we have been able to help people take the next point of their journey, connect with family or friends in other parts of the nations. And at this point, I think we have reticketed over 20,000 including... Which is more than 25% of our shelter population.

COMMISSIONER PARK: I want to really emphasize what Director Shaeffer said about people not necessarily wanting to come to New York. I mean, it is actually astounding to me - some of the families that we have worked with in the DHS system who actually wanted to be in Texas. They had families

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

there, uh, family members there other things like that. But, they were put on a bus... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Right.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER PARK: Irrespective of where they wanted to be. So, you know, I heard the mention of pressuring people, and actually this is what... This is the right option for a lot of people, because they were not given options when they crossed into Texas.

And just... (CROSS-TALK)

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Sorry, just one other point on that, the majority of our reticketing actually happens at our arrival center, and we give the option to be reticketed throughout the entire stay.

The other thing that is really important about what Commissioner Park said is that one of our top destinations is Texas. So, people are being sent here, and then they want to go directly back.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: And is there a relationship with any of the municipalities there or the elected officials?

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yeah, absolutely. So, we have our own coordinating meeting with other cities that have OASO entities that are really

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

dealing with this crisis to really talk about best practices and figure if there are ways to do more formal resettlement programs. Where are also, through MOIA's Cities for Action Coalition, we constantly talking to other cities and trying to figure out what makes the most sense for all of us.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: And then for the, uhm, the Saint Brigid's uh, reticketing center, on the line are you... Is there, uhm, case management, and folks there to share out their options to apply for asylum or TPS or any of the other services?

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, we do case management throughout our entire system. So, from the second that you get into a shelter placement, you are given case management options as well as when we have appointments — appointments with our asylum application help center or if you want legal support, we will sometimes refer you to Action NYC or other resources that the City has to help people with their legal paperwork.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Understood.

So, I just want to name how indicative it is that the Administration is unwilling to describe the conditions of the waiting rooms. I have been on the

_

site of the line at Saint Brigid and had asked about the waiting room, and had even witnessed some of the individuals who had been sleeping in some of these waiting rooms, and had scars on their bodies, because they hadn't showered for days and had no access to medical care; no beds or cots, just like whatever space they could find enmasse and sleep in whatever position they can. And your unwillingness to provide a yes or no answer is very telling. And I think as an administration, even if the answer is, no, there are no beds, that should be the answer.

You could easily set these up, but you're trying to makes as untenable as possible, uh, for these folks so they leave. And we are certainly witnessing some of that, but we are also seeing and experiencing the exploitation — a wave of exploitation this is going to increase, and, then we will have to address that as well. Thank you so much.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I, too, want to thank you.

I know it's a hard job. And I do want to thank,

because Manhattanites are staying in Manhattan, and I

appreciate that - in terms of schools. I checked

every single one, that's why I know.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

But, my question is, so, you stay in Manhattan, you're in school, up to fifth grade. Then what happens after 60 days in your new location? That's what I... And parents are asking me, and I don't know the answer to that. In other words, you know, summer comes, you want to go stay at PS 87 or whatever, how does that work?

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, it's the same process that people have now. They will go back to the arrival center, they will reapply, we will take a holistic picture of their family composition - what schools they're in and what vacancies we have - and attempt to place them as close to their school as possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I have to say, then it gets really crazy, because I think what is happening is that some folks are going just to the apartment basement in Queens. A lot of them are going to Newark as you probably know, because they are afraid of the next step, right, that you're just describing.

So, at some point, maybe for the legislation, I hope 60 day - 30 days for families ends. Because, I do... Okay, now we have got them under a first guise, maybe they do have family in Texas, and that's worked

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, I want to say that things at the border are incredibly dynamic as you know... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: We know...

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Numbers are starting to tick up again, which is , you know, is what it is. And, so we constantly have to pivot and shift and manage in terms of peaks and valleys, in terms of times of surge, and times of not surging. We... I cannot speak enough about the heroic nature of school staff.... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I have said that over and over...

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Like, it is amazing what they... what they have been able to provide the kids. And we will continue to make sure that we are not disrupting kids' schooling as best as possible... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: All right. But, I am just , you know, so your kid goes from fifth grade to middle school, and then you're screwed, because you're not under the elementary school you can stay in Manhattan issue. So, I am just suggesting that the second round of 60 days, we reconsider. I am putting it on the table.

Number two, I always ask, and you hate to answer, but the faith-based, what in hells name are we doing about the faith-based? I have synagogues and churches, forget the sprinkler system, we got two exits, we've been doing it for 30 years, nobody ever got hurt. And, of course, in the mosques, you got hundreds of people. I know plenty of (INAUDIBLE) they're just doing it, but they're doing it for eight, they're doing for ten. They don't have a damn sprinkler, because they don't need one. They got two

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

exits. I know this may not be your fault, you can get
a 1,000 or 2,000 people tomorrow, if we open up these
faith-based. All of which want to open up. I'm sorry,
it And it costs half of what you're paying in the
shelters or maybe less. I don't understand this,
really.

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: We 100 percent agree with you. (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: But you're not... But... (CROSS-TALK)

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: It's a key tenet of where we want to move forward. We have actually been able to open a couple (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Three! Three!

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yeah, but is moving much more (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: There were two last week, now there are three...

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: Yes! There is... It is moving much more quickly than it has been. We have been able to work with our partners in DOB and FDNY to ensure that we are doing this is the saftest way possible, but also loosening some of the restrictions so we can bring on these sites more quickly. It is

something we also really want to see as the next phase of this and where we actually help connect people so they can have that community and faith support.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I mean, it's... I will leave it at that, but just so you know, I don't think even what you have loosened in terms of the rules are going to be enough to open up enough. You want to 19 to 15, fine. Some of these folks only take ten. Take ten! Okay, it's the same thing. It's too rigid - too rigid, and that's why you have some of these issues, because you're being too rigid - in my opinion.

My other question is, do we know how many people are living in New York? I mean, you heard about the stores in Queens, blah, blah, blah, we've got the mosque. Do we have some sense of how many other folks are living in condition because they have no place else at this moment? They don't want to be in the shelters, they can't get in to the shelter. Do you have any sense of what those numbers are?

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: So, we don't track where people go after they leave our care. But, whenever we find unsafe environments, we invite people back to shelter, if that's the, uhm, (TIMER

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 CHIMES) where they need to be while we vacate a location.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And, then, just, uh, finally, the question of what are you going to do about the food issue? Because, that's does seem to be a challenge. I think (INAUDIBLE) is great. I know that DHS is helping, but is there some rethinking about food contracts?

INTERIM DIRECTOR SCHAEFFER: We are always rethinking all of our contracts, especially as we are in this period of transition. We have been doing this for now a year and a half, almost two years. And, so, we are looking at all of our contracts and looking how we can safe money and how we can do this better, especially with our PEGS. And, so, we are constantly looking for better ways to do food.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: All right, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. I have one...

Just... No, I'm sorry, I have just one further

question regarding the... Council Member Hanif's

mention... mentioned something that reminded me that

in the beginning of the pandemic when folks were

coming in to the... not only through the intake

center, but at the HERCS when they would come in...

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

an end to the City's cruel and inefficient 30 and 60 day shelter limitations.

My name is Nicole Krishtul, Housing Organizer at New York City Comptroller's Office.

As a child of immigrants from Ukraine, I've seen the ways government assistance made all the difference. When my family came to the US, it did not take them 30 or 60 days to get on their feet. It took closer to a decade, a decade with a rental assistance, free English Language classes, and a strong community of support and political will.

The New York City Comptroller's Office strongly opposes the 30 and 60 day shelter limit policies, which are nothing more than a backdoor effort to chip away at the City's 40-year-old right to shelter. Our office commends Council Member Hanif for standing up to the scapegoating of immigrants and urgently support her bill.

Better management, not shelter evictions, would help asylum seekers get on their feet, join our workforce, and accelerate our economic recovery. Our office has been closely tracking the numbers of recent arrivals impacted by these shelter stay limits. As of February 4th, a total of over 7,000

/

been given 60 day notices.

Those 7,000 households include approximately

14,200 adults and 13,400 children. I'm happy to break

families with children in emergency shelters have

those numbers down further.

In January, our office launched an investigation into the 60 day rule to learn more about how this policies being implemented, its potential harmful impact on asylum applications and work authorization, and the extent to which this policy is displacing children from their schools.

This investigation is currently ongoing, and we will update the Council when we have findings to share.

Earlier this week, our office released a report that found a lack of coordination across multiple emergency contracts that lead city agencies to overpay millions of dollars to (INAUDIBLE) asylum seeker services.

Rather than evicting family from shelter in the middle of winter, the City should insist on getting the most competitive prices from its own contractors to keep cost down.

2.2

2.3

Emma Lazarus famously said, "Give me your tired, your poor, but not just for 30 or 60 days." There other paths forward through immigration legal help, workforce development, and real case management. We can't roll back the City's fundamentally ingrained right to shelter in the moments when we need it most. We can address this challenge with common sense, competence, and compassion. Thank you.

We've submitted more detailed written testimony that also highlights the experiences our office has had with the asylum seeker crisis, thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

I will now call up the next panel. The next panel will be - and I apologize if I am mispronouncing your name - Yajaira Saavedra from La Morada, Chloe Breyer, Madeleine Elfenbein, and Anthony Feliciano.

And just a reminder, due to time constraints, we have a two minute time limit on witnesses who are members of the public. And you can begin whenever you are ready.

REV. DR. CHLOE BREYER: Greetings, and thank you to the Committee and for the Introduction of 210. I'm here today representing the Interfaith Center of New York, where we work on something called equipping

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

houses of worship to support migrants an initiative that has garnered a large number of houses worship across the five boroughs to do just that. Likewise, I support the asylum seekers Asylum Seekers Shelter Program, which has City participation. In this capacity, it has been an honor to work with houses of worship who offer every week men, women, and children who come from outside New York, and are fleeing violence and poverty to exercise a sacred responsibility to welcome to the stranger. This means meals, clothing, legal consultation, temporary shelter - some in partnership with the City -but not enough - and then also vital communications - mosques that, as I mentioned outside, have become glorified P.O. boxes, so that people are actually able to receive critical information from the federal government about their asylum or immigration status. They also are doing accompaniment with family members who are having to check in at the Roosevelt Hotel to make sure that the family feels safe - that children aren't separated, that the school bus doesn't arrive and there's no parents there. So, these are some of the ways, and in some cases, the goals are shared between the City and these houses of worship, we all

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

wish to make New York stronger place, because of the gifts that people come from around the city around the world with... and other times there are obstacles that the city has (TIMER CHIMES) created. Those include the general obstacle of hostility in in so many places, often fanned by the flames of polarizing rhetoric. We've seen the obstacle of inconsistency across government agencies, houses of worship that set forward that as Council Member Brewer said, are often stymied by bureaucratic regulations despite the invitation, and, finally, the destabilizing impact of the 30 and 60 day rule - the evictions, the reticketing and so forth. And right now, those warming centers just add an extra step in prohibiting the ability of people to settle in. We wonder if they if the places that are currently providing chairs have even been asked if they would be able to provide cots that would help people get a night of sleep.

So, thank you very much. We hope that moving forward we can be more aligned with goals that we all as New Yorkers embrace. And if we want evidence that we've all been able to do this before, I think a visit to the Tenement Museum on the Lower East Side

3

J

4

5

6

/

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is a good reminder that immigration is truly one of New York City's life blood. So, thank you.

Madam Chair, my name is Madeline Elfenbein and I am one of 6,000 members of Jews for Racial and Economic Justice. I'm here today to speak and support of ending shelter restrictions as a Yorker as a parent and as a Jew my child is a student at PS 125 in Morningside Heights last September she started second grade along with two new classmates who are asylum seekers from Venezuela in December. We learned that one of them was forced to leave because our family had been turned out of their shelter after reaching the 60 day limit her classmates road letters to mayor Adams to let him know what happened, and here is a part of the letter that my daughter wrote would you like to have made friends and have to leave them. I definitely would not like that. My friend Chris Marie had to leave our class because she had no housing. I think you should give migrants more housing so no one has to leave their friends. How is it that a second grader understands what our city does not the cruelty shelter restrictions goes beyond the children and forces out into the cold. It rubs off on all of us. In fact, much of our city does

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

understand this. Our school has doesn't of students were asylum seekers. We have room for them, and we are glad to welcome them across the city as we just heard Ordinary New Yorkers are stepping up we're doing our best to find shoes and coats and underwear and toothbrushes and jobs for our new neighbors, but our best efforts are not enough when our city government refuses to do its part and provide the bare minimum, which is a safe place to return to every night instead, the current labyrinth theme bureaucracy, we just heard describe in detail only adds to their litany of hardships. The system is not doing its job. The cruelty of these restrictions would be bad enough on their own, but it's deeply offensive the way this cruelty is being justified as if it were on our behalf. What I've heard from the mouth of our New York mayor is beyond what I ever expected to hear, and I'll say that, as a Jew, the hairs on the back of my next stand up when I hear him blame migrants for the budget cut, he proposes for reasons of his own. My four bears are migrants. All of our four bears are migrants, and today's migrants do not threaten my will but the mayors xenophobic lies, and his policies do for racial economic

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

justice, stands alongside dozens of other groups in

calling foul on the mayors attempt to put the house

against the unhoused. We know that all of us come

from somewhere that we are all here together now, and

there are fats are bound together. I also just want

people sleeping in the rough every day, they see

to end by saying that our kids are watching us the

9 their friends disappearing from classrooms. They see 10 people falling asleep while standing up, waiting in

11 line for a chance to sleep in doors they want to know

12 what kind of a city they're being raised in. Are we a

13 city that turns it back on their friends. Thank you

MADELEINE ELFENBEIN: Thank you, Madam Chair.

My name is Madeline Elfenbein, and I am one of 6,000 members of Jews for Racial and Economic Justice. I'm here today to speak and support of ending shelter restrictions as a Yorker, as a parent, and as a Jew.

My child is a student at PS 125 in Morningside

Heights. Last September, she started second grade

along with two new classmates who are asylum seekers

from Venezuela. In December, we learned that one of

them was forced to leave, because our family had been

turned out of their shelter after reaching the 60 day

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

limit. Her classmates wrote letters to Mayor Adams to let him know what happened, and here is a part of the letter that my daughter wrote. "Would you like to have made friends and have to leave them? I definitely would not like that. My friend, Chris Marie, had to leave our class, because she had no housing. I think you should give migrants more housing so no one has to leave their friends." How is it that a second grader understands what our city does not? The cruelty of shelter restrictions goes beyond the children it forces out into the cold, it rubs off on all of us. In fact, much of our city does understand this. Our school has dozens of students who are asylum seekers. We have room for them, and we are glad to welcome them. And across the city, as we just heard, ordinary New Yorkers are stepping up. We're doing our best to find shoes and coats and underwear and toothbrushes and jobs for our new neighbors. But, our best efforts are not enough when our city government refuses to do its part and provide the bare minimum, which is a safe place to return to every night. Instead, the current labyrinth-themed bureaucracy we just heard described in detail, only adds to their litany of hardships.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The system is not doing its job. The cruelty of these 2 3 restrictions would be bad enough on their own, but it's deeply offensive the way this cruelty is being justified as if it were on our behalf. (TIMER CHIMES) What I've heard from the mouth of our New York Mayor 6 7 is beyond what I ever expected to hear. And I'll say that, as a Jew, the hairs on the back of my neck 8 stand up when I hear him blame migrants for the budget cuts he proposes for reasons of his own. My 10 11 four bears are migrants. All of our forebears are migrants, all of our forebears, and today's migrants 12 do not threaten my well-being, but the mayor's 13 14 xenophobic lies, and his policies, do.

Jews for and Racial Economic Justice, stands alongside dozens of other groups in calling foul on the mayor's attempt to pit the house against the unhoused. We know that all of us come from somewhere, that we are all here together now, and there are fates are bound together.

I also just want to end by saying that our kids are watching us. They see people sleeping in the rough every day; they see their friends disappearing from classrooms; they see people falling asleep while standing up, waiting in line for a chance to sleep

2.3

indoors. They want to know what kind of a city they're being raised in. Are we a city that turns it back on their friends? Thank you

ANTHONY FELICIANO: Thank you, Chairperson Ayala and Council Member Hanif, for the opportunity to testify today.

My name is Anthony Feliciano, I am Vice President for Advocacy at Housing Works. Housing works comes today, because we are in support of the proposed Local Laws under consideration by the Council.

Housing Works is proud to operate two hotels for asylum-seeking families that currently house 535 individuals, of whom almost half -244- are children. Each household we serve is eager to work, to contribute to the life of New York City while bettering their lives. They have the same hopes and dreams as every group of immigrants that have come to our City and have made it the rich and diverse place that we love. Indeed, the asylum seekers we house have a deep culture of working and are eager to gain employment, they take the best possible care of their families, and they endeavor to ensure that their children receive the education they deserve. But, like every group of new immigrants they are dealing

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

with formidable legal, language, and cultural challenges. Erecting additional barriers to basic survival services can only deepen their marginalization. Housing Works believes that as a City we have not only a legal but also moral obligation to provide safe shelter for new arrivals.

We at Housing Works are deeply relieved that the asylum-seeking families we serve in our Department of Homeless Services-funded hotels are not impacted by Mayor Adams' 60-day shelter limit — at least not yet, at least not yet.

We have been shocked, but nothing could have prepared us for the unimaginable next step of imposing a 60-day limit on safe shelter for families who happened to be housed in shelters operated other agencies, such as the Office of Emergency Management and Health + Hospitals' system.

Housing Works and the new immigrants we house have every reason to believe that this lawless and unconscionable process of denying shelter will continue unless the City Council steps in to stop it as you are doing now.

We are all be proud that our New York State constitution, reflected in over 40 years of court

2.3

_ 1

orders and local laws, requires that our City and State provide shelter and services(TIMER CHIMES) to all single adults and families experiencing homelessness.

It is simply not true that we lack the resources and capacity to meet current needs. What we lack is political will. In the face of record homelessness, a record number of evictions, and unacceptable numbers of vacant affordable and supportive housing units, we can and must deploy every tool at our disposal to keep low-income households from losing housing and get New Yorkers experiencing homelessness back into permanent housing more quickly.

We of course join the call on the Federal government to increase funding to help the City meet the needs of new immigrants, and to grant immediate work authorization for new arrivals who desperately want employment.

Meanwhile; however, these new immigrants who have undertaken long and arduous journeys at the risk of their lives are our neighbors, and we cannot abandon them.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, and thank you all for your support. Obviously, we heard today from

2 those two brave individuals who came in to testify.

3 And I say brave, because there were people who were

4 registered to speak and did not feel comfortable

5 coming in to do so, because they fear retaliation.

6 And it takes a lot, and it shouldn't take so much

7 energy and mental clarity to come here and be able to

8 share your truth. So, thank you, because I know that

9 without the resources that you all provide

individually, these individuals would be completely

11 lost. And please thank your daughter for that

12 | beautiful note. From the mouth of babes, right? Thank

13 you.

10

14

15

17

18

1

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you to this panel for your testimony.

16

We will now move to the next panel, which will be a hybrid panel. It will be comprised of Christine Clarke, Alexandra Dougherty, Chris Mann, Will Watts, Jose Perez, and these are all individuals joining us in person, and virtually, Adam Roberts.

1920

And we will begin with those who are in person.

2122

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You may begin.

2.3

CHRISTINE CLARKE: Good afternoon, Chair Ayala,

24 thank you for the opportunity testify.

My name is Christine Clarke and the Chief of

civil legal services to over 100,000 low income New

Litigation Advocacy at Legal Services NYC. We provide

housing, immigration, law, family law, public benefits assistance, and workers' rights.

Yorkers every year in range of areas, including

Many of our clients are immigrants, and many of them have experienced occur experiencing homelessness. Some of them are in the shelter systems that were talking about today.

I don't think I have anything to say that probably isn't gonna be said by my colleagues, but I felt obligation on behalf of my clients and my neighbors to say it anyways.

These length of stay restrictions being imposed on newly arrived New Yorkers are cruel, unnecessary, and punitive by design. They, in many ways, harm children the most - I think we've talked about that a lot today. It prevents them from creating the community bonds that children need to thrive, particularly children in crisis, or children who have experienced trauma. It prevents them from getting an education of really any kind, let alone the of

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 education the New York State Constitution promises
3 them.

I think we've heard a lot from the Administration today implying the kids aren't being moved out of their schools. You know, we're New Yorkers, these are our neighbors, their kids go to school with our kids — we know what's happening with them, and these parents are in crisis. They're terrified of what's going happen to their kids.

Of course, this chronic instability hurts children, not only the newly-arrived children - we've heard from teachers and parents about the harms, for example, the letter that that person who just testified about that her kid wrote, you know this is destabilizing for the entire classroom. It is horrible for children to watch these kids cycle in and out of their schools and not be able to make friends with them - and to explain to them why it's happening that's heartbreaking.

There are a slew of collateral consequences that happen from limits for children -for everybody.

(TIMER CHIMES) It prevents people from getting employment, obtaining work authorization, and

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 maintaining the stability that the Administration 3 claims to want.

2.2

2.3

I do want to say briefly, the extent that the Administration refers repeatedly to his as an "emergency" or a "crisis", implies that it's short term or that it's going to end soon, and there's no reason to think that. We have to come up with long-term solutions, and making 50,000 people sleep on the streets or in waiting rooms every 60 days, is not a long-term solution. Thank you so much again, I really appreciate the opportunity to testify.

ALEXANDRA DOUGHERTY: Hi, good afternoon.

My name is Alexandra Dougherty, and I am a Senior Staff Attorney and Policy Counsel of the Civil Justice Practice at Brooklyn Defenders, and I would like to thank the Council for inviting us to testify today.

I would specifically like to talk about barriers to accessing shelter, as well as increasing instances of punitive actions by shelter staff, which has made the right to shelter inaccessible too many New Yorkers.

All families living in shelter are under high levels of stress and uncertainty and are subject to

Э

heightened surveillance. Residents are at an increased risk of contact with the criminal and family legal systems, because shelter staff are not adequately trained to deescalate potential conflicts, and they often resort calling law enforcement or ACS rather than problem solving. And this just perpetuates the trauma and disruption that residents are already experiencing.

Recently, we have been seeing shelter staff call ACS report residents based on completely unfounded allegations and minor shelter rule infractions.

One client was recently informed that a failure to participate in a mandatory fire drill, which was set to happen within a 24-hour window, would be considered child neglect and would result in an ACS complaint.

Involvement in the criminal or family legal systems can have particularly devastating consequences for any family, but for particularly for recently arrived immigrants without legal or pending status.

An arrest alone can lead an asylum seeker to immigration detention, and the recently announced changes to our detainer laws would allow ICE to

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

detain and deport someone without any criminal trial or conviction even. Amidst this environment, shelters are increasingly creating a pipeline from migrant shelters to the criminal and family systems.

Because our clients are often navigating (TIMER CHIMES)... I will direct you to our written testimony for more detail.

I will just wrap up by saying that we wholly support today's bills, it is an important step to ensuring the right shelter for all New Yorkers. And we'd like to encourage the City to take this opportunity to address problems in shelters with more about robust social services, as folks before me have mentioned. We need access to benefits and housing subsidies in all shelters. We need access to mental health care, legal services, to get folks into permanent, stable housing.

CHRIS MANN: Good afternoon, thank you, Deputy Speaker, for having this hearing today.

My name Chris Mann, I am the Assistant Vice

President of Policy and Advocacy at WIN. We are the

largest provider of shelter for families experiencing

homelessness in New York and also the nation. We

2.2

2.3

serve around 7,000 people every night, including more than 3,600 children.

And through all of this, I always try to remind people that 75% of the new arrivals that are coming are families with children. So, these 30 and 60 day rule policies are having a direct impact, not just on single adults, which is egregious, but particularly on children.

WIN is proud to provide shelter for families in need, helping satisfy the City's right to shelter.

Since its inception four decades ago, the right to shelter has prevented nearly a million people from having to sleep on the streets.

We know that are right to shelter sets us apart from other cities, and we should be proud of that. We should be protecting that. And we also know it's popular - in a poll commissioned by WIN and The New York Immigration Commission Coalition, we found that 80% of New Yorkers support the right to shelter. So, it's not just humane, but it's also supported by a very large majority of New Yorkers.

Unfortunately, Mayor Adams has a eroded the right to shelter by implementing the 30 and 60 day policies. Because of these forceful evictions,

/

2.2

thousands of homeless New Yorkers and migrants have been evicted from shelter and forced to the streets.

We know what these policies do - they rip children out of their schools, they disconnect families from the support network that they have built, and they force people onto the streets - all during the coldest months of the year.

Right now, there are over 4,000 people waiting for shelter placement, and more than 1,000 people on the street (TIMER CHIMES) as a result of these policies.

We are to support Council Member Hanif's legislation, Intro 210, that would ban the 30 and 60 day rules. And I'll end by saying, the only answer to mitigating homelessness is housing. We need to implement the CityFHEPS expansion that you all passed this summer; get faith-based shelter beds online, make sure that people have legal ability to work, so that they can really thrive.

WILL WATTS: Good afternoon, thank you, Deputy

Speaker and the Council for this opportunity to speak
with you today.

My name is Will Watts, and I am the Deputy

Executive Director for Advocacy with the Coalition

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the Homeless, which has over 40 year, been defending, expanding, and protecting the right to shelter along with our partner, Legal Aid Society.

When I was here in August, I opposed our arbitrary time limits on shelter stays that failed to consider each person's individual circumstances. What we have witnessed at Saint Brigid reinforces our conviction that no policy should relegate people to sleeping on chairs, on bare floors, the streets, or in the subway. We encounter numerous people who, like the gentlemen from earlier today, are experiencing such horrors after reaching the end of their 30 day in shelter. Take for instance, Mr. Amir from Sudan, at the time we met him, he had been without a placement for 20 days. He diligently returned to Saint Brigid day after day, waiting for his number to be called for a new shelter bed. Unsuccessful, his only option was to return to a church that he had been staying at in order to escape the cold - something that was actually really important for him, because he suffers from a heart condition that is aggravated by cold weather. Yet, there were evenings when he wouldn't make it back to the church in time, so his only option was to stay in

the subway. Now, this was a foreseeable result of a policy focusing more on shuffling people around than keeping them in shelter, engaging in the casework that's necessary to facilitate transition to self-sufficiency.

To our knowledge, families with minor children are not having the same experience; nonetheless, the 60 day limits are equally inhumane and unnecessary, especially when the state Migrant Relocation

Assistance Program has housed only 174 families.

Instead of subjecting children to disruptions in their education, (TIMER CHIMES) and further trauma, this Administration should, among other things, be putting pressure on the governor to improve that program, so that more families can be resettled in permanent housing. Otherwise, it's just a matter of time before families with children will be sheltering in subways just like Mr. Amir. Thank you

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. I don't think that people understand the dynamics of what's happening here and just how many hands it takes to really ensure that we are providing adequate resources for human beings. Because these are people. As I am sitting here listening to you, the mother of the

young man that was murdered at Randalls Island is 2 3 sending me a photo of her son arriving to Venezuela, 4 and you know, how badly she feels that she had to get her son back in this way. But, I don't think as I am... The more I learn about this, this is a very 6 7 difficult subject. And there has been so much that 8 has been said, that has I think poisoned , you know, the minds of your average New Yorkers into thinking that ,you know, people who are asylum seekers, 10 11 migrants, are ,you know, are the bad guys here - not 12 realizing ,you know, that families like the one that 13 I was just talking ,you know, they're making \$20 a week in salaries and have to feed and entire 14 15 families. Young children, people who are really ,you know, that are persecuted, and have to stand in line, 16 17 sometimes for days, just to be able to have access to 18 the bag of rice to have something to put on the 19 table. So, they don't leave willingly just because 20 we're offering ,you know, all of these lavish gifts -21 because we're not. They leave out of necessity. And, 2.2 when they get here, we have a responsibility to make 2.3 sure that they're safe while they are under care. And I want to be clear, I've always said that I don't 24 believe that , you know, we should house people 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

indefinitely. We shouldn't. We should be helping them figure out what the path is towards self-sufficiency - and that requires intensive case management. And that is why ,you know, I asked that question at the beginning, it is because I think that that factor is missing, and, unfortunately, a lot of you are filling in those gaps. But, the demand is higher than ,you know, even with all of these resources we are able to really truly effectuate any change. So, thank you for your services, and thank you for coming today. I think this is important not only to show solidarity and support for, uhm, the bills that are being introduced, but also to shine a light on your experiences. Because, those experiences are real, too. So, thank you, and I think we have one more person online.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, we will turn it over to Adam Roberts who is on Zoom.

ADAM ROBERTS: Thank you for holding this hearing today. I am Adam Roberts, Policy Director for the Community Housing Improvement Program also known as CHIP. We represent New York's housing providers, including apartment building owners and managers. Our members operate New York's rent-stabilized housing,

3

4

5

6

7

8

,

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

2.3

24

25

which makes up nearly 1 million units of affordable housing for voucher holders and other New Yorkers.

We strongly support Intro 210 and other legislation that would prohibit city agencies from imposing limits on the length of shelter stays. Shelter stay restrictions create a major challenge to residents looking for permanent housing. They rely on shelters as temporary housing while awaiting placement in rent-stabilized or other types of permanent housing. The approval process to place a voucher holders can take up to six months. Yet, limiting the length of time in shelters, even with other actions the Council has taken - like expanding voucher eligibility - will not sufficiently help shelter residents secure permanent housing. The biggest obstacle to permanently housing shelter residents is the lack of rental housing currently available to voucher holders.

Tens of thousands of rent-stabilized units remain vacant after long-term occupancies. According to the Independent Budget Office, 42,275 units were vacant in 2022, of which 13,362 were vacant for two years.

The 2023 Housing and Vacancy Survey showed there were

2.2

2.3

26,310 units "vacant but not available," in the first six months of 2023.

These apartments remain vacant because the renovation costs are incredibly costly. Most apartments coming off long-term occupancies require lead abatement, asbestos remediation, kitchen and bathroom renovation, electrical rewiring, and subfloor replacement, which combined can cost \$100,000 for a one-bedroom unit.

This problem is compounded by a voucher holder's inability to use the full amount of their voucher if the apartment's legal rent is below the voucher amount. Two years ago, the State created Private Housing Finance Law 610 to address this problem. Unfortunately, city agencies refuse to implement this law, (TIMER CHIMES) severely reducing the amount and quality of housing available to voucher holders. Voucher holders would have access to better quality housing if this law were implemented as broadly as the statutory language permits.

We appreciate the Council's commitment to housing current and prospective voucher holders, particularly those residing in shelters. However, we need to ensure homes are actually available for shelter

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 residents so there are places to permanently house them.

Again, thank you for holding this hearing today.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

We are now going to move on to the next panel...

Thank you to this panel for your testimony.

The next panel will be comprised of Jose Perez,
Johan Velasquez, Ameya Biradavolu, Towaki Komatsu,
Ann Fawcett Ambia, and Christopher Johnson.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: We are going to start on the right.

JOSE PEREZ: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: Yes, okay, so he is thanking you guys, and then he is basically saying that he wants to talk about issues surrounding social security?

(SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

JOSE PEREZ: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: He is saying that they say that they offer these services, but when you arrive at the place, they don't have the services. And those services just send you to another place.

JOHAN VELASQUEZ: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

24

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 145
2	TRANSLATOR: Good afternoon, we are both migrants
3	from Venezuela.
4	JOHAN VELASQUEZ: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
5	TRANSLATOR: (TIMER CHIMES) We are here because we
6	have issues with the system that are very obvious.
7	JOHAN VELASQUEZ: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
8	TRANSLATOR: We have come to our government,
9	because we are struggling and we need assistance.
10	JOHAN VELASQUEZ: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
11	TRANSLATOR: Because we are migrants, and we only
12	have a certain amount of 30 days before we can
13	leave
14	JOHAN VELASQUEZ: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
15	TRANSLATOR: He is saying that he has his social
16	security already, but he is not able to find a job,
17	and he needs more support, and he's not getting it in
18	order to actually get on his feet.
19	JOHAN VELASQUEZ: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)
20	TRANSLATOR: He is saying the food in the shelters
21	is not sufficient, and they have to go to the
22	churches to get food.
23	JOHAN VELASQUEZ: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: So, today they decided, because they are not getting enough food, to come to City Hall,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

and coincidentally, today, we were talking about this issue, and there was as protest outside about this issue.

JOHAN VELASQUEZ: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: He is saying (TIMER CHIMES)

coincidently, he spoke to one of the people in the protest outside, and he said, "Because of destiny, we are having this city council meeting, and you can speak your issues here right now, today."

JOHAN VELASQUEZ: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: He migrated here, and they are urgently needing recourses to mobilize themselves.

JOHAN VELASQUEZ: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

TRANSLATOR: You guys understand, but basically instead of focusing on just getting people out within 30 days, focus on helping people be connected with job opportunities, so that they can, in turn, leave the shelters, because they would have work to support themselves.

JOHAN VELASQUEZ: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: He's basically annoyed with ,you know, because the focus has been on transiting people in and out of the shelter system within the 30 day timeline, and enough effort has been put into

2

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

25

them actually do have the ability to work - because 3

ensuring that they are employed, even when some of

4 he has his papers, and hasn't been able to identify

5 work. But, he is looking for work, so that he can

rent his own apartment so that he can exit the

7 shelter. And, so, he would rather that the attention

be on helping him do that as opposed to being laser

focused on evicting him every 30 days. Gracias.

JOHAN VELASOUEZ: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

JOSE PEREZ: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

JOSE PEREZ: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: (SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE)

15 So, you know, basically, I think the gentlemen

16 just expressed , you know, a need for better food.

He's very appreciative for the food that is being 17

18 given, because it is better than none. But, it isn't

19 , you know, enough. Sometimes they get a little pack

20 of cereal, a piece of bread, and it is just ,you

21 know, nutritionally it's not the best meal for grown

2.2 people. And, so, you know, again, while he is

2.3 appreciative, they could do better by that. He is

also requesting... emphasizing the need for more 24

social services, so that people are in the shelters

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

helpin to redirect them and helping them start planning that life goal to self-sufficiency, because that component is missing. Gracias.

PANEL: Gracias

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Can you make sure that your mic is on, ma'am?

ANN FAWCETT AMBIA: My name is Anne Fawcett Ambia,

I am a retired public interest attorney, and I'm a

member of an organization in Bay Ridge that has been

going out to Floyd Bennett Field at least once a week

since December.

As you probably know, Floyd Bennett Field is four miles in either direction from anything, and there is no subways there - just the bus that runs down Flatbush Avenue.

In the beginning, in December, we were bringing shoes and jackets, because people didn't have them.

We've been asked for blankets and medicines, because of the children being sick, so we've been bringing

Children's Tylenol and things like that. People are really cold in the tents, and so that's the blankets - and then when the evictions started, we were asked for suitcases.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

But, I want to talk about... and we're... our access to being able to bring things there is being severely restricted, which I can tell you more about if you want to know.

But, one of the things I haven't heard anybody talk about yet is mail. I've met people from the Muslim Community Network, who were doing work in the Bronx, who told me that people in the places that they're going to are having the same problem. In Floyd Bennett Field, the mail comes in a huge box, and it's dumped on a table. People cannot find their mail. I've talked to five women on February 10th, who told me... Well, their car ID cards that they have to show when they go through the gate, will register when somethings been mailed. So, they told me that they were informed that on January 25th, their IDNYC cards had been mailed, and on February 10th, they had not received them. And they can't... the mail isn't sorted. It's in huge piles, so they don't know if it's been stolen by someone else. One person told me she thought (TIMER CHIMES) some of the guards were involved in bribery schemes for being able to get your mail. But, when you add...

So, people can't even go to a post office - there isn't one nearby - but they couldn't make arrangements to go to a post office to get mail because you need IDNYC to be able to do that. So, then you add in that 60 day evictions - what's happening to peoples mail? People being dropped out the system? Those people are not getting mail, so in addition to the lack of services that people are getting, they're missing being able to get to 30 day meetings; they're not getting any information about political asylum.

One woman, when I took a photo... she had been given a list of four places that she could allegedly call to get an attorney who would help her with a political asylum case, and she said she couldn't get through to anyone. I took a photo, and called the four numbers myself, and only was got a response from one agency - and I have the names - but she's right, you know, I got recorded messages in some of them saying you know, we will call you back - I never got a call back. One of them said we may not call you back, because of the volume of calls we receive, and they never called me back. Another one just had an automated voice thing that said, leave message, and I

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

_

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

did, and I never got a call back. And then the one place that I did get through, after calling three times and it being busy, told me that they only have a few countries were that they represent people in.

But, as an attorney I did political asylum cases in the 90's, and it's a very specialized field. You cannot go into a hearing and meet an attorney 30 minutes before your hearing and have them able to represent you adequately. Because, you have to have proof , you know, when you're talking about being persecuted as a member of a protected group... allegedly under US law, you have to be able to establish evidence of one kind or another from the region that you're from. And one of the women I've talked to walked to the border from Ecuador. Her husband died in the Darién Gap. She is Shuar. She's from a jungle region in Ecuador that has had a lot of problems with oil and mining extraction that have polluted the land, and people are being killed if they protest about the water being polluted. Those companies are no longer American. They're nationalized under the Ecuadorian government, but nothing has changed in in terms of what they're going through. She had two little children, and that leads

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

me to another issue, which I've heard from many
people...

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: We need to move on to the next person, but...

ANN FAWCETT AMBIA: Okay.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But, I want to thank for that, because I think you are absolutely right. And it is something that didn't come up, the mail issue, it's strictly important, because people are getting very important notifications that's being lost in the transition. So, thank you for highlighting that, and thank you for your donations and ability to get in and out of the shelters to bring in goods to the families who need them.

ANN FAWCETT AMBIA: Well, we are not being allowed in anymore... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: We should definitely talk about that, thank you.

Yes, go ahead?

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Hello, my name is Leon Johnson, and I am for the state of the 30-60 day rule. What I believe about the mayor, what he's trying to do is ridiculous. He wants to stuff, because the money. But, he's just mad because Biden

3

4

5

6

7

8

)

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2223

24

25

is not paying him back and he's out of the (INAUDIBLE) the Biden reelection campaign for the mayor's team.

But, I want to say this right now about this stuff, uh, where was... I want to know why didn't Alexa Avilés, who is Immigration Chair, talk about what happened recently with illegal immigrant shelters. She's the Immigration Chair, she should have brought this up, because we have a couple migrants here right now, and I think they're going through this, too. I don't know, they probably are with illegal migrant shelters where you have to pay X amount of money in a donation to stay. We don't know how they are being been treated. We don't know how... if they've been abused, sexually abused, and I think the city council needs to bring about hearing about sexual abuses in the shelter system related to Migrant Committee in conjunction with Immigration Committee.

Now, what happened yesterday, it was a African immigrant who owns two businesses in Joann Ariola's district and Oswald Feliz's district; I didn't see these two here to say anything. They didn't come to do a walkthrough. They didn't come through at all.

So, I don't think they really care about the migrants, other than you to say, like, deport, uhm, get rid of migrants. I think it's kind of stupid.

They're already here, you can't do anything it.

But, I'm at this point right now, like, the mayor, what he's trying to do, is he trying to get republican support, he's trying to get conservative and moderate support for reelection, because he's scared of a potential primary probably from Scott (INAUDIBLE). And this is all it's about, he's trying appease the right, but he's trying to keep the republican support. I think he's kind of stupid, but it just... this the 30-60 rule need to stay. These people are here, there is nothing you can really do about it. You can say close the border all or you want, that's a different topic, but (TIMER CHIMES) they're already here. You can't do it about it. It is what it is so. I'm for the rule. Keep it, please, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Appreciate it, thank you.

TOWAKI KOMATSU: You represent Harlem, right? So, basically, I have been in two shelters in Harlem. I was assaulted in one of them on February 15th, I took six punches to my head. DHS keeps transferring me to

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

shelters for mentally ill people. I don't have mental illness. I don't have a drug problem. I've never been convicted of any crime. So, the point is, you're the chairwoman of this committee, what can you do to stop DHS from transferring people to known dangerous shelters? After I was assaulted, I went to the hospital, and when I came back my assailant was still

there. He was still there the next day.

I have a fair hearing today against DHS assigned to OTDA. There was supposed to be an earlier fair hearing on February 20th, the problem is OTDA sent me the scheduling notice one day after the fair hearing was supposed to occur. I talked to Miss Park, who lied to your face while she testified today. I asked her today, uh, how come I didn't get the evidence packet for today's hearing? She totally ignored me. I testified to you previously about that specific issue. I also testified to you previously about the fact that HRA or DHS won't let me in their doors to exam draft versions of proposed contracts. There was one this week involving Legal Aid. So, don't you think if I am going to have a fair hearing today, it would be helpful if I knew what that contract would entail to find out when I was in the Bronx housing

2	court, why a Legal Aid attorney told the judge,
3	"Sorry, but we are not going to represent him,
4	because this isn't our day to do that."? So, the
5	point is, you're funding all of this organizations,
6	but at the end of the day, there is no oversight. You
7	talked about x-ray machines, the shelter at 55 West
8	110th Street by Central Park, you walk in the door,
9	they didn't check my bag. So, I sarcastically asked
10	them, "How many guns do you think I could fit in that
11	medium-sized duffle bag? Uh, how many knives?" They
12	did that on three or four occasions. So, you have
13	video security cameras installed in the lobby, if you
14	issue a subpoena against BRC, the operator of that
15	shelter, you can get the video. (TIMER CHIMES) So,
16	again, I'm not going to waste your time this
17	testimony Your team recently asked to intervene in
18	a case, Judge Frank is the assigned judge to that
19	case. He also the same judge assigned to my case
20	against HRA. So, I am going to ask Judge Frank, since
21	when I come here to testify to you, I leave here, and
22	then I get six punches to my head, only because
23	people like you - basically the position you guys
24	take towards me is, to hell with you.

2.2

Seventy-five percent of my clients received

AMEYA BIRADAVOLU: Sorry, I am kind of hot and cold and the same time, so bear with me. My name is Ameya Biradavolu I'm a licensed social worker, and I managed one of the first shelters, if not the first shelter for asylum seekers in New York City in Harlem from 2019 to 2023 - but this shelter was there since 2011.

I just want to say I was pretty disgusted with the Mayor's Office and their inability to recognize all of the service providers, mostly Black and brown, that have been here doing this work for years.

When I started managing the shelter, I was 25, so I don't really take excuses from other service providers putting out you know problematic policies and saying this is under the guise of care - it's not - as someone who's actually done that work on the ground, and trained other social workers to do that work on the ground.

I have first-hand experience on how to provide trauma informed care to asylum seekers through progressive shelter policies. I did this through the Trump administration's change and policies, through COVID, and through the Mayor's emergency crisis.

2.2

_ -

permanent housing after year in New York City, and for the past eight months, I've been working alongside Malikah, mostly on a volunteer basis, to support over 850 asylum seekers with I.D., health insurance, food assistance, clothing assistance, and legal support. I've also been working as a volunteer with legal providers to set up pro se clinics this spring in lieu of the city's services.

I am testifying again to pass the amendment to this bill. I'm especially concerned, because the day after New Year's, so this January 2nd, people were woken up out of their bed and told to leave with nowhere to go a few days before one of the coldest blizzards of the year.

I personally received phone calls from service providers, and this is the first winter in five years that I haven't been able to provide housing. So, it was especially heartbreaking being the one place where people Googled me from across... from other countries, press googled me from everywhere (TIMER CHIMES) and were able to find me, but the Mayor's office wasn't.

Clients have told me that they've experienced nights sleeping on the street in blizzard conditions,

/

struggle to find food, and some people have been going through trash. If provided stays, they were provided one night emergency spaces were 3 to 400 people are crammed into her room, mostly filled with pews, no beds, no pillows, and limited heat. Most of these places do not adhere to the building and safety regulations that any other shelter or nonprofit would need to operate and receive funding.

When I applied for House of Worship Funding, we were told that we'd have to spend hundreds of thousand dollars on a space that was fully renovated - over millions of dollars in renovations - a church - and we had the funding held in bureaucratic systems.

My one-to-two staff team did not have the capacity to continue with our private funding and remain and keep our shelter open. The only other alternative without the city shelters is sleeping on the street, where, even for me this winter, dressed in snow gear, I can't imagine spending more the five to 10 minutes out on the street, let alone someone's who has faced persecution and death threats - about three times within the course of a year. As someone who sheltered people from the cold, it breaks my

heart that many people experience their first winter on the streets, without winter clothes, and freezing temperatures.

To file for asylum, you must fear persecution for your life and be on US soil at the time of filing the document [I-589]. Unlike refugees, asylum seekers are still being processed in the country and are therefore in eligible for most programs. They're not given refugee relocation assistance, which is a federal budget line since the 1980's that provides temporary stipends to resettle in the US. They also barred from SNAP, Medicaid, Social Security and other programs until the I-589 is received by the federal government; put on 180-day clock, and then a work authorization application is filed, and then processed and mailed back.

During those eight months, asylum seekers don't just wait in poverty, they wait in extreme poverty, and are reliant on mutual aid and shelters to fill this gap of services.

I'm confused why shelter limits don't take into consideration immigration policies that we know our clients are navigating. We know it takes 180 days for work authorization to process, and we know migration

2.2

2.3

_ ¬

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you to this panel for

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: (APPLAUSE) Thank you.

your testimony.

happens during warm months, and shelter, needs are most important during winter.

An asylum seeker without work authorization is 5.6 times more likely to suffer from hunger. For most asylum seekers, they're experiencing their first winter without any winter clothes and without access to comprehensive healthcare. Just this year, over 80,000 migrants have arrived in New York City, and almost 95% have not been informed that they have to file an I-589 form, which leaves asylum seekers in extreme poverty for longer stretches of time. Not only have people fled dire situations in their home country, but now they're also dealing with deportation and now housing insecurity in a new country where they fear further lives once again.

I'm confused as to why funding to service providers is continuously restricted when New York City this year has \$117 billion budget- which is more than most countries have. I don't understand why individuals have to fill the gaps that the city services should be managing. Thank you.

If we have inadvertently missed anyone who would like to testify in person, please visit the sergeants' table and complete a witness slip now.

And if we have inadvertently missed anyone who would like to testify virtually, please use the Zoom Raise Hand Function.

Seeing that there is no one else, I want to note that written testimonial, which will be reviewed in full by the committee staff, may be submitted to the record up to 72 hours after the close of this hearing by emailing it to testimony@council.nyc.gov.

Deputy Speaker Ayala, we have concluded public testimony for this hearing.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. I want to take a moment to thank all of the individuals who came in to testify today. Obviously, we have a very broken system, and there remains a lot of work to be done. But, I think that ,you know, if anything positive came out of today, it was the realization that there are so many generous and kindhearted New Yorkers that will step in in times of need — and in times when government is lacking in their responsibilities.

(SPEAKING FOREIGN LANGUAGE) With that, this hearing is adjourned. [GAVEL SOUND] [GAVELING OUT

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date March 24, 2024