1		TTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC NGS AND DISPOSITIONS 1	l
2	51111	NOS TIND DISTOSTITONS	-
3			
4	CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK		
5		X	
6	TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES		
7	Of the		
8	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS,		
9	PUBLIC SITINGS A		
LO			
11		October 13, 2021 Start: 10:14 a.m.	
L2		Recess: 12:37 p.m.	
L3	HELD AT:	REMOTE HEARING - VIRTUAL ROOM 1	
L 4	BEFORE:	——————————————————————————————————————	
L5		Chairperson	
L 6	COUNCIL MEMBEF	RS:	
L7		Inez D. Barron	
L 8		Peter A. Koo I.Daneek Miller	
L 9		Mark Treyger	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS 2	
2	APPEARANCES	
3	Paul Woody Vice President of Real Estate here at Project	
4	Renewal	
5	Lin Zeng Department of Housing and Preservation	
6	Development	
7	Aaron Koffman Managing Principal at the Hudson Companies	
8	Frank Lang, I'm the Director of Housing for St.	
9	Nicks Alliance	
10	Erin Buchanan Deputy Director of Brooklyn Planning	
11	Erin Drinkwater	
12	DSS DSS	
13	Michael Ohlhausen Hudson Companies	
14	-	
15	Joe Moyer Magnusson Architecture and Planning	
16	Paul Kelterborn Friends of Cooper Park	
17	<u>-</u>	
18	Ericka Keller Brisa Builder Corporation	
19	Makeda Marshall-NeSmith Brooklyn Planner for Glenmore Manor	
20	-	
21	Rosa Kelly Director of Land Use Planning and Development for	
22	HPD's Government Affairs Team	
	Randall Powell	
23	Development team responsible for the substantial rehabilitation of 101 West 141 st Street and 122-	
24	123 West 144 th Street	

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS 3
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Roland Powell
4	Development team responsible for the substantial rehabilitation of 101 West 141 st Street and 122-
5	123 West 144 th Street
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC 1 SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS 2 SERGEANT BRADLEY: PC recording is up. SERGEANT LUGO: Cloud recording is good. 3 4 SERGEANT PEREZ: Backup is rolling. SERGEANT HOPE: Thank you. Sergeant Polite, will 5 you begin with your opening statement? 6 7 SERGEANT POLITE: Yep, good morning and welcome 8 to the Remote Hearing on Landmarks, Public Sitings and Dispositions. Will Council Members and staff please turn on their video at this time. Thank you, 10 11 to minimize disruptions, please place all cell 12 phones, electronics to vibrate. You may send your 13 testimony to landusetestiomy@council.nyc.gov. Once 14 again, that's landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. 15 Chair, we are ready to begin. 16 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Sergeant. Good 17 morning. I am Council Member Kevin Riley, Chair of 18 the Subcommittee on Landmarks, Public Sitings and 19 Dispositions. I am joined today by my colleagues 20 Council Member Koo, Barron, Reynoso and Darma Diaz. 21 Today, we will vote on the designation of the Dorrance Brooks Square Historic District and a 2.2 2.3 proposed site selection for a new DOT facility at 101 Varick Avenue in Brooklyn. Both of which we heard at 24

our September 13th meeting. We will then hear three

_

2 | HPD projects, Cooper Park Commons, Glenmore Manor,

3 and the TMN1002-West Harlem Renaissance UDAP in

4 Article 11. We will vote to approve LU 828, the

5 designation of the Dorrance Brook Square historic

6 district which includes approximately 325 buildings

7 | in two sections of Fredrick Douglass Boulevard in

8 Council Member Perkins District in Manhattan. This

9 | is the first historic district in New York City named

10 for an African American.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

Reynoso.

We will also vote to approve LU 835 101 Varick

Avenue. This items is an application submitted by
the Department of Transportation and the Department
of Citywide Administrative Services pursuant to the
Section 197-C of the New York City Charter for the
site selection and acquisition of a property located
at 101 Varick Avenue in Brooklyn for use as a DOT
operation and warehouse facility. This site is
located in the District represented by Council Member

We will now vote to approve LU 828 and 835.

22 | Counsel, please call the roll.

23 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Riley?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Aye.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Koo?

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: I vote aye.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Barron?

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I vote aye.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: By a vote of three in the affirmative, zero in the negative and with zero abstentions, the items are approved and referred to the full Land Use Committee and we will hold the vote open.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Counsel. I now recognize Counsel to explain today's hearing procedures.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair Riley. I am

Jeffrey Campagna, Counsel to this Subcommittee.

Members of the public who wish to testify were asked

to register for today's hearing. If you registered

to testify and are not yet signed into Zoom, please

sign in now and remain signed in until after you have

testified.

If you wish to testify and have not registered, please go to www.council.nyc.gov/landuse to sign up now. If you are not planning to testify on today's items, please watch the hearing on the New York City Council website. All people testifying before the Subcommittee will be on mute until they are

-

recognized to testify. Please confirm that your mic is unmuted before you begin speaking. Public testimony will be limited to two minutes per witness.

If you have written testimony and you would like the Subcommittee to consider an addition to or in lieu of appearing before the Subcommittee, or if you require an accessible version of a presentation given at today's meeting, please email

landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Please indicate
the LU number or project name in the subject line of
the email.

During the hearing, Council Members who would like to ask questions should use the Zoom raise hand function. The raise hand button should appear at the bottom of the participant panel. I will announce Council Members who have questions in the order that they raise their hands. Witnesses are reminded to remain in a meeting until they are excused by the Chair.

Lastly, there may be extended pauses if we encounter technical problems. We ask that you please be patient as we work through these issues. Chair Riley will now continue with today's agenda.

2.2

2.3

development action area.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I now open today's public
hearing on LU 889, 890, 891, 892, and 893. The
Cooper Park Commons project. This application were
submitted by the Department of Housing Preservation
and the Development of Maspeth Manager, LLC. A joint
venture of the St. Nicks Alliance, Hudson Companies
and the Project Renewal. The Application requests
approval of a proposed amendment of the Zoning Map
changing in R6 District to an R7-2 C2-4 District.
And the amendment of appendix F of the Zoning
Resolution to designate a mandatory exclusionary
housing area. The grant of a special permit pursuant
to Section 74-743A2 of the Zoning Resolution to
modify height and setback requirements. The minimum
distance between building with a large scale general
development. The designation of a property located
at 288 Jackson Avenue Block 2885, Lot 1 as an urban

An urban development action area project for such an area and the disposition of such property to a developer selected by HPD. And the modification of a prior disposition of a city-owned property located at 20 Kingsland Avenue Block 2885, Lot 10. To change the permitted community facility used from a

C

2 healthcare facility to the use of general community 3 facility uses.

These actions will facilitate the redevelopment of a 4.5 acre former excuse me, 4.5 acre former Greenpoint Hospital Campus in the East Williams

Bridge into Cooper Parks Commons. A mixed use complex with two new buildings and the enlargement of two of the historic former hospital buildings provided approximately 553 units of affordable and senior housing, community facility uses and light retail. And the onsite replacement of the 200 bed Kleiman Residence Homeless Shelter.

This project is located in the district represented by Council Member Reynoso. I would like to allow my colleague Council Member Reynoso to give some words about this project. Council Member Reynoso.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you Chair Riley for the opportunity to speak in your Subcommittee today. I want to thank all the Council Members that are on. I also want to thank the applicants for coming to this uh, from being mandated to but for being here. Thank you for being here.

2.2

2.3

J

2.2

It's been a long time. I'm talking about decades since this hospital was shut down of having an area in our district that was right for a housing development. You know, sit without development for over 40 years. I think it's 40 years, this Committee has come together and put together a plan that is existed I want to say, and they are going to correct me. The applicants will correct me.

They put a plan together 20 years ago for exactly what they want to see on this site because of politics. Straight up, because of politics, we haven't been able to develop this site and build the very important affordable housing that this community greatly needed 30, 40, 20 years ago. Instead it languished and the community was relegated to extreme gentrification without an opportunity to stay in their homes. But it seems like the politics have changed in North Brooklyn and because of it, this Administration decided that we could move forward with the building of affordable housing.

I want to give you that history because what we're seeing here today is something that's extremely important extremely like powerful for a community that has been highly gentrified and hasn't seen a

share of affordable housing and assistance from the city related to displacement.

We're talking about over 700 units of housing on a city owned site, 100 percent affordable housing.

Of those 200 beds that will be going to homeless individuals. We are maintaining the amount of homeless individuals that are in and around this site and having a brand new space that is specifically tailored to cater for their needs.

Then we have over 500 units of affordable housing, of which vary from deeply, deeply affordable to affordable housing. There is no games being played on this site. What you see is what you get. It is arguably you know one of the most affordable, resource filled, community-based, community-build, community-led housing developments that the city will ever see. We're taking this site and we're doing the max. We're doing everything that we can.

What we're asking here today is for the Subcommittee to hear us out. I say us because I really feel like this is a partnership between the community, the developer, the community group organization that's been helping us since St. Nicks

2.2

2.3

2 and myself. We've been at this for a long time. So,

3 I'm really excited to be where we are.

Now, I want to be honest, I think we have a little bit of work to do here at the end here. I feel like we're 99 percent to where we need to get to but I really want to allow for the developer and the community organization to kind of say their peace.

Do the best they can to present this amazing application to the Subcommittee. I'm hoping when it's all said and done, we can get to a yes and move it to the Land Use Committee.

Again, I want to thank you Chair Riley for giving me an opportunity to speak and give some context at how unbelievable this moment is that we're finally here after 40 years. So, again, thank you Chair for giving me time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council Member
Reynoso. I think your testimony really gives me a
background of what your community is going to get
from this project. And I'm really elated to
understand that it was a joint effort with community
developers and HPD. So, that's really exciting to
hear. I saw the project and it's going to be very

2.2

2.3

beautiful for your community. So, thank you for your
leadership.

Presented for the applicants, we have Lin Zeng and Erin Buchanan from HPD. Aaron Koffman on behalf of the Hudson Companies and Frank Lang on behalf of St. Nick Alliance. We also have the following individuals available to answer questions, Erin Drinkwater on behalf of DSS, Paul Woody from the Project Renewal, Michael Ohlhausen from the Hudson Companies and Joe Moyer from the Magnusson Architecture and Planning. I now ask the witnesses be unmuted and the Counsel administer the affirmation. Counsel?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Please raise your right hands. Please unmute yourselves, if you have not been unmuted. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the subcommittee and in answer to all Council Member questions?

PANEL: I do.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. You may begin your presentation.

LIN ZENG: Thank you Council Members. My name is Lin Zeng from the Department of Housing and

Preservation Development and we are happy, we're really happy here today to reach this milestone to present this wonderful project in East Williamsburg neighborhood Brooklyn. Next slide please.

As Chair Riley had introduced already, these are the actions that we are seeking today and for this ULURP process. Which includes urban development action area so we could convey this public property for a greater public use to a developer disposition approval zoning map amendment to allow us to reach the density for the amount of affordable housing that we're proposing on the site. A zoning text amendment so we could also apply mandatory inclusionary housing and a special permit to allow us to get to the envelopes that we are proposing in this rather unusual uhm campus. Next slide please.

And here you'll see this is an areal view of the site and certainly Council Member Reynoso's very familiar with. It is the former Greenpoint Hospital campus, which is directly adjacent from Cooper Park, just south of it. And to the north and east of it is the NYCHA campus Cooper Houses as well but it is a site that is close to transit and certainly

2.2

2.3

2.3

_ 1

predominantly residential neighborhood to the west and manufacturing to the east. Next slide please.

And here is a project that Council Member Reynoso already sort of alluded to earlier. But uhm, the approval of these actions and the implementation of this project at the end, you know we'll see more than 550 units of all affordable housing units, which includes senior housing as well. And then on top of that a new shelter facility.

So, currently on the site there is a 200 bed shelter and we're going to relocate that to uhm, one of the buildings which the developer will go into.

But a new facility really designed for that purpose, as well as ground floor community facility and commercial uses. And on top of that, as part of the special permit we seeking, there will be more landscape public areas on the campus. Next slide please.

Uhm, and at this point, I will turn it to Aaron

Koffman but I think before doing that, I just want to
say you know, this was — this was the one project for
me too. I remember going to the Community Board and
I was pregnant with my daughter and I really hope
when she graduates from grade school that I could

bring her by to this campus and actually see these
buildings go up.

So, I'm personally very invested and you know, very excited and I think everybody who has worked on this is as well. So, this is a huge milestone and thank you for having us today. And now Aaron can walk us through the rest of the slides.

AARON KOFFMAN: Thank you Lin. Uhm, I appreciate that and thank you for the introduction and I also uh, want to acknowledge my 15-month-old daughter who was born during COVID who I also want to show this to someday. So, maybe we can go together when the time is right and it feels safe to do so.

So, thank you Lin. Thank you HPD. Thank you

Chair Riley for this opportunity. It's always nice

to be at the subcommittee level for ULURP. It's even

nicer when the Councilperson takes away most of your

talking points in his or her introduction. So, thank

you Council Member Reynoso. It truly has been a

partnership. Uhm, we had a long two hour meeting.

It was the last meeting I had in person prior to

COVID shutting us all down on March 13th in St. Nicks

and uhm —

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I'm sorry Aaron. Can you

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

AARON KOFFMAN: Oh, I'm so sorry, I jumped right in. I apologize Chair. I am Aaron Koffman, Managing Principal at the Hudson Companies. Sorry about that. We started talking about babies and I get excited.

So, uh, where was I? Oh, yes, it's been a real partnership and uh, Council Member Reynoso, your leadership, your feedback, your quidance. You've put your heart into it as you do with everything you do. It has made this project even better and I want to thank you and your staff for the dedication and time. You have a lot on your plate, about to have even more so but we really appreciate all the work you've done over the years to help make this project even better. And to your earlier point, you know we are sort of the tip of a 40 year collaboration and evolution of transforming this project. Area groups, local stakeholders of course GREC have been critical to getting us to this day and I want to thank them as well for helping to shape what we believe is a project that we all will be proud of. Elected officials, city agencies and of course this development team but hopefully also our neighbors

2.2

2.3

will think this is a great introduction into this wonderful neighborhood. So, thank you for that long introduction and finally, I wanted to thank HPD and Department of City Planning for the thousands of hours we have spent on making this into a wonderful project.

Finally, my team is having a bit of issues I'm hearing with the Zoom crashing. So, bear with us.

Mine seems fine but uhm, a couple of my colleagues who may be speaking may have some issues logging in.

If so, I'll try and cover for them if we don't hear from them but I just wanted to let you know that's the case.

Now, on to the project team. Thank you for letting me digress. So, St. Nicks has been in the neighborhood since 1975 serving low and middle income families in North Brooklyn. It has developed 2,600 units of affordable housing and has been of course a part of the GREC Coalition since the 80's. Hudson has been around since 1986. We got our start actually building affordable housing on uhm, land that had been seized through foreclosure by the city and became one of the largest developers in the new homes program with the housing partnership, where we

2.2

2.3

built over 1,700 units of housing. Many in North
Brooklyn as well. We have over 3,500 units of
affordable housing complete and another 3,200 in the
pipeline and we are certainly happy that Cooper Park

Commons is a cornerstone of that.

Project Renewals, one of the oldest and most venerable institutions when it comes to homeless housing and services. They currently offer over 2,000 shelter beds and 1,900 permanent housing units as well as other services as well. Next slide please.

And as Lin has alluded to, we are now Zooming in from the map here. Here is the area site. So, the yellow is sort of the super block and Cooper Park Houses sort of lies on the north and eastern side. Here is the existing Greenpoint Hospital building. There currently is a DHS shelter being operated there by DHS. This is St. Nicks headquarters here. Can you see my cursor? I'm sorry Chair. You can, okay.

Uhm, and then over here is the existing nurses residents on the south east. Bound by these orange lines, which is just outside of the urban development area, our neighborhood women's houses, oh, thank you. Which is developed actually by St. Nicks. So, our

plan is to fold them in together so architecturally
they work well but also recreationally, they will

work well too.

2.2

2.3

The powerplant you see here includes quite a large smokestack that is connected to the hospital building that faces Jackson and we will be tearing that part down later on in the project. So, you can see here; and this middle street is Skillman's Street. It actually is right now a closed Street to the public and we are going to show you how we plan to intervene here to create a more open, welcoming environment for not just the residents but also the neighbors as well. Next slide please.

So, going from there you can see that we have four buildings that we plan. Buildings one and three again are the existing buildings. We are going to reuse them, transform them, preserve them into housing which I will get into in a second. Building one, again, an existing building. It will be a 200 bed homeless shelter run jointly with Project Renewal and St. Nicks.

Building two will transform vacant land into a 310 unit all affordable development with some retail community facility. Building three is the existing

Greenpoint Hospital. Again, that's where there is a

gumment DUC shelter Our plan is to move these

3 current DHS shelter. Our plan is to move those

4 shelter operations down into building one. And in

5 | it's place, we will transform the building from the

6 inside only into 106 units of senior affordable

7 housing.

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Finally, building four, where the powerplant is, that will be torn down and we will put up a brand new building of 137 units of affordable housing plus a community in a senior facility that will also serve Cooper Park Houses across the street. And you can see here in our site plan, which we'll get into in a second. We've transformed the area to include a lot more greenery, uhm, places to sit, places to relax, as well as some private recreation spaces as well for the residents. Next slide please.

Here is our affordability mix. Again, this is assuming a family of three at \$107,400 based on 2021 AMI. Building as we said, building one will be a 200 bed shelter. Building two, 311 units with the Super. You can see a mix of incomes. Going from 30 percent AMI up to 80 percent AMI. Building three is the senior building, with not only 33 formerly homeless units but also 73 units at or below 50 percent AMI.

This is mainly geared towards Social Security income.
So, that HPD wants us to underwrite it. I think this
good policy that in the event an individual doesn't
have other means besides Social Security, they are
not in a place where they are living in an
unaffordable situation. So, 73 units below 50
percent AMI and then building for the new building
that will take the place of the powerplant. We'll
also have units 41 formerly homeless and then units
again from 30-80 percent AMI. Total units is 556,
more than 50 percent of the units in building two and
four are family units, two and three bedrooms. All
units are below 80 percent AMI. One-third of the
units are below 50 percent AMI and of course 30
percent of the units are formerly homeless. Next
slide please.

I don't know if my colleague Paul Woody is on from Project Renewal? Checking, going once.

PAUL WOODY: Yeah, I'm here.

AARON KOFFMAN: Hey Paul, alright. So, Paul will do the homeless shelter. Thank you Paul.

PAUL WODDY: Sure, yeah, I mean I just really quickly. You know Project Renewal is really excited to be part of this project.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Paul, can you just identify

3 yourself for the record please?

PAUL WOODY: Oh, yes, my name is Paul Woody, I'm
Vice President of Real Estate here at Project
Renewal.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

PAUL WOODY: So, Project Renewal is excited to be part of this project. Like the Council Member said, you know we're excited to be part of a purpose built shelter. We've had a hand in the design to best serve our clients, our staff and the community. We pride ourselves in having an integrated approach to our client care. So, we'll have healthcare, occupational therapy, housing placement services dedicated to outdoor space for clients, all within the shelter and you know we are committed to working with the community and take our security very seriously in and around our building. And I'll turn it back over to Aaron at this point.

AARON KOFFMAN: Thank you Paul. Next slide please. You can see we're going to have a Community Advisory Board, a 24/7 security program as well, I'm sorry.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Uhm, so now going down to the ground floor, you know this is not extending any retail corridor, so we were trying to come up with a plan that services the needs of the community and still activates Maspeth mostly, as well as Jackson in a smart way. So, the biggest intervention we're doing is a community health clinic. A 5,000 square foot clinic where box one is shown. That's in the Southeast or eastern part of building two.

There will be a business and workforce development center that we're putting in really in the southern part of building two, you can see that's box two. And then there's a small café that we hope to just you know activate the space to some degree and give people a place to grab some coffee and maybe a sandwich on the southwest part of building two as well, all of the space Maspeth.

As you can see the sidewalk will be much wider which we will get into in a second. So, it's important that there are people around just to give it a sense of, a good sense of human scale. And then obviously it looks upon the park, which we will get into in a second.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

please.

1

Finally, last but not least, the senior center that I mentioned that St. Nicks will be operating for both the site and Cooper Park Houses across the street. Which is another 5,000 square feet and that will be at the base of building four. Next slide

Uhm, and of course, you can't create spaces without creating great opportunities for people that live there. Job creation is a critical component for this team. St. Nicks currently runs a job training program that we will be bolstering and it will be written into the general contract for each of the buildings and into the subcontracts within the general contract, so that it is mandatory that we hire locally. That we support local hiring. We support MWBE hiring, not just through Hire NYC but through out own initiatives. We're not trying to reach some percentage, we're trying to you know do everything we can, go beyond that. Hudson has certainly done that on other projects that were publicly owned in the Bronx mostly and also in east New York. In Council Member Barron's District, where we exceeded our own local and MWBE hiring. This will be no different, in fact I think we're even going to

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

be better when one of our partners runs one of those
programs.

There will be community open space you can see, public open spaces throughout the campus, bringing in new trees, shading, lighting, cameras coming into the areas you know that are in between the building and of course sustainability. We will be building to a passive house standard. Hudson currently has the largest passive house building in the world at Cornell Tech on Roosevelt Island. That's about to be surpassed by Jonathan Rose at Sendero Verde but we are taking our knowledge and putting it into building passive house buildings for the new buildings. The existing buildings will be built to lead gold. reduce emissions and improve air quality, which we all know can trend towards the lower income neighborhoods in our city.

So, where we can do our part, we will. Hudson also proudly, in Council Member Barron's district had at one point the largest multifamily solar foot of all take array system in the state of New York at Gateway Elton. So, we know solar well, we are putting those on buildings two and four and then, we will, as we've all now experienced most recently with

2.2

Hurricane Ida, already are keyed in towards an expansive neighborhood stormwater retention system where we will have detention tanks and retention cisterns and places where we can hold water back from going into the sewer during a rain event and let that trickle back into the system slowly once the clouds literally part.

So, it's super important that we have empowerment here. That we have sustainability and we have resiliency as we bring these buildings to fruition.

Next slide.

And here are some views. This is on Maspeth looking basically past the eastern part of building two. So, the glass area that you're seeing on the ground floor there, that will be the community health center. Looking beyond that is the existing Greenpoint Hospital. So, we tried to take the color hues from the hospital and apply them to the new buildings and the color hue, the palate obviously matches building one, the shelter which we'll be preserving, which is there on the right side. Next slide.

Here is an axon view basically as if we were a drone over Cooper Park looking northwest. So, that's

building one there, the 200 bed shelter is the C-shaped building you are seeing there in the southeast corner of the block. Building two is the large new building with solar panels and recreation space for the tenants. Building three is the existing hospital that you see there with the arched windows and building four is looking north towards Cooper Park Houses. Next slide please.

And this is looking southeast from Jackson street. So, these are the neighborhood women's buildings that are owned by St. Nicks. So, this basically shows you the front of building four where the smokestack is. So, that you can see there is a midrise building with the taller building two in the background looking southeast and St. Nicks headquarters basically is in the right side there on the corner. Actually no, it's further down the block now that I think it about it, it's further down the block. Next slide.

Yeah, so, going back to this private recreation idea, so you're looking right now at the eastern part of buildings three and four. And this is the neighborhood women's building, so essentially right now there's some fencing there. Uhm, in partnership

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with St. Nicks and one of the benefits of course of having this partnership with St. Nicks, we're going to take these fences down and basically activate new recreation space for the existing residents of the neighborhood women's building. Essentially on the northeast corner of Jackson and Debevoise and brining this lawn in here but that's all private just for the residents of three and four and the current residents of the neighborhood women's building.

I believe we could also have the rest of the tenants there but it's sort of enclosed in a nice natural way. On a lower scale, you can see you know the buildings are not that high. It brings in a lot of light and air and it should be a wonderful amenity for the residents there. Next slide.

This is a view from Cooper Park. Yes, you can see here this is the bulk of building two and what will become the shelter there on the right with building three and four there through the alley going north. And you can see how the buildings work with the park across the street. Next slide.

So, you know, we've had some really good conversations both with Council Member Reynoso, his staff, as well as City Council Land Use. I want to

30

2 thank them too for the many hours we've spent

1

3 together trying to you know make important tweaks. I

4 think that that will make the project even better.

5 One of them is the bulk of building two and the

6 | feedback we heard was important and what we've tried

7 | to do instead. So, if you just, I'm sorry, if you

8 | could just backup one slide please. There, so you

9 can see there, it was trying to break up this bulk

10 massing here of building two. This is the existing

11 one. This is what was in our proposal and what we've

12 | been working on and then next slide please.

and southern sides. Next slide.

This is how we've chosen to our proposed breaking up the blocks that face Maspeth and face Cooper Park. So, it's just a nice easy delineation. I actually, we really like it actually. I think this was a great pivot that we did to break up the blocks. I think it makes it even more interesting. We didn't lose one affordable unit, which was important I think to everybody and gives it a nice façade at both the west

I believe that is it. We're happy to answer any questions and again, we thank you for the time and the opportunity to speak with you today.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much Aaron, Lin and Paul. I think like you, I'm going to visiting this with my children. It's going to be a beautiful complex. But I do have a few questions. Large portions of the Greenpoint Hospital site have been vacant for decades. Why has it taken HPD and the city so long to advance a development proposal at this site?

LIN ZENG: I could try to answer that. I think with any planning project, any development project in the city, it's going to take a long time. But this is the site, like a lot of city owned sites has a story past and so, I think you know, I think which had to do with uhm, what the community wanted to see and I think eventually, when we started the RFEI process about six years ago, we really, HPD really took it upon ourselves to go out to community multiple times to do workshops, get input, put out an RFEI and to identify projects and a developer that could deliver the project that the community wants to see.

And I think in terms of affordability here and maximizing the number of units we could get on the site is definitely something that a lot of groups in

2.2

2.3

the community signed onto and agree with. So, so, I mean, I've only been in HPD for eight years, so I can't really speak to what happened before then. But here we have a great opportunity for underutilized sites. For a use that clearly the city doesn't have enough of. So, so, I don't know if that answers your question.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: How did HPD determine the target number of affordable housing units?

LIN ZENG: Uhm, this was for the developers and their submissions to submit and we worked with DCP in identifying a bulk that is acceptable, that has good land use rational, design rational. But we obviously did not want to leave any affordable units off the table that we could actually fit here that is an appropriate scale.

So, that's how we got to the number. It wasn't a number that we put in the RFP to say you have to hit this certain number but certainly, we wanted the project to maximize and optimize what they can get without you know, without compromising other things like, light and air and stuff like that.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: This plan calls for construction of a very large new building in close

2.2

2.3

proximity to the occupied existing buildings. Does
the development team have a plan for ensuring that
construction does not adversely affect the existing

5 shelter and affordable housing residents on site.

AARON KOFFMAN: Yes, I mean because it is a taller building, we're required by DOB to put in additional safety measures, have additional site safety staff, submit a site safety plan and a site logistics plan. All of that has to be approved I think by DOB and DOT before we can get DOB approval. So, we will follow their guidelines. We will hire appropriately of course and uhm, and even throughout construction, we'll constantly monitor how things are going and work with Community Board One and elected officials to you know address any issues that may come up as we move through construction.

FRANK LANG: Chair Riley, if I may. My name is

Frank Lang, I'm the Director of Housing for St. Nicks

Alliance. We are the property manager for the four

residential buildings on the site as well as our

headquarters, which is on the corner adjacent to the

first building and the four residential buildings

which are nearer to the second phase, which will be

buildings three and four.

So, we've been very involved to keep residents

who live in our properties, as well as the residents

in the homes down the block and the residents of

Cooper Park Houses across the street, constantly

involved. And they will continue to be involved all

through the construction, which will take about eight

years to finish the entire project. So, it's part of

how we're going to do that and the concerns on

2.2

2.3

require.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Frank. What is the timeline for the four phases of development and then what year will this project be fully completed?

environmental, noise and dust are very much taken

into consideration beyond what DOB or DOT would even

AARON KOFFMAN: Yeah, uhm, sorry, okay. You know our goal is to close on the — we got OMB approval already, which is not the last step but is an important step to registering the contract. Our goal is to close on the shelter, financing building one in the spring. Ideally, we close on building two shortly there after with HPD and likely HDC. And then, it feels like building four, will be — you know building three would come along sometime later. We can't work on building three until building one is

much of the proposed publicly accessible open space

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is taken up by vehicle driveways and parking. Has the development team considered alternate programming for parts of the open space? Such as a playground which is very important or additional passive green space for the community?

AARON KOFFMAN: We have and we've been - oh sorry.

FRANK LANG: No, I just - I think that it's actually not accurate that most of the space is taken up by drive. It's maybe confusing because the pedestrian walkway of Skillman Avenue is currently a de-mapped street and is currently used by DHS for parking trucks and other vehicles. But in reality, that's going to be a wonderful pedestrian you know boulevard. It's going to be wonderful for people to walk and then there will be a connecting passage, walking passage to Maspeth Avenue. So, in fact the amount of drive in the site is very limited. were to go back to the site plain you would see there is a small part that has some vehicular passage but we are in conversation with the Council Land Use staff, as well as the Council Member to look at that. I think it's also incumbent to remember there is a public park across the street with an active

playground. We are providing a playground for building number two in the open space for that building.

So, and there's a roof terrace and there is going to be other play areas. So, I just uhm, I think it's not characterizing the plan as if it's vehicular access. It's actually very limited vehicular access and it's really about human access which right now when you walk around the campus, it kind of feels like a prison because you have this fence that goes all around it. And it becomes this object that people have to circumnavigate, whereas going forward, people will be able walk down Skillman and it will be a delightful way to get to Cooper Park Houses. And the residents and the community, the resident council of Cooper Park Houses are really looking forward to what we've done in the design.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Frank. And just one more question. I know we talked about public safety; Paul briefly touched upon it. Can you go into more detail about the security measures that your going to be taking on site? Will these securities be armed? How many security guards will

2.2

2.3

be on shift? And can you just go into a little bit more detail, if you have that detail now?

PAUL WOODY: Yeah, I mean I can speak to the shelter and the rest of the team can pick up the rest of the campus but you know, so Project Renewal has a director of security that oversee security at all our shelters. One of the nice things about this shelter being purpose built is that we've been able to be really thoughtful about uhm, uh closed circuit cameras inside the facility and uhm, outside to make sure that we can monitor safety appropriately.

I'm not exactly sure how many security guards will be assigned to every shift here but there will be 24/7 security for sure. And uhm, and we — our director of security also works very closely with uhm, local precincts and yeah, we take it very seriously.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Paul. Counsel, I'm going to go to my colleagues to see if there's any questions but before I begin, I just want to state we've been joined by Council Member Miller. Counsel, I see Council Member Barron has a question. Council Member Barron.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you Mr. Chair and thank you to the panel for being here to talk about this project. During the panel testimony, reference was made to east New York and the projects that were done by Hudson at East New York and those projects in fact were initiated by my predecessor and soon to be successor, my husband Charles Barron and it's been an ongoing kind of project that we've worked with. And we had excessive; well, I don't want to say excessive because you can't have excessive. We've had abundant community input in the projects that resulted and they also had been projects that had been long time in being designed.

So, we're glad to have had that relationship and its ongoing and it gets shaped and molded as we continue to go forward. But I do have a couple of questions about the AMI. I'd like to know, what is the AMI of the neighborhood of that specific community where this is being built? Not the city AMI but what is the AMI of that community? For example, east New York the AMI is about \$37,000 for a family of three.

FRANK LANG: Right, so this is Frank again from St. Nicks. So, I'd have to look at the recent census

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

but in general, Williamsburg is quite the stratified community. We have both a great deal of upper income particularly newer residents moving in which have skewed some of the median income numbers for Community Board One in Greenpoint and Williamsburg.

At the same time, we have you know St. Nicks has developed 2,600 units throughout the area. We have a lot of very low income people who if they live in public housing or in one of our developments who are colleague developments are still here, so we have quite the stratify. I would say it's higher than east New York because all the development that east New York is challenged to look at in terms of market rate development happened or began 15-20 years ago in Williamsburg. And so, we have that accelerating. But I can get that to the Council staff. I don't have that at the top of my head right now the AMI median is. But the skewing to understand the AMI's that we were targeting, the community groups that St. Nicks has been a part of for 40 years were very interested to make sure that this was 100 percent affordable and they were happy that there were some units above the tax credit, 60 percent AMI amount. Because there's a lot of children of residents who

got driven out of the neighborhood because they don't qualify anymore.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Right.

FRANK LANG: But that they can't afford the market rate. So, we tried to have that skewing and that's where we came up with the AMI's.

referred to east New York and you made references to market rate, I just have to say for the record that that rezoning that took place is not in my portion of east New York. That's the northern portion and I'm very pleased to say that for the last 16 years, all of the housing that has gotten public subsidies that has come into our portion of the east New York has not included any market rate. So, I just want to put that on the record.

And in terms of the AMI, I think the chart that I saw said about 150 odd units of the 500 units were between 60 and 80 percent. Is that about what it was?

AARON KOFFMAN: That's about right yeah, we can go back to the chart if the Council wishes to look at it.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay, and then just

finally, uhm, I'm trying to be clear on the portion

of the housing that you are building that is for so-

2.2

called formerly homeless and I'm trying to understand, in addition to housing, that category that we call formerly homeless, are you going to have an additional housing unit for homeless for those who are in shelters?

FRANK LANG: Well, there's going to be the 200

bed shelter that will continue on the site that now, operated by DHS now will be operated by Project

Renewal in the new facility. But out of the permanent housing, there will be 30 percent of the units for individuals and families coming from the homeless system.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay, I thought that's what you were saying. And I just wanted to share with this body, with this audience and as much as I can get this message across the city. New York City has a responsibility to yes, build housing for those who are presently in shelter and move them out of temporary shelter and put them into permanent housing. And I want to just cite a model of what we've done here in east New York and I offer it as a

Э

prototype. Help Homes obligated a square block, four sided establishment for shelters. They decided that they wanted to build permanent housing and they wanted to build 300 permanent units and they wanted to have 100 supportive units and 100 shelter units.

The community said, heck no, it's not happening. We're not going to have it and of course being of that like mine and being the representative, I did not support that project. There was much negotiations that took place and what we finally had submitted and approved, was that there would be no shelters at all, no shelter units in that. Those same people that had been in their initial plan and again in a separate building, which is interesting. In a separate unit for them, not interspersed but a separate unit for them. We're now going to have permanent apartments within that development so that now all 500 units would be permanent housing for people in various categories of income levels.

Well, that's because our community said no. We weren't going to approve it. So, was there any statement made about another shelter or continuing an existing shelter? Was there any discussion about that? And again, I would like to offer the model

Z 1

2.3

that we have for why consideration that you include those units as permanent apartments for people who are in shelter. So that they will know who all will be in shelter and in fact, the 700 units will all be permanent units that are vented to people in various unit sizes and incomes.

FRANK LANG: Uhm, you know I would say having gone through many tumultuous conversations, uh, amongst the local groups about this, one of the realities is that the building that we're using, that we're converting I should say, to the shelter is really not useful as permanent housing. It works as a shelter because there are open wards where you can have the beds. It's a single men's shelter. It's for single men and it works very well and it will be a modern well designed —

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Well, just to jump in quickly because I have a ribbon cutting at eleven o'clock.

FRANK LANG: Yeah, sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: With our technology in the 21st Century, it may not in its present configuration and construction be appropriate but certainly we can knock down the interior or redesign

the interior or move walls and make it appropriate.

2.2

2.3

So, that argument to me doesn't reflect our level of sophistication and adaptation for construction.

FRANK LANG: I would say that the community, if in the future we don't need that as a shelter, the community would welcome it. But that was not part of the consideration at the time. And you know, and I think there is a connection, so that as Project Renewal is trying to work with those individuals to get them to a position where they can live independently in permanent housing, hopefully many of them will be able to stay on campus and be able to be in the housing that we're creating as well. So, uhm, that would be how we're trying to look like.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you. I just wanted to share that earth shattering model that we were able to get implemented and put it about and let all of my colleagues know and see perhaps, you know we never know. Council Member Reynoso said, there's still negotiations that are taking place. So, we don't know what that final product is going to be. But I do have to go to ribbon cutting and Council Member Miller, it's in your old district. We're opening, we're ribbon cutting for an outdoor senior

fitness hub in the pick houses, so I'm a little

delayed. But thank you very much and thank you to

2.2

2.3

the Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council Member Barron. Council Member Reynoso.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you Chair Riley and I want to thank Council Member Barron for her comments. Always very thorough and involved in you know what she believe you know what the housing threat is about. She is somebody that has always been present, so I really appreciate her opinion and her comments.

I do want to — I want to talk about a couple of things there. 30 percent of the units are going to formerly homeless people in this project. So, I want to be like, I think the number right now by law is we have to at least have ten percent and we're at 30 percent. So, we're talking about housing people and making sure that we get them housed. This project is absolutely gong to do that.

But I'm also, I want to talk about the shelter.

Uhm, by law, by Charter mandate, we have to house every single person in the City of New York every single night. And unfortunately not every single

2.2

2.3

person in the City of New York has housing and look — I've worked very hard and I want to continue to work hard to get there. And I'm going to keep fighting to make sure we get there. But until we do, we need to have places where people can go. And Community Board One has become a very gentrified and affluent district and shouldn't exempt itself from building of shelter housing or having shelter beds.

Look, I've done my part since I've been a Council Member. I've taken on countless shelters. I've built affordable housing, so I'm trying to do it all. But I don't want to dismiss the value of homeless shelters as a negative thing. I actually think it's a positive thing. And we have a responsibility to the city to these people to make sure that they're housed appropriately.

So, I just want to say, we have a current shelter on site. We are building housing for formerly shelter people and we're keeping a shelter, right?

We're doing it all. So, I don't want to have this impression that we're keeping the homeless shelter and not building for homeless people. We're doing both and it is not mutually exclusive. You can have an affordable housing project that is going to assist

us in reducing the number of people in homeless shelters, while also having a homeless shelter onboard.

The problem we have with the current nursing, it's a nursing home residence that is a nursing residence for nurses that which we try to convert into a homeless shelter. The community cares deeply about this historical landmark I guess. They love the building itself, the physical building. So, we weren't allowed through this community based process to tear it down. So, it didn't make any sense to have you know put 15, 20 apartments inside this building, which is guiding for buck just doesn't make any sense. It makes the project a lot more expensive. When we can house 200 beds for homeless people.

So, because we couldn't tear it down, which I wanted to do by the way Chair Riley, I want to be clear. I wanted to tear it all down and rebuild the whole thing because we could get 1,000 units of housing. But this is a community based process. A lot of voices need to be heard and we need to make sure we satisfy as many of those voices as possible.

2.2

2.3

So, because we wanted to preserve the shelter — the nursing housing, we ended up having that be the homeless shelter. We maintained our social and moral responsibility of making sure that people are housed, while also allowing for a future where those people can get apartments in this site.

So, it's 700 people are going to be living on this campus, over 700. Of that one-third are going to be in affordable housing and the other 200 of that which we can argue is another third or 60 percent of this — take this for homeless people one way or another. So, I want to just say that homeless shelters all day. I'm cool with it.

But I do want to say this part. It's the open space portion is something that does bother me and I want to make sure that it's on record. It's just not enough of it. We have a park across the street which I'm very grateful for because it means that these residents are going to have a park side view, which is awesome and usually poor people are looking into like industrial complexes or so forth. We don't see water fronts; we don't see you parks. This is going to be a park front property. So, in itself is going

to be a great experience for the tenants that are there.

2.2

2.3

But onsite, considering the 700 people that are going to be living on this campus in this project, I think that the open space is inadequate. I want to be honest, if we take out all the parking and we don't allow for vehicles to move through this campus. I still think it's insufficient open space right? But you have to prioritize between affordable housing and open space. Unfortunately we can't do both on this site, it's just not large enough and I don't want to sacrifice any affordable housing for open space, even though they're both very important to me but we just can't do both.

But with the small amount of open space we do have overall, I want to be able to maximize it. So, I do have issues, no matter you know, the presentations put before me by the development team. I just don't think the parking is necessary still to this day. I also think that the streets that we've opened up and is de-mapped should just be exclusively a pedestrian space and nothing else. No vehicle should ever have to run through that, only bikes and people. It's how I feel, it's what I believe because

of the lack of open space. We have a housing development across the street in Cooper Park Houses that is a smaller massing than these buildings that has a ton of open space and this doesn't reflect that this is a larger project with less open space. Just taking away from the aesthetics of this project. So, that is a concern for me that I just will not move away from.

I'm grateful for the façade changes that have been made by the applicant because I think the building is too bulky. I think design-wise that actually helped it significantly by making it feel like separate buildings by not making it feel like it's just one block. You know like Lego blocks without any variation.

So, I'm grateful to the façade changes to a degree. I still have design issues but we're not going to go back to the drawing board. So, with that said, should we be able to figure out something that expands the amount of open space on this project? No matter what it is. The façade changes being made I think are appropriate and actually solve for a problem that I've been fighting against for a while.

Because I want to be able to look at this project. I

2 have a ten-month-old baby and everybody's talking 3 about their babies.

2.2

2.3

I wanted to go back and see it to but I want it to be — I want people to see it and it be something that we can be proud of and that it's not just a bulky building that just had no character, that just had no presence. It's just like we're just throwing people in — warehousing poor people right. Like, I want it to have flavor. I want it to be something that people walk to that are proud of their buildings and that happens with design. And I just was very concerned about the lack, I thought, the lack of design effort here and almost like the design effort was to put as many people into these buildings as possible as opposed to the design effort being aesthetically pleasing to the people that are going to be living on this site.

So, I don't know if any of those are questions but I guess open space is still a concern for me.

Design-wise, I think we're fine. The affordable housing portions and ranges are great and I don't want to use the Williamsburg AMI for this site because then we would have to put everything at 100 percent AMI because the gentrification and the

J

affluence has made it so that the affordable housing, the AMI in Williamsburg is completely out of whack, like Frank Lang mentioned. So, I don't want to use that. I don't want to focus on the pockets of poverty and their AMI's and this project is reflective of those AMI's.

Uhm, so outside of that, that's where I am. So, I don't know if any applicant, Frank, if you want to go through it one more time. Because we can beat this till like, we're blue in the face, it's just that, I want to allow you not to agree. But to see the perspective of a project that is 700 people. And that this parking, the park, not the parking, the park is insufficient regardless of what we do. We can make the whole thing grass. Every open space portion grass and it's still an insufficient amount of space for the amount of people coming in.

So, when we look at parking, when we look at the street that might allow for vehicular movement, no matter how light it is, it's a concern for me. And that's my biggest concern at the moment. Outside of that, we've done everything else behind closed doors. Or not behind closed doors but with the community to get what we want. So, I'm very happy. Oh, and I'm

2.2

2.3

sorry, Chair Riley I do want to talk a little bit about the history of this project. Because you asked why it took so long and I want to be straight forward with you about why it took so long.

The Housing Chair of the State government was

Vito Lopez. Vito Lopez wanted this to be disposed to

his organization called Richard Bushwick back in the

day. And HPD, in an effort to carry favor with the

state Chair of Housing, decided to dispose of the

property without an RFP to Richard Bushwick to

develop. The community was very upset about that

because they had no say in who the developer was.

There was no RFP, there was no process, this is just

HPD doing the political bidding, a very powerful

individual then County Chair.

So, once we were able to fight that, the project was held back and I believe Bloomberg believed that it was too politically toxic for him to get involved. But de Blasio took it on and was like, we could start working on it now that it seems like the politics are out of the way. Uhm, an RFP was done and in the RFP, HPD has preference that they give to any adjacent property owners of the site. And St. Nicks housing, not only he manages and owns the properties that are

on the site the Neighborhood Women Housing and also have their offices adjacent to it, right next door on the left.

So, across the board and St. Nicks has been doing that work. St. Nicks is also a member of GREC, which was part of the original design team and the neighborhood group that kind of came up with the idea of what they want to see moving forward. Vito Lopez lost his seat because of sexual harassment claims at the state level and has since passed away.

Because the political force is no longer there,
HPD and the Administration found it prudent to start
moving forward with building affordable housing for
this community. So, I just want you to know that 40
years politics held it back. Nothing more than
politics. And now, HPD has come forward and in the
seven years that we've been planning, we've come up
with something amazing. Again, I wish it was
something we could have done 20 years ago, but we're
getting it done now. So, thank you all for giving me
the opportunity to lengthy speak on these issues and
I want to thank everybody again that's here.

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC 1 SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS 56 2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council Member 3 Reynoso. Council Member Miller. Council Member 4 Miller, you have your hand raised? COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Can you hear me now? CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, yes, we can hear you. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Okay, thank you Mr. Chair 8 and thank you to my colleague Council Member Reynoso for his in depth engagement in this particular project. I see your passion in this and obviously 10 11 really understand needs and values of the Williamsburg community. I do have one question about 12 13 something that you brought up during the RFP process. It's something that I was not aware of that there was 14 15 a preference given to property owners by HPD in the process and what that means, not just to 16 17 opportunities and diversity of the project but also, 18 the diversity and opportunity for MWBE's to get 19 involved if in fact they are not those property,

I guess that's an HPD question but it's certainly something that we're concerned and considering that MWBE's are newly arrived to the affordable housing industry and not likely to be the existing neighbor

current property owners aren't MWBE's.

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

of properties that are being developed. Could HPD speak to that?

2.2

2.3

LIN ZENG: I'm sorry Council Member, can you clarify that question? You're asking about our RFP process, our selection process?

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Yes, is there actually a preference for of property owners, of the adjacent properties that go up next to a property that RFP's issued?

LIN ZENG: Sure, so I want it to be on the record, I wasn't around when HPD you know deeded the land or designate the land for Vito Lopez's preference. So, certainly, since my time at HPD, what we've tried to do is to hold a competitive process for all of our public sites and especially for a public site this significant size and impact. We definitely want to pick and have the best project, meaning a development team that has done a project this size and scope. A design that is of highest quality and an affordability that meets the needs of the community. So, that is how we generally do business these days is to put out an RFP after extensive outreach with the local community, so that we can have the best project on the site.

2.2

2.3

In terms of what you refer to adjacent owners, there are instances and they're really rare, where we have small pieces to this property scattered across the city and you know if there is an owner who can contribute land, uhm, and would make the project on the city sites more affordable or you know better for the public, benefit the public in more of its capacity than the land itself that the city has, then we would consider it but that is really on, really like a small amount. And so, I think what you mostly see —

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: So, in this particular case, in this particular case, how much of a consideration were they given in compared to the other respondents to the RFP?

LIN ZENG: The adjacency was -

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: I do understand that there are, you know if in fact, you know I see the situations if a project was able to be an ongoing project was going to be expanded by the purchase of another property that you give preference to the owner of that property or if the developer is able to purchase that property that there is some assistance in there but it seems to me that if a project already

2 exists and not ongoing to just you know is it about 3 the continuity of the project? I'm not understanding

4 | why -

2.2

2.3

LIN ZENG: I mean in this case; this was the best project submitted for all the reasons that I listed. Is development, the capacity makes a tie to the community. So, them being a really fantastic community partner and further designs.

So, you know and unfortunately I can't disclose all the other respondents here. So, that is not something I can do but this project was selected and we hope that you enjoy the presentation, was because it was the best project that was submitted.

FRANK LANG: Council Member Miller, this is Frank
Lang. Again, I don't know how HPD made their
decision but I will certainly say that our design
followed the community vision that HPD went through a
process and most of the input that it got prior to
the RFEI was from community residents and I would say
because we know the community and because we do have
the properties adjacent, our design was probably more
inclusive and more comprehensive but I would not say
that it was because we had the adjacent properties
that that's why we got it.

I would say it's because we have a very strong

team and because our project really was the most

responsive to what the city wanted and needed for the

site.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: I hear you. That's what you would say but MWBE, who shares these values of those communities, I would think that they would articulate that they were equally as qualified to develop this project as well.

FRANK LANG: Yes. I would agree. I would agree but in the design, I'm just saying about our design. I'm not trying to cast any aspersions on anybody else's qualifications. I don't want to do that. You know, I don't know who the other respondents were, if any of them were MWBE's in this particular case.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: This is just about the process in HPD.

FRANK LANG: Yes, I understand.

appreciate it. Council Member, I certainly
appreciate your efforts in putting this and you due
diligence. I know what it it's like to work on a
project for a such a length and see it begin to come
to fruition. So, thank you that's my questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you Council Member Miller and I would love to catch up with you to talk to you about my experience on this one and how the adjacent stuff worked.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Alright, thank you brother.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Alright, absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council Member Miller. Council Member Darma Diaz.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Good morning, thank you

Chair for allowing me the opportunity to speak. I

just want to start directly to Council Member

Reynoso. Thank you for moving this project forward

as a little one that was born in Covenant Hospital,

you know watching for years driving by and watching

the area underutilized, it's definitely a happy

moment for me to know that we're moving forward in a

positive direction. Also, thank you for your

passionate conversation in reference to homeless

individuals. For those that also worked the shelter,

like we call it temporary displaced which is really

what it is.

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

could please admit them and unmute them now.

You know, in closing, thank you for remembering that housing is a human right and thank you all for the dedication, HPD as well and the advocates. I can't thank you so much. From the bottom of my heart, homelessness obviously is a big deal for me and to know that you moved up the digits is pretty impressive.

Thank you. I'm looking forward to seeing the curtains go up.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you Darma and I just want to say I was born in Covenant Hospitals too, so we have something in common Council Member Diaz.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: There you go. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council Member Darma Diaz. Thank you for your testimony to the panel. There being no more Council Member questions, this panel is excused.

Thank you very much. PANEL:

COMMITTTE COUNSEL: There are two members of the public who have signed up to testify on this item. They are Paul Kelterborn and Tadias Brynner. If we

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Mr. Kelterborn, you may 3 begin.

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

PAUL KELTERBORN: Thank you very much. I'm testifying on behalf of Friends of Cooper Park. A community organization focused on advocacy and stewardship of the park directly across the street from the proposed development. Cooper Park is a very heavily used and beloved neighborhood park.

Alongside other organizations in the area and as part of GREC, friends of Cooper Park has been requesting safety improvements to the streets in the neighborhood for many, many years.

With Carrig Montessori School on Olive Street,
the open street on Sharron and the many children and
families on Maspeth at Cooper Park Houses and in our
neighborhood generally, we deserve streets that
deprioritize moving cars and instead support our
safety and a healthy environment. With the
redevelopment of the hospital and the addition of
hundreds of new households to the neighborhood, we
request that the New York City Council demand a firm
commitment from the DOT as part of any approval to
address and remedy our longstanding traffic concerns.

64

In particular safety improvements and the traffic

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 | signal at the corner of Olive and Maspeth and at the

4 corner of Cooper Park, safety improvements and a

5 traffic signal at the corner of Kingsland and

6 Maspeth. Traffic calming on both Kingsland and

7 Maspeth and a comprehensive redesign of the

8 treacherous intersection where Wood point meets

9 Consilia, Maspeth, and Bushwick.

In addition, while any amount of new public open space will be welcomed by our community, the northwest corner of the site plan by Kingsland and Jackson is dominated by a proposed new driveway and service parking which takes up a significant amount of space. In this day and age of climate crisis, it doesn't seem right to be building any new surface parking. This could be an opportunity to weave even more generous pedestrian and green space into the development. Safe streets and access to public space are essential for the many families that visit Cooper Park in our growing residential community.

We urge the City Council to see that these concerns are addressed as part of any large scale rezoning. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Mr. Kelterborn.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC 1 SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS 2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Tadias Brynner(SP?), if you

could please accept the promotion request that has 3 4 come to you through Zoom so you can enter the 5 meeting.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Mr. Brynner, are you ready to begin?

65

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The Committee should stand at ease for 30 seconds while we resolve this. requested that Mr. Brynner accept an invitation to be promoted to a panelist and he's declined that invitation, so we can move on.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Seeing no other members of the public who wish to testify on this item, the public hearing on LU 889, 890, 891, 892 and 893 are now closed and the items are laid over. Counsel -

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: At this -17

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Go ahead.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: At this time I'd like to record Council Member Miller's vote on the items we voted on earlier. Council Member Miller, how do you vote on LU's 828 and 835?

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Could you go through those items again for me?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

66

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: LU 828 is the Dorrance Brooks Historic District and LU 835 is 101 Varick Avenue.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: I vote aye.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. The vote is four in the affirmative, zero in the negative and with zero abstentions. And as noted earlier, the items are recommended for the full Land Use Committee.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Counsel. Next, I open the public hearing on LU Number 848, 849, 850 and 851 related to the Glenmore Manor Project submitted by the Department of Housing, Preservation and Development. These applications request approval of the amendment to zoning map section 17C and 17D changing from an R6 District to an R7A/C2-4 District and changing from an R6 District to an R7D/C2-4 District. Amendment of the zoning Resolutions modifying Appendix F to designate a mandatory exclusionary housing area. Designation of an urban development action area approval of an urban development action area project for such area.

An approval of the disposition of property located at 305-309 Mother Gaston Boulevard 46-64 Christopher Avenue and 112-117 Glenmore Avenue to a developer of HPD's choosing. And approval of the

2.2

2.3

Thank you Chair.

third amendment to the Brownsville to urban renewal plan to change the designation of site 11B from public institutional use to residential use.

The proposed action will facilitate the development of Glenmore Manor and 11 story mixed use building with approximately 232 affordable housing units and 18,600 square feet of commercial and community space as an entrepreneurial hub for local businesses and nonprofit incubations. The project site is located in Brooklyn Council District represented by Council Member Darma Diaz.

And now, I would like to allow my colleague

Council Member Darma Diaz to give some words on this

project. Council Member Darma Diaz.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Good morning. I just thank you, thank you Chair for hearing of the project today and for all that support for this project, the Brownsville plan to come into fruition. As the Chair stated, it's 232 units of which 60 units are going to go toward formerly homeless individuals.

Again, I'm eager for the community to hear about our Brownsville plan and what we look forward to bringing to the community. I turn it over to you.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC 1 SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS 68 2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council Member 3 Darma Diaz. Looking forward to this project in your 4 community. Presented for the applicants, we have Lin Zeng and Makeda Marshall-NeSmith from HPD and Ericka 5 Keller from Brisa Builder Corporation. I now ask 6 7 that these witnesses be unmuted or they unmute themselves and that Counsel administer the 8 affirmation. 9 10 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Please raise your right hands 11 and state your names. 12 ERICKA KELLER: Ericka Keller. 13 LIN ZENG: Lin Zeng. 14 MAKEDA MARSHALL-NESMITH: Makeda Marshall-15 NeSmith. 16 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the 17 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in 18 your testimony before this Subcommittee and in answer 19 to all Council Member questions? 20 PANEL: T do. 21 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much ladies. 2.2 You may begin with your presentation. 2.3 MAKEDA MARSHALL-NESMITH: Thank you. presentation up? 24

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We're bringing it up now.

Just one moment.

2.2

2.3

MAKEDA MARSHALL-NESMITH: Okay, thank you. Thank you. Good morning. My name is Makeda Marshall-NeSmith and I am a Brooklyn Planner, I am the Brooklyn Planner for Glenmore Manor. Lin Zeng, Director of Brooklyn Planning is also in this meeting and we are joined by members of the development team. We are here to share with you Glenmore Manor, which is a project designated through the Brownsville RFP. Next slide please.

Thank you. Glenmore Manor was certified by the City Planning Commission on April 19, 2021. HPD is seeking these land use actions listed to support the development of Glenmore Manor. The sponsor team or development team consists of Brisa Builders, the Local MWBE, the African American Planning Commission and Lemle & Wolff. Next slide please. Thank you, next slide please. Thank you.

This is a project we are proud of that really took into consideration the needs and the requests of the community to develop a responsive project.

Glenmore Manor will be 11 stories and this comprised of 232 affordable rental units plus one supers unit.

_

Э

Approximately half of our units are family sized meaning they are two to three bedroom units. There units for low-income seniors. There are also units set aside for the formerly homeless. Over 18,000 square feet of commercial and community facility space, that will focus on local small businesses are also included in this project.

There are a plethora of amenities including computer rooms, laundry rooms fitness rooms, bike storage, tenant storage and overall building storage.

Over 9,000 square feet of land scaped open space and this project also includes 59 commercial parking spaces and the building will incorporate sustainable features. Next slide please.

Thank you. The Brownsville plan was an HPD led collaborative community process launched in 2016 and worked with residents community partners and elected officials to understand the wants and needs in Brownsville.

The Brownsville plan identified four overall goals to act as framework for the current and future investments in Brownsville. Those goals were to achieve equitable health outcomes, improve neighborhood safety, promote community economic

71

2 development and foster local arts and identity. The

3 process resulted in a plan to create investment in

4 over 2,500 new affordable homes and the quarter

5 nation of over millions in critical neighborhood

6 investments.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Glenmore Manor was designated for Brownsville site B Christopher Glenmore, which was the innovation and entrepreneurship hub in 2018. HPD continues to share updates of interagency progress on identified projects meetings, the goals and strategies set out and the plan through, progress reports, online project tracker and biannual community partners meeting. Next slide please.

Okay, I will turn the presentation over to Ericka Keller of Brisa Builders.

ERICKA KELLER: Thank you Makeda. Good morning to Council Member Chair Riley, to Council Member Diaz and to all the other Council as part of the Subcommittee. We thank you this morning for your time to listen about Glenmore Manor development.

I am representing Glenmore Manor Managers LLC, which is a development entity comprised of Brisa Builders, which is a local MWBE out of Brooklyn in existence since 2016. Lemle & Wolff which is a

2 development general contracting firm. They will 3 serve as a general contractor for this project out of

the Bronx and AAPCI, which is a social service provider, homeless shelter provider out of Brooklyn

New York. 6

1

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Glenmore Manor has a proposed unit mix that is directly targeted to support the AMI's that we currently see in Brownsville today. We have a formerly homeless set aside of 30 percent. We also have received a 1515 allocation from New York City HRA to support 60 single adults, formerly homeless as well as ten formerly homeless families with children.

The formerly homeless income ranges are indicated between the zero to 63,000 a year and those tenants will pay 30 percent of their income towards the rent. The rest will be subsidized. The remaining AMI's range from 30 to 80 percent, which again are directly targeted to the AMI's that we see identified in Brownsville Brooklyn.

50 percent of the units are for families where we have 75 percent of the total unit count dedicated to one bedrooms one more. We also were able to take advantage of an errors bonus, where we have 16 units identified specifically for seniors ages 62 and above

2.2

2.3

and eight of those units will be supported by project based vouchers. The chart that you see in front of you is from the 2021 AMI's. Next slide please.

Again, as discussed in the previous slide, there was really thought about what were the current AMI's in the Brownsville community and those were the AMI's that we were targeting for this project. So, this slide, again this project was sort of emanated in 2017 through the Brownsville plan and was awarded in 2018. So, this data is from 2018 but I would assume that today, particularly given the aftermath of the pandemic that we are still somewhat effected by economically, I would assume that these AMI's are still very reflective of what we would see in the community for 2021.

So, you see the graph indicates that there is a cluster of income bands between 30 percent, between zero percent actually and 80 percent as identified in the Brownsville area Community Board 16 in particular. And in fact again, those are the AMI's that we are targeting for the development. Next slide please.

This just gives you site — thank you. This just gives you site orientation. So, the site is located

2.2

2.3

in what we would consider the northern component of the Brownsville community. It is you know; it covers about three-fourths of one New York City block.

Mother Gaston, Glenmore and Christopher Avenues are the streets that uhm, the site will be located.

There are 17 lots currently that will be combined into one lot for the development. Next slide please.

This site plan gives you sort of an orientation of the thought process behind the contextual design of the building. So, as you saw on the site plan, there are some lower scale buildings that are closer to the Liberty Avenue side of the block and then the buildings scale up as we have Glenmore Houses as well as Howard Houses on Mother Gaston and Glenmore Avenue respectively.

So, we start off with four stories on Glenmore

Avenue, excuse me, on Christopher Avenue next to the

already existing structures that are around that

height and then we scale up as we go up Christopher

Avenue going towards the eastern location of Glenmore

Avenue. So, we go from four stories to six stories

to seven stories. We are at nine stories at the

corner of Glenmore and Christopher Avenue and then as

you around the corner through the Hub entrance, it's

2.3

back down to eight and then over to the highest point would be 11 stories where we are facing Mother Gaston Boulevard.

We have been thoughtful in terms of the design to really support sustainability and a green community and so we have solar panels, posted rails that will be dispersed throughout the roof design. We've chosen that particular type of installation in order to remain as contextual as possible in reference to the design, posted rail on lower scale, solar panel installation. We'll have some terrace roof, some green roof area that will be open to the residents and we also have in the rear of the building a multileveled garden open span space that will be open for the residents for outdoors enjoyment. There is you know an opportunity on the concrete area to sit and read, as well as landscaped area.

All to be faced by the two story B-Ville Hub which will have a glass exterior wall facing this landscape garden so that there will be you know a beautiful view for those that are visiting the Hub. Next slide please.

So, this gives you the ground floor plan. Starting off again on the right hand side of this

2.2

2.3

green, we have Christopher Avenues entrance for the
parking, where we are proposing 59 units of an
attended parking garage that is zoned as commercial.

That space is specifically to be used to support the

B-Ville Hub. Both the commercial retail as well as the community facility spaces. We are in a transit zone, so as per the 2016 zoning ordinance, we are uhm, waived for parking for the residential facility.

As you go along Christopher Avenue, you see the various units that are on that ground floor level and as we get closer to Glenmore Avenue, that is the entrance located on Christopher Avenue to the residential building. On the corner there, we've been thoughtful about having sort of glass community room that will be accessible to all of the residents as well as residential support and a residential lobby and reception desk as well.

As you round the corner onto Glenmore Avenue, we have our first commercial space which can be accessed uhm, from both the street as well as the B-Ville hub lobby, which is a two story glassed entrance where we have open space that will allow for entrepreneurial incubators where we can have some glass petitions there for new businesses to have incubation space.

J

The first commercial space identified as number three, is the Brownsville Beauty Salon, which is a for profit entity that is an affiliate of a current non-for-profit entity called, We Run Brownsville, which is an organization started by two women in Brownsville that focus on the health and wellness and fitness of African American women and other minority women in the community that currently have a running, uhm, a track and focus and are expanding to other areas of health, wellness and beauty.

Uhm, as we continue down towards Mother Gaston Boulevard, we have our second commercial space which is approximately 5,000 square feet. This will be occupied by Fusion East. This will be their third location. Ironically, their first building or their first restaurant is located in East New York in a building that was built by Hudson Companies I believe and related there on the ground floor the affordable housing on Elton Street I believe. They also have as location in Interfaith Medical Center or Café to service the employees there and they have started an entre into the Brownsville community with a food truck on Pickett Avenue. So, this will be their third location.

2.2

2.3

As we round the corner onto Mother Gaston
Boulevard in the last commercial retail space, we
have Brooklyn Cooperative Credit Union. This will be
their fifth location. This is actually I believe the
second RFP that they have been part of as community
partners for a successful development team response.
So, they have locations providing financial literacy
and other economic and financial supports to the
community and Bedford Stuyvesant, Bushwick, East New
York I believe in Cypress Hills. Next slide please.

Again, this just gives you orientation of the building. In the far right hand corner, we have what would be the rear of the entrepreneurial hub. You see here from an arial view the landscape tiered area in the rear, again just shy of 10,000 square feet of open landscaped space for the residents. And then you have a visual of one of the open spaces on the roof, where you see the green roof as well as the terrace component and the solar panels that will be installed. Next slide please.

This gives you a visual at the corner of Glenmore

Avenue and Christo— excuse me, in Mother Gaston. On

the second floor, you see etched in the glass is

Central Brooklyn Economic Development Corporation.

79

2 That is a not-for-profit that has a 40-year standing

3 in the Brownsville community. They are currently

4 | located at 444 Thomas Boyland, which is an older

5 | building. We understand that is also going to be

6 renovated. However, in the interim, they are having

7 difficulties in terms of their size and ability to

service the community, as well as internet and other

9 types of challenges.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

And so, here, they will be expanding their services. They have a linkage agreement with Medgar Evers College as well as Howard University to offer satellite classes at this location. So, they will occupy the great majority of the second floor of the B-Ville hub, however, one of the smaller spaces will be occupied by We Run Brownsville, where they will be offering new not-for-profit support of health and wellness classes for the community. Next slide please.

So, our general contractor is part of the development team and they have been working with Central Brooklyn Economic Development Corporation as well as with Brisa Builders to identify minority women business enterprises on the subcontracting

2.2

2.3

2 level and other professional services to support uhm,
3 this development.

So, we have already identified that you know that we have professional services in the former title coming from you know a minority business enterprise African American owned. We have identified subcontractors who have gone through the prequalification with Lemle & Wolff and will be solicited to bid on the 100 CV set that is now going out to uhm, potential contractors and so, we're working very closely to ensure that we are exceeding the 25 percent minimum expectation from the MWBE Build Up Program that HPD started in 2017. We are also working with Central Brooklyn to identify workers that will support the labor that will be hired directly from Lemle & Wolff.

As we are awarding those subcontractors, they will also have a local hire commitment as well as part of their contractual obligation and so we will work very closely to ensure that we have as many people from 1123311212 as well as 11207 and 08 as part of the development process. We're also open to working with other local community based organizations in reference to local hires as well as

2.2

2.3

2 referrals for minority owned businesses. Next slide
3 please.

So, this development is an MWBE led development team which you know I'm very proud to be part of this development. I was born and raised in Brownsville Brooklyn and so, to be part of the development team for this community is really an honor and I humbly am very, very proud about being part of this development.

We're offering 232 units of affordable housing for families with a focus on supporting a wide range that is representative of the Brooklyn community.

So, we have seniors, we have formerly homeless. We have families that are in need of extra supports. We have 80 percent AMI's, we have 30 percent AMI's, we have 40 percent AMI's.

So, we believe that we are touching a wide range of the community. We've made a special effort to ensure that two and three bedrooms comprise 50 percent or more of the development and that one bedrooms are more comprised. 75 percent of the development once we deduct the supportive housing units as well as the airs units. We are very, very, very proud about the innovation and entrepreneurial

environment.

ship hub and the fact that we have activated local organizations and businesses that will have an opportunity to expand in the northern section of Brooklyn and we feel very proud again of you know the open space, the thought process behind that for the building and we are designated to go through the 2020 enterprise communities which has just elevated the design feature requirements, solar panel installation, active design as well as other sustainability to ensure that the building has a very compact footprint in reference to its impact on the

And we have been very thoughtful about the amenities that we are offering to the community. So, there are some general requirements but we want to make sure that these amenities are designed and activated in a way to really support the building in a positive way. We'll have a laundry room that will have additional machines in addition to what would normally be required. We have a fitness room. We have a bike storage area, tennis storage area. We're really thinking about what have been our experiences in various developments and what do we think is the best way to afford for our tenants in this building.

And again, the architects were very dynamic in

2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

their design of the open space in the rear of the building in reference to really giving people an opportunity to enjoy this space in many different ways. Last slide please.

Uhm, I think you know as was mentioned for the other Cooper town development, you know these city owned parcels are very storied and this one has you know a very long story. 40 years of being vacant and abandoned. I remember passing it when we would go you know to the Jackie Robinson for me to visit my grandmother in Connecticut. I remember seeing this vacant parcel. AAPCI has 25 years engaged with the community in support of developing this parcel and you know wanting to activate it for the community. And so, here we are and even in the award from the city, it's been a journey. We you know started on it and then we got hit by the pandemic. And so, we are very excited to be at this stage, which we believe to be the final stages of the ULURP process.

As indicated, we were certified in April. We've gone through all of the various hearings. There's been ups and downs in those experiences as well but here we are today at our City Council Subcommittee

2.2

2.3

hearing. We expect to be with a full Council hearing
shortly with an anticipate ULURP approval hopefully

within the next month and a half or so.

We are targeting an anticipated construction loan closing in June of 2022, with a 42-month delivery of the product where we expect to have permanent loan conversion by that 42-month period.

And so, we thank you for your time this morning and listening to our presentation regarding Glenmore Manor.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much Ericka.

Just a couple of questions. Just like the first project, this site has been vacant for decades. Why has it taken HPD and the city so long to advance a development proposal for this site? And what was the original vision for this site from the 1980's Urban Renewal Plan?

ERICKA KELLER: Makeda, do you want to take that?

LIN ZENG: Uhm sure, I could jump in. Lin Zeng

again from the Department of Housing Preservation

Development. With all developments, it takes a

really long time and I think in this particular plan,

it was designated for a public use. And so, we've

been searching through archives before even this RFP

and there just hasn't you know been any follow up on this particular public use. And so, so I think as part of this application, we're asking to change the use to allow for this particular — and so now you know, we have an opportunity here. We have a developer with a plan that you know that both has affordable housing and retail and community facilities that would benefit this local community. So, that's why we're asking that we could change what was designated when the renewal plan was first implemented so that we could allow for this project to move forward.

In terms of why it took so long, you know I'm not sure if I could answer that if you know there were a similar type of history that Cooper Park Commons had here. I think it's just you know we didn't have the right project. You know we didn't have the right supports. Like right here, we have the need and uhm, certainly we have the right project, the right developer. You know the right unit mix to move forward, so.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: The Borough Presidents Report recommended that HPD and the Development Team coordinate with DOT to make sidewalk and street

2 safety improvements surrounding this site. Do you
3 plan to follow this recommendation?

ERICKA KELLER: Definitely. We are going to be digging into all of the recommendations and we've had follow-up conversation with uhm, Richard Barrack in the Borough Presidents Planning Office. And so, we do want to ensure that we're streetscaping and we're you know supporting the building design in a way that uhm, you know is activates you know engagement for people into the building and assurance that there's enough lighting around the building. We've been very thoughtful about the design and we have you know a glass hub making it very you know professional looking in reference to it being a professional building for entrepreneurial ship and so we want to make sure that the sidewalks are increased to incorporate the traffic that will be going both Glenmore and Christopher Avenue or narrow streets. And so, we're thoughtful about that process as well. We have the parking garage that right now is planned to be stacked attendant parking garage. So, we have to be thoughtful about the design of that. The ramp

going in and out of Christopher Avenue. So, these

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

are all things that we are being very thoughtful and deliberate about.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You noted that your plan for local hiring an addition MWBE participation. How many local hires would typically be involved in a project like this? Is there any plan to offer training to those who do not have experience in construction? And how can we ensure follow-up and progress reports on these commitments?

ERICKA KELLER: So, uhm, that was a long question. Let me see, I don't know if I can answer it in order. So, let me -

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I'm sorry Ericka, I could go through the first one. How many local hires would typically be involved in a project like this?

ERICKA KELLER: So, so, it really ranges, right?

You know 232 units uhm, you know we're going to definitely need flaggers. You know the general contractor is going to have their supervisory staff who we may or may not be able to commit to that being a local hire because there's often you know; the supervisory staff is someone that they usually already have onboard. That they may be moving for another project but there's going to be flaggers.

There's going to be laborer's, there is going to be assistant support staff.

2.2

2.3

And so, all of those staff I would say probably about ten to fifteen positions in this size building would be local to the general contractor directly hiring those individuals. And then as we stated, we want those who are awarded, if we are able to make those awards within the next four to six weeks. That gives them time to be deliberate in making the commitment towards one or two of their staff also being the local hires.

So, it takes a lot of coordination but I'm thinking anywhere between ten reasonably on the lower end and 35 on the higher end could be local hires from the community to support either the subcontractors as well as the general contractors direct hire.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Is there any plan to offer training to those who don't have experience in construction?

ERICKA KELLER: So, we're fortunate in that

Central Brooklyn Economic Development Corporation,

which has been a long standing community based

organization that provides OSHA training and other

supports in collaboration with developers in the past and on their own independently that they are funded for is part of our development. They are a community partner in this. And so, they have been already offering training and will continue to offer training throughout the cycles so that we can also tap into their resources for local hires.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: And how can we ensure followup and progress reports on these commitments?

ERICKA KELLER: So, the Community Board was one step ahead of you on this and they you know, really made sure that as part of our commitment in presenting the project to them in writing, we had to commit to quarterly reports to be given to the Community Board about our hiring process and many in where we are and what attempts we have made. So, that is a commitment we have made in writing to the Community Board we will follow.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Ericka. Council Member Darma Diaz, do you have any questions or remarks you want to give?

23 COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: I have questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay.

2.2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: I will start with not concerns because back to questions. How did you determine what facilities should go into which buildings?

ERICKA KELLER: So, I think this was a little bit unique in that you know this RFP was not just about housing. It was about uhm, you know an area that had been identified by the community as an area in need of support and that's entrepreneurial ship and innovation. And so, what did we think about that? We thought about the fact that you know we're in a technological era. We're in an era of you know exploration in terms of entrepreneurs and how do people decide? Like millennials in the new generation are really driven towards being their own boss. And so, how do we support you know where the world is going in reference to that thought process and working you know, what does public service really mean and all of the different new definitions for work and how you contribute to the community. And so, we were trying to be thoughtful about that. also, so we had to define it first and then we had to say that one of the things that we recognize has been a problem is that there really hasn't been local

2.2

2.3

participation in the RFP's. That developments come in and they don't necessarily reflect the people who are there.

And so, we want it to be inclusive right and talk about growth. So, here you have a new building, there should be an opportunity for those that are already existing in the community to be a part of this new building and expand whatever they were doing through this new opportunity. And so, that was sort of the thought process by really going to local community members to see what are the services amenities that they had that could be part of this that could help to grow you know their services in the community. And so, that's how we ended up talking with Brooklyn Cooperative Credit Union because that's you know that's a dearth the community right.

There is like one Chase Bank I think on Pickett
Avenue and that's it right. So, we need to bring in
economic support into the Brownsville community,
particularly in this area. We needed to you know the
restaurant was an opportunity. We knew that this was
a successful entrepreneurship and another you know
community nearby has an opportunity to expand. Uhm,

Andre has been very supportive or Andrew has been

talked about having some component where there's

very supportive of other smaller businesses and has

training for young people who are interested in being

restaurant owners and such. And then the same with

_

2.2

Dion Graman(SP?) and Felicia Stevens who are you know the women of We Run Brownsville and the work that they are doing around health and wellness in the community.

So, we were very thoughtful about the

entrepreneurial hub. And then of course you know with the housing, it's really about zoning analysis. You know the city was very direct in what their expectations were. It was currently RF6 which would allow a certain number of units. We had to propose a rezone to an R7D, R7A, split and that would then allow a certain number of units. And so, then what does that unit mix look like and you know AAPCI had really advocated for senior housing for this site for 25 years. And so, we knew that the direction that it was going, that wasn't likely a plausible solution. However, we wanted to make sure that we still included an opportunity by utilizing the airs bonus to allow us to have 16 units for seniors.

be very inclusive, so we have a supportive housing

component through the 1515 allocation as well as you

What we also said, now we have an opportunity to

know AMI's between 80 and zero percent for all family types.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Thank you. Thank you for your detailed response. And great to see that fusion

exciting moment seeing him grow and being Andre and develop. To develop into the individual that he is today and willingness to give back. That leads me to

somewhat of a concern, having an entrepreneur,

is moving into Brownsville. It's definitely an

knowing that going from working at home to not having

to pay rent it's a different conversation in

utilities that come along with it. Do you have

support services? Is there a cosmetic conversation

for small business owners transitioning to this new

journey to assure a better possibility of good solid

outcomes.

ERICKA KELLER: So, you know Brooklyn — so, I think the only organization that is really going to be new to this will be the Brownsville Beauty Salon. They have a very small space. We're taking into consideration right now; we're performing to lower

2 rents to accommodate what we you know feel will be 3 reasonable but yet still allow us to feasibly

4 represent a financial plan to the city.

Uhm, and they have a small space. It's 700 square feet you know for their T-bar and the beauty and wellness component. So, I think that they in.

They have been working now for supports for their for-profit arm and such. Uhm, you know Fusion Ace we've continued to check in with them. So, they are more seasoned right. And so, that was also the thought process was how do you tap into local businesses but also tap into more season. Because we are going to have to deal with our lenders for the overall project and they need to feel some comfort and level about this space.

Central Brooklyn is the not-for-profit partner.

They will have the second floor. They are working to get some financial supports as well. We want to have them apply for funding, both for their operations as well as for capital to help them build out this space. So, we're trying to support them through this process as well. And then they have linkage agreements with colleges and other organizations that

2.2

2.3

will help to support you know the services that they

3 want to provide.

2.2

2.3

We, you know we want to also have this sort of entrepreneurial incubation space, right? And that is contemplated for the lobby of the hub. And that's a cost that we can kind of — it's going to be a space that we have to maintain anyway. So, that is someway that we can kind of defray that and offer this to the community as space for them to incubate their businesses and a starting place for them.

So, uhm, we're attempting to you know to be thoughtful around that and know that we're all challenged in reference to the finances now given the roll out after the pandemic.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Thank you and as we know housing is no secret my passion behind it and homeless services and assuring that communities are served properly. Is your site provider — is there going to be a provider on site for social services?

ERICKA KELLER: Yeah, so AAPCI is also a social service provider. They are the not-for-profit development partner. They have a 1515 award as we stated, 60 single adults and ten families with children but those services that are provided, that

will be provided through the 1515 allocation, will be offered for everyone in the building.

And we have approximately 4,000 square feet thereof for these service supports to the tenants in reference to support offices and offices for social workers and meeting rooms as well as the amenities. The community room, all of those are part of the services that will be offered. Not only for the 1515 allocation but for all of the residents.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: So, you will have a social worker on site? Not just for -

ERICKA KELLER: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: For the formerly homeless families but everyone.

ERICKA KELLER: For everyone. Hmm, hmm.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: That in reference to supported units, are they going to integrated throughout your facility or will that be a targeted floor or side of a building?

ERICKA KELLER: No, it has to be all integrated right? So, it has to be at least 65 percent circulation throughout the building of the MIH units as well as the supportive housing. So, we work with

2.2

2.3

2 the

2.2

the architect to ensure that design wise we've scattered everything throughout the building.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Wonderful, so my last question will be and in a conversation with the borough presidents office was a recommendation for the property owned units that are [INAUDIBLE 2:07:50]. Property owned homes that are on this lot to not to be excluded from your conversation, where are we with that?

outreach, we usually send notification to uhm, you know our neighbors in so we will do that particularly as we get closer to actually starting construction and other types of you know movement on this site.

It's been a little bit dormant as we've gone through this process but we will definitely include those neighbors so that they are aware of what's happening and what the impacts will be and there will be some access you know access agreements and license agreements that will have to be negotiated as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: Thank you for the human service aspect to it. My question I think was more so to HPD and I apologize for not being more direct and specific. Where communities thinking that it

2.2

2.3

will be in good nature today and including those four
buildings as part of the zoning package. A year or
five years from now the owners will sell and now we
have 14 story buildings — so now we have this land
conversation that we could have prevented which

that's going with my question.

LIN ZENG: So, when we did the RFP it was just for this site, the city owned, the L-shaped lots that Ericka talked about. But in working on this ULURP application with DCP, you know they are the ones that oversee the zoning maps and there is a rational to extend the proposed zoning district to Pickett because I believe that on the side of the Pickett, there is also an R7. So, it's a way for continuity and I think you know and so, we had to take our guidance from our colleagues at DCP on that in order to have this map amendment.

So, because I think they also want to void if that was not mapped right. I mean there are still pressures that people get and if they sell it to a developer the developer would probably have to go back to the city to get that mapped anyway or another result is maybe the one that they want to avoid is that you will have much lower buildings that are not

2 contextual to the one that we are actually proposing 3 right now.

So, so that was a conversation you know a lot of back and forth with DCP and sort of drawing the zoning map to make sure that it is not just with this site, that it's done with the idea of like what the future would be and what the shape of that block would look like. But I do hear the concerns that you're raising about you know about people you know being kicked out of their buildings and I am you know, I think what Ericka said, we hope that you know with at reach and things like that we would not get to that point.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: I was puzzled by part of your opening statement. Was this more out of convenience?

LIN ZENG: It's not a matter of convenience, it's more of you know it's a planning rational for zoning when they are drawing up zoning maps because we are upzoning this particular site. And there idea is that they wanted to make that connection so that it's more of a continuous or a seven and that you don't have just like this R7 island in the middle.

2.2

2.3

So, and I'm sorry, I'm not City Planning. I'm sure they could have explained this more eloquently and why you know that that was suggested and recommended in this application.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: So, therefore, my conversation should be with City Planning.

LIN ZENG: We could follow up and provide a you know sort of a response. And I believe our application uhm, also has an explanation on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAZ: I'm definitely more digging and more conversation because what I see is displacement. It's just a matter of time. Okay, thank you for answering the questions to the best of your abilities. Thank you Chair Riley. No more questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you Council Member

Darma Diaz. Thank you for your testimony. There

being no more Council Member questions, this panel is

excused.

PANEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Are there any more members of the public who wish to testify on Glenmore Manner Project?

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: There are no members of the public signed up to testify on these items.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Seeing no other members of the public who wish to testify on this item, the public hearing on LU 848, 849, 850 and 851 are now closed and the items are laid over.

Our last public hearing today is on LU 847, the TMN 1002-West Harlem Renaissance UDAP and Article 11 tax exemption submitted by the Department of Housing Preservation and Development pursuant Section 693 and 694 of the General Municipal Law and Article 11 of the Private Housing Finance Law. The Application seek waiver of the designation and requirement of the sections 197-C and 197-D of the Charter pursuant and approval of an urban development action area project for such area and approval of an exemption from real property taxation for property located at 101-West 141st Street AKA 621-23 Lenox Avenue. And 121-123 West 144th Street. Both are located in Manhattan District represented by Council Member Perkins. Presented for the applicants, we have Rosa Kelly on behalf of HPD and Randall and Roland Powell on behalf of Infinite Horizons. I now ask that these witnesses

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC 1 SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS 102 2 unmute themselves and the Counsel administer the 3 affirmation. 4 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Please raise your right hands 5 and state your names. ROLAND POWELL: Roland Powell. 6 7 ROSA KELLY: Rosa Kelly. RANDALL POWELL: Randall Powell. 8 9 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in 10 11 your testimony before this subcommittee and in answer 12 to all Council Member questions? 13 PANEL: I do, yes. 14 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. You may begin 15 your presentation. 16 ROSA KELLY: Good afternoon. My name is Rosa 17 Kelly, the Director of Land Use Planning and 18 Development for HPD's government affairs team. 19 is before the Council today seeking UDAP and Article 20 11 approvals for two buildings located in Manhattan 21 Council District 9. The project will be 2.2 rehabilitated under HPD's multifamily preservation 2.3 loan program. In which sponsors purchase and

rehabilitate city owned vacant and occupied

2.3

multifamily buildings in order to create affordable rental housing units with a range of affordability.

HPD has designated Infinite Horizons LLC to purchase and redevelop this disposition area under the multifamily preservation loan program through a request for qualifications. These buildings require substantial rehabilitation and there are proposed layout changes and major system upgrades. When completed, the project will provide approximately 51 units and two retail spaces. I'm now going to turn the presentation over to the Infinite Horizons team to discuss in more detail.

RANDALL POWELL: Thank you Kelly. Thank you
Chairman Riley. Thank you for the rest of the
Subcommittee for having Roland and I here today to
talk further about our project located in Council
District 9. My name is Randall Powell, this is my
brother Roland Powell and we are the development team
responsible for the substantial rehabilitation of 101
West 141st Street and 122-123 West 144th Street. Uhm,
this project is a great project for the community
because we're going to be rehabilitating buildings
that were currently underutilized that needed
significant repairs to bring them back online in

order for them to be used for the necessary affordable housing the community needs as well as the entire city.

This housing project will incorporate both different elements when it comes to construction as well as upgrades to the building, major wide systems and will also be following the enterprise green communities 2020 requirements for sustainability and resilience. This project will also cater to various AMI's from 30 to 80 percent of AMI as well as the homeless population throughout these two buildings. We believe that this opportunity is necessary and long overdue due to the current housing crisis and need that is taking place across the city that so many others that are on today's panel have mentioned.

One of the aspects of the building of the project that we'll be working on is that we will actually be relocating a business to this space at 101 West 141st Street. We will actually open up a property management office, which we believe will serve the tenants to making sure that we can be as responsive and proactive in addressing any needs and concerns that come up during our ownership and management during the life of the project. We appreciate the

city's support in moving the project forward and we
look forward to completing this project over the next
two years.

We are in the process right now of applying for financing and as you can see here are some pictures of the current building. On the left is 101 West 141st Street, that is pic number one. And on the right is 122-23 West 144th Street. That building West 144th Street is currently sealed up due to structural issues and the tenants were temporarily relocated to make sure that the city had their safety first while we put the project through the renovation process. Next slide please.

Here are the building details. There will be ten studios, 21 bedrooms, 11 two bedrooms, ten three bedrooms and as mentioned before 101 West 141st

Street has two commercial spaces. One of which we will use as our office for property management, so the tenants can have readily access to any services that they require. And as part of the process, we will also enter into a 40 year regulatory agreement to make sure that the project stays 100 percent affordable. Next slide please.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Currently, the two buildings are both five story walk ups and they represent in total of 51 units.

There will be a Super at 101 West 141st Street that will provide regular building maintenance. The scope of work with include all the requirements necessary to meet sustainability practices through the enterprise green communities 2020. New electrical services, new structural beam work, new plumbing, new kitchens, new flooring, new windows, façade work, as well as all the other requirements described by DOB to make sure that we meet all health and safety issues for the building. Next slide please.

Here are the income bands across the various buildings. The first income band is for 47 percent of AMI. We actually want to try to move that to have some 40 percent lower income units available because we know that there's a need for lower income units for the project. The second band is 57 percent of AMI and the third band is 80 percent of AMI and we believe that this marketing band for the various residents in the area will bring a very variety of incomes into the neighborhood and into these buildings to spread out the opportunity for everybody

2 to have affordable housing in the area. Next slide 3 please.

2.2

2.3

Again, this slide gives the details of what the average rents would approximately be for the studios, one bedrooms, two bedrooms and three bedrooms.

Again, the goal is to make sure that you know tenants have an affordable rent and they are not charged more than 30 percent of their income in order to have a sustainable household. Next slide please.

Uhm, again, we look forward to this opportunity. Infinite Horizons, just to give a further background was established in 2007 by my brother Roland and I with a need to turn projects such as this that have been underutilized into affordable housing. We're city and state certified MBE's and we have a track record of working on similar projects across the city and we look forward to further working with Chair Riley and the rest of the City Council and Subcommittee members to bring this amazing project to fruition. Again, we appreciate the support of HPD and all of the fellow participants that have been on today's panel. Thank you and have a good day.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much Roland and Randall for your commitment to addressing these needs

heading. I would like to thank the applicant

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS 109
2	members, excuse me, I would like to thank the
3	applicants, members of the public, my colleagues,
4	Subcommittee Counsel and Land Use Staff and the
5	Sergeant at Arms for participating in today's
6	hearing. This meeting is hereby adjourned. [GAVEL]
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 15, 2021